

THE MOMENT PROBLEM AND WEAK CONVERGENCE IN L^2

LUCIEN W. NEUSTADT

1. Introduction. Consider a sequence of functions $u_n(x)$ belonging to the real Hilbert Space $L^2(0, 1)$. Suppose the range of every $u_n(x)$ is contained in the bounded interval $[a, b]$. Then the $u_n(x)$ are uniformly bounded in the norm. The same is of course true for the functions $[u_n(x)]^i$, for any fixed positive integral exponent i . Since the unit sphere in $L^2(0, 1)$ is weakly compact we can find (by repeatedly constructing convergent subsequences and using the diagonal process) a new sequence of functions¹ $v^i(x)$ such that for an appropriate subsequence $u_{n_k}(x)$ of our original set,

$$[u_{n_k}(x)]^i \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} v^i(x)$$

weakly for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Now consider the converse problem. Given a closed subset of the line F , and a sequence of functions $v^i(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$; when does there exist an associated sequence of functions $u_n(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$ such that

- (1) the range of $u_n(x)$ is included in F for all n and
- (2) $[u_n(x)]^i \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} v^i(x)$ weakly for all i ?

We shall show that a necessary and sufficient condition is that the $v^i(x)$ satisfy a positiveness Condition P :

Condition P. For every polynomial $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i t^i$ nonnegative on the closed set F , the function $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i v^i(x) \geq 0$ *p.p.* on $(0, 1)$. (We define $v^0(x) \equiv 1$).

Note that the interval $[a, b]$ has been replaced by the arbitrary closed set F . The result will be seen to be valid in $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ provided that $v^{2i}(x) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$ for all $i > 0$. Finally we shall prove an analogous theorem for n -tuple sequences $v^{i_1 \dots i_n}(x)$.

One trivial consequence of Condition P , of which we shall make use, is that $v^{2i}(x) \geq 0$ *p.p.* for all i .

2. Construction of weakly convergent sequences. The following result is fundamental to what follows.

Received May 9, 1960. Presented to the American Mathematical Society October 31, 1959. This paper is based on the author's doctoral dissertation written at New York University. The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor P. D. Lax for suggesting the problem, and his aid, as well as the referee's, in simplifying some of the proofs.

¹ The index i for $v^i(x)$ is a superscript, not an exponent.

THEOREM 1. For each positive integer n , let there be given n functions f_{ni} , $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\in L^2(0, 1)$ such that for every i and n

$$(1) \quad \int_0^1 f_{ni}(x) dx = 0.$$

Define $f_n(x)$ by

$$f_n(x) = f_{ni}(nx - i) \quad \text{for } i/n \leq x < (i+1)/n.$$

Suppose that for some constant M , $\|f_n\| < M$ for all n . Then $f_n(x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ weakly.

Proof. Let ϕ_{rs} be the characteristic function of the interval (r, s) . Since the ϕ_{rs} , for all r and s with $0 < r < s < 1$, span $L^2(0, 1)$ it suffices to prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n, \phi_{rs}) = 0$ for all ϕ_{rs} . Fix r and s . If n is an integer greater than $1/(s-r)$, there exist integers k_1 and k_2 with $s \geq k_1/n \geq k_2/n \geq r$, and such that $(s - k_1/n) < 1/n$ and $(k_2/n - r) < 1/n$. Then

$$(f_n, \phi_{rs}) = \int_r^s f_n(x) dx = \int_{k_2/n}^{k_1/n} f_n(x) dx + \int_{k_1/n}^s f_n(x) dx + \int_r^{k_2/n} f_n(x) dx.$$

Each of the last two integrals is less in absolute value than $M(n)^{-1/2}$, and the first integral vanishes by hypothesis. Hence, $|(f_n, \phi_{rs})| < 2M(n)^{-1/2}$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n, \phi_{rs}) = 0$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. For each positive integer n , let there be given the functions $f_{ni}(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$ with $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$, such that for every i and n

$$\int_0^1 f_{ni}(x) dx = 0.$$

Define $f_n(x)$ by

$$f_n(x) = f_{ni}(nx - i) \quad \text{for } i/n \leq x < (i+1)/n.$$

Suppose that for all n , $f_n \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$; and that there exists a number M such that $\|f_n\| < M$ for all n . Then $f_n(x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ weakly.

Suppose that $\psi(x)$ is a (not necessarily strictly) monotonically increasing bounded function, defined for $-\infty < x < \infty$. Let $\inf_x \psi(x) = A$ and $\sup_x \psi(x) = B$. Then we define the inverse function $\psi^{-1}(t)$ on the interval (A, B) as follows:

- (a) If there exists an x such that $\psi(x) = t$, define $\psi^{-1}(t) = \sup_{\psi(x)=t} x$.
- (b) If there exists no x with $\psi(x) = t$, ψ has a jump "past" t , i.e., there exists an x_0 such that $\psi(x_0^-) \leq t$ and $\psi(x_0^+) \geq t$. Define $\psi^{-1}(t) = x_0$ in this case.

Evidently $\psi^{-1}(t)$ is monotonically nondecreasing, is constant where ψ has a jump, and has a jump where ψ is constant.

It is well known (and easily verified) that for such functions $\psi(x)$, and for $f(x)$ continuous, that

$$(2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d\psi(x) = \int_A^B f(\psi^{-1}(t)) dt$$

in the sense that if the former integral exists, and converges absolutely, the latter exists, and the two are equal.

We shall also say that x is a point of increase of the nondecreasing function $\psi(x)$, if for every neighborhood (a, b) of x , $\psi(b) > \psi(a)$.

In order to prove our main theorem we need a lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let $v^i(x)$ ($i \geq 1$) be a sequence of functions in $L(0, 1)$ satisfying Condition P. Then there exists a function $\rho(x)$ such that*

(a) *The range of $\rho(x)$ is included in F .*

(b) *$[\rho(x)]^i \in L^2(0, 1)$ for every $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.*

(c) $\int_0^1 \{[\rho(x)]^i - v^i(x)\} dx = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Let $b_i = \int_0^1 v^i(x) dx$. Since the $v^i(x)$ satisfy Condition P, the numbers b_i also do. Therefore, the b_i form a moment sequence on $F[2]$, i.e., there exists a nondecreasing function $\psi(x)$ whose points of increase are included in F , such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^i d\psi(x) = b_i = \int_0^1 v^i(x) dx \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In particular

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\psi(x) = b_0 = 1$$

so that we may assume that $\inf \psi(x) = 0$ and $\sup \psi(x) = 1$. Define $\rho(x) = \psi^{-1}(x)$ so that $\rho(x)$ is defined on $(0, 1)$ and takes on values in F . Now making use of relation (2), we have

$$b_i = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^i d\psi(x) = \int_0^1 [\rho(x)]^i dx = \int_0^1 v^i(x) dx.$$

Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. *By an obvious change in variable the result of the lemma remains valid with $(0, 1)$ replaced by an arbitrary finite interval (r, s) .*

3. The principal existence theorem. The main result is given in

THEOREM 2. *Let $v^i(x)$ be a sequence of functions belonging to $L^2(0, 1)$, and satisfying Condition P. Then there exists a sequence of functions $u_n(x)$ such that*

- (a) *The range of $u_n(x)$ is contained in F for every n .*
- (b) *$[u_n(x)]^i \in L^2(0, 1)$ for all i and n .*
- (c) *$[u_n(x)]^i \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} v^i(x)$ weakly for all i .*

Proof. Consider the restriction of the $v^i(x)$ to the interval $(j/n, (j+1)/n)$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Momentarily fix j and n . By appealing to the corollary of Lemma 1 we can construct functions $\rho_{nj}(x)$ defined on $(j/n, (j+1)/n)$ such that

- (1) The range of $\rho_{nj}(x)$ is contained in F ,
- (2) $[\rho_{nj}(\frac{x+j}{n})]^i \in L^2(0, 1)$ for all i ,
- (3) $\int_{j/n}^{(j+1)/n} \{[\rho_{nj}(x)]^i - v^i(x)\} dx = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

This may be done for every j , $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, and every n . Fix i for the remainder of the argument. We now appeal to Theorem 1. Namely we define the functions $f_{nj}(x)$ on $(0, 1)$ by

$$f_{nj}(x) = \left[\rho_{nj}\left(\frac{x+j}{n}\right) \right]^i - v^i\left(\frac{x+j}{n}\right), \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1$$

and the function $f_n(x)$ on $(0, 1)$ by

$$f_n(x) = [\rho_{nj}(x)]^i - v^i(x) \quad \text{for } j/n \leq x < (j+1)/n.$$

We must show that $\|f_n\| < M$ for some $M < \infty$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n\| &\leq \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{j/n}^{(j+1)/n} [\rho_{nj}(x)]^{2i} dx \right\}^{1/2} + \|v^i\| \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^1 v^{2i}(x) dx \right\}^{1/2} + \|v^i\| \\ &\leq \|v^{2i}\|^{1/2} + \|v^i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 1, $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ weakly. If we define $u_n(x)$ by

$$u_n(x) = \rho_{nj}(x) \quad \text{for } j/n \leq x < (j+1)/n$$

then, the range of $u_n(x)$ is contained in F ; $[u_n(x)]^i = f_n(x) + v^i(x)$ belongs to $L^2(0, 1)$, and

$$[u_n(x)]^i - v^i(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ weakly.}$$

Since i was arbitrary we have proved our theorem.

COROLLARY. *The conclusion of Theorem 2 remains valid in*

$L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ if an additional hypothesis is made, namely that $v^{2i}(x) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$ for all $i > 0$.

Proof. Consider the restriction of the $v^i(x)$ to the interval $(j/n, (j + 1)/n)$ where j is any integer, positive, negative, or zero. We can construct functions $\rho_{nj}(x)$ as above, and for fixed i , define the function $f_n(x)$ by

$$f_n(x) = [\rho_{nj}(x)]^i - v^i(x), \quad j/n \leq x < (j + 1)/n, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Once we have shown that $\|f_n\| < M$ for all n and some $M < \infty$, we can appeal to the corollary of Theorem 1, define $u_n(x)$ as above, and obtain the desired result. But

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n\| &\leq \left\{ \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{j/n}^{(j+1)/n} [\rho_{nj}(x)]^{2i} dx \right\}^{1/2} + \|v^i\| \\ &= \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^{2i}(x) dx \right\}^{1/2} + \|v^i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v^{2i}(x) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$ by hypothesis, the proof is complete.

We shall now summarize Theorem 2 and its corollary, together with a converse, in one result:

THEOREM 3. *Given a sequence of functions $v^i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) in $L^2(c, d)$, $-\infty \leq c < d \leq \infty$. Necessary and sufficient conditions that there exist a sequence of functions $u_n(x)$ such that*

- (1) $[u_n(x)]^i \in L^2(c, d)$ for all $i > 0$ and n ;
- (2) $[u_n(x)]^i \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} v^i(x)$ weakly for all $i > 0$; and
- (3) the range of $u_n(x)$ is contained in F for every n ,

are that the $v^i(x)$ satisfy Condition P, and that $v^{2i}(x) \in L(c, d)$ for all $i > 0$.

Proof. The sufficiency has already been shown. To prove the necessity note that the weak limit of nonnegative functions is nonnegative *p.p.* Also, if c and d are finite, $v^{2i} \in L^2(c, d)$ implies that $v^{2i} \in L(c, d)$. If $c = 0$ and $d = \infty$ we must prove that $v^{2i} \in L(0, \infty)$. Now $[u_n(x)]^{2i} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} v^{2i}$ weakly by hypothesis (2). $[u_n(x)]^{2i} \in L(0, \infty)$ by hypothesis (1), so that v^{2i} is the weak limit of functions in $L(0, \infty)$. By hypothesis (2)

$$0 \leq \int_0^N v^{2i}(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N [u_n(x)]^{2i} dx \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[u_n(x)]^i\|^2.$$

Again by hypothesis (2), the $\|[u_n(x)]^i\|$ are bounded for fixed i , so that

$$\int_0^\infty v^{2i}(x) dx < \infty$$

or $v^{2i}(x) \in L(0, \infty)$. A similar proof exists if $c = -\infty$. This completes

the proof.

4. Generalizations to multiple sequences. We now proceed to multiple sequences of functions $v^{i,j,\dots,k}(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$ defined for $i, j, \dots, k = 0, 1, \dots$. In order to simplify the notation we shall restrict ourselves to double sequence $v^{ij}(x)$, but the generalization to higher order sequences will be self evident.

We have a two-dimensional analog of Condition P :

Condition Q. For every polynomial $p(t, \tau) = \sum_{i,j=0}^n a_{ij} t^i \tau^j$ nonnegative in the closed set F , the function $\sum_{i,j=0}^n a_{ij} v^{ij}(x) \geq 0$ *p.p.* in $(0, 1)$ where $v^{00}(x) \equiv 1$.

Before proving an analog of Theorem 3 we shall prove a lemma, based on a result of Halmos and von Neumann [1, § 2]. This is a two-dimensional version of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *Let $v^{ij}(t)$ be a double sequence of functions in $L(0, 1)$ satisfying Condition Q. Then there exist two functions $\rho(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$ such that*

- (a) *The curve given by $x = \rho(t)$, $y = \lambda(t)$ is contained in the subset F of the plane.*
- (b) *The functions $\{[\rho(t)]^i \cdot [\lambda(t)]^j\}$ belong to $L^2(0, 1)$ for all i and j .*
- (c) $\int_0^1 \{[\rho(t)]^i [\lambda(t)]^j - v^{ij}(t)\} dt = 0$ *for all i and j .*

Proof. Let $b_{ij} = \int_0^1 v^{ij}(t) dt$. Since the $v^{ij}(t)$ satisfy Condition Q, the numbers b_{ij} also do. Hence the b_{ij} form a moment sequence on $F[2]$, i.e., there exists a measure ψ , defined for all Borel sets of the plane E_2 , such that

- (1) $\int_{E_2} x^i y^j d\psi = b_{ij}$ for all i and $j \geq 0$.
- (2) If $(x, y) \notin F$, there exists a neighborhood N of (x, y) , with $\psi(N) = 0$.

If the measure space $\{F, \mathcal{B}, \psi\}$, where \mathcal{B} is the class of all Borel subsets of F , has atoms (see [1] for definition of an atom), every atom may be shown to consist of a point, plus a set of ψ measure zero. These "atomic points" are either finite or denumerably infinite in number. Denote them by P_i , and let $P = \bigcup_i \{P_i\}$. Clearly $P \subset F$. If we define the measure $\bar{\psi}$ by $\bar{\psi}(A) = \psi(A) - \psi(A \cap P)$, $\bar{\psi}$ is non-atomic. Say $\psi(P) = \sum_i \psi(P_i) = p$.

From relation (1) with $i = j = 0$, we have $\psi(F) = \psi(E_2) = b_{00} = 1$, so that $\bar{\psi}(F) = 1 - p$. There is a one-to-one mapping $\bar{\phi}$ from almost all of the interval $(0, 1 - p)$ onto almost all of F , such that B_1 is a Borel subset of $(0, 1 - p)$ if and only if $\bar{\phi}(B_1)$ is in \mathcal{B} , and then $\bar{\psi}(\bar{\phi}(B_1)) =$

$m(B_i)$ where m is the ordinary Lebesgue measure [1, Theorem 2]. We can easily construct a map $\hat{\phi}$ from $(1-p, 1)$ onto P , such that $m(\hat{\phi}^{-1}(P_i)) = \psi(P_i)$. If we define $\phi = \bar{\phi} \cup \hat{\phi}$, Then ϕ has the following properties: ϕ maps almost all of $(0, 1)$ onto almost all of F , such that if $A \subset F$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $\phi^{-1}(A)$ is a Borel set, and $m(\phi^{-1}(A)) = \psi(A)$. Let $\rho(t)$ be the projection of $\phi(t)$ on the x -axis, and $\lambda(t)$ the projection on the y -axis. Then it follows that $\rho(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$ satisfy conditions (a), (b), and (c).

COROLLARY. *The result of the lemma is valid if $(0, 1)$ is replaced by an arbitrary finite interval (r, s) .*

THEOREM 4. *Given a double sequence of functions $v^{ij}(t)$ $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (except i and j both zero) in $L^2(c, d)$; $-\infty \leq c < d \leq \infty$. Necessary and sufficient conditions that there exist two sequences of functions $u_n(t)$, $w_n(t)$ belonging to $L^2(c, d)$ such that (a) the curve in the plane defined by $x = u_n(t)$, $y = w_n(t)$ for $c \leq t \leq d$, is contained in the closed set F ; and (b) for every i and j (except i and j both zero) (1) $[u_n(t)]^i [w_n(t)]^j \in L^2(c, d)$ for all n and (2) $[u_n(t)]^i [w_n(t)]^j \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} v^{ij}$ weakly; are that (1) the $v^{ij}(t)$ satisfy Condition Q, and (2) $v^{2i, 2j} \in L(c, d)$ for all i and j (not both zero).*

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorems 2 and 3, and is therefore omitted.

REFERENCES

1. P. R. Halmos and J. von Neumann, *Operator methods in classical mechanics II*, Ann. of Math., **43** no. 2 (1942), 332-350.
2. E. K. Haviland, *On the momentum problem for distribution functions in more than one dimension II*, Amer. J. of Math., **58** (1936), 164-168.

SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES, INC.,
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

