Franciszek Prus-Wiśniowski, Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Szczeciński, ul. Wielkopolska 15, PL-70-453 Szczecin, Poland. email: wisniows@univ.szczecin.pl

## SEPARATION BY AMBIVALENT SETS

## Abstract

A characterization of when two sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  can be separated by ambivalent sets is given. Two applications of the characterization are also presented.

A set is said to be ambivalent if it is  $G_{\delta}$  and  $F_{\sigma}$  simultaneously. Ambivalent sets form an algebra of sets [3, p. 65]. The following characterization of separation of sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  by ambivalent sets has turned out to be a useful tool in proving various facts about Baire class one functions. It would be of interest to find a proof of the proposition not resting on the use of transfinite induction.

**Proprosition 1.** Let A and B be disjoint subsets of [0, 1]. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) A and B can be separated by ambivalent sets<sup>1</sup>.
- (ii) A and B can be separated by a Baire class one function<sup>2</sup>.
- (iii) There is no perfect set K such that both A and B are dense in K.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let U be an ambivalent set that contains A and that is disjoint from B. Then the characteristic function of the complement of U is of Baire class one and separates A and B.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). If (iii) were false, then the function f separating A and B would have no continuity point when restricted to K. This is impossible for f is of Baire class one.

Key Words:  $G_{\delta}$  set,  $F_{\sigma}$  set, ambivalent set, function of Baire class one Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A21

Received by the editors June 9, 2003

Communicated by: B. S. Thomson

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It means that there are disjoint ambivalent sets U and V such that  $A \subset U$  and  $B \subset V$ .

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  It means that there is a Baire class one function  $\ f:\ [0,\,1]\to [0,\,1]$  such that  $f\big|_A\equiv 0$ and  $f|_{B} \equiv 1$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let A and B be disjoint sets that are not simultaneously dense in any perfect set K. Set  $F_0 = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$  and define a transfinite sequence  $(F_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of subsets of [0, 1] as follows. If an ordinal  $\alpha \geq 1$  has a predecessor then we set

$$F_{\alpha} = \overline{A \cap F_{\alpha-1}} \cap \overline{B \cap F_{\alpha-1}} ,$$

and if  $\alpha \geq 1$  is a limit ordinal, then we set

$$F_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\gamma < \alpha} F_{\gamma} .$$

Then  $(F_{\alpha})_{\alpha<\omega_1}$  is a nonincreasing sequence of closed sets and by [1, Thm 3.10] there is the smallest  $\alpha_0<\omega_1$  such that  $F_{\alpha}=F_{\alpha_0}$  for all  $\alpha>\alpha_0$ .

Suppose  $F_{\alpha_0}$  is nonempty. Then the equality  $F_{\alpha_0+1} = F_{\alpha_0}$  implies that

$$F_{\alpha_0} = \overline{A \cap F_{\alpha_0}} \cap \overline{B \cap F_{\alpha_0}} ,$$

and hence both A and B are dense in  $F_{\alpha_0}$ . Since the sets A and B are disjoint,  $F_{\alpha_0}$  must be perfect which contradicts (iii). Therefore  $F_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ .

Now for  $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$  let us define sets

$$U_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha-1} \setminus \overline{B \cap F_{\alpha-1}}$$
 and  $V_{\alpha} = \overline{B \cap F_{\alpha-1}} \setminus F_{\alpha}$ 

if  $\alpha$  has a predecessor, and define  $U_{\alpha} = V_{\alpha} = \emptyset$  otherwise.

Observe that for every  $\alpha$  such that  $1 \le \alpha \le \alpha_0$  we get

$$U_{\alpha} \sqcup F_{\alpha} \sqcup V_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\lambda < \alpha} F_{\lambda}$$

(here the symbol  $\sqcup$  denotes a union of pairwise disjoint sets) and

$$F_0 = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \le \alpha} U_{\lambda} \sqcup F_{\alpha} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\lambda \le \alpha} V_{\lambda} .$$

All sets in the sequences  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \leq \alpha_0}$  and  $(V_{\alpha})_{\alpha \leq \alpha_0}$  are  $F_{\sigma}$  and so are the unions

$$U \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} \bigsqcup_{\alpha \leq \alpha_0} U_{\alpha}$$
 and  $V \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} \bigsqcup_{\alpha \leq \alpha_0} V_{\alpha}$ .

Further we get  $A \subset U$  and  $B \subset V$  since the inclusions

$$A \setminus F_{\alpha} \subset \bigsqcup_{\lambda \leq \alpha} U_{\lambda}$$
 and  $B \setminus F_{\alpha} \subset \bigsqcup_{\lambda \leq \alpha} V_{\lambda}$ 

hold for all  $\alpha$ . Clearly  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $U \cup V = F_0$ . Since  $F_0$  is closed,  $U = F_0 \setminus V$  is a  $G_\delta$  in addition to being  $F_\sigma$ . Thus both U and V are ambivalent sets which completes the proof.

The following corollary is a special case ( $\alpha = 1$ ) of Sierpiński theorem on separation by ambivalent sets [5].

Corollary 1. Any two disjoint  $G_{\delta}$  sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  can be separated by ambivalent sets

PROOF. If two disjoint  $G_{\delta}$  sets were both dense in the same perfect set K, then K would be a union of two disjoint residual sets which is impossible.  $\square$ 

In [2] S. Kempisty gave a proof of an approximation theorem on Baire class one functions (see also [3, Proposition 3.37 and the following Remark there]). At the end of his note Kempisty refined the result by proving that given an  $\epsilon>0$ , for every function f of Baire class one there is a function of Baire class one that differs from f by less than  $2\epsilon$  and that takes values only in the set of integer multiples of  $\epsilon$ . Actually, Kempisty claimed that the function g differs from f by less than  $\epsilon$ , but the claim is not supported by his proof. However, a simple application of the above separation property yields a proof of the original statement of refined approximation theorem.

**Proprosition 2.** Let  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a Baire class one function. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a Baire class one function g such that  $|f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$  on [0,1] and values of g are integer multiples of  $\epsilon$ .

PROOF. Given an integer i, let  $h_i : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$  be a continuous function defined by

$$h_i(x) = \min\left\{1, \max\left\{0, \frac{x - i\epsilon}{\epsilon}\right\}\right\}.$$

Then  $h_i \circ f$  is a Baire class one function that separates sets  $\{x: f(x) \leq i\epsilon\}$  and  $\{x: f(x) \geq (i+1)\epsilon\}$ . Hence by Proposition 1 for every integer i there is an ambivalent set  $A_i$  such that

$$\{x: f(x) < i\epsilon\} \subset A_i \subset \{x: f(x) < (i+1)\epsilon\}.$$

Setting  $B_i = A_i \setminus A_{i-1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we get a partition of [0, 1] into disjoint ambivalent sets and hence the function  $g = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i \epsilon \chi_{B_i}$  is the required Baire class one function.

The second application of our separation property consists of a short proof of a characterization of Baire class one functions found by D. Preiss [4]. Incidentally, the new proof yields easily a slightly strengthened condition (see (iii) below).

**Proprosition 3** ([4]). Let  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) f is of Baire class one.
- (ii) For each closed subset P of [a, b] and for any real numbers  $\alpha < \beta$  at most one of the sets  $\{x \in P : f(x) \ge \beta\}$  and  $\{x \in P : f(x) \le \alpha\}$  is dense in P.
- (iii) For each closed subset P of [a, b] and for any rational numbers  $\alpha < \beta$  at most one of the sets  $\{x \in P : f(x) \ge \beta\}$  and  $\{x \in P : f(x) \le \alpha\}$  is dense in P.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since the sets  $\{x: f(x) \geq \beta\}$  and  $\{x: f(x) \leq \alpha\}$  are disjoint, it suffices to prove (ii) for perfect sets only. Let  $h: \overline{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that h(y) = 0 for  $y \leq \alpha$  and h(y) = 1 for  $y \geq \beta$ . Then  $h \circ f$  is a Baire class one function that separates the sets  $\{x: f(x) \geq \beta\}$  and  $\{x: f(x) \leq \alpha\}$ . Hence by Proposition 1 (ii) holds.

- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Obvious.
- (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Given rationals  $\alpha < \beta$ , there is by Proposition 1 an ambivalent set  $A_{\alpha,\beta}$  such that

$$\{x: f(x) \leq \alpha\} \subset A_{\alpha,\beta} \subset \{x: f(x) < \beta\}.$$

Thus, given  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$\{x: f(x) < a\} = \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha < \beta < a \\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}}} A_{\alpha, \beta}$$

and

$$\{x: f(x) > a\} = \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha < \alpha < \beta \\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{O}}} CA_{\alpha, \beta}$$

(where the symbol CE denotes the complement of a set E), and since both unions are taken over countable families of indices, the sets  $\{x: f(x) < a\}$  and  $\{x: f(x) > a\}$  are  $F_{\sigma}$  which completes the proof that f is Baire class one.

## References

- [1] J. Foran, Fundamentals of Real Analysis, Pure and Applied Mathematics Series, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York - Basel - Hong Kong, 1991
- [2] S. Kempisty, Sur l'approximation des fonctions de premiere classe, Fund. Math., 2 (1921), 131–135

- [3] J. Lukeš, J. Malý, L. Zajíček, Fine Topology Methods in Real Analysis and Potential Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1189, Springer Verlag, Berli-Heidelberg, 1986
- [4] D. Preiss, Approximate derivatives and Baire classes, Czech. Math. J., **21(96)** (1971), 373–382
- $[5]\$ W. Sierpiński, Sur une propriété dans les ensembles amibigus, Fund. Math.,  ${\bf 6}\ (1924),\ 1–5$