## φ-BOUNDED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND CLASSIFICATION OF RIEMANN SURFACES

## MITSURU NAKAI

Let  $\theta(t)$  be a nonnegative real valued function defined for t in  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\theta(t)$  is unbounded in  $[0, \infty)$  and bounded in a neighborhood of a point in  $[0, \infty)$ . A harmonic function u on a Riemann surface R is said to be  $\theta$ -bounded if the composite function  $\theta(|u|)$  has a harmonic majorant on R. Denote by  $O_{H\theta}$  the class of all Riemann surfaces on which every  $\theta$ -bounded harmonic function reduces to a constant. The main result in this paper is the following:  $O_{H\theta} = O_{HP}$  (resp.  $O_{HB}$ ) if and only if  $d(\theta) < \infty$  (resp.  $d(\theta) = \infty$ ), where  $d(\theta) = \lim \sup_{t \to \infty} \theta(t)/t$ . This is the best possible improvement of a result of M. Parreau.

We also prove a similar theorem for the classification of subsurfaces of Riemann surfaces using  $\theta$ -bounded harmonic functions vanishing on the relative boundaries of subsurfaces.

The chief tool of our proof is the theory of Wiener compactifications of Riemann surfaces.

Consider a nonnegative real valued function  $\Phi(t)$  defined for all real numbers t in  $[0, \infty)$ . A harmonic function u on a Riemann surface R is said to be  $\Phi$ -bounded if the composite function  $\Phi(|u|)$  has a harmonic majorant on R. The totality of  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions on R is denoted by  $H\Phi(R)$ , or simply  $H\Phi$ . We denote by  $O_{H\Phi}$  the class of all Riemann surfaces R on which every  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic function reduces to a constant. Our problem is to determine  $O_{H\Phi}$  for every  $\Phi$ .

First assume that  $\Phi(t)$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then every harmonic function is  $\Phi$ -bounded. Hence R belongs to  $O_{H\Phi}$  if and only if there exists no nonconstant harmonic function on R. Thus the class  $O_{H\Phi}$  consists of all closed Riemann surfaces if  $\Phi$  is bounded. Soon we see that the converse is also valid. Hence, hereafter, we always assume that

(1)  $\Phi(t)$  is unbounded on  $[0, \infty)$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$  is bounded at a point  $t_0$  in  $[0, \infty)$  if there exists a neighborhood of  $t_0$  relative to  $[0, \infty)$  in which  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$  is bounded. Now assume that  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$  is not bounded at any point of  $[0, \infty)$ . Let u be a nonconstant harmonic function on R. Then  $\mathcal{Q}(|u|)$  is not bound at any neighborhood of any point of R and so u is not  $\mathcal{Q}$ -bounded. Thus the class  $O_{H^{\mathcal{Q}}}$  consists of all Reimann surfaces if  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$  is not bounded at

any point of  $[0, \infty)$ . Soon we see that the converse is also true. Hence, hereafter, we always assume that

(2)  $\Phi(t)$  is bounded at least at one point in  $[0, \infty)$ .

Now our problem which is left is to determine  $O_{H\phi}$  for functions  $\Phi$  satisfying the two conditions (1) and (2). For the aim, we put

$$d(\Phi) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \Phi(t)/t$$
 .

Clearly  $0 \le d(\Phi) \le \infty$ . Our result is stated as follows:

THEOREM 1. Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies (1) and (2). If  $d(\Phi)$  is finite (resp. infinite), then  $O_{H\Phi} = O_{HP}$  (resp.  $O_{HB}$ ).

Since the restrictions on  $\mathcal{O}$  are exclusive each other, we also see that  $O_{H\phi}=O_{HP}$  (resp.  $O_{HB}$ ) implies that  $\mathcal{O}$  satisfies (1) and (2) and  $d(\mathcal{O})$  is finite (resp. infinite). This theorem is proved by Parreau [3] for the special  $\mathcal{O}$  which is increasing and convex (and so continuous) (see also Ahlfors-Sario's book [1], pp. 216-219). Parreau's proof keenly uses the increasingness and convexity of  $\mathcal{O}$  and one might suspect that these assumptions are inevitable. We are interested in the fact that for the validity of Parreau's result, no assumption is needed for  $\mathcal{O}$  except the inevitable conditions (1) and (2). Thus our Theorem 1 is the best possible generalization of Parreau's result at least in the above formulation.

2. Before entering the proof of Theorem 1, for convenience, we explain an outline of the *Wiener compactification* of a Riemann surface and its some properties which we use in the proof of Theorem 1. For details, consult Constantinescu-Cornea's book [2], § 6, 8 and 9.

Let F be a Riemann surface not belonging to  $O_G$  and f be a real valued function on F. Let  $\overline{W}_f^F$  (resp.  $\underline{W}_f^F$ ) be the totality of superharmonic (resp. subharmonic) functions s on F such that there exists a compact subset  $K_s$  of F with the property that  $f \leq s$  (resp.  $f \geq s$ ) on  $F - K_s$ . If  $\overline{W}_f^F$  and  $\underline{W}_f^F$  are nonvoid, then  $\overline{W}_f^F$  and  $\underline{W}_f^F$  are Perron's families and so

$$\overline{h}_f^F(p) = \inf(s(p); s \in \overline{W}_f^F) \text{ and } h_f^F(p) = \sup(s(p); s \in W_f^F)$$

are harmonic and  $\bar{h}_f^F \geq \underline{h}_f^F$ . If  $\bar{h}_f^F = \underline{h}_f^F$  on F, then we write  $h_f^F = \bar{h}_f^F = \underline{h}_f^F$  and we call f to be harmonizable on F.

Let R be an arbitrary Riemann surface. A real-valued function f on R is said to be a continuous Wiener function if (a) for any subsurface F of R with  $F \notin O_G$  as a Riemann surface, the restriction of f on F is harmonizable on F and the restriction of |f| on F has a superharmonic majorant on F; and if (b) f is finitely continuous on R. We denote by WC = WC(R) the totality of continuous Wiener functions

on R. We also denote by WB = WB(R) the totality of bounded members in WC. Observe that WC (resp. WB) is a vector space and closed under max and min operations. Any continuous superharmonic function on R which has a harmonic majorant clearly belongs to WC. Hence  $HP \subset WC$  and  $HB \subset WB$ .

There exists a unique compact Hausdorff space  $R^*$  containing R as its open and dense subset such that  $C(R^*)|R = WB(R)$ , where  $C(R^*)$  is the totality of finitely continuous functions on  $R^*$  and  $C(R^*)|R$  is the totality of restrictions of functions in  $C(R^*)$  to R. We call  $R^*$  the Wiener compactification of R. By the obvious identification, we may simply write as  $C(R^*) = WB(R)$ . It is clear that any function in WC(R) is (not necessarily finitely) continuous on  $R^*$ , or more accurately, is continuously extended to  $R^*$ . Hereafter, we use topological notions relative to  $R^*$  only. For example,  $\overline{A}$  for  $A \subset R$  means the closure of A in  $R^*$ . But the notation  $\partial A$  for  $A \subset R^*$  is the only exceptional.  $\partial A$  means the boundary of  $A \cap R$  relative to R.

Let  $W_0C(R)=(f\in WC;\ h_f^R=0)$  if  $R\notin O_G$  and  $W_0C(R)=WC$  if  $R\in O_G$ . We set  $\varDelta=(p\in R^*;\ f(p)=0$  for any f in  $W_0C)$ . This is a compact subset of  $\Gamma=R^*-R$  and called the (Wiener) harmonic boundary of R. It is seen that  $W_0C=(f\in WC;\ f=0$  on  $\varDelta)$ . From the definition, it is obvious that  $R\in O_G$  if and only if  $\varDelta=\varphi$ . Moreover,

LEMMA 1.  $R \in O_{HB} - O_G$  if and only if  $\Delta$  consists of only one point.

Let F be an open subset of R each boundary point of which is regular for Dirichlet problem and  $\partial F \neq \phi$ . Such an F is called a regular open subset of R. We say that  $F \in SO_{HB}$  if any connected component of F does not carry any nonconstant bounded harmonic functions vanishing continuously at  $\partial F$ . The most important is the following

LEMMA 2.  $F \notin SO_{\mathit{HB}}$  if and only if  $\overline{F} - \overline{\partial F}$  contains a point of  $\Delta$ .

As an corollary of this, we can easily see the following useful

Lemma 3. Let F be a regular open subset of R and s be a superharmonic function on F bounded from below. If

$$\lim\inf_{{\scriptscriptstyle F}\,\ni\, p\to q}s(p)\geqq 0$$

for any q in  $\partial F \cup (\bar{F} \cap \Delta)$ , then  $s \geq 0$  on F.

3. Proof of Theorem 1 for  $d(\Phi) < \infty$ . Since  $d(\Phi) < \infty$ , we can find a positive number c and a point  $t_0$  in  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\Phi(t) \leq ct$  for any  $t \geq t_0$ . Assume that there exists a nonconstant HP-function

 $u_1$  on R. Then  $u=u_1+t_0$  is also a nonconstant harmonic function on R with  $u\geq t_0\geq 0$  on R. Thus  $\varphi(\mid u\mid)\leq c\mid u\mid=cu$  and cu is an HP-function on R. Hence  $O_{H\Phi}\subset O_{HP}$ .

Conversely, assume that there exists a nonconstant  $H\Phi$ -function u on R. We have to prove the existence of a nonconstant HP-function on R. By the definition, there exists an HP-function v on R with  $\Phi(|u|) \leq v$  on R. If v is not a constant or u is bounded, then nothing is left to prove and so we assume that v is a constant and u is not bounded. Then the connected open set  $D = (|u(p)|; p \in R)$  in  $[0, \infty)$  does not contain 0. Contrary to the assertion, assume that  $D \ni 0$ . Then  $D = [0, \infty)$  and so  $(\Phi(|u(p)|); p \in R) = (\Phi(t); t \in [0, \infty))$  is unbounded in  $[0, \infty)$  by the assumption (1) for  $\Phi$ . But this is impossible, since  $\Phi(|u|) \leq v(\text{constant})$  on R. Thus  $0 \notin D$ . This shows that u does not change sign on R. Hence u or u is a nonconstant u function on u. Therefore, u or u is a nonconstant u of u with u does not change sign on u. Thus u or u is a nonconstant u function on u. Therefore, u or u is a nonconstant u of u with u does not change sign on u. Therefore, u or u is a nonconstant u or u with u does not change sign on u. Therefore, u or u is a nonconstant u or u is u or u in u or u is u or u in u or u is u or u in u or u in

4. Proof of Theorem 1 for  $d(\varPhi) = \infty$ . First assume that there exists a nonconstant HB-function u on R. By the assumption (2) for  $\varPhi$ , there exists an interval  $(a,b) \subset [0,\infty)$  in which  $\varPhi(t) \leq c$  (constant). By choosing a suitable constants A and B, the range of v = Au + B is contained in (a,b). Then  $\varPhi(|v|) = \varPhi(v) \leq c$  on R. Thus v is a nonconstant  $H\varPhi$ -function on R. Hence  $O_{HB} \supset O_{H\varPhi}$ .

Next we prove the converse inclusion  $O_{HB} \subset O_{H\emptyset}$ , or equivalently,  $R \notin O_{H\emptyset}$  implies  $R \notin O_{HB}$ . Assume that there exists a nonconstant  $H \mathscr{O}$ -function u on R. We have to prove that  $R \notin O_{HB}$ . Contrary to the assertion, assume that  $R \in O_{HB}$ . By the definition, there exists an HP-function v such that  $\mathscr{O}(|u|) \leq v$  on R. From this, we see that  $R \notin O_{HP}$ . For, if  $R \in O_{HP}$ , then  $\mathscr{O}(|u|) \leq v$  (constant) and since  $d(\mathscr{O}) = \infty$ , |u| is bounded. This contradicts  $R \in O_{HB}$ . Hence  $R \notin O_{HP}$  and a fortiori  $R \notin O_{G}$ . Thus  $R \in O_{HB} - O_{G}$  and so by Lemma 1, the harmonic boundary  $\mathscr{O}$  of R consists of only one point  $\mathscr{O}$ , i.e.  $\mathscr{O} = (\mathscr{O})$ . By  $d(\mathscr{O}) = \infty$ , we can find a stricly increasing sequence  $(r_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varPhi(r_n)/r_n = \infty$$
 and  $\lim_{n=\infty} r_n = \infty$ .

Let  $G_n=(p\in R; \mid u(p)\mid < r_n)$ . Since u is not a constant and u is unbounded by  $R\in O_{HB}$ ,  $G_n$  is a regular open subset of R with  $\partial G_n\neq \phi$  and  $G_n\nearrow R$ . We see that  $G_n\notin SO_{HB}$  for some n. For, if this is not the case, then  $G_n\in SO_{HB}$  for all  $n=1,2,\cdots$ . Let  $a_n=r_n/\varPhi(r_n)$ . Then  $a_n\searrow 0 (n\to\infty)$ . Consider the function  $a_nv-|u|$ , which is superharmonic and bounded from below on  $G_n$  and continuous in  $G_n\cup\partial G_n$ . If  $q\in\partial G_n$ , then

$$|u(q)| = r_n = (r_n/\varPhi(r_n)) \, \varPhi(r_n) = a_n \varPhi(|u(q)|) \le a_n v(q)$$
.

Thus  $a_nv-|u|\geq 0$  on  $\partial G_n$ . Hence  $a_nv-|u|\geq 0$  in  $G_n$ . For, if  $a_nv(p_0)-|u(p_0)|< d< 0$  for some  $p_0$  in  $G_n$ , then  $G'_n=(p\in G_n;\,a_nv(p)-|u(p)|< d)$  is a nonempty regular open subset with  $G'_n\cup\partial G'_n\subset G_n$ . The function  $d-(a_nv-|u|)$  is a positive and bounded (with bound  $d+r_n$ ) subharmonic function in  $G'_n$  vanishing continuously at  $\partial G'_n$ . So  $G'_n\notin SO_{HB}$ . But this is a contradiction, since  $G_n\supset G'_n\cup\partial G'_n$  and  $G_n\in SO_{HB}$ . Hence  $a_nv-|u|\geq 0$  in  $G_n$ . Now let p be an arbitrary point in R. There exists an  $n_0$  such that  $p\in G_n$  for all  $n\geq n_0$ . Then  $|u(p)|\leq a_nv(p)$  for all  $n\geq n_0$ . Thus by making  $n\nearrow\infty$ , |u(p)|=0, i.e.  $u\equiv 0$  on R, which is a contradiction. Hence  $G_{n_1}\notin SO_{HB}$  for some  $n_1$  and so  $G_n\notin SO_{HB}$  for all  $n\geq n_1$  and so without loss of generality, we may assume that  $G_n\notin SO_{HB}$  for all  $n=1,2,\cdots$ . In particular,  $G_1\notin SO_{HB}$  implies that  $\overline{G}_1-\overline{\partial G}_1$  contains  $\delta$  by Lemma 2 (recall that  $\delta=(\delta)$ ), i.e.  $\overline{G}_1$  is a neighborhood of  $\delta$  in the Wiener compactification  $R^*$  of R. Hence in the topology of  $R^*$ ,

$$\lim\sup_{R
i p o \delta} |u(p)| = \lim\sup_{\sigma_1
i p o \delta} |u(p)| \le r_1$$
 .

Now consider the function  $f_n = a_n v + r_1 - |u|$ , which is superharmonic and bounded from below on  $G_n$  and continuous in  $G_n \cup \partial G_n$ . If  $q \in \partial G_n$ , then as before,

$$|u(q)| = r_n = (r_n/\Phi(r_n)) \Phi(r_n) = a_n \Phi(|u(q)|) \le a_n v(q) \le a_n v(q) + r_1$$

and so  $f_n(q) \ge 0$  on  $\partial G_n$ . This with (\*) gives that

$$\liminf_{G_n\ni p o q}f_n(p)\geqq 0$$

for any q in  $\partial G_n \cup (\delta) = \partial G_n \cup (\overline{G}_n \cap \Delta)$ . Hence by Lemma 3,  $f_n \ge 0$  in  $G_n$ , or

$$|u| \leq a_n v + r_1$$

in  $G_n$ . Let p be an arbitrary point in R. There exists an  $n_0$  such that  $p \in G_n$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Thus  $|u(p)| \le a_n v(p) + r_1$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Hence by making  $n \nearrow \infty$ ,  $|u(p)| \le r_1$ , i.e.  $|u| \le r_1$  on R. Hence  $R \notin O_{HB}$ . This is a contradiction, since we assumed that  $R \in O_{HB}$ . Thus  $R \in O_{HB}$ .

5. Finally we make a few remark to the classification of Riemann surfaces with regular boundaries. Let  $\mathcal{O}(t)$  be a non-negative real-valued function defined in  $[0, \infty)$ . Let R be a Riemann surface and F be a regular open subset of R. We denote by  $H_0\mathcal{O}=H_0\mathcal{O}(R,F)$  the totality of harmonic functions u in F vanishing continuously at  $\partial F$  such that  $\mathcal{O}(|u|)$  admits a harmonic majorant in F. We say that

 $F \in SO_{H\phi}$  if  $H_0 \Phi$  contains only zero. We want to determine  $SO_{H\phi}$  for every  $\Phi$ . As before, unless  $\Phi$  satisfies (1), then  $F \in SO_{H\phi}$  if and only if F does not carry any nonzero harmonic function in F vanishing continuously at  $\partial F$ . Thus  $SO_{H\phi}$  consists of all relatively compact regular open subsets of Riemann surfaces if  $\Phi(t)$  is bounded in  $[0, \infty)$ . Similarly as before,  $SO_{H\phi}$  consists of all regular open subsets of Riemann surfaces if  $\Phi(t)$  is not bounded at t = 0. Hence we have only to consider the problem of determining  $SO_{H\phi}$  under the condition

(3)  $\Phi(t)$  is bounded at t=0 and unbounded in  $[0, \infty)$ .

As before  $d(\Phi) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \Phi(t)/t$ . By (3),  $SO_{H\Phi} \subset SO_{HB}$  is always valid. Without assuming (3), we can show  $SO_{H\Phi} \supset SO_{HB}$  if  $d(\Phi) = \infty$  (see the proof of Theorem 2 below). If  $d(\Phi) < \infty$ , then we cannot get any definite conclusion in general. So we prove only the following

Theorem 2. Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies (3) and  $d(\Phi) = \infty$ . Then  $SO_{H\Phi} = SO_{HB}$ .

Proof. Assume that there exists a nonconstant  $H_0 \mathcal{O}$ -function u in F. Then  $\mathcal{O}(|u|) \leq v$  in F for some harmonic function v in F. We want to show that  $F \notin SO_{HB}$ . Contrary to the assertion, assume that  $F \in SO_{HB}$ . By  $d(\mathcal{O}) = \infty$ , there exists an increasing sequence  $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive numbers such that  $a_n = r_n/\mathcal{O}(r_n) \setminus 0$  and  $r_n \nearrow \infty$  as  $n \nearrow \infty$ . Let  $F_n = (p \in F; |u(p)| < r_n)$ . Clearly  $F_n \nearrow F$  and  $F_n \in SO_{HB}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1 for  $d(\mathcal{O}) = \infty$ ,  $a_n v - |u| \geq 0$  on  $\partial F_n$  and  $a_n v - |u|$  is lower bounded superharmonic function in  $F_n$  and so  $F_n \in SO_{HB}$  implies that  $a_n v \geq |u|$  in  $F_n$  and finally u = 0 in F. This is a contradiction and so  $F \notin SO_{HB}$ , or  $SO_{HB} \supset SO_{HB}$ .

Now we change the definition of  $H_0 \Phi = H_0 \Phi(R, F)$  as follows:  $H_0 \Phi$  is the totality of harmonic functions u in F vanishing continuously at  $\partial F$  such that  $\Phi(|u|)$  admits a harmonic majorant in R, where we define u=0 in R-F. Under this new definition, Theorem 2 is again valid. In fact,  $SO_{H\Phi} \subset SO_{HB}$  is clear by (3) and the above proof for  $SO_{H\Phi} \supset SO_{HB}$  for  $d(\Phi) = \infty$  can be applied with an obvious modification to the present case. Moreover, we can show the following

THEOREM 3. Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies (3). If F is a regular open subset of R with the compact complement in R, then  $F \in SO_{H^{\oplus}}$  if and only if  $F \in SO_{H^{\oplus}}$ , or equivalently,  $R \in O_{G}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $F \in SO_{H^{\emptyset}}$  implies  $F \in SO_{H^{\emptyset}}$  by the condition (3). Hence we have to show that  $F \notin SO_{H^{\emptyset}}$  implies  $F \notin SO_{H^{B}}$ . Evidently,  $F \notin SO_{H^{B}}$  is equivalent to  $R \notin O_{G}$ . Let u be a nonconstant  $H_{0} \Phi$ -function in F. Then there exists an HP-function v in R such that  $\Phi(|u|) \leq v$  on R, where we define u = 0 in R - F. Contrary to the

assertion, assume that  $F \in SO_{HB}$ , or equivalently  $R \in O_a$ . Then the inclusion  $O_a \subset O_{HP}$  implies that v is a constant, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}(|u|)$  is a bounded function on R. Let  $D = (|u(p)|; p \in R)$ . Since D is connected and |u| is not bounded,  $D = [0, \infty)$ . Thus  $(\mathcal{O}(|u(p)|); p \in R) = (\mathcal{O}(t); t \in [0, \infty))$ . From this, the boundedness of  $\mathcal{O}(|u|)$  implies the boundedness of  $\mathcal{O}(t)$ , which contradicts the assumption (3).

## REFERENCES

- 1. L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario, Riemann Surfaces, Princeton, 1960.
- 2. C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Ideale Räder Riemannscher Flächen*, Springer, 1963.
- 3. M. Parreau, Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 3 (1952), 103-197.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE NAGOYA UNIVERSITY