

## Controllability of Quasilinear Integrodifferential Systems in Banach Spaces

K.Balachandran, R.Sakthivel  
and  
S.Marshall Anthoni  
Department of Mathematics  
Bharathiar University  
Coimbatore-641 046, INDIA

**Abstract:** In this paper we establish sufficient conditions for the controllability of quasilinear delay integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces. The results are obtained using the theory of semigroup of operators and the Schauder-Tychonov theorem. The results generalize the results of [6].

**Key words:** Controllability, Quasilinear integrodifferential systems, Schauder-Tychonov theorem.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 93 B 05.

### 1.Introduction

Controllability of nonlinear systems represented by ordinary differential equations in infinite dimensional spaces has been studied by several authors. Triggiani [20] studied the controllability problem in Banach spaces with bounded operators. The importance of the question of controllability with control constraints in abstract spaces is established in [1,11,12,19]. Using an implicit function theorem, Chukwu and Lenhart [8] showed that the nonlinear system

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (1)$$

is locally approximate null controllable provided that the linear operator of the system is approximately invertible and the linear approximation to (1) is locally null controllable. Naito [13-15] established the approximate controllability of semilinear control systems under simple and fundamental assumptions on the systems components. Naito and Park [16] discussed the same problem for delay Volterra control systems by using the Leray-Schauder degree theorem. Yamamoto and Park [21] established necessary and sufficient conditions for the approximate controllability of parabolic equations in a Banach space with uniformly bounded nonlinear term with the help of estimates of solutions to the nonlinear parabolic systems. Kwun et al [9] investigated the controllability and approximate controllability of delay Volterra systems by using a fixed point theorem. Balachandran et al [3-5] studied the problem for semilinear evolution systems and nonlinear integrodifferential systems in

Banach spaces. Recently Balachandran and Dauer [2] discussed the controllability of Sobolev-type integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces. In this paper we shall study the controllability of quasilinear delay integrodifferential systems by using the Schauder-Tychonov theorem. Motivation for this type of systems are found in [17,18].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $L(X, Y)$  be the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . The symbol  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the norm of all the spaces and bounded linear operators considered in this paper. It also denotes the sup-norm of any bounded continuous function. Let  $J \subset R = (-\infty, \infty)$  be a bounded interval and let the operator  $A : J \times X \rightarrow Y$  be defined; then  $A(\cdot, x)$  is continuous  $tX$ -uniformly in  $x$  if for every bounded subset  $M$  of  $X$  we have

$$\lim_{t \in J, t \rightarrow t_0} \sup_{x \in M} \|A(t, x) - A(t_0, x)\| = 0 \quad \text{for every } t_0 \in J. \quad (2)$$

We denote by  $C(J, X)$  the space of all continuous functions from  $J$  into  $X$  with the supnorm. Let  $C = C([-r, 0], X)$ .

Consider the quasilinear delay integrodifferential equation

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) + A(t, x(t))x(t) &= B(t, x(t))u(t) \\ &+ f(t, x(t), x(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, x(s))ds), \quad t, s \in J = [0, T] \\ x(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [-r, 0] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the state  $x(t)$  takes the values in the Banach space  $X$  and the control function  $u$  is given in  $L^2(J, U)$ , a Banach space of admissible control functions with  $U$  as a Banach space. The operators  $A$  and  $B$  are such that  $A(t, x) \in L(X, X)$  and  $B(t, x) \in L(U, X)$  for every  $(t, x) \in J \times X$  and that  $A$  and  $B$  are compact and continuous in  $x$ . Further, the nonlinear operators  $f : J \times X^3 \rightarrow X$  and  $\eta : J \times J \times X \rightarrow X$  are compact and continuous in  $(x, y, w)$ . For fixed  $z \in C([-r, T], X)$  we let  $X_z(t)$ ,  $t \in J = J$ ,  $X_z(0) = I$ , denote the fundamental operator of the equation [10]

$$x'(t) + A(t, z(t))x(t) = 0, \quad x(0) = \phi(0).$$

Then  $X_z \in C(J, L(X, X))$  and  $X_z$  is the unique continuously differentiable solution which satisfies

$$\dot{X}_z + A(t, z(t))X_z = 0, \quad t \in J, \quad X_z(0) = I \quad (4)$$

Moreover,  $X_z^{-1} \in C(J, L(X, X))$  and  $X_z^{-1}$  is the unique continuously differentiable solution of

$$\dot{X}_z^{-1} - X_z^{-1}A(t, z(t)) = 0, \quad t \in J, \quad X_z^{-1}(0) = I. \quad (5)$$

**Definition:** The system (3) is said to be controllable on the interval  $J$  if for every continuous initial function  $\phi$  defined on  $[-r, 0]$  and every  $v \in X$  there exists a control  $u \in L^2(J, U)$  such that the solution  $x(t)$  of (3) satisfies  $x(T) = v$ .

Now for each fixed  $z \in C(J, X)$ , consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) + A(t, z(t))x(t) &= B(t, z(t))u(t) \\ &+ f(t, z(t), z(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, z(s))ds), \quad s, t \in J \\ x(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [-r, 0], \end{aligned}$$

where the operators  $A(t, x)$  and  $B(t, x)$  are continuous on  $J \times X$  and  $f(t, x, y, w)$  is continuous on  $J \times X^3$ .

Therefore for each controller  $u(t) \in L^2(J, U)$  this equation has a unique solution  $x_z(t)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_z(t) &= X_z(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t X_z(t)X_z^{-1}(s)B(s, z(s))u(s)ds \\ &+ \int_0^t X_z(t)X_z^{-1}(s)f(s, z(s), z(s-r), \int_0^s \eta(s, \tau, z(\tau))d\tau)ds, \quad t \in J \quad (6) \\ x_z(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [-r, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

We will assume the following hypotheses.

- (i) There is a positive constant  $K$  such that the fundamental operator solution  $X_z$  satisfies  $\|X_z(t)\| \leq K$  and  $\|X_z^{-1}(t)\| \leq K$ .
- (ii) The operators  $A(t, x)$  and  $B(t, x)$  are compact, continuous  $tX$ -uniformly in  $x$  and satisfy equation (2) with  $\|A(t, z(t))\| \leq K_1$ ,  $\|B(t, z(t))\| \leq K_2$  and the operator  $f(t, x, y, w)$  is compact, continuous  $tX$ -uniformly in  $(x, y, z)$  and satisfy equation (2) with  $\|f(t, z(t), z(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, z(s))ds)\| \leq K_3$  where  $K_1, K_2$  and  $K_3$  are positive constants.
- (iii) The linear operator  $W$  from  $L^2(J, U)$  onto  $X$  defined by

$$Wu = \int_0^T X_z(T)X_z^{-1}(s)B(s, z(s))u(s)ds$$

has an invertible operator  $W^{-1}$  which takes values in  $L^2(J, U) \setminus \ker W$ .

### 3. Main Result

**Theorem:** If hypotheses (i)-(iii) are satisfied then the system (3) is controllable on  $J$ .

**Proof:** Using hypothesis (iii), define the control

$$u(t) = W^{-1}[v - X_z(T)\phi(0) - \int_0^T X_z(T)X_z^{-1}(s)f(s, z(s), z(s-r), \int_0^s \eta(s, \tau, z(\tau))d\tau)ds](t).$$

Using this control we will show that the operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi x_z(t) &= \phi(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [-r, 0]. \\ \Phi x_z(t) &= X_z(t)\phi(0) \\ &+ \int_0^t X_z(t)X_z^{-1}(s)f(s, z(s), z(s-r), \int_0^s \eta(s, \tau, z(\tau))d\tau)ds \\ &+ \int_0^t X_z(t)X_z^{-1}(s)B(s, z(s))W^{-1}[v - X_z(T)\phi(0) \\ &- \int_0^T X_z(T)X_z^{-1}(\theta)f(\theta, z(\theta), z(\theta-r), \int_0^\theta \eta(\theta, \tau, z(\tau))d\tau)d\theta](s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

has a fixed point. This fixed point is then a solution of the equation (6).

Let  $M = \{z \in C([-r, T], X) : z(t) = \phi(t), t \in [-r, 0], \|z\| \leq \alpha \text{ and } \|z(t) - z(t')\| \leq N|t - t'|, t, t' \in J\}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= K\|\phi\| + K^2K_3T + LT, \quad N = KK_1\|\phi\| + K^2K_1K_3T + K^2K_3 + (1 + K_1T)L, \\ L &= K^2K_2\|W^{-1}\|\{\|v\| + K\|\phi\| + K^2K_3T\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $M$  is non-empty, because the function  $z : [-r, T] \rightarrow X$  with  $z(t) = \phi(t), t \in [-r, 0]$ , and  $z(t) = \phi(0), t \in J$ , belongs to  $M$ . Let  $\Phi : M \rightarrow C([-r, T], X)$  be the operator that maps  $z \in M$  to  $x_z$ . In order to apply the Schauder-Tychonov theorem on  $M$ , we first show that  $\Phi M \subset M$ . In fact, given  $z \in M$ , we have

$$\|x_z(t)\| \leq \phi(t), \quad t \in [-r, 0]$$

and for  $t \in J$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_z(t)\| \\ &\leq \|X_z(t)\|\|\phi\| + \int_0^t \|X_z(t)\|\|X_z^{-1}(s)\|\|f(s, z(s), z(s-r), \int_0^s \eta(s, \tau, z(\tau))d\tau)\|ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|X_z(t)\|\|X_z^{-1}(s)\|\|B(s, z(s))\|\|W^{-1}\| \\ &\quad \times (\|v\| + \|X_z(T)\|\|\phi\| + \|X_z(T)\|\int_0^T \|X_z^{-1}(\theta)\| \\ &\quad \quad \|f(\theta, z(\theta), z(\theta-r), \int_0^\theta \eta(\theta, \tau, z(\tau))d\tau)\|d\theta)(s)ds \\ &\leq K\|\phi\| + K^2K_3T + K^2K_2\|W^{-1}\|\{\|v\| + K\|\phi\| + K^2K_3T\}T. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\|x_z(t)\| \leq \alpha$ . Since  $X_z(t)$  satisfies equation (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_z(t) - X_z(t')\| &\leq \int_{t'}^t \|A(s, z(s))\| \|X_z(s)\| ds \\ &\leq KK_1|t - t'|.\end{aligned}$$

Using this and given  $t, t' \in J$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_z(t) - x_z(t')\| &\leq \|X_z(t) - X_z(t')\| \|\phi\| \\ &+ \|X_z(t) - X_z(t')\| \int_0^t \|X_z^{-1}(s)\| \\ &\quad \|f(s, z(s), z(s-r), \int_0^s \eta(s, \tau, z(\tau)) d\tau)\| ds \\ &+ \|X_z(t')\| \int_{t'}^t \|X_z^{-1}(s)\| \\ &\quad \|f(s, z(s), z(s-r), \int_0^s \eta(s, \tau, z(\tau)) d\tau)\| ds \\ &+ \|X_z(t) - X_z(t')\| \int_0^t \|X_z^{-1}(s)\| \|B(s, z(s))\| \|W^{-1}\| \\ &\quad [\|v\| + \|X_z(T)\| \|\phi\| + \int_0^T \|X_z(T)\| \|X_z^{-1}(\theta)\| \\ &\quad \|f(\theta, z(\theta), z(\theta-r), \int_0^\theta \eta(\theta, \tau, z(\tau)) d\tau)\| d\theta](s) ds \\ &+ \|X_z(t')\| \int_{t'}^t \|X_z^{-1}(s)\| \|B(s, z(s))\| \|W^{-1}\| \\ &\quad + [\|v\| + \|X_z(T)\| \|\phi\| + \int_0^T \|X_z(t)\| \|X_z^{-1}(\theta)\| \\ &\quad \|f(\theta, z(\theta), z(\theta-r), \int_0^\theta \eta(\theta, \tau, z(\tau)) d\tau)\| d\theta](s) ds \\ &\leq KK_1|t - t'| \|\phi\| + KK_1|t - t'| KK_1T + K^2K_3|t - t'| \\ &\quad + KK_1|t - t'| KK_2 \|W^{-1}\| [\|v\| + K\|\phi\| + K^2K_3T]T \\ &\quad + K^2K_2 \|W^{-1}\| [\|v\| + K\|\phi\| K^2K_3T] |t - t'| \\ &\leq N|t - t'|\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|x_z(t) - x_z(t')\| \leq N|t - t'|$ . It follows that  $\Phi M \subset M$ . To show that  $\Phi$  is continuous, let  $z_n, z \in M$  be given with  $\|z_n - z\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, using assumption (ii) with

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_{z_n}(t) - X_z(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \|A(s, z_n(s))X_{z_n}(s) - A(s, z(s))X_z(s)\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|A(s, z_n(s)) - A(s, z(s))\| \|X_{z_n}(s)\| ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|A(s, z(s))\| \|X_{z_n}(s) - X_z(s)\| ds\end{aligned}$$

and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\|X_{z_n}(t) - X_z(t)\| \leq Ke^{kT} \int_0^T \|A(s, z_n(s)) - A(s, z(s))\| ds$$

for every  $t \in J$ . This shows that  $\|X_{z_n} - X_z\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly, using (5), we can prove that  $\|X_{z_n}^{-1} - X_z^{-1}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From the continuity of  $B$  and  $f, \eta$  we see that  $B(t, z_n(t))$  and  $f(t, z_n(t), z_n(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, z_n(s)) ds)$  converge uniformly to  $B(t, x(t))$  and  $f(t, z(t), z(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, z(s)) ds)$  respectively. Using these facts, it is easily seen that  $\|x_{z_n} - x_z\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently,  $\Phi$  is continuous on  $M$ . Before we show that  $M$  is relatively compact set, we first prove that the operators

$A_1 : M \rightarrow C(J, L(X, X))$  defined by  $(A_1 z)(t) = A(t, z(t))$

$B_1 : M \rightarrow C(J, L(U, X))$  defined by  $(B_1 z)(t) = B(t, z(t))$

$f_1 : M \rightarrow C(J, X)$  defined by

$$(f_1 z)(t) = f(t, z(t), z(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, z(s)) ds)$$

are compact. For this let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence in  $M$ . We first observe that

$$\|A(t, z_n(t))\| \leq K_1, \quad t \in J, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Given  $t, t_0 \in J$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A(t, z_n(t)) - A(t_0, z_n(t_0))\| \\ & \leq \|A(t, z_n(t)) - A(t_0, z_n(t))\| + \|A(t_0, z_n(t)) - A(t_0, z_n(t_0))\| \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\| \leq \alpha} \|A(t, x) - A(t_0, x)\| + \|A(t_0, z_n(t)) - A(t_0, z_n(t_0))\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hypothesis (ii) and the uniform Lipschitz continuity of the functions  $z_n$  on  $[-r, T]$  imply the equicontinuity of the functions  $A_n(t) = A(t, z_n(t))$ ,  $t \in J$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Now let  $t_0 \in J$  be given. Then, since  $\{z_n(t_0)\}$  is a bounded sequence, the compactness of  $A(t_0, x)$  in  $x$  implies the relative compactness of the set  $\{A(t_0, z_n(t_0))\}$ . Consequently, the operator  $A_1$  is compact. A similar argument proves the compactness of  $B_1$  and  $f_1$ . Thus  $A \in C(J, L(X, X))$ ,  $B \in C(J, L(U, X))$  and  $f \in C(J, X)$ . Therefore, given a sequence  $\{z_n\} \subset M$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{z_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $A(t, z_{n_k}(t)) \rightarrow A(t)$ ,  $B(t, z_{n_k}(t)) \rightarrow B(t)$ ,  $f(t, z_{n_k}(t), z_{n_k}(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, z_{n_k}(s)) ds) \rightarrow f(t)$  uniformly on  $J$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $X(t)$  denote the fundamental operator for the problem

$$x'(t) + A(t)x(t) = 0, \quad x(0) = \phi(0)$$

Then,

$$x(t) = X(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t X(t)X^{-1}(s)[B(s)u(s) + f(s)]ds, \quad t \in J.$$

is the unique solution of the problem

$$x'(t) + A(t)x(t) = B(t)u(t) + f(t), \quad t \in J, \quad x(0) = \phi(0).$$

It is easy to see now that  $X_{z_{n_k}}(t) \rightarrow X(t)$  and  $X_{z_{n_k}}^{-1}(t) \rightarrow X^{-1}(t)$  uniformly on  $J$ . It follows that  $x_{z_{n_k}}(t) \rightarrow x(t)$  uniformly on  $J$ . Since  $x_{z_{n_k}}(t) = \phi(t)$  for  $t \in [-r, 0]$ , we have proved the compactness of  $\Phi M$ . Hence by Schauder-Tychonov theorem there exists a fixed point  $x(t)$  in  $M$  such that  $\Phi x(t) = x(t) = x_z(t)$  and which satisfies the condition  $x(T) = x_z(T) = v$ .

#### 4. Application

Consider the Sobolev-type system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(E(t)z(t)) + A(t, z(t))z(t) \\ = B(t, z(t))u(t) + f(t, z(t), z(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, z(s))ds), \\ E(t)z(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{on} \quad [-r, 0]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For motivation of the above system one can refer [7]. Assume the following additional conditions:

- (i) For each  $t \in [-r, T]$ ,  $E(t)$  is linear, closed and densely defined with domain  $D(E)$  (independent of  $t$ ) in  $D(A)$  and range  $Y$ . Moreover, for each  $t \in [-r, T]$ ,  $E^{-1}(t) : Y \rightarrow X$  exists and is compact while  $E^{-1}(t)z$  is continuous in  $t$  for each  $z \in Y$ .
- (ii) For each  $(t, z) \in J \times X$ ,  $A(t, E^{-1}(t)z)E^{-1}(t) \in L(X, X)$  is continuous in  $(t, z)$  with its continuity  $tX$ -uniform in  $z$ .
- (iii) For each  $(t, z) \in J \times X$ ,  $B(t, E^{-1}(t)z) \in X$ , is continuous in  $(t, z)$  with its continuity  $tX$ -uniform in  $z$ .
- (iv) For each  $(t, s, z) \in J \times J \times X$ ,  $\eta(t, s, E^{-1}(s)z) \in X$ , is continuous in  $(t, s, z)$  with its continuity  $t^2X$ -uniform in  $z$ .
- (v) For each  $(t, z, y, w) \in J \times X^3$ ,

$$f(t, E^{-1}(t)z, E^{-1}(t-r)y, \int_0^t \eta(t, s, E^{-1}(s)w)ds) \in X,$$

is continuous in  $(t, z, y, w)$  with its continuity  $tX^3$ -uniform in  $(z, y, w)$ ;  
 $\phi : [-r, 0] \rightarrow X$  is a Lipschitz continuous function.

For this consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}y(t) + A(t, E^{-1}(t)y(t))E^{-1}(t)y(t) \\ = B(t, E^{-1}(t)y(t))u(t) \\ + f(t, E^{-1}(t)y(t), E^{-1}(t-r)y(t-r), \int_0^t \eta(t, s, E^{-1}(s)y(s))ds) \\ y(t) = \phi(t) \text{ on } [-r, 0]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

If  $y(t)$  is a solution of (8), then  $z(t) = E^{-1}(t)y(t)$  satisfies equation (7). Therefore the controllability problem of (7) is equivalent to that of (8). Hence by the application of the above theorem the system (8) is controllable.

**Acknowledgement.** The work is supported by CSIR, New Delhi, INDIA.

## References

- [1] N.H. Ahmed, Finite-time controllability for a class of linear evolution equations in a Banach space with control constraints, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 47 (1985), 129-158.
- [2] K. Balachandran and J.P. Dauer, Controllability of Sobolev-type integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 217 (1998), 335-348.
- [3] K. Balachandran and J.P. Dauer, Local controllability of semilinear evolution systems in Banach space, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 29 (1998), 311-320.
- [4] K. Balachandran, J.P. Dauer and P. Balasubramaniam, Controllability of nonlinear integrodifferential systems in Banach space, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 84 (1995), 83-91.
- [5] K. Balachandran, P. Balasubramaniam and J.P. Dauer, Local null controllability of nonlinear functional differential systems, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 88 (1996), 61-75.
- [6] K. Balachandran P. Balasubramaniam and J.P. Dauer, Controllability of quasi-linear delay systems in Banach spaces, *Optimal Control Applications and Methods*, 16 (1995), 283-290.
- [7] H. Brill, A semilinear Sobolev equation in a Banach space, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 24 (1977), 412-425.
- [8] E.N. Chukwu, and S.M. Lenhart, Controllability questions for nonlinear systems in abstract spaces, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 68 (1991) 437-462.

- [9] Y.C. Kwun, J.Y. Park and J.W. Ryu, Approximate controllability and controllability for delay Volterra systems, *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematics Society*, 28 (1991), 131-145.
- [10] A.G.Kartsatos and M.E. Parrott, On a class of nonlinear functional pseudoparabolic problems, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 25(1982), 207-221.
- [11] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, Exact controllability of semilinear abstract systems with application to waves and plates boundary control problems, *Applications of Mathematical Optimization*, 23 (1991), 109-154.
- [12] S. Nakagiri and M. Yamamoto, Controllability and observability of linear retarded systems in Banach Spaces, *International Journal of Control*, 49 (1989), 1489-1504.
- [13] K. Naito, Controllability of semilinear control systems dominated by the linear part, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 25 (1987), 715-722.
- [14] K. Naito, Approximate controllability for trajectories of semilinear control systems, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 60 (1989), 57-65.
- [15] K. Naito, On controllability for a nonlinear Volterra equation, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 18 (1992), 99-108.
- [16] K. Naito and J.Y. Park, Approximate controllability for trajectories of a delay Volterra control system, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 61 (1989), 271-279.
- [17] H.Oka, Abstract quasilinear Volterra integrodifferential equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 28 (1997), 1019-1045.
- [18] H.Oka, Abstract quasilinear integrodifferential equations of hyperbolic type, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 29 (1997), 903-925.
- [19] M.D. Quinn and N. Carmichael, An approach to nonlinear control problems using fixed-point methods, degree theory, and pseudo-inverses, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 7 (1984-1985), 197-219.
- [20] R. Triggiani, Controllability and observability in Banach spaces with bounded operators, *SIAM Journal on Control*, 3 (1965), 462-491.
- [21] M.Yamamoto and J.Y.Park, Controllability of parabolic equation with uniformly bounded nonlinear terms, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 66 (1990), 515-532.

Received March 15, 1999