

## A GENERAL LOCAL ERGODIC THEOREM IN $L_1$

M. A. AKCOGLU AND M. FALKOWITZ

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $L_1$  denote the usual Banach space of equivalence classes of real valued integrable functions on  $X$ . We shall not distinguish between the equivalence classes and the functions themselves. Relations between functions are assumed to hold in an a.e. sense.

Throughout this paper  $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$  will denote a strongly continuous semigroup of linear contractions on  $L_1$ . That is:

- (i) each  $T_t$  is a linear operator on  $L_1$ , with norm not more than 1,
- (ii)  $T_{s+t} = T_t T_s$  for all  $t, s > 0$ ,
- (iii) for all  $f \in L_1$  and  $t > 0$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t, s > 0; \|T_s f - T_t f\|} = 0$ .

We will prove the pointwise local ergodic theorem for such a semigroup.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $f \in L_1$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (1/t) \int_0^t T_s f ds$  exists a.e. on  $X$ .*

Here  $\int_0^t T_s f ds$  is defined as the strong limit of the usual Riemann sums. To give a meaning to the a.e. limit one either has to use the usual conventions in ergodic theory (p. 686 in [4]), or, equivalently, to avoid these conventions, has to restrict the range of  $t$  in  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+}$  to a countable dense subset of  $(0, \infty)$ , for example to the set of positive rational numbers (p. 200 in [3]). The same remarks also apply to Theorem 1.2 below.

Various special cases of this theorem have already been proved, going back to Wiener's local ergodic theorem [11], in which  $\{T_t\}$  is induced by a measure preserving flow of  $X$ . The modern form of the theory started with the results of Krengel [6] and Ornstein [8], where the local ergodic theorem is proved under the following two additional assumptions on  $\{T_t\}$ :

(iv) Positivity:  $T_t L_1^+ \subset L_1^+$  for all  $t > 0$ , where  $L_1^+$  is the positive cone of  $L_1$ ,

(v) Continuity at the origin: There is an operator  $T_0$  on  $L_1$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_t f - T_0 f\| = 0$  for all  $f \in L_1$ .

Later the theorem has been proved assuming (iv) only [1], or assuming (v) only [5], [7], [10], in addition to (i), (ii) and (iii). Here we will prove the local ergodic theorem without any additional assumptions.

We will, in fact, prove Theorem 1.2 below, which generalizes both Theorem 1.1 and a weaker form of a differentiation theorem of Akcoglu-Krengel [3]. We define, as in [3], a  $T_t$ -additive process as a family  $\{F_t\}_{t>0}$  of  $L_1$  functions such that  $F_t + T_t F_s = F_{t+s}$  for all  $t, s > 0$ . If

$$\sup_{t>0} (1/t) \|F_t\| = K < \infty$$

then  $\{F_t\}$  is called a bounded additive process, and  $K$  is called the bound of the process. Note that  $F_t = \int_0^t T_s f ds$  defines a bounded additive process for any  $f \in L_1$ . Another example of an additive process is  $F_t = (1 - T_t) f, f \in L_1$ , which may or may not be bounded.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *If  $\{F_t\}_{t>0}$  is a bounded additive process with respect to  $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$  then there is a function  $f \in L_1$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} 1/t F_t = f$  a.e. on  $X$ . Furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} 1/t \int_0^t T_s f ds = f$  a.e.*

The advantage of considering additive processes is that we can then assume the continuity of  $\{T_t\}$  at the origin, without any loss of generality. To see this we first collect a few results which will also be used later in the proof Theorem 1.2.

**THEOREM 1.3 ([10], [5]).** *Given a strongly continuous semi group  $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$  of  $L_1$ -contractions, there exists a strongly continuous semi-group  $\{\tau_t\}_{t>0}$  of positive  $L_1$ -contractions such that  $|T_t f| \leq \tau_t |f|$  for any  $t > 0$  and  $f \in L_1$ .*

Such a semi group  $\{\tau_t\}_{t>0}$  will be called a linear modulus of  $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ . Furthermore, if a linear modulus for  $\{T_t\}$  is continuous at the origin then  $\{T_t\}$  is also continuous at the origin (Lemma 1 in [9]).

**THEOREM 1.4 ([1]).** *Given a strongly continuous semi group  $\{\tau_t\}_{t>0}$  of positive  $L_1$ -contractions, there exists a unique partition  $\{C, D\}$  of  $X$  into two sets such that*

- (i)  $\chi_D \tau_t f = 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $f \in L_1$ ,
- (ii) the restriction of  $\{\tau_t\}_{t>0}$  to  $L_1(C)$  is a strongly continuous semi group of  $L_1(C)$ -contractions which is also continuous at the origin.

Here  $\chi$  denotes the characteristic function of its subscript and  $L_1(C) = \{f \mid f \in L_1, \chi_D f = 0\}$ .

**LEMMA 1.1.** *If  $\{F_t\}$  is a bounded  $T_t$ -additive process and if  $\{C, D\}$  is the partition of  $X$  given in Theorem 1.4 with respect to a linear modulus  $\{\tau_t\}$  of  $\{T_t\}$  then  $\chi_D F_t = 0$  a.e. for all  $t > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \varepsilon < t$ . Then

$$|F_t| \leq |F_\varepsilon| + |T_\varepsilon F_{t-\varepsilon}| \leq |F_\varepsilon| + \tau_\varepsilon |F_{t-\varepsilon}|$$

shows that  $\chi_D |F_t| \leq \chi_D |F_\varepsilon|$ , since  $\chi_D \tau_\varepsilon |F_{t-\varepsilon}| = 0$ . Hence  $\|\chi_D |F_t|\| \leq \|F_\varepsilon\| \leq K\varepsilon$ , where  $K$  is the bound of  $\{F_t\}$ .

This lemma shows that  $F_t \in L_1(C)$ . If  $\tilde{T}_t$  is the restriction of  $T_t$  to  $L_1(C)$ , then  $F_t$  is also a bounded  $\tilde{T}_t$ -additive process. But now  $\{\tilde{T}_t\}$  is continuous at the origin, since  $\{\tau_t\}$  restricted to  $L_1(C)$  is a linear modulus for  $\{\tilde{T}_t\}$  and is continuous at the origin. Therefore we may and do assume, in the proof of Theorem 1.2, that  $\{T_t\}$  is continuous at the origin. (Note that this assumption can not be made in Theorem 1.1, because  $f$  may not be in  $L_1C$ .)

**THEOREM 1.5 ([3]).** *Let  $\{H_t\}$  be a bounded additive process with respect to a strongly continuous semigroup  $\{\tau_t\}$  of positive  $L_1$  contractions. Then there is an  $L_1$  function  $h$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (1/t)H_t = h$  a.e. and such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (1/t) \int_0^t \tau_s h ds = h$  a.e.*

Although the final conclusion of this theorem is not explicitly stated in [3], it follows easily from (3.8) of that paper.

**2. Proof of the main result.** Given a bounded additive process one can construct a dominating positive additive process with respect to the linear modulus. For this the continuity at the origin is not needed.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\{F_t\}_{t>0}$  be a bounded  $T_t$ -additive process and let  $\{\tau_t\}$  be a linear modulus of  $\{T_t\}$ . Then there is a  $\tau_t$ -additive process  $\{H_t\}$ , such that (i)  $|F_t| \leq H_t$  a.e. for each  $t > 0$ , (ii)  $\{H_t\}$  has the same bound as  $\{F_t\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K = \sup_{t>0} (1/t)\|F_t\|$  be the bound of  $\{F_t\}$ . To construct  $H_t$  for a certain fixed  $t$ , we consider the family  $\mathcal{P}$  of partitions of  $[0, t]$  of the form  $P = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  with  $0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n = t$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

Define

$$H_t^{(P)} = |F_{\alpha_1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i}|.$$

The family of  $L_1$  functions,  $\{H_t^{(P)}; P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ , fullfils

- (1)  $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|(1/t)H_t^{(P)}\| \leq K$
- (2) if  $P' \in \mathcal{P}$  refines  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $H_t^{(P')} \leq H_t^{(P)}$ .

The validity of (1) follows immediately from the definition and boundedness of  $\{F_t\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{t} H_t^{(P)} \right\| &\leq \|F_{\alpha_1}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_i}|\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} K \left( \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i) \right) = K. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (2), let us first note that it is clearly sufficient to consider the case where  $P = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is refined by adding one point, say  $\alpha$ . If  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$  then, indeed,

$$|F_{\alpha_1}| = |F_\alpha + T_\alpha F_{\alpha_1-\alpha}| \leq |F_\alpha| + \tau_\alpha |F_{\alpha_1-\alpha}|.$$

Similarly, for the case  $\alpha_i < \alpha < \alpha_{i+1}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_i}| &= \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha-\alpha_i} + T_{\alpha-\alpha_i} F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha}| \\ &\leq \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha-\alpha_i}| + \tau_{\alpha_i} \tau_{\alpha-\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha}| \\ &= \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha-\alpha_i}| + \tau_\alpha |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha}|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since for any two partitions there is one that refines both (take their union), there is a sequence  $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $H_t^{(P_i)}$  is increasing and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|H_t^{(P_i)}\| = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|H_t^{(P)}\|$ . We define

$$H_t = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H_t^{(P_i)} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Clearly any other such sequence would yield the same limit. Since for any partition of the form  $P = \{0, \alpha, t\}$  we have

$$|F_t| = |F_\alpha + T_\alpha F_{t-\alpha}| \leq |F_\alpha| + \tau_\alpha |F_{t-\alpha}| = H_t^{(P)}$$

(i) is proved. Considering (1) above, we also have (ii). The proof of the theorem will be completed by showing the additivity of  $\{H_t\}$  with respect to  $\{\tau_t\}$ .

Fix  $t > 0, s > 0$  and consider arbitrary partitions  $P' = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  and  $P'' = \{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_m\}$  with  $n \geq 2, m \geq 2$ , of  $[0, t]$  and  $[0, s]$  respectively. Denote by  $P'P''$  the partition  $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n, t + \beta_1, \dots, t + \beta_m\}$  of  $[0, t + s]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{t+s}^{(P'P'')} &= |F_{\alpha_1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_i}| + \tau_{\alpha_n} |F_{t+\beta_1-\alpha_n}| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \tau_{t+\beta_i} |F_{t+\beta_{i+1}-t-\beta_i}| = H_t^{(P')} + \tau_t H_s^{(P'')}. \end{aligned}$$

Let then  $\{P'_i\}$  and  $\{P''_i\}$  be sequences of partitions such that the sequences  $H_t^{(P'_i)}$  and  $H_s^{(P''_i)}$  are increasing and converge to  $H_t$  and  $H_s$  respectively. Since  $\tau_t$  is a positive operator,  $\tau_t H_s^{(P''_i)} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau_t H_s$  a.e. Let us take limits, as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , in

$$H_{t+s}^{(P'_i, P''_i)} = H_t^{(P'_i)} + \tau_t H_s^{(P''_i)}.$$

Since the left-hand side is increasing, by our definition  $H_{t+s} \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H_{t+s}^{(P'_i, P''_i)}$ , and we obtain  $H_{t+s} \geq H_t + \tau_t H_s$ . On the other hand, given a partition  $P$  of  $[0, t + s]$ , refine it (if necessary) to include the point  $t$ , and consider  $P'$  and  $P''$ , on  $[0, t]$  and  $[0, s]$  respectively:  $P'$  is the partition induced by  $P$  on  $[0, t]$  and  $P''$  is the one induced by  $P$  on  $[t, t + s]$  and “shifted” to  $[0, s]$ . A similar argument, choosing a sequence  $P_i$  such that  $P_{i+1}$  refines  $P_i$ ,  $t \in P_i$  and  $H_{t+s}^{(P_i)}$  converges to  $H_{t+s}$  a.e., shows

$$H_{t+s} \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H_t^{(P'_i)} + \tau_t \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H_s^{(P''_i)} \leq H_t + \tau_t H_s.$$

Note that in the construction above we actually use only the process  $\{|F_t|\}_{t>0}$  and the semigroup  $\{\tau_t\}$ . The additivity of  $\{F_t\}$  with respect to  $\{T_t\}$  makes  $\{|F_t|\}$  subadditive with respect to  $\{\tau_t\}$ ; that is  $|F_{t+s}| \leq |F_t| + \tau_t |F_s|$  for all  $t > 0, s > 0$ . Thus we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *A bounded positive process, subadditive with respect to a strongly continuous semigroup of positive linear contractions, has a dominating, positive and additive process, with the same bound.*

In proving Theorem 1.2 we shall find it convenient to use the following notation:  $f_t = (1/t)F_t$ ,  $h_t = (1/t)H_t$ ; also, w-lim and s-lim will denote the weak and strong limits in  $L_1$ . The  $L_1$  function  $f$  in Theorem 1.2 shall be obtained as the limit of a weakly convergent sequence. It is known that a bounded sequence in  $L_1$  which is dominated by a fixed  $L_1$  positive function is weakly sequentially compact (see Theorem IV.8.9 in [4]). For our purposes a certain sharpening of that result is needed.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\phi_n \in L_1$  and  $|\phi_n| \leq \psi_n \in L_1^+$  such that there exists  $\psi \in L_1^+$  with  $\|\psi_n - \psi\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Then  $\{\phi_n\}$  is weakly sequentially compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi'_n = \psi_n \wedge \psi$ ; then  $0 \leq \psi'_n \leq \psi_n$ . Now write  $\phi_n$  as  $\phi_n = \phi'_n + \phi''_n$  where

$$\phi'_n = (-\psi'_n) \vee (\phi_n \wedge \psi'_n)$$

$$\phi''_n = \phi_n - \phi'_n.$$

For the sequence  $\phi'_n$  we have  $|\phi'_n| \leq \psi$  and by the theorem mentioned above is weakly sequentially compact. As for  $\phi''_n$ , by definition

$$|\phi''_n| = |\phi_n - \phi'_n| \leq \psi_n - \psi'_n \leq |\psi_n - \psi|.$$

This implies that  $\|\phi''_n\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

We shall also need the following fact; here again continuity at the origin is not needed.

LEMMA 2.2. For any  $t > 0$ ,  $F_t = s\text{-}\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^t T_s f_\epsilon ds$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t T_s f_\epsilon ds &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t T_s F_\epsilon ds = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t (F_{s+\epsilon} - F_s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_\epsilon^{t+\epsilon} F_s ds - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t F_s ds. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\epsilon < t$  we get

$$\int_0^t T_s f_\epsilon ds = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} F_s ds - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^\epsilon F_s ds.$$

Now, since the process is bounded, the first term converges in norm to  $F_t$ , whereas the second term converges to zero.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $H_t$  be the dominating positive process for  $F_t$ , constructed in Theorem 2.1. Let  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (1/t)H_t = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h_t = h$  a.e., as given in Theorem 1.5. Define a process  $H'_t$  by

$$H'_t = \int_0^t \tau_s h ds$$

and consider the decomposition

$$H_t = H'_t + H''_t.$$

Then the following holds:

$$H''_t \text{ is positive and } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (1/t)H''_t = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

To see that, we take any sequence  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and consider the sequence  $\psi_n = h_{\epsilon_n} \wedge h$ . Then  $0 \leq \psi_n \leq h$  and, obviously,  $\psi_n \rightarrow h$  a.e. Being bounded by  $h \in L_1$ , by the dominated convergence theorem it also converges in norm:

$$\|h - \psi_n\| = \int (h - \psi_n) d\mu \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Since  $\int_0^t \tau_s g ds$ , for a fixed  $t$ , acting on  $g \in L_1$ , is a bounded linear operator in  $L_1$ , we also got

$$\text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n ds = \int_0^t \tau_s h ds.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.2,

$$H_t = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s h_{\epsilon_n} ds \geq \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n ds = \int_0^t \tau_s h ds = H'_t.$$

Pointwise convergence of  $(1/t)H''_t$  to zero is given in Theorem 1.5.

Now we obtain the  $L_1$  function  $f$  in Theorem 1.2. Let

$$\phi_n = (-\psi_n) \vee (f_{\epsilon_n} \wedge \psi_n).$$

Then  $|\phi_n| \leq \psi_n$ , so that the sequences  $\phi_n$  and  $\psi_n$  fulfil the condition of Lemma 2.1 (with  $\psi$  in the Lemma equal to  $h$ ). Thus, by passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that  $\phi_n$  converges weakly, say to  $f^* \in L_1$ . Put  $f = T_0 f^*$ . Define a process  $F'_t = \int_0^t T_s f ds$  and consider the decomposition  $F_t = F'_t + F''_t$ . By the results in [5], [7] and [10],  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (1/t)F'_t = T_0 f = f$  a.e. Hence the proof shall be completed by showing that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (1/t)F''_t = 0$  a.e. This will follow from  $|F''_t| \leq H''_t$ , which we now prove.

Observe, first, as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, that we have  $|f_{\epsilon_n} - \phi_n| \leq h_{\epsilon_n} - \psi_n$ . To evaluate  $F''_t$  express  $F_t, F'_t, H_t$  and  $H'_t$  as the limits of integrals. From Lemma 2.2:

$$F_t = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t T_s f_{\epsilon_n} ds \quad \text{and} \quad H_t = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s h_{\epsilon_n} ds;$$

actually only weak convergence will be needed. Since  $\int_0^t T_s g ds$  (or  $\int_0^t \tau_s g ds$ ) applied to  $g \in L_1$  is a bounded linear operator,

$$f^* = \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n \quad \text{implies}$$

$$\int_0^t T_s f ds = \int_0^t T_s f^* ds = \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t T_s \phi_n ds, \quad \text{and}$$

$$h = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n \quad \text{implies}$$

$$\int_0^t \tau_s h ds = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n ds \quad \left( = \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n ds \right).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} F''_t &= F_t - \int_0^t T_s f ds = \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t T_s f_{\epsilon_n} ds - \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t T_s \phi_n ds \\ &= \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t T_s (f_{\epsilon_n} - \phi_n) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|f_{\varepsilon_n} - \phi_n| \leq h_{\varepsilon_n} - \psi_n$ , this gives

$$\begin{aligned} |F_t''| &\leq \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s (h_{\varepsilon_n} - \psi_n) = \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s h_{\varepsilon_n} ds \\ &= \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n ds = H_t - \int_0^t \tau_s h ds = H_t''. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. A. Akcoglu and R. V. Chacon, *A local ratio theorem*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **22** (1970), 545–552.
- [2] M. A. Akcoglu and A. del Junco, *Differentiation of  $n$ -dimensional additive processes*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **33** (1981), 749–768.
- [3] M. A. Akcoglu and U. Krengel, *A differentiation theorem for additive processes*, *Math. Z.*, **163** (1978), 199–210.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I*, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1958.
- [5] C. Kipins, *Majoration des semi-groupes de contractions de  $L_1$  et applications*, *Ann. Inst. Poincaré sect. B*, **10** (1974), 369–384.
- [6] U. Krengel, *A local ergodic theorem*, *Invent. Math.*, **6** (1969), 329–333.
- [7] Y. Kubokawa, *Ergodic theorems for contraction semi-groups*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **27** (1975), 184–193.
- [8] D. S. Ornstein, *The sums of iterates of a positive operator*, *Advances in Prob. and related topics*, **2** (1970), 87–115.
- [9] R. Sato, *A note on a local ergodic theorem*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **16** (1975), 1–11.
- [10] ———, *Contraction semi-groups in Lebesgue space*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **78** (1978), 251–259.
- [11] N. Wiener, *The ergodic theorem*, *Duke Math. J.*, **5** (1939), 1–18.

Received June 21, 1982. Research supported in part by the NSERC Grant A3974.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA M5S 1A1