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Introduction. In [3], Kaup, Matsushima and Ochiai defined the notion of "generalized Siegel domain with exponent $c$ ", which is a natural generalization of the notion of Siegel domain of the first or second kind.

In this paper we consider exclusively a generalized Siegel domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$. Let Aut ( $\mathscr{D}$ ) denote the group of all holomorphic transformations of $\mathscr{D}$. It is well-known that the group Aut $(\mathscr{D})$ has the structure of real Lie group and the Lie algebra $g$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{D})$ is canonically identified with the real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})$ consisting of all complete holomorphic vector fields on $\mathscr{D}$. Furthermore it is known that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})$ has the following graded structure [3]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})=\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}+\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \\
& {\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}\right] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda+\mu}, \text { and } \operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $k, 0 \leqq k \leqq m$.
In section 2 we shall prove the following Theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k, 0 \leqq k \leqq m$. Let Aut $(\mathscr{D})$ denote the identity component of Aut ( $\mathscr{D})$. Then there exists a generalized Siegel domain $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ which is holomorphically equivalent to $\mathscr{D}$ and such that, by choosing a suitable coordinates system $\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$,
(1) the orbit $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ of $A u t_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ containing the point $(\sqrt{-1}, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ is the elementary Siegel domain

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{m}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{x=1}^{k}\right| w_{\infty}\right|^{2}>0\right\}
$$

and
(2) if we put

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{D}} \sqrt{-1}=\left\{\left(w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k} \mid\left(\sqrt{-1}, 0, \cdots, 0, w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}\right\}
$$

then $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is a circular domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$ containing the origin 0 of $\boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$. Moreover the domain $\widetilde{\operatorname{D}}$ can be expressed by $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ as follows:

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}= & \left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{w_{k+1}}{\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\left|w_{a}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \cdots, \frac{w_{m}}{\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\left|w_{a}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}} \sqrt{-1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

As a corollary of Theorem 1, we shall show that if the Lie algebra $g(\mathscr{D})$ is semi-simple, then $\mathscr{D}$ is a Siegel domain of the second kind which is holomorphically equivalent to the elementary Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$.

In section 3 we shall consider the group Aut $(\mathscr{D})$ of all holomorphic transformations of a generalized Siegel domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k$. By Theorem 1 we can regard $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ as a holomorphic fibre space over the elementary Siegel domain $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ with the projection $\pi: \widetilde{\mathscr{D}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ given by $\pi\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)=\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$ and the fibre $\pi^{-1}((\sqrt{-1}, 0, \cdots, 0))$ is the circular domain $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}} \sqrt{-1}$. In Theorem 2 we shall prove that $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ is the direct product of $\mathrm{Aut}_{0}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)$ and the identity component of the isotropy subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}\right)$ at the origin 0 of $\widetilde{\operatorname{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$.

The author would like to express his thanks to Professor S. Murakami and Doctor Y. Sakane for their valuable advices.

## 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we use the following notations. Let $\boldsymbol{R}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{C}$ ) denote the field of real numbers (resp. complex numbers) as usual. Let ${ }^{t} A$ (resp. $\mathbf{1}_{l}, \mathbf{0}_{s, t}$ ) denote the transpose of a matrix $A$ (resp. the unit matrix of degree $l, s \times t$ zero matrix) and $A^{-1}$ the inverse matrix of $A$ if $A$ is non-singular.

In this section we recall the definitions and the known results on generalized Siegel domains. We fix a coordinates system $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ once and for all.

A domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ is called a generalized Siegel domain with exponent $\boldsymbol{c}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $\mathscr{D}$ is holomorphically equivalent to a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ contains a point of the form $(z, 0)$ where $z \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n}$ and 0 denotes the origin of $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$.
(2) $\mathscr{D}$ is invariant by the transformations of $\boldsymbol{C}^{n_{+} m}$ of the following types:
(a) $(z, w) \mapsto(z+a, w) \quad$ for all $a \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$;
(b) $(z, w) \mapsto\left(z, e e^{-1} t w\right) \quad$ for all $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$;
(c) $(z, w) \mapsto\left(e^{t} z, e^{c t} w\right) \quad$ for all $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$,
where $c$ is a fixed real number depending only on $\mathscr{D}$. We call $c$ the exponent of $\mathscr{D}$.

We denote by $\Omega$ an open convex cone in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ not containing any full straight line. For a given convex cone $\Omega$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$, a mapping $F: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{n}$ is called an $\Omega$-hermitian form if
(1) $F$ is complex linear with respect to the first variable;
(2) $F(u, v)=\overline{F(v, u)}$ for any $u, v \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$;
(3) $F(u, u) \in \bar{\Omega}$ for any $u \in C^{m}$ and $F(u, u)=0$ only if $u=0$, where $\bar{\Omega}$ denotes the closure of $\Omega$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$.

For a given convex cone $\Omega$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ and an $\Omega$-hermitian form $F: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{n}$, the domain

$$
\mathscr{D}(\Omega, F)=\left\{(z, w) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z-F(w, w) \in \Omega\right\}
$$

in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ is called the Siegel domain of the second kind associated with $\Omega$ and $F$. If $m=0$, the domain $\mathscr{D}(\Omega, F)$ reduces to the domain

$$
\mathscr{D}(\Omega)=\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z \in \Omega\right\}
$$

which we call the Siegel domain of the first kind associated with $\Omega$. It is easy to see that if we put $c=1 / 2$ then the domain $\mathscr{D}(\Omega, F)$ satisfies the condition (2) of the definition of generalized Siegel domain. Moreover it is known that $\mathscr{D}(\Omega, F)$ is holomorphically equivalent to a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}$ [7]. Obviously every point of the form $(\sqrt{-1} a, 0), a \in \Omega$, is contained in $\mathscr{D}(\Omega, F)$ and hence the domain $\mathscr{D}(\Omega, F)$ is a generalized Siegel domain with exponent $1 / 2$. From this fact, the notion of generalized Siegel domain may be considered as a generalization of the notion of Siegel domain of the second kind. In the following we regard $\mathscr{D}(\Omega)$ as the special case of the second kind and by a Siegel domain we mean a Siegel domain of the first or second kind.

Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $c$. Since $\mathscr{D}$ is holomorphically equivalent to a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n_{+} m}$, by a well-known theorem of H. Cartan the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{D})$ has the structure of real Lie group and the Lie algebra of Aut $(\mathscr{D})$ is identified with the Lie algebra $g(\mathscr{D})$ consisting of all complete holomorphic vector fields on $\mathscr{D}$ [2].

From the definition, the following holomorphic vector fields on $\mathscr{D}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \quad \text { for } k=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial^{\prime} & =\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} w_{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\alpha}}  \tag{b}\\
\partial & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+c \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} w_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\alpha}} . \tag{c}
\end{align*}
$$

By Kaup, Matsushima and Ochiai [3], every vector field $X \in \mathrm{~g}(\mathscr{D})$ is a polynomial vector field, and so we can express $X$ in the follwoing form:

$$
X=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\nu, \mu \geq 0} P_{\nu \mu}^{k}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{\nu, \mu \geq 0} Q_{\nu \mu \mu}^{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\alpha}}
$$

where $P_{\nu \mu}^{k}$ and $Q_{\nu \mu}^{\alpha}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degrees $\nu$ in $z_{l}(1 \leqq l \leqq n)$ and $\mu$ in $w_{\beta}(1 \leqq \beta \leqq m)$. If $\mathscr{D}$ is a generalized Siegel domain with exponent $c=1 / 2$, we have the following theorem on the Lie algebra $g(\mathscr{D})$.

Theorem A (Kaup, Matsushima and Ochiai [3]).
Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})=\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}+\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{1}  \tag{1}\\
& {\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}\right] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda+\mu}, \text { where } \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D}) \mid[\partial, X]=\lambda X\}}
\end{align*}
$$

More precisely we can describe each subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{-1} & =\left\{\left.\sum_{k=1}^{n} a^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \right\rvert\, a=\left(a^{k}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right\} \\
\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2} & =\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{0,1}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} Q_{0,0}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}} \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})\right\} \\
\mathfrak{g}_{0} & =\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{1,0}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} Q_{0,1}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}} \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})\right\} \\
\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2} & =\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{1,1}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left(Q_{1,0}^{\alpha}+Q_{0,2}^{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{w}} \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})\right\} \\
\mathfrak{g}_{1} & =\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{2,0}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} Q_{1,1}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}} \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be the radical of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{r}=\mathfrak{r}_{-1}+\mathfrak{r}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{r}_{0}, \text { where } \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}=\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}
$$

(3) (i) $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}=n, \operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2} \leqq 2 m$,
(ii) $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}=\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}-\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathfrak{r}_{-1 / 2}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{1}=n-\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{r}_{-1}
$$

(4) Let $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})$ corresponding to the subgroup Aff $(\mathscr{D})$ of Aut $(\mathscr{D})$ consisting of all complex affine transformations of $\boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}$ leaving invariant the domain $\mathscr{D}$.
(5) $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}+\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ is the subalgebra corresponding to the subgroup $\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{D})$ $\mid g$ leaves invariant the complex submanifold $\left.\mathscr{D}_{1} \subset \mathscr{D}\right\}$, where $\mathscr{D}_{1}=\{(z, w) \in \mathscr{D} \mid w$ $=0\}$ is equivalent to a Siegel domain of the first kind in $\boldsymbol{C}^{n}$.

By Theorem A, we can write $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}$ in the form

$$
X=\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{0,1}^{k}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} c^{\alpha}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}}
$$

where $P_{0,1}^{k}(X)$ denotes a homogeneous polynomial of degree one in $w_{a}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq m)$
depending on $X$ and $c^{\alpha}(X)$ is a constant depending on $X$. Then by a simple computation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a d \partial^{\prime} \cdot X=\sqrt{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{0,1}^{k}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}-\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} c^{\alpha}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the endomorphism $a d \partial^{\prime}$ defines a complex structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}$. From this fact and (3) of Theorem A, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary. $\quad \operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k$ for some $k, 0 \leqq k \leqq m$.
Since the group Aff $\left(\boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}\right)$ of all complex affine transformations of $\boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}$ is represented as a semi-direct product $G L(n+m, \boldsymbol{C}) \cdot \boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}$, we can write each element $g \in \operatorname{Aff}\left(C^{n+m}\right)$ in the form $g=(A, a)$, where $A \in G L(n+m, C)$ and $a \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}$. Obviously the mapping which carries $g=(A, a)$ to the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & a \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ $\in G L(n+m+1, \boldsymbol{C})$ is a faithful representation of $\operatorname{Aff}\left(\boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathscr{D})$ is a colsed subgroup of $\operatorname{Aff}\left(\boldsymbol{C}^{n+m}\right)$, we can identify $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathscr{D})$ with the closed subgroup of $G L(n+m+1, C)$, and so the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ is identified with the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}(n+m+1, C)$.

Let $M$ be a hyperbolic manifold in the sense of Kobayashi [4]. It is known that the group $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ of all holomorphic transformations of $M$ is a Lie group and its isotropy subgroup $K_{p}$ at a point $p$ of $M$ is compact [4]. We may identify the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ consisting of all complete holomorphic vector fields on $M$. A hyperbolic manifold $M$ is called a hyperbolic circular domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{d}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $M$ is a domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{d}$;
(2) $M$ is circular, that is, $M$ is invariant by the following global oneparameter subgroup of transformations:

$$
l_{t}:\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{d}\right) \mapsto\left(e \sqrt{-1 t} w_{1}, \cdots, e^{\sqrt{ }-1 t} w_{d}\right), \quad t \in \boldsymbol{R}
$$

where $\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{d}\right)$ denotes a coordinates system in $\boldsymbol{C}^{d}$. Let $M$ be a hyperbolic circular domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{d}$ containing the origin 0 of $\boldsymbol{C}^{d}$. Since the one-parameter subgroup $\left\{l_{t} \mid t \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\}$ induces an element $\partial=\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} w_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\alpha}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(M)$, we can show that every vector field $X \in \mathfrak{g}(M)$ is expressed in the form

$$
X=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{d}\left(\sum_{v \geq 0} P_{v}^{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}}
$$

where $P_{\nu}^{a}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $\nu$ in $w_{\beta}(1 \leqq \beta \leqq d)$, by the same way as in [3]. More precisely we can show the following Theorem $B$ (cf. [8]):

Theorem B. Let $M$ be a hyperbolic circular domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{d}$ containing the origin 0 of $\boldsymbol{C}^{d}$. For the vector field $\partial=\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} w_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}} \in \mathfrak{g}(M)$, we define an endomorphism $J$ of $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ by $J(X)=[\partial, X]$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}(M)$. Let $\mathfrak{f}(M)$ denote the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{g}(M)$ corresponding to the isotropy subgroup $K$ of $A u t(M)$ at the origin $0 \in M$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{f}(M)=\left\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} P_{1}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{a}} \left\lvert\, \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} P_{1}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\alpha}} \in \mathfrak{g}(M)\right.\right\}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equal to the kernel of $J$; and
(2) if we put $\mathfrak{p}(M)=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}(M) \mid J^{2}(X)=-X\right\}$, then $\quad \mathfrak{g}(M)=\mathfrak{f}(M)+\mathfrak{p}(M) \quad$ (direct sum).

Proof. The same way as in Lemma 3.1 of [3].
2. The case of a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent 1/2.

In the following part of the paper, we consider exclusively the generalized Siegel domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with $c=1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k$ for some $k, 0 \leqq k \leqq m$.

We may assume without loss of generality (by change of linear coordinates if necessary) that $(\sqrt{-1}, 0) \in \mathscr{D}$.

Lemma 1. If $(z, w) \in \mathscr{D}$, then $\operatorname{Im} . z>0$.
Proof. Suppose that there exists a point $\left(z_{0}, w_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{D}$ such that $\operatorname{Im} . z_{0} \leqq 0$. Since $\mathscr{D}$ is a domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ and $(\sqrt{-1}, 0) \in \mathscr{D}$, there exists a continuous path $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{D}$ such that $\phi(0)=\left(z_{0}, w_{0}\right)$ and $\phi(1)=(\sqrt{-1}, 0)$. Put $\phi(t)=$ $(z(t), w(t))$ for $t \in[0,1]$. Then there exists a point $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $\operatorname{Im} . z\left(t_{0}\right)$ $=0$ by our assumption. Obviously this shows that the point $\left(0, w\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ belongs to $\mathscr{D}$. Hence we see that $\mathscr{D}$ contains a point of the form $\left(0, w_{1}\right), w_{1} \neq 0$, since $\mathscr{D}$ is open. Then, by definition, $\mathscr{D}$ also contains the set $\left\{\left(0, e^{1 / 2 t} e e^{-1} \theta w_{1}\right) \mid t, \theta \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\}$, which is naturally identified with $\boldsymbol{C}-\{0\}$. Thus there exists an injective holomorphic mapping $\Psi: \boldsymbol{C}-\{0\} \rightarrow$ a bounded subset of $\boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$, because $\mathscr{D}$ is equivalent to a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$. Let $\Psi(z)=\left(f_{1}(z), \cdots, f_{m+1}(z)\right)$. Then each $f_{i}$ is a bounded holomorphic function defined on $\boldsymbol{C}-\{0\}$. Hence, by the Riemann's extension theorem, $f_{i}$ extends to a bounded holomorphic function on $\boldsymbol{C}$ and so it is constant. In particular $\Psi$ is a constant mapping. Obviously this is a contradiction.
q.e.d.

In order to prove Theorem 1 we shall consider first the case where $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ $\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k>0$, i.e., $k \geqq 1$, in the following.

By Theorem $A$, we can write each vector field $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}$ as follows:

$$
X=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} b_{a}(X) w_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} c^{\beta}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}},
$$

where $b_{\alpha}(X)$ and $c^{\beta}(X)$ are complex numbers depending on $X$. We define a linear mapping $C: \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ by $C(X)=\left(c^{1}(X), \cdots, c^{m}(X)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C: \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \text { is injective } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $C(X)=0$, then it follows from (1.1) that $\sqrt{-1} X \in \mathrm{~g}(\mathscr{D})$. By a theorem of E. Cartan [1], we have that $\mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D}) \cap \sqrt{-1} \mathrm{~g}(\mathscr{D})=0$ and hence $X=0$.

Since $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k$ by our assumption, the image $V=\left\{C(X) \mid X \in \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}\right\}$ of $C$ is a complex $k$-dimensional vector subspace of $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ by (1.1) and (2.1). Fix a non-singular linear mapping $\mathcal{L}^{1}: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{L}^{1}(V)=\left\{\left(d_{1}, \cdots, d_{k}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid d=\left(d_{i}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\}
$$

Lemma 2. There exists a non-singular linear mapping $\mathcal{L}^{2}: \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ of the form $\tilde{z}=z, \widetilde{w}_{\alpha}=\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} A_{\alpha \beta} w_{\beta}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq m)$ such that

$$
\left.\left.\mathcal{L}_{*}^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} a_{w}(X) \tilde{w}_{w}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} d_{\beta}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}_{\beta}} \right\rvert\,\left(d^{\beta}(X)\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{*}^{2}$ denotes the differential of $\mathcal{L}^{2}$.
Proof. Let $C: \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{1}: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ be the same mappings as before. Then, for

$$
X=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} b_{\alpha}(X) w_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} c^{\beta}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}
$$

we have $\mathcal{L}^{1}(C(X))=\left(d^{1}(X), \cdots, d^{k}(X), 0, \cdots, 0\right)$ for some $d^{\beta}(X) \in \boldsymbol{C}(1 \leqq \beta \leqq k)$. Let $\left(1 \oplus \mathcal{L}^{1}\right)(z, w)=\left(z, \mathcal{L}^{1}(w)\right)$. If we put $\mathcal{L}^{2}=1 \oplus \mathcal{L}^{1}$, then $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ satisfies our claim. q.e.d.

Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ be the image of $\mathscr{D}$ under the mapping $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ given in Lemma 2. Then it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ is also a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ and the Lie algebra $g(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ coincides with $\mathcal{L}_{*}^{2} g(\mathscr{D})$. Put $\tilde{\partial}=\tilde{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \tilde{w}_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{w}_{a}}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{*}^{2} \partial=\tilde{\partial}$. Thus it follows from Theorem A that $\mathcal{L}_{*}^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}=\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}=\{\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}) \mid[\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{X}]=\lambda \tilde{X}\}$. In particular we have

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} a_{\infty} \tilde{w}_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} d^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}_{\beta}} \right\rvert\, d=\left(d^{\beta}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\}
$$

by Lemma 2, where each $a_{a}$ is uniquely determmined by $d=\left(d^{\beta}\right)$. Hence we may assume that

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} a_{\infty} w_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} d^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}} \right\rvert\, d=\left(d^{\beta}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\}
$$

to prove Theorem 1, considering $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ instead of $\mathscr{D}$ if necessary. Then by using (1.1) and (2.1), we can show that each vector field $X \in g_{-1 / 2}$ is of the following form:

$$
X=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \overline{\beta^{\beta}(X)} w_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}}
$$

where $c^{\beta}(X)$ is a complex number depending on $X$ and $a_{\alpha \beta}$ is a complex number depending only on $g_{-1 / 2}$ and hence $\mathscr{D}$ (cf.Vey [9], Lemme 5.1). Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \bar{c}^{\bar{\beta}} w_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}} \right\rvert\,\left(c^{\beta}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. The matrix $\left(a_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq k}$ in (2.2) is non-singular skew-hermitian.
Proof. Let $X=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \overline{c^{\beta}(X)} w_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}} \in g_{-1 / 2}$.
Then, by (1.1) we get

$$
\left[\partial^{\prime}, X\right]=\sqrt{-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \overline{c^{\beta}(X)} w_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}-\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}}
$$

Put $Y=\left[\partial^{\prime}, X\right]$. By a direct calculation we get

$$
[X, Y]=2 \sqrt{-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} c^{\alpha}(X) \overline{c^{\beta}(X)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$

Since $[X, Y] \in \mathrm{g}_{-1}$, we see that the number $\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} c^{\alpha}(X) \overline{c^{\beta}(X)}$ is pure imaginary by (1) of Theorem A. Hence $\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{k}\left(a_{\alpha \beta}+\overline{a_{\beta_{\alpha}}}\right) c^{\alpha, \beta}(X) \overline{c^{\beta}(X)}=0$. On the other hand, since the set $\left\{C(X)=\left(c^{\beta}(X)\right) \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}\right\}$ is a complex $k$-dimensional vector subspace of $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$, we get $a_{\alpha \beta}+\overline{a_{\beta \alpha}}=0$ for $1 \leqq \alpha, \beta \leqq k$.

We need some preparations to prove that $\left(a_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{1 \leqq \alpha, \beta \leqq k}$ is non-singular. We identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}=\mathrm{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ with the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}(m+2, C)$ as in $\S 1$. Thus we can represent the vector field $X \in \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}$ by the following matrix:


Therefore the global one-parameter subgroup exptX generated by $X$ is given by


Thus the action of exptX on $\mathscr{D}$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z \mapsto z+t \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \overline{c^{\beta}(X)} w_{\alpha}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} c^{\alpha}(X) \overline{c^{\beta}(X)}  \tag{2.3}\\
w_{\alpha \mapsto} \mapsto w_{\alpha}+t c^{\omega}(X), \quad 1 \leqq \alpha \leqq k \\
w_{\beta} \mapsto w_{\beta} \quad, k+1 \leqq \beta \leqq m .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we can prove that $\left(a_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{1 \leqq \alpha, \beta \leqq_{k}}$ is non-singular. Since $\left(a_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{1 \leqq \alpha, \beta \leqq k}$ is skew-hermitian, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} c^{c \bar{c}} c^{\beta} \neq 0 \text { for any nonzero vector } c=\left(c^{\alpha}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that there exists a nonzero vector $c_{0}=\left(c_{0}^{1}, \cdots, c_{0}^{k}\right)$ such that $\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} c_{0}^{\alpha} \overline{c_{0}^{\beta}}$ $=0$. Then the vector field

$$
X_{c_{0}}=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \bar{\beta} c_{0}^{\bar{\beta}} w_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c_{0}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}}
$$

belonging to $\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}$ generates the global one-parameter subgroup $\operatorname{expt} X_{c_{0}}$ which acts on $\mathscr{D}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{Z} \mapsto z+t \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \overline{c_{0}^{\beta}} w_{\alpha} \\
w_{\alpha^{\mapsto} \mapsto w_{a}+t c_{0}^{\alpha},} \quad 1 \leqq \alpha \leqq k \\
w_{\beta} \mapsto w_{\beta} \quad, \\
k+1 \leqq \beta \leqq m .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus $\operatorname{expt} X_{c_{0}} \cdot(\sqrt{-1}, 0)=\left(\sqrt{-1}, t c_{0}^{1}, \cdots, t c_{0}^{k}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$. Hence $\mathscr{D}$ must contain the set $\left\{\left(\sqrt{-1}, e^{\sqrt{-1}} t c_{0}^{1}, \cdots, e^{\sqrt{-1}} t c, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \mid t, \theta \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\}$, which is identified with the complex plane $\boldsymbol{C}$ since $c_{0} \neq 0$ by our assumption. But this is a contradiction, because $\mathscr{D}$ is holomorphically equivalent to a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$. q.e.d.

Lemma 4. There exists a non-singular linear mapping $\mathcal{L}^{3}: \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ of the form
(*) $\tilde{z}=z, \widetilde{w}_{\alpha}=\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} B_{\alpha \beta} w_{\beta}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq m)$, such that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{*}^{3} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.\left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{k} d_{\alpha \beta} \overline{c^{\beta}} \tilde{w}_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}_{\beta}} \right\rvert\, c=\left(c^{\beta}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\}
$$

where $\left(d_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq_{k}}$ is a non-singular skew-hermitian matrix.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}^{3}: \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ be a non-singular linear mapping defined by (*). Then, by a simple caluclation, we have $\mathcal{L}_{*}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{*}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{a}}=$ $\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} B_{\beta \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{w}_{\beta}}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq m)$. Put $B=\left(B_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{1 \leqq \alpha, \beta \leqq m}$. Let $E=\left(E_{\alpha \beta}\right)=B^{-1}$. Take a vector field

$$
X=\left(\sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{a \beta} \overline{c^{\beta}(X)} w_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}}
$$

belonging to $\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{*}^{3} X=\left\{\sum_{\lambda=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \overline{\beta^{\beta}(X)} E_{\alpha \lambda}\right) \tilde{w}_{\lambda}\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}}+\sum_{\lambda=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta}(X) B_{\lambda \beta}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{w}_{\lambda}} .
$$

Now we have to find out the matrix $B$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} a_{\alpha \beta} \overline{\beta^{\beta}(X)} E_{\alpha \lambda}=0 & \text { for all } \lambda, k+1 \leqq \lambda \leqq m \\
\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta}(X) B_{\lambda \beta}=0 & \text { for all } \lambda, k+1 \leqq \lambda \leqq m \tag{2.6}
\end{array}
$$

Since $\left\{C(X)=\left(c^{\beta}(X)\right) \mid X \in \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}\right\}=C^{k}$, the conditions are equivalent to the following

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11}, \cdots, & a_{k 1}, \cdots, & a_{m 1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{1 k}, \cdots, & a_{k k}, \cdots, & a_{m k}
\end{array}\right)^{t} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{1, k+1}, & \cdots, \\
\vdots & E_{m, k+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
E_{1 m}, \cdots \cdots, E_{m m}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{0}_{k, m-k}  \tag{2.5}\\
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{k+1,1}, & \cdots \cdots, B_{k+1, k} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
B_{m, 1}, & \cdots \cdots, & B_{m, k}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{0}_{m-k, k} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Put $A_{1}=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leqq i, j \leq k}, \quad A_{2}=\left(a_{s t}\right)_{k+1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq t \leq k}, \quad E_{1}=\left(E_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq m} \quad$ and $\quad E_{2}=$ $\left(E_{s t}\right)_{k+1 \leq s, t}$. Then, (2.5)' can be written as ${ }^{t} A_{1} E_{1}+{ }^{t} A_{2} E_{2}=\mathbf{0}_{k, m-k}$. Since the matrix $A_{1}$ is non-singular by Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=-{ }^{t} A_{1}^{-1} \cdot{ }^{t} A_{2} \cdot E_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define a mapping $\mathcal{L}^{3}: \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ by

$$
\mathcal{L}^{3}:\left(\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{z} \\
\tilde{w}_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\tilde{w}_{m}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c:c:c}
1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_{k} & -{ }^{t} A_{1}^{-1 t} A_{2} \\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_{m-k}
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
z \\
w_{1} \\
\vdots \\
w_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\mathcal{L}^{3}$ satisfies the conditions (2.5) ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ and (2.6) ${ }^{\prime}$ and hence we have proved Lemma 4.
q.e.d.

Now by Lemma 4, we may assume to prove Theorem 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.\left(\sum_{a, \beta=1}^{k} d_{a \beta} \bar{\beta}^{\bar{\beta}} w_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}} \right\rvert\,\left(c^{\beta}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. There exists a non-singular linear mapping $\quad \mathcal{L}^{4}: \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ of the form

$$
\tilde{z}=z, \widetilde{w}_{a b}=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{k} c_{a \lambda} w_{\lambda}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq k) \text { and } \widetilde{w}_{\beta}=w_{\beta}(k+1 \leqq \beta \leqq m)
$$

such that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{*}^{4} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} d_{a} \overline{c^{\alpha}} \widetilde{w}_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{w}_{\beta}} \right\rvert\,\left(c^{\beta}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\}
$$

where each $d_{a}$ is a nonzero purely imaginary number depending only on $\mathscr{D}$.
Proof. By Lemma 4, the matrix $D=\left(d_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{1 \leqq \alpha, \beta \leq k}$ in (2.7) is non-singular and skew-hermitian. Hence $D$ can be diagonalized by a suitable unitary matrix $U=\left(u_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq k}$. Put $U^{-1} \cdot D \cdot U=\operatorname{diag} .\left(d_{1}, \cdots, d_{k}\right)$, where diag. $\left(d_{1}, \cdots, d_{k}\right)$ denotes the diagonal matrix whose $(l, l)$-component is $d_{l}$. Then, since $D$ is non-singular and skew-hermitian, each $d_{l}$ is a nonzero purely imaginary number. Now define a non-singular linear mapping $\mathcal{L}^{4}: \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ by $\tilde{z}=z, \widetilde{w}_{\alpha}=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{k} u_{\lambda_{\alpha}} w_{\lambda}$ $(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq k)$ and $\widetilde{w}_{\beta}=w_{\beta}(k+1 \leqq \beta \leqq m)$.

Then it is easy to see that the mapping $\mathcal{L}^{4}$ satisfies our conditions. q.e.d.
Proof of Theorem 1: Suppose first $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k>0$. By Lemma 5 we may assume that

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} d_{\alpha} \overline{c^{\alpha}} w_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{k} c^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\beta}} \right\rvert\,\left(c_{\beta}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\} .
$$

Note that each $d_{\alpha}$ is a nonzero purely imaginary number. For the sake of simplicity, we denote ( $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}$ ) and $\left(w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$ by $w^{\prime}$ and $w^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. For $a \in \boldsymbol{R}$ (resp. $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$ ) we denote by $T_{a}$ (resp. $\Psi_{t}$ ) the holomorphic transforma-
tion $(z, w) \mapsto(z+a, w)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.(z, w) \mapsto\left(e^{t} z, e^{1 / 2 t} w\right)\right)$ of $C^{m+1}$. Now we define a mapping $\Phi: \boldsymbol{C}^{k} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{k} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ by

$$
\Phi(u, v)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-1}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} d_{\alpha} u^{\bar{\alpha}} v^{\alpha} \quad \text { for } \quad u=\left(u^{\alpha}\right), v=\left(v^{\alpha}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}
$$

Then each vector field belonging to $\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}$ is expressed in the from $2 \sqrt{-1} \Phi\left(w^{\prime}, c\right)$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} c^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}}$. Since this vector field is determined completely by $c=\left(c^{\alpha}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}$, we write it by $X_{c}$. By (2.3) the vector field $X_{c}$ generates the global one-parameter subgroup $\operatorname{expt} X_{c}$ :

$$
\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \mapsto\left(z+2 \sqrt{-1} \Phi\left(w^{\prime}, t c\right)+\sqrt{-1} \Phi(t c, t c), w^{\prime}+t c, w^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

Now we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(c, c) \geqq 0 \quad \text { for all } c \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that there exists a nonzero vector $c_{0} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}$ such that $\Phi\left(c_{0}, c_{0}\right)<0$. Then, for a point $\left(z_{0}, 0\right) \in \mathscr{D}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{expt} X_{c_{0}} \cdot\left(z_{0}, 0\right)=\left(z_{0}+\sqrt{-1} \Phi\left(t c_{0}, t c_{0}\right), t c_{0}, 0\right)
$$

for any $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Thus, by Lemma $1, \operatorname{Im} . z_{0}+\Phi\left(t c_{0}, t c_{0}\right)>0$ for any $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$. This is impossible since $\Phi\left(c_{0}, c_{0}\right)<0$. Therefore we get (2.8). In particular, we see that each number $\lambda_{\alpha}:=d_{\alpha} / 2 \sqrt{-1}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq k)$ is positive. Now we define a linear mapping $\mathcal{L}^{5}: \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ by $\tilde{z}=z, \tilde{w}_{\alpha}=\sqrt{\lambda_{\alpha}} w_{\alpha}(1 \leqq \alpha \leqq k)$ and $\tilde{w}_{\beta}=w_{\beta}(k+$ $1 \leqq \beta \leqq m$ ). Then it is easy to see that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{*}^{5} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.2 \sqrt{-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} \overline{c^{\omega}} \tilde{w}_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} c^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{w}_{w}} \right\rvert\,\left(c^{\alpha}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\}
$$

Hence, by considering the image $\tilde{\mathscr{D}}=\mathcal{L}^{5}(\mathscr{D})$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.2 \sqrt{-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} \overline{c^{\bar{\alpha}}} w_{a}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} c^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{a}} \right\rvert\,\left(c^{\alpha}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right\}
$$

Define a mapping $F: \boldsymbol{C}^{k} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{k} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ by

$$
F(u, v)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} u^{\alpha} \overline{v^{\alpha}} \quad \text { for any } u=\left(u^{\alpha}\right), v=\left(v^{\alpha}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}
$$

Then the domain

$$
\mathcal{E}=\left\{\left(z, w^{\prime}, 0\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)>0\right\}
$$

is an elementary Siegel domain. Now we put

$$
\mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{-1}}=\left\{w^{\prime \prime} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k} \mid\left(\sqrt{-1}, 0, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathscr{D}\right\}
$$

We shall show that $\mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is connected. Take two points $P_{0}=\left(\sqrt{-1}, 0, w_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $P_{1}=\left(\sqrt{-1}, 0, w_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of $\mathscr{D}$. Then there exists a continuous path $\Gamma:[0,1]$ $\rightarrow \mathscr{D}$ such that $\Gamma(0)=P_{0}$ and $\Gamma(1)=P_{1}$. For any $t \in[0,1]$, we put $\Gamma(t)=(z(t)$, $\left.w^{\prime}(t), w^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)$, where $z(t) \in \boldsymbol{C}, w^{\prime}(t) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}$ and $w^{\prime \prime}(t) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{-R e \cdot z}(t) \cdot \exp X_{-w^{\prime}(t)} \cdot\left(z(t), w^{\prime}(t), w^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \\
= & \left(\sqrt{ }-1\left(\operatorname{Im} \cdot z(t)-F\left(w^{\prime}(t), w^{\prime}(t)\right)\right), 0, w^{\prime \prime}(t)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that $\operatorname{Im} . z(t)-F\left(w^{\prime}(t), w^{\prime}(t)\right)>0$ for any $t \in[0,1]$ by Lemma 1 . Thus we can define a continous function $l(t)$ on $[0,1]$ by $l(t)=\log \left(\operatorname{Im} . z(t)-F\left(w^{\prime}(t), w^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)$. Then it is obvious that $l(0)=l(1)=0$ and $e^{l(t)}=\operatorname{Im} . z(t)-F\left(w^{\prime}(t), w^{\prime}(t)\right)$ for any $t \in[0,1]$. Thus the point

$$
\left(\sqrt{-1}, 0, e^{-1 / 2 l(t)} w^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)=\left(e^{-l(t)} e^{l(t)} \cdot \sqrt{-1}, 0, e^{-1 / 2 l(t)} w^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)
$$

belongs to $\mathscr{D}$ by the definition of $\mathscr{D}$. Put $g(t)=e^{-1 / 2 l(t)} w^{\prime \prime}(t)$. Then $g(t) \in \mathscr{D} \sqrt{=1}$ for nay $t \in[0,1], g(0)=w_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ and $g(1)=w_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $\mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$ is connected. It is obvious that $\mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$ is a circular domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$ containing the origin 0 by the definition of the generalized Siegel domain. Let $\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a point of $\mathscr{D}$. Then there exists a real number $t_{0}$ such that $e^{t_{0}}=\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$, because $T_{-R e \cdot z} \cdot \exp X_{-w^{\prime}} \cdot\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\sqrt{-1}\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right), 0, w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ belongs to $\mathscr{D}$ and hence $\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)>0$ by Lemma 1. Thus we have $\Psi_{-t_{0}} \cdot T_{-R e \cdot z} \cdot \exp X_{-w^{\prime}} \cdot$ $\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\sqrt{-1}, 0, e^{-t_{0} / 2} w^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Hence $\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{-1}}$, and so $\mathscr{D}$ is contained in the set

$$
\left\{\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)>0,\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{ }=1}\right\} .
$$

Conversely, take a point $\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ such that $\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)>0$ and $\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{-1}}$. Then, by the same way as above, we can show that there exists a real number $t_{0}$ such that $e^{t_{0}}=\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
T_{R e \cdot z} \cdot \exp X_{w^{\prime}} \cdot \Psi_{t_{0}} \cdot\left(\sqrt{-1}, 0, e^{-t_{0} / 2} w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

This shows that $\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathscr{D}$, since $\left(\sqrt{-1}, 0, e^{-t_{0} / 2} w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathscr{D}$ by the definition of $\mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}= & \left\{\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)>0\right. \\
& \left.\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{ }=1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we shall show that the orbit $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{D})$ containing the point $(\sqrt{-1}, 0) \in \mathscr{D}$ coincides with the elementary Siegel domain $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\left(z, w^{\prime}, 0\right)$ $\in \mathcal{E}$. Since $\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)>0$, there exists a real number $t_{0}$ such that $e^{t_{0}}=$ $\operatorname{Im} . z-F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$. Then it is easy to see that $T_{R \in, z} \cdot \exp X_{w^{\prime}} \cdot \Psi_{t_{0}} \cdot(\sqrt{-1}, 0)=$ $\left(z, w^{\prime}, 0\right)$, and so $\mathcal{E} \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{D}) \cdot(\sqrt{-1}, 0)=\mathscr{D}_{0}$. We claim that $\mathscr{D}_{0} \subset \mathcal{E}$. Let $G$
be the identity component $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{D})$ of Aut ( $\left.\mathscr{D}\right), K$ the isotropy subgroup of $G$ at $(\sqrt{-1}, 0)$ and $G_{a}$ the identity component of $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathscr{D})$. Put $K_{a}=G_{a} \cap K$. Then we can show that $G / K=G_{a} / K_{a}$ by the same way as Lemma 2.3. of Nakajima [5]. Therefore it is enough to see that $G_{a} \cdot(\sqrt{ } \overline{-1}, 0) \subset \mathcal{E}$. Let $P(\mathscr{D})$ (resp. $G L_{0}(\mathscr{D})$ ) be the analytic subgroup of $G_{a}$ generated by the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}\left(\right.$ resp. $g_{0}$ ) Then we have $G_{a}=P(\mathscr{D}) \cdot G L_{0}(\mathscr{D})$ (semi-direct product), because $P(\mathscr{D}) \cdot G L_{0}(\mathscr{D})$ is an abstract subgroup of $G_{a}$ and contains an open neighborhood of the identity element of $G_{a}$. Since $G L_{0}(\mathscr{D}) \cdot(\sqrt{-1}, 0) \subset \mathscr{D}_{1}$ by (5), of Theorem $A$ and obviously $P(\mathscr{D}) \cdot \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}$, we get $G_{a} \cdot(\sqrt{-1}, 0) \subset \mathcal{E}$. Therefor $G \cdot(\sqrt{-1}, 0)=G_{a}$. $(\sqrt{-1}, 0)=\mathcal{E}$. This completes the first case where $k>0$.

It remains the case where $\operatorname{dim}_{R} g_{-1 / 2}=0$, i.e., $k=0$. But in this case Theorem 1 is now obvious from the proof of the case where $k>0$. q.e.d.

Corollaries of Theorem 1: As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 m$. Then $\mathscr{D}$ is a Siegel domain which is holomorphically equivalent to the elementary Siegel domain

$$
\mathcal{E}=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{m}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\right| w_{\infty}\right|^{2}>0\right\}
$$

Corollary 2. There exists no generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=2 m-2$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a generalized Siegel domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 m-2$. Then, by Theorem 1 there exists a generalized Siegel domain $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ which is holomorphically equivalent to $\mathscr{D}$ and is expressed in the following form with respect to a suitable coordinates system ( $z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}$ ) in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{m}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}\right| w_{a}\right|^{2}>0\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}\left|w_{a}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w_{m} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{ }-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$ is a circular domain in $\boldsymbol{C}$ containing the origin of $\boldsymbol{C}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$ is given by $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}=\left\{w_{m} \in \boldsymbol{C}| | w_{m} \mid<R\right\}$ for some positive number $R$,

$$
\tilde{\mathscr{D}}=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z-\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}\left|w_{a}\right|^{2}+R^{-2}\left|w_{m}\right|^{2}\right)>0\right\}
$$

Thus $\tilde{\mathscr{D}}$ is a Siegel domain of the second kind in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$. Then we see that $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1 / 2}=2 m$ in the decomposition of $\mathrm{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ as in Theorem A. But this is a contradiction since $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1 / 2}=\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 m-2$ by our assumption. q.e.d.

Corollary 3. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ be the same domains as in Theorem 1 and $\Pi$ : $\mathrm{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{g}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)$ the homomorphism induced by the Lie group homomorphism of Aut ${ }_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ to Aut $0_{0}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)$ defined by $g \mapsto g \mid \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$, where $g \mid \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ denotes the restriction of $g$ to $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$. Then $\Pi$ is surjective.

Proof. Note that $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ is the $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$-orbit. Let $\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$ be the coordinates system in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ as in Theorem 1. Let $\mathfrak{g}(\tilde{D})=\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}+\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}$ $+\mathrm{g}_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathrm{g}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{g}_{-1}^{o}+\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}^{o}+\mathrm{g}_{0}^{o}+\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}^{o}+\mathrm{g}_{1}^{o}\right)$ be the decomposition of $\mathrm{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ (resp. $\left.g\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)\right)$ as in Theorem A. Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ is an elementary Sigel domain, $g\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)$ is simple. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{o}=\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{o}, \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}^{o}\right]+\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{o}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{o}\right] \text { and }  \tag{2.9}\\
& \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}^{o}=\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{o}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{o}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Put $\partial^{o}=z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} w_{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}}$. Then it is obvious that $\Pi(\partial)=\partial^{\circ}$. Hence the homomorphism $\Pi$ preserves the gradition, i.e., $\Pi\left(g_{\lambda}\right) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}^{o}$. Now we shall show that $\Pi$ is injective on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{o}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{0}$, it is sufficient to show that $\Pi$ is injective on $\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. Let $X_{1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ such that $\Pi\left(X_{1}\right)$ $=0$. Then $\Pi\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z},\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, X_{1}\right]\right]\right)=0$. Since $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z},\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, X_{1}\right]\right] \in \mathrm{g}_{-1}$ and $\Pi$ is identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, we have $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z},\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, X_{1}\right]\right]=0$. On the other hand, it is known that the endomorphism $\left(\operatorname{ad}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)\right)^{2}: \mathfrak{g}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~g}_{-1}$ is injective (cf. [9]). Thus we get $X_{1}=0$. Therefore $\Pi$ is injective on $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. Analogously we can show that $\Pi$ is injective on $\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}$ by using the injectiveity of $a d\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right): \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}$. Note that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathrm{g}_{0}+\mathrm{g}_{1}$ corresponds to the subgroup leaving the upper half plane $\mathscr{D}_{1}=$ $\left\{(z, 0) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z>0\right\}$ invariant. Now we claim that each element of $\mathrm{Aut}_{0}$ $\left(\mathscr{D}_{1}\right)$ can be extended to an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{D})$. We identify $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}\left(\mathscr{D}_{1}\right)$ with $S L(2, \boldsymbol{R}) /\left\{ \pm 1_{2}\right\}$. Since each element $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in S L(2, \boldsymbol{R})$ acts on $\mathscr{D}_{1}$ by a holomorphic transformation $l_{\gamma}: z \mapsto(a z+b)(c z+d)^{-1}$, we can define a mapping $\tilde{l}_{\gamma}: \mathscr{D}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ by $\tilde{l}_{\gamma}(z, w)=\left(l_{\gamma}(z),(c z+d)^{-1} w\right)$. Since $\tilde{l}_{\gamma_{1} \cdot \gamma_{2}}=\tilde{l}_{\gamma_{1}} \cdot \tilde{l}_{\gamma_{2}}$ for any $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in S L(2, \boldsymbol{R}), \tilde{l}_{\gamma}$ induces a holomorphic transformation of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{l}_{\gamma}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}) \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{D}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $w^{\prime}=\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}\right), w^{\prime \prime}=\left(w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$ and $\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\left|w_{a}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for any $w=$ $\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$. Then
(2.11) $\operatorname{Im} . l_{\gamma}(z)-\left\|(c z+d)^{-1} w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=|c z+d|^{-2}\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)>0$
for any $\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\operatorname{Im} \cdot l_{\gamma}(z)-\left\|(c z+d)^{-1} w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot(c z+d)^{-1} \cdot w^{\prime \prime} \\
= & e^{\sqrt{-1 \theta}(z, \gamma)}\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w^{\prime \prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta(z, \gamma)=-\arg .(c z+d)$, and $e^{\sqrt{-1 \theta}(z, \gamma)}\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} w^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{Im} . l_{\gamma}(z)-\left\|(c z+d)^{-1} w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot(c z+d)^{-1} \cdot w^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2) of Theorem 1, (2.11) and (2.12) imply (2.10). Hence we get $g_{1} \neq 0$ and hence $\Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right) \neq 0$. We now prove that $\Pi$ is surjective. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{o}=1$ and $\Pi\left(g_{1}\right) \neq 0$, we get $\Pi\left(g_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{o}$. Therefore it follows that $\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}^{o}=\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{o}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{o}\right]=$ $\Pi\left(\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right]\right) \subset \Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}\right)$, and so $\Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}\right)=\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}^{o}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{o}=\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{o}, \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}^{o}\right]+\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{o}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{o}\right]=$ $\Pi\left(\left[g_{-1 / 2}, g_{1 / 2}\right]+\left[g_{-1}, g_{1}\right]\right) \subset \Pi\left(g_{0}\right)$, and so $\Pi\left(g_{0}\right)=g_{0}^{o}$. Therefore $\Pi$ is surjective.

Corollary 4. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$. If the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathscr{D})$ is semi-simple, then $\mathscr{D}$ is a Siegel domain which is holomorphically equivalent to the elementary Siegel domain

$$
\mathcal{E}=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{m}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\right| w_{w}\right|^{2}>0\right\}
$$

Proof. We claim that $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 m$, i.e., $k=m$. Then our assertion is obvious by Corollary 1. We may assume $\mathscr{D}=\widetilde{D}$ in Theorem 1 without loss of generality. Suppose that $k \neq \mathrm{m}$. We consider first the case where $k>0$. Let $\Pi: g(\widetilde{D}) \rightarrow\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)$ be the homomorphism defined in Corollary 3. Then $\Pi$ is surjective by Corollary 3. Put $\mathfrak{\xi}_{2}=\operatorname{Ker} \Pi$. Then $\mathfrak{\xi}_{2}$ is a semi-simple ideal of the semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$. Thus there exists a semi-simple ideal $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { B }}_{1}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})=\mathfrak{I}_{1}+\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ (direct sum). Since $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { g }}_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{Z}_{1}$ is simple. Since $\Pi$ is injective on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ by the proof of Corollary 3 , $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$. Let $B$ denote the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{D})$. Put $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{1}=$ $\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{0} \mid B\left(X, \mathfrak{F}_{2}\right)=0\right\}$. Noting that the ideal $\mathfrak{F}_{1}$ is a graded Lie subalgebra, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{1}+\mathfrak{g}_{2}, \mathfrak{g}_{1}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{1}+\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}+\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{1}=\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}, \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}\right]$. Since $\mathfrak{\xi}_{2}=\operatorname{Ker} \Pi \subset \mathfrak{g}_{0}$, every vector field $X \in \mathfrak{\xi}_{2}$ is given by $X=\sum_{\alpha=k+1}^{m} Q_{0,1}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}}$ in Theorem A. Thus it can be expressed by the matrix

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{c:c:c}
0 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{2.13}\\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_{k, k} & C \\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_{m-k, k} & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now we claim that $C=\mathbf{0}_{k, m-k}$ in (2.13). Let $S_{1}$ (resp. $S_{2}$ ) be the analytic sub-
group of $A u_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{B}_{1}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{B}_{2}$ ). Obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1} \cdot g_{2}=g_{2} \cdot g_{1} \quad \text { for any } g_{1} \in S_{2} \text { and } g_{2} \in S_{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X_{c}\left(c \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}\right)$ be the vector field belonging to $\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 1. Put $g_{1}=\exp X_{c}$ and

$$
g_{2}=\exp X=\left(\begin{array}{c:c:c}
1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_{k} & A \\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & E
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that if $A=\mathbf{0}_{k, m-k}$, then $C=\mathbf{0}$. By a routine calculation, we get

$$
g_{1} \cdot g_{2} \cdot\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(z+2 \sqrt{-1} F\left(w^{\prime}+A w^{\prime \prime}, c\right)+\sqrt{-1} F(c, c), w^{\prime}+A w^{\prime \prime}+c, E w^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$ and

$$
g_{2} \cdot g_{1}\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(z+2 \sqrt{-1} F\left(w^{\prime}, c\right)+\sqrt{-1} F(c, c), w^{\prime}+c+A w^{\prime \prime}, E w^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

for any $\left(z, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \widetilde{D}$. By (2.14), we get $F\left(w^{\prime}+A w^{\prime \prime}, c\right)=F\left(w^{\prime}, c\right)$ and hence $F\left(A w^{\prime \prime}, c\right)=0$. Since $c$ is arbitrary, we get $A w^{\prime \prime}=0$ for any element $w^{\prime \prime}$ of an open subset of $\boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$. Thus $A=\mathbf{0}$. Therefore we get

$$
\mathfrak{I}_{2}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l:l}
\mathbf{0}_{k+1, k+1} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{2.15}\\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & *
\end{array}\right)\right\} \text { and } S_{2}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
\mathbf{1}_{k+1} & \mathbf{0} \\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & *
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ is holomorphically equivalent to a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$ and any bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$ is hyperbolic in the sense of Kobayashi [4], $\widetilde{D}$ is hyperbolic. Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is a complex submanifold of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$, it is also hyperbolic. Thus $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is a hyperbolic circular domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$ containing the origin 0 . By $\S .1$, we have that $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}\right)$ is a Lie group and its isotropy subgroup $K_{\sqrt{-1}}$ at $0 \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is compact. Moreover $K_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is a subgroup of $G L(m-k, C)$ by Theorem B. Let $\mathscr{l}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ be the subalgebra of $g\left(\widetilde{D}_{\sqrt{-1}}\right)$ corresponding to $K_{\sqrt{-1}}$. Now we claim that $\mathfrak{f}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{E}_{2}$. By (2.15) we can identify $S_{2}$ with a subgroup of $K_{\sqrt{-1}}$. Conversely, let $K^{0} \sqrt{-1}$ be the identity component of $K_{\sqrt{-1}}$ and take an arbitrary element $g \in K^{0} \sqrt{-1}$. Put $\tilde{g}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & g\end{array}\right)$, where $1=\mathbf{1}_{k+1}$. Then we can easily see that $\tilde{g}$ leaves $\widetilde{D}$ invariant by (2) of Theorem 1 , and hence $\tilde{g}$ defines a holomorphic transformation of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ and $\tilde{g} \in S_{2}$ by (2.15). Thus $K^{0}{ }_{\sqrt{-1}}$ can be identified with $S_{2}$ in a natural way. In particular, $\mathbb{f}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is a semi-simple Lie algebra. On the other hand, $\mathscr{l}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ contains a nonzero element $\partial^{\prime \prime}=$ $\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha=k+1}^{m} w_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}}$ induced by the global one-parameter subgroup $w^{\prime \prime} \mapsto e^{\sqrt{-1}} w^{\prime \prime}$ $(t \in \boldsymbol{R})$ and obviously $\partial^{\prime \prime}$ belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{f}_{\sqrt{-1}}$. This is a contradiction.

Suppose next $k=0$. Then we can show as above that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is identified with the semi-simple Lie algebra

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \Pi=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
0 & \mathbf{0}_{1, m} \\
\hdashline \mathbf{0}_{m, 1} & *
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{l}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ contains a nonzero element $\partial^{\prime}=\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} w_{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\infty}}$ belonging to the center. This is a contradiction. Therefore $k=m$, and we complete the proof.
q.e.d.

## 3. The structure of Aut ( $\mathscr{D})$

The purpose of this section is to consider the structure of the group of all holomorphic transformations of a generalized Siegel domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k$ for some $k, 0 \leqq k \leqq m$.

In this section we use the following notations. For a point

$$
z={ }^{t}\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{k+1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k+1}, \text { define }\|z\|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\left|z^{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Put

$$
U(k+1,1)=\left\{\left.g \in G L(k+2, C)\right|^{t} g \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
\mathbf{1}_{k+1} & 0 \\
\hdashline 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \cdot g=\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
\mathbf{1}_{k+1} & 0 \\
\hdashline 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
S U(k+1,1)=U(k+1,1) \cap S L(k+2, C)
$$

For each element $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & \mathfrak{b} \\ \mathfrak{c} & d\end{array}\right) \in S U(k+1,1)$, where $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k+1}, \mathfrak{b}={ }^{t}\left(b_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.b_{k+1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{c}=\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{k+1}\right)$, we put

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
L_{j}(\gamma) & =\left(a_{j 1}+b_{j}, 2 a_{j 2}, 2 a_{j 3}, \cdots, 2 a_{j, k+1}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
C(\gamma) & =\left(c_{1}+d, 2 c_{2}, 2 c_{3}, \cdots, 2 c_{k+1}\right) ; \\
B_{j}(\gamma) & =\sqrt{-1}\left(b_{j}-a_{j 1}\right) \text { and } D(\gamma)=\sqrt{-1}\left(d-c_{1}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

for $j=1,2, \cdots, k+1$.
It is easy to see that $U(k+1,1)$ coincides with all matrices $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & \mathfrak{b} \\ \mathfrak{c} & d\end{array}\right) \in G L(k+2, C)$ of the form ${ }^{t} \bar{A} A-{ }^{t} \overline{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{C}=\mathbf{1}_{k+1},{ }^{t} \overline{\mathrm{~b} b}-|d|^{2}=-1$ and ${ }^{t} \overline{\mathrm{~b}} A-\bar{d} \mathrm{c}=0_{1, k+1}$. From this, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathfrak{c z}+d|^{2}-\|A \mathfrak{z}+\mathfrak{b}\|^{2}=1-\|\mathfrak{z}\|^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & \mathfrak{b} \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in U(k+1,1)$ and any $z \in C^{k+1}$, by an easy computation.

Now we consider the group Aut $(\mathcal{E})$ of all holomorphic transformations of the elementary Siegel domain

$$
\mathcal{E}=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{k}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{a=1}^{k}\right| w_{\infty}\right|^{2}>0\right\}
$$

The elementary Siegel domain $\mathcal{E}$ is holomorphically equivalent to the unit open ball $\mathcal{B}=\left\{z={ }^{t}\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{k+1}\right) \in C^{k+1} \mid\|z\|<1\right\}$. In fact, the biholomorphic isomor$\operatorname{phism} \phi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{1}=(z-\sqrt{-1})(z+\sqrt{-1})^{-1}, z^{j}=2 w_{j-1}(z+\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=2,3, \cdots, k+1$. It is well-known that the $\operatorname{group}^{\operatorname{Aut}}(\mathscr{B})$ can be identified with the simple Lie group $S U(k+1,1)$ and each element $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & \mathfrak{b} \\ \boldsymbol{c} & d\end{array}\right) \in S U(k+1,1)$ acts on $\mathscr{B}$ by the holomorphic transformation $\sigma_{\gamma}: \mathfrak{z} \mapsto\left(A_{z}+\mathfrak{b}\right)\left(c_{z}+d\right)^{-1}$. Define $\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}=\phi^{-1} \cdot \sigma_{\gamma} \cdot \phi$ for each $\gamma \in S U(k+1,1)$. Then it is obvious that $\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}$ defines a holomorphic transformation of $\mathcal{E}$. By a direct calculation, we see that the action of $\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}$ on $\mathcal{E}$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z \mapsto \sqrt{-1} \frac{1+(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)}{1-(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)} \\
w_{j} \mapsto \sqrt{-1} \frac{(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{j+1}(\gamma) Z+B_{j+1}(\gamma)\right)}{1-(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $j=1,2, \cdots, k$, where $Z={ }^{t}\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{E}$ and $C(\gamma), L_{j}(\gamma), B_{j}(\gamma), D(\gamma)$ are defined by (3.1).

Let $K_{\sqrt{-1}}^{0}$ be the identity component of the isotropy subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}\right)$ at the origin $0 \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$. We define a mapping $\Psi_{\gamma, K}: \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$ for each $\gamma \in S U(k+1,1)$ and $K \in K^{0}{ }_{\sqrt{-1}}$ as follows:

$$
\Psi_{\gamma, K}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
z \mapsto \sqrt{-1} \frac{1+(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)}{1-(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)} \\
w_{j} \mapsto \sqrt{-1} \frac{(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{j+1}(\gamma) Z+B_{j+1}(\gamma)\right)}{1-(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)} \\
\text { for } j=1,2, \cdots, k \\
W \mapsto K \cdot \frac{2 \sqrt{-1}(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1}}{1-(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)} \cdot W
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $Z={ }^{t}\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$ and $W={ }^{t}\left(w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\cdots, w_{k}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{m}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\right| w_{c \mid}\right|^{2}>0\right\}=\mathcal{E}, \Psi_{\gamma, K}$ is a well-defined holomorphic mapping of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$ into itself.

Now we can state Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. Let $\Psi_{\gamma, K}: \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$ be the holomorphic mapping defined as above. Then $\Psi_{\gamma, K}$ induces a holomorphic transformation of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$, and moreover any holomorphic transformation of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ belonging to the identity component of Aut ( $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ is of this form, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})=\left\{\Psi_{\gamma, K} \mid \gamma \in S U(k+1,1), K \in K^{0}{ }_{\sqrt{-1}}\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$ be the coordinates system in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ defined in Theorem 1. We put $w^{\prime}=\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}\right), w^{\prime \prime}=\left(w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$ and $\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\left|w_{a}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ as before. First we claim that each element $\Psi_{\gamma}^{0} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathcal{E})=\operatorname{Aut}_{0}\left(\mathscr{D}_{0}\right)$ can be extended to a holomorphic transformation of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$. We consider the following mappings:

$$
w_{s} \mapsto \widetilde{w}_{s}:=\frac{2 \sqrt{-1}(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} w_{s}}{1-(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)}
$$

for $s=k+1, k+2, \cdots, m$. Put $\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}={ }^{t}\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0,1}, \cdots, \Psi_{\gamma}^{0, k+1}\right)$. We shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(^{t}\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right), \widetilde{w}_{k+1}, \cdots, \widetilde{w}_{m}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(z, w)=\left({ }^{t} Z, w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$.
Put $\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right)_{w}=\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0,2}(Z), \cdots, \Psi_{\gamma}^{0, k+1}(Z)\right)$. If we show the following two conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im} . \Psi_{\gamma}^{0,1}(Z)-\left\|\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right)_{w}\right\|^{2}>0 \text { and }  \tag{3.5}\\
& \left(\operatorname{Im} . \Psi_{\gamma}^{0,1}(Z)-\left\|\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right)_{w}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot \widetilde{w}^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{w}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\tilde{w}_{k+1}, \cdots, \tilde{w}_{m}\right)$, then (3.4) will follow from Theorem 1. The condition (3.5) is obvious, since $\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}$ is a holomorphic transformation of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}$. By routine calculations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Im} . \Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)-\left\|\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right)_{w}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \frac{1-\sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\left|(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{j}(\gamma) Z+B_{j}(\gamma)\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|1-(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)\right|^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
=\frac{\left(\operatorname{Im} . \Psi_{\gamma}^{0,1}(Z)-\left\|\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right)_{w}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot \tilde{w}_{s}}{|C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma)| \cdot\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\left|(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{j}(\gamma) Z+B_{j}(\gamma)\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(Z, \gamma)= & -\arg \cdot\left\{1-(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{1}(\gamma) Z+B_{1}(\gamma)\right)\right\} \\
& -\arg \cdot(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))+\pi / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\phi$ be the biholomorphic isomorphism defined in (3.3) and put $z=\phi(Z) \in \mathscr{B}$.

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma)=(z+\sqrt{-1})(\mathfrak{c z}+d) \text { and } \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\left|(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1}\left(L_{j}(\gamma) Z+B_{j}(\gamma)\right)\right|^{2}=\left\|\left(A_{\mathfrak{z}}+\mathfrak{b}\right) \cdot(\mathfrak{c z}+d)^{-1}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 w_{s}}{|C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma)| \cdot\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\left|(C(\gamma) Z+D(\gamma))^{-1} \cdot\left(L_{j}(\gamma) Z+B_{j}(\gamma)\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
= & \frac{2 w_{s}}{|z+\sqrt{-1}| \cdot\left(1-\|\left. z\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover it is easy to check that $1-\|z\|^{2}=4|z+\sqrt{-1}|^{-2}\left(\operatorname{Im} \cdot z-\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)$. Thus we get

$$
\left(\operatorname{Im} . \Psi_{\gamma}^{0,1}(Z)-\|\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}((Z))_{w} \|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot \widetilde{w}_{s}=e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta(Z, \gamma)}\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w_{s},\right.
$$

and hence

$$
\left(\operatorname{Im} . \Psi_{\gamma}^{0,1}(Z)-\left\|\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right)_{w}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot \widetilde{w}^{\prime \prime}=e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta(Z, \gamma)}\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w^{\prime \prime}
$$

Since $\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is circular, we get $\left(\operatorname{Im} . \Psi_{\gamma}^{0,1}(Z)\right.$ $\left.-\left\|\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right)_{w}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot \widetilde{w}^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{\sqrt{-1}}$. Therefore we have (3.4). By (3.4), we can define a mapping $\Psi_{\gamma}: \widetilde{\mathscr{D}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\gamma}:\left({ }^{t} Z, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \mapsto\left({ }^{t}\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right), \widetilde{w}^{\prime \prime}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that this mapping $\Psi_{\gamma}$ is an extension of $\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}$ if we verify the follwiwng relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\gamma_{2}} \cdot \Psi_{\gamma_{1}}=\Psi_{\gamma_{2} \cdot \gamma_{1}} \quad \text { for any } \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in S U(k+1,1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this, consider a mapping $\tilde{\phi}:\{z \in \boldsymbol{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z>0\} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{1}=(z-\sqrt{-1})(z+\sqrt{-1})^{-1}, z^{j}=2 w_{j-1}(z+\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=2,3, \cdots, m+1$. Note that the restriction $\tilde{\phi}: \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \rightarrow C^{m+1}$ is nothing but the biholomorphic isomorphism $\phi: \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ defined in (3.3). Since Im. $z>0$ if $(z, w) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ by Lemma 1, it is easy to check that $\tilde{\phi}$ is injective and holomorphic on $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$. Thus $\widetilde{\phi}$ defines a biholomorphic isomorphism of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ onto the image domain $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}:=\widetilde{\phi}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$. Now we define a holomorphic mapping $\tilde{\sigma}_{\gamma}: \mathscr{B} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$ for each $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & \mathfrak{b} \\ \mathfrak{c} & d\end{array}\right) \in S U(k+1,1)$ by

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{\gamma}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z \mapsto(A z+\mathfrak{b}) \cdot(\mathfrak{c z}+d)^{-1} \\
z^{\prime} \mapsto(\mathfrak{c z}+d)^{-1} z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z \in \mathscr{B}$ and $z^{\prime}={ }^{t}\left(z^{k+1}, \cdots, z^{m+1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$. Then by direct calculations we get

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\Psi_{\gamma}(z, w)\right)=\tilde{\sigma}_{\gamma}(\tilde{\phi}(z, w)) \quad \text { for all }(z, w) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{D}} .
$$

From this fact, the verification of (3.8) has reduced to verify the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\sigma}_{\gamma_{2}} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_{\gamma_{1}}=\tilde{\sigma}_{\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}} \quad \text { for any } \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in S U(k+1,1) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

But (3.10) follows from the relation ${ }^{t} \bar{A} A-{ }^{t} \overline{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{C}=1_{k+1},{ }^{t} \overline{\mathrm{~b}} \mathfrak{b}-|d|^{2}=-1$ and ${ }^{t \overline{\mathrm{~b}}} A-\bar{d} \mathrm{c}$ $=0$, which is satisfied for any $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & \mathfrak{b} \\ \mathfrak{c} & d\end{array}\right) \in U(k+1,1)$. Therefore we have showed that each element $\Psi_{\gamma}^{0} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)$ can be extended to the element $\Psi_{\gamma} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ defined by (3.7). Next, taking an element $K \in K^{0}{ }_{\sqrt{-1}}$, we define a mapping $\Psi_{\gamma, K}: \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$ by

$$
\Psi_{\gamma, K}:\left({ }^{t} Z, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \mapsto\left({ }^{t}\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{0}(Z)\right), K \widetilde{w}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

which is nothing but the mapping $\Psi_{\gamma, K}$ defined as before. Then, by using the expression of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ as in Theorem 1, we can see easily that $\Psi_{\gamma, K}$ defines a holomorphic transformation of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$. Moreover the subset $\left\{\Psi_{\gamma, K} \mid \gamma \in S U(k+1,1), K \in\right.$ $\left.K^{0}{ }_{V-1}\right\}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ has the structure of real Lie transformation group of $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ with dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} S U(k+1,1)+\operatorname{dim} K^{0}{ }_{\sqrt{-1}}$. It remains to show that this Lie group coincides with $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$. We denote by $\mathfrak{B u}(k+1,1)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{f}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ ) the Lie algebra of $S U(k+1,1)$ (resp. of $\left.K^{0}{ }_{\sqrt{-1}}\right)$. We claim the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{s u} \mathfrak{H}(k+1,1)+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{l}_{\sqrt{ }=1} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we show (3.11), then it is obvious that $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})=\left\{\Psi_{\gamma, K} \mid \gamma \in S U(k+1,1)\right.$, $\left.K \in K^{0}{ }_{\sqrt{-1}}\right\}$. Let $\Pi: \mathrm{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{g}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)$ be the homomorphism defined in Corollary 3. Let $\mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})=\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{r}$ be a Levi-decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$, where $\mathfrak{r}$ denotes the radical of $\mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ denotes a maximal semi-simple subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})$. Put $\mathfrak{E}_{2}=\operatorname{Ker} \Pi \cap \mathfrak{B}$. Then $\mathfrak{\Xi}_{2}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{B}$. Thus there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{B}_{1}$ of $\mathfrak{B}$ such that $\mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{F}_{1}+\mathfrak{F}_{2}$ (direct sum). Since $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{0}\right)$ is a simple Lei algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n l}(k+1,1)$ and $\Pi$ is surjective, it follows that $\Pi(\mathfrak{r})=0$, i.e., $\mathfrak{r} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \Pi$. Hence we get $\mathfrak{g}(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}})=\mathfrak{g}_{1}+\operatorname{Ker} \Pi$ (direct sum) and $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{B l} \mathfrak{n}(k+1,1)$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \Pi \subset g_{0}$ by the proof of Corollary 3, we see that $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\right.$ $\left.\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}, \operatorname{Ker} \Pi\right]=0$. From this fact we can show in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 4 that $\operatorname{Ker} \Pi$ is identified with $\sqrt{\sqrt{-1}}$. Thus we get the equality (3.11) and Theorem 2 is proved.
q.e.d.

## 4. Examples and remarks

Given an integer $k$ such that $0 \leqq k \leqq m, k \neq m-1$, there is an example of the generalized Siegel domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k$.

Indeed we have the following examples.
Examples. Let $k$ be an integer as above and $p$ a positive integer different from 2. Put

$$
\mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{-1}}=\left\{\left.\left(w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}| | w_{k+1}\right|^{p}+\cdots+\left|w_{m}\right|^{p}<1\right\} .
$$

Obviously $\mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ is a bounded Reinhardt domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m-k}$. For this domain $\mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{ }=1}$, we define a domain $\mathscr{D}$ in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}=\{ & \left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{m}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{a=1}^{k}\right| w_{a}\right|^{2}>0, \\
& \left.\left(\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{a=1}^{k}\left|w_{a}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \cdot w^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{\sqrt{-1}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w^{\prime \prime}=\left(w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$. The domain $\mathscr{D}$ is also expressed as follows:

$$
\mathscr{D}=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{m}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\right| w_{a}\right|^{2}-\left(\sum_{\beta=k+1}^{m}\left|w_{\beta}\right|^{p}\right)^{2 / p}>0\right\}
$$

We shall show that $\mathscr{D}$ is a desired example. It is easy to see that $\mathscr{D}$ satisfies the condition (2) of the definition of the generalized Siegel domain with exponent $1 / 2$. Moreover the mapping $\tilde{\phi}$ defined in (3.9) gives a biholomorphic isomorphism of $\mathscr{D}$ onto the bounded Reinhardt domain

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{\left.\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{k+1}, u^{1}, \cdots, u^{m-k}\right) \in C^{m+1}\left|\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k+1}\right| z^{\infty}\right|^{2}+\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{m-k}\left|u^{\beta}\right|^{p}\right)^{2 / p}<1\right\}
$$

in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$. Thus $\mathscr{D}$ is a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent $1 / 2$. Now we show that $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k$. First we recall that the $\operatorname{group} \mathrm{Aut}_{0}(\mathcal{R})$ consists of all transformations of the following type (cf. [6], [8]):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{z} \mapsto(A \tilde{z}+\mathfrak{b})(c \tilde{z}+d)^{-1}  \tag{4.1}\\
u^{\beta} \mapsto(c \tilde{z}+d)^{-1} e^{V-1} \theta_{\beta} \cdot u^{\beta}, 1 \leqq \beta \leqq m-k
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & \mathfrak{b} \\ \mathfrak{c} & d\end{array}\right) \in U(k+1,1), \theta_{\beta} \in R$ and $\tilde{z}={ }^{t}\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{k+1}\right)$. Note that we can replace $U(k+1,1)$ by $S U(k+1,1)$ in (4.1), because any element $g \in U(k+1,1)$ can be written in the form $g=e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} \cdot g_{0}$ for suitable $\theta \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and $g_{0} \in S U(k+1,1)$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathcal{R}) \cdot 0=\left\{\left.\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{k+1}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\right| z^{j}\right|^{2}<1\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{D})=\tilde{\phi}^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{R}) \cdot \tilde{\phi}$, (4.2) implies that

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{D}) \cdot(\sqrt{ } \overline{-1}, 0)=\left\{\left(z, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{m}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\right| w_{\infty}\right|^{2}>0\right\}
$$

From this fact, we can conclude that $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k$.

Remark 1. In the case where $n \geqq 2$, the analogy of Theorem 1 is not true in general. In fact we have the following example. Let

$$
\mathscr{D}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{2}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z_{1}-\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}>0, \operatorname{Im} . z_{2}-\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{w}_{1} w_{2}\right)>0\right\} .\right.
$$

Then $\mathscr{D}$ is a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ with exponent $1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ $\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}=2$, more precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}=\left\{\left.2 \sqrt{-1} \bar{c} w_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+\sqrt{-1} \bar{c} w_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}+c \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} \right\rvert\, \boldsymbol{c} \in \boldsymbol{C}\right\} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall sketch the proof of this fact. First $\mathscr{D}$ is a generalized Siegel domain with exponent $1 / 2$. In fact, $\mathscr{D}$ is contained in the domain

$$
\mathscr{D}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{2}\left|\operatorname{Im} . z_{1}-\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}>0,2 \operatorname{Im} . z_{1}+\operatorname{Im} . z_{2}>0\right\}\right.
$$

and $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ is holomorphically equivalent to a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{4}$. Next we shall show that $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=2$. For given $c \in \boldsymbol{C}, \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{D})$ contains the global one-parameter subgroup

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{1}+2 \sqrt{-1} t \bar{c} w_{1}+\sqrt{-1}|t c|^{2}, z_{2}+\sqrt{-1} t \bar{c} w_{2}, w_{1}+t c, w_{2}\right), t \in \boldsymbol{R} .
$$

This global one-parameter subgroup induces a holomorphic vector field $X_{c}=2 \sqrt{-1} \bar{c} w_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+\sqrt{-1} \bar{c} w_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}+c \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}$ belonging to $\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}$. Thus $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2}$ $\geqq 2$. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}=4$. Then we can show in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5.1 of Vey [9] that $\mathscr{D}$ is a Siegel domain of the second kind, and $\mathscr{D}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\mathscr{D}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \mid \operatorname{Im} . z_{1}-F_{1}(w, w)>0, \operatorname{Im} . z_{2}-F_{2}(w, w)>0\right\}
$$

where $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ and $F=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ is a $\{x \in \boldsymbol{R} \mid x>0\} \times\{x \in \boldsymbol{R} \mid x>0\}$ - hermitian form. Hence $F_{1}(w, w) \geqq 0$ and $F_{2}(w, w) \geqq 0$ for any $w \in C^{2}$. On the other hand, if we take a point $(3,0,-1,1) \in \mathscr{D}$, then $\operatorname{Im} .0-F_{2}((-1,1),(-1,1))>0$ and hence $F_{2}((-1,1),(-1,1))<0$. This is a contradiction. Thus we get $2 \leqq \operatorname{dim}_{R}$ $\mathrm{g}_{-1 / 2} \neq 4$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=2$. By (4.3), we can see that there exists no nonsingular linear mapping $\mathcal{L}^{3}: \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ satisfying the conditions stated in Lemma 4.

Remark 2. Let $(z, w)$ be a coordinates system in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}$ with exponent $c>0$. Then we can show in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 that $\mathscr{D}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\mathscr{D}=\left\{(z, w) \in \boldsymbol{C} \times\left.\boldsymbol{C}|\operatorname{Im} . z-A| w\right|^{1 / c}>0\right\}
$$

where $A$ is a positive real number depending only on $\mathscr{D}$.
Remark 3. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a generalized Siegel domain in $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ with exponent
$1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathrm{~g}_{-1 / 2}=2 k, 0 \leqq k \leqq m$. Then there is a natural $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{D})$-equivariant holomorphic imbedding of $\mathscr{D}$ into the complex projective space $P_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{C})$.

In order to show this fact, we may identify $\mathscr{D}$ with the generalized Siegel domain $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}$ as in Theorem 1. Let $\widetilde{\phi}: \widetilde{\mathscr{D}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ be the biholomorphic isomorphism defined in (3.9). Then $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is a domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m+1}$ and the group Aut $(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}})$ consists of all holomorphic transformations of the following type:

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{\gamma, K}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathfrak{z} \mapsto\left(A_{z}+\mathfrak{b}\right)(\mathfrak{c z}+d)^{-1} \\
z^{\prime} \mapsto K \cdot(\mathfrak{c z}+d)^{-1} \cdot z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z={ }^{t}\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{k+1}\right), z^{\prime}={ }^{t}\left(z^{k+2}, \cdots, z^{m+1}\right), \gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & \mathfrak{b} \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in S U(k+1,1)$ and $K \in$ $K^{0} \sqrt{-1}$. Note that $K^{0} \sqrt{-1}$ is a subgroup of $G L(m-k, C)$. By using a homogeneous coordinate of $P_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{C})$, we define a holomorphic imbedding $\tilde{t}: \boldsymbol{C}^{m+1} \hookrightarrow$ $P_{m+1}(\mathrm{C})$ by

$$
\tilde{l}:{ }^{t}\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{k+1}, z^{k+2}, \cdots, z^{m+1}\right) \mapsto{ }^{t}\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{k+1}, 1, z^{k+2}, \cdots, z^{m+1}\right) .
$$

Then it is easy to see that the restriction $\tilde{\ell}: \widetilde{\mathscr{G}} \hookrightarrow P_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{C})$ defines an $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}})$ equivariant holomorphic imbedding of $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ into $P_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{C})$, where the holomorphic transformation $\tilde{\Psi}_{\gamma, K}$ of $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is extended to a projective transformation $\bar{\Psi}_{\gamma, K}$ of $P_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{C})$ induced by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc:c}
A & \mathfrak{b} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathfrak{c} & d & \\
\hdashline \mathbf{0} & K
\end{array}\right) \in G L(m+2, C)
$$

Putting $\ell=\tilde{l} \cdot \tilde{\phi}$, we get a desired $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\mathscr{D})$-equivariant holomorphic imbedding $\ell: \mathscr{D} \hookrightarrow P_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{C})$.

Osaka University
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