

## LOCALLY HOMEOMORPHIC $\lambda$ CONNECTED PLANE CONTINUA

CHARLES L. HAGOPIAN

**A continuum  $X$  is  $\lambda$  connected if each two of its points can be joined by a hereditarily decomposable subcontinuum of  $X$ . Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are plane continua and that there is a local homeomorphism that sends  $X$  onto  $Y$ . It follows from Theorem 5 in [2] that  $Y$  is  $\lambda$  connected if  $X$  is  $\lambda$  connected. Here we prove that, conversely, if  $Y$  is  $\lambda$  connected, then  $X$  is  $\lambda$  connected.**

A continuous function  $f$  of a topological space  $X$  to a topological space  $Y$  is a *local homeomorphism* if for each point  $x$  of  $X$  there exists an open set  $U$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U)$  is open in  $Y$  and  $f$  restricted to  $U$  is a homeomorphism of  $U$  onto  $f(U)$ .

A nondegenerate compact connected metric space is a *continuum*.

Let  $X$  be a plane continuum. A continuum  $L$  in  $X$  is said to be a *link* in  $X$  if  $L$  is either the boundary of a complementary domain of  $X$  or the limit of a convergent sequence of complementary domains of  $X$ .

It is known that a plane continuum is  $\lambda$  connected if and only if each of its links is hereditarily decomposable [3, Th. 2].

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are plane continua and that  $f$  is a local homeomorphism that sends  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Then if one of the two continua  $X$  or  $Y$  is  $\lambda$  connected, so is the other.*

*Proof.* In [2] it is proved that every planar continuous image of a  $\lambda$  connected continuum is  $\lambda$  connected. Hence to establish this theorem it will be sufficient to show that  $Y$  being  $\lambda$  connected implies that  $X$  is  $\lambda$  connected.

Assume that  $Y$  is  $\lambda$  connected and  $X$  is not. By Theorem 2 of [3], there exists an indecomposable continuum  $I$  that is contained in a link in  $X$ . Since  $f$  is a local homeomorphism,  $f(I)$  is a continuum in  $Y$ .

We first show that every subcontinuum of  $Y$  that contains a nonempty open subset of  $f(I)$  contains  $f(I)$ . To accomplish this we suppose that there exists a continuum  $H$  in  $Y$  that contains a nonempty open subset  $G$  of  $f(I)$  and does not contain  $f(I)$ . Define  $p$  to be a point of  $G$ . Let  $q$  be a point of  $f(I) - H$ . There exist points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $I$  and disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively such that (1)  $f(x) = p$  and  $f(y) = q$ , (2)  $f(V) \cap$

$H = \emptyset$ , and (3)  $f(U \cap I)$  is a subset of  $G$ .

Since  $I$  is contained in a link in  $X$ , every continuum in  $X$  that contains a nonempty open subset of  $I$  contains  $I$  [2, Th. 1]. Hence infinitely many components of  $X - V$  meet  $U \cap I$ . Since  $f^{-1}(H)$  and  $V$  are disjoint in  $X$  and  $f(U \cap I)$  is contained in  $G$ , it follows that  $f^{-1}(H)$  has infinitely many components in  $X$ . According to Whyburn's theorem [6, Th. 7.5, p. 148], each component of  $f^{-1}(H)$  must be mapped onto  $H$  by  $f$ . But since  $X$  is compact and  $f$  is a local homeomorphism, for each point  $z$  of  $Y$ , the set  $f^{-1}(z)$  is finite. Hence we have a contradiction. It follows that every subcontinuum of  $Y$  that contains a nonempty open subset of  $f(I)$  contains  $f(I)$ .

Note that  $f(I)$  is indecomposable [4, Th. 9] and therefore a proper subcontinuum of  $Y$ . By Theorem 2 of [1], there exists a composant  $C$  of  $f(I)$  such that each subcontinuum of  $Y$  that meets both  $C$  and  $Y - f(I)$  contains  $f(I)$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $Y$  is  $\lambda$  connected. Hence  $X$  is  $\lambda$  connected.

*Comment.* We get a false statement when we substitute the word "arcwise" for " $\lambda$ " in the preceding theorem. The so called "Warsaw circle" [5, Ex. 4, p. 230] is an arcwise connected plane continuum that is the image of a nonarcwise connected plane continuum under a local homeomorphism.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. L. Hagopian, *Planar images of decomposable continua*, Pacific J. Math., **42** (1972), 329-331.
2. ———,  *$\lambda$  connected plane continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **191** (1974), 277-287.
3. ———, *Planar  $\lambda$  connected continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **39** (1973), 190-194.
4. F. B. Jones, *Concerning non-aposyndetic continua*, Amer. J. Math., **70** (1948), 403-413.
5. R. L. Moore, *Foundations of Point-set Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 13, Providence, Rhode Island, 1962, revised edition.
6. G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic Topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 28, Providence, Rhode Island, 1942.

Received November 13, 1972.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO