

# THE ACTIONS OF SUBGROUPS OF $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ FOR THE CLIFFORD ALGEBRA IN EPH CASES

DEBAPRIYA BISWAS\*

Department of Mathematics  
Indian Institute of Technology - Kharagpur  
Kharagpur 721302, West Bengal, INDIA

(Communicated by Palle Jorgensen)

## Abstract

We study the action of each subgroup  $A$ ,  $N$  and  $K$  of the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  for the Clifford algebra  $\mathcal{C}\ell(a)$  and calculate their vector fields, using the derived representation of the Lie algebra  $sl_2$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** Primary 30G35, Secondary 22E46.

**Keywords:** EPH cases, Möbius transformations, Clifford and Lie algebras,  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  group, Vector fields.

## 1 Introduction

Geometry brings life to any subject including algebra which supplies tools for any manipulation [7, 8, 9, 15]. The idea of classifying the different branches of geometry in accordance with the classes of transformations considered, is addressed in the “Erlangen Program” [7, 12, 13, 15]. Further, the classification of conic sections: elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic, is generally abbreviated as EPH - classification. We lay down foundations for all three (including parabolic!) EPH-types of analytic function theories in the paper [11]. Here, we study the actions of subgroups of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  for the Clifford algebra in EPH cases.

## 2 Preliminaries

A Clifford algebra  $\mathcal{C}\ell(a)$  as a 4-dimensional linear space is spanned by  $1, e_1, e_2, e_1e_2$  with *non-commutative* multiplication defined by the identities, in Lounesto [13]:

$$e_1^2 = -1, \quad e_2^2 = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{for } \mathcal{C}\ell(e)\text{—elliptic case} \\ 0, & \text{for } \mathcal{C}\ell(p)\text{—parabolic case} \\ 1, & \text{for } \mathcal{C}\ell(h)\text{—hyperbolic case} \end{cases}, \quad e_1e_2 = -e_2e_1. \quad (2.1)$$

---

\*E-mail address: d.priya05@yahoo.co.in

It contains both the planes  $\mathbb{E}$  and the vector plane  $\mathbb{R}^a$  so that  $\mathbb{R}^a$  is spanned by  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}$  is spanned by  $1$  and  $e_{12}$ . The projection on axes of coordinates  $u, v$  [4] as  $E_1(ue_1 + ve_2) = u$  and  $E_2(ue_1 + ve_2) = v$ . The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (Lang [12]) consists of  $2 \times 2$  matrices whose determinant is one [6]. By the Iwasawa decomposition for semisimple Lie groups [12, p. 39],  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  can be decomposed as a product of certain closed subgroups (not normal) in the form

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = ANK, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $A, N, K$  are defined in [3, 4, 6]. The Möbius transformation  $T$  is defined in [2, 4]. Details of a Lie group with mapping and Lie algebra with derivative are in [14]. In our terminology, the derived representation or Lie derivative of a vector field  $X$  is given by

$$d\rho(X)(ue_1 + ve_2) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(e^{tX}(ue_1 + ve_2)) \right|_{t=0}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $e^{tX} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$  (Lie algebra of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ) and  $ue_1 + ve_2 \in \mathbb{R}^a$ . Isomorphic realisation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  in EPH cases, is included in [1, 4] which contains a realisation of the *Iwasawa decomposition* for semisimple Lie groups (as in Lang [12, § III.1]) as shown in equation (2.2). Geometric and algebraic conditions for circle, parabola and hyperbola are considered in [3, 5]. (Non)-Invariance of the upper half plane under Möbius transformations in EPH cases, is in [1, 4].

### 3 Actions of subgroups

In all three EPH cases, the subgroups  $A$  and  $N$  act through Möbius transformations uniformly:

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any type of the Clifford algebra  $\mathcal{C}\ell(a)$ :*

- (1) *The subgroup  $N$  defines shifts  $ue_1 + ve_2 \mapsto (u + \chi)e_1 + ve_2$  along the “real” axis  $U$  by  $\chi$ . The vector field of the derived representation is  $dN_a(u, v) = (1, 0)$ .*
- (2) *The subgroup  $A$  defines dilations  $ue_1 + ve_2 \mapsto \alpha^{-2}(ue_1 + ve_2)$  by the factor  $\alpha^{-2}$  which fixes origin  $(0, 0)$ . The vector field of the derived representation is  $dA_a(u, v) = (2u, 2v)$ .*

*Orbits and vector fields corresponding to the derived representation [10, § 6.3], [12, Chap. VI] of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  for subgroups  $A$  and  $N$  are shown in [2, Figure 2].*

- (3) *By contrast the actions of the subgroup  $K$  is significantly different between the EPH cases and correlates with names chosen for  $\mathcal{C}\ell(e)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}\ell(p)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}\ell(h)$  [2, Figure3]: The vector fields of the derived representation are:*

$$\begin{aligned} dK_e(u, v) &= (1 + u^2 - v^2, 2uv) \\ dK_p(u, v) &= (1 + u^2, 2uv) \\ dK_h(u, v) &= (1 + u^2 + v^2, 2uv). \end{aligned}$$

These vector fields can be obtained, by using the formula of the Lie derivative by equation (2.3)(see Section 2). The actions of the subgroup  $K$  in three cases are as follows:

**Lemma 3.2.** (1) For  $\mathcal{C}(e)$  the orbits of  $K$  are circles. A circle with centre at  $(0, (v + v^{-1})/2)$  passes through two points  $(0, v)$  and  $(0, v^{-1})$ . The vector field of the derived representation is  $dK_e(u, v) = (u^2 - v^2 + 1, 2uv)$ .

(2) The curvature of a  $K$ -orbit at a point  $(0, v)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^e$  is equal to  $\kappa = \frac{2v}{1-v^2}$ .

*Proof.* 1. Suppose for  $\mathcal{C}(e)$ , an orbit of the subgroup  $K$  intersects the  $V$ -axis at the point  $(0, v)$ . To find the other point of intersection. An element of the subgroup  $K$  looks like  $k = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$ . To find the action of the subgroup  $K$  on an element of the orbit. For the elliptic case  $e_2^2 = -1$  and the corresponding Möbius mapping [4, equation (2.5)] is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & e_1 \sin t \\ e_1 \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} : ve_2 \longrightarrow \frac{\cos t(ve_2) + e_1 \sin t}{e_1 \sin t(ve_2) + \cos t}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

From the previous results [4, equation (3.3)],

$$\frac{\cos t(ve_2) + e_1 \sin t}{e_1 \sin t(ve_2) + \cos t} = \left(\frac{L}{D}\right) e_1 + \left(\frac{V}{D}\right) e_2,$$

where  $L = \frac{(1-v^2)}{2} \sin 2t$  and  $D = (1 - (1-v^2) \sin^2 t)$  and, the components are

$$x(t) = \frac{L}{D} \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) = \frac{V}{D}. \quad (3.1)$$

From the equation (3.1), we conclude that the image of a point  $ve_2$  under the  $K$ -action belongs to the imaginary axis if and only if  $\sin 2t = 0$ , that is  $t = k\frac{\pi}{2}$  for an integer  $k$ . We observe that at time  $t = 0$ , we have  $(x(t), y(t)) = (0, v)$  and at time  $t = \pi/2$ , we get the other point of intersection as  $(x(t), y(t)) = (0, v^{-1})$ . Next to show that the  $K$ -orbit is a circle. For this, we define  $v_0 = (v + v^{-1})/2$ . Then the radius vector  $\vec{r}$  is  $\vec{r} = (x(t), y(t) - v_0)$ . Also the vector field  $V_{(x,y)}$  at the position  $(x(t), y(t))$  is given by  $V_{(x,y)} = (1 + \{x(t)\}^2 - \{y(t)\}^2, 2x(t)y(t))$ . To show that  $\vec{r} \perp V_{(x,y)}$ , we take the dot product [5, equation (8)],

$$\vec{r} \cdot V_{(x,y)} = \frac{L}{D} \left( 1 + \frac{L^2}{D^2} - \frac{v^2}{D^2} \right) + 2v \frac{L}{D^2} \left( \frac{v}{D} - v_0 \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\vec{r} \cdot V_{(x,y)} = \frac{2L}{D^3} \left[ \frac{(1-v^2)^2}{8} \sin^2 2t - \frac{(1-v^2)^2 \sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right] = 0.$$

Hence  $\vec{r} \perp V_{(x,y)}$ . In other words the radius vector is perpendicular to the vector field at any arbitrary point  $(x(t), y(t))$  on the  $K$ -orbit. As a result the  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{C}(e)$  are circles which pass through two points  $(0, v)$  and  $(0, v^{-1})$ , having centre as  $v_0 = (v + v^{-1})/2$ , see Figures 3( $K_e$ ) in [2, 4] and 1.

2. Differentiating  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  in equation (3.1) twice with respect to  $t$ , we get at  $t = 0$ ,

$$\dot{x}(0) = 1 - v^2, \quad \dot{y}(0) = 0, \quad \ddot{x}(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{y}(0) = 2v(1 - v^2).$$

Therefore the curvature ( $\kappa$ ) at time  $t = 0$  is given by

$$\kappa|_{t=0} = \frac{|\ddot{x}\dot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}|}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{2v}{(1-v^2)}.$$

Hence the radius of curvature ( $\rho$ ) at time  $t = 0$ , is given by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{(1-v^2)}{2v}.$$

In case of a circle, we know that the radius  $p = \rho$  (radius of curvature). Therefore,  $p = \frac{(1-v^2)}{2v}$  is the radius of the circle passing through the points  $(0, v)$  and  $(0, v^{-1})$  whose diameter is given by  $\frac{(1-v^2)}{v}$ . □

**Lemma 3.3.** (1) For  $\mathcal{C}\ell(p)$  the orbits of  $K$  are parabolas with the vertical axis  $V$ . A parabola passing through  $(0, v/2)$  has its horizontal directrix passing through  $(0, (v - v^{-1})/2)$  and focus at  $(0, (v + v^{-1})/2)$ . The vector field of the derived representation is  $dK_p(u, v) = (u^2 + 1, 2uv)$ .

(2) The curvature of a  $K$ -orbit at a point  $(0, v/2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is equal to  $\kappa = v$ .

*Proof.* 1. We suppose for  $\mathcal{C}\ell(p)$ , an orbit of the subgroup  $K$  intersects the  $V$ -axis at the point  $(0, v/2)$ . For the parabolic case  $e_2^2 = 0$  and as earlier, the Möbius mapping is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & e_1 \sin t \\ e_1 \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} : \frac{v}{2}e_2 \longrightarrow \frac{\cos t (\frac{v}{2}e_2) + e_1 \sin t}{e_1 \sin t (\frac{v}{2}e_2) + \cos t}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Therefore the components of the vector field at time  $t$  (see equation (3.4), [4]) are

$$x(t) = \tan t \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) = \frac{v}{2} \sec^2 t, \quad (3.2)$$

and at time  $t = 0$ ,  $(x(t), y(t)) = (0, v/2)$ . Next to show that the  $K$ -orbit is a parabola, we take  $v_0 = (v + v^{-1})/2$ . Then the radius vector  $\vec{r} = (x(t), y(t) - v_0)$  and the vector field  $V_{(x,y)}$  at the position  $(x(t), y(t))$  is  $V_{(x,y)} = (1 + \{x(t)\}^2, 2x(t)y(t))$ . Here,  $(u, v) = \left( \tan t, \left( \frac{v}{2} \sec^2 t - v_0 \right) \right)$  and  $(u', v') = (\sec^2 t, v \tan t \sec^2 t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u'u - v' \left( \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} - v \right) &= \tan t \sec^2 t \left[ 1 - v \left\{ \sqrt{\left( \frac{v}{2} \tan^2 t + \frac{v^{-1}}{2} \right)^2} - \left( \frac{v}{2} \tan^2 t - \frac{v^{-1}}{2} \right) \right\} \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

that is, condition being satisfied (Lemma 3.1, [5]), the  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{C}\ell(p)$  are parabolas. Therefore  $v_0 = (v + v^{-1})/2$  is the focus of the parabola passing through the point  $(0, v/2)$ . The horizontal directrix then passes through the point  $(0, (v - v^{-1})/2)$ , see Figure 3( $K_p$ ) in [2].

2. On differentiating  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  in equation (3.2) twice and computing their values at  $t = 0$ , as in the earlier case, the curvature at time  $t = 0$ , is  $\kappa|_{t=0} = v$  and the radius of curvature at  $t = 0$  is  $\rho = \frac{1}{v}$ . In case of a parabola, the focal length (distance between the focus and the vertex) being  $p = \frac{1}{2}\rho$ , therefore

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{v} \right) = \frac{1}{2v} = \frac{1}{4(v/2)},$$

is the focal length of the parabola which passes through the point  $(0, v/2)$ , see Figure 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** (1) For  $\mathcal{Cl}(h)$  the orbits of  $K$  are hyperbolas with asymptotes parallel to lines  $u = \pm v$ . The vector field of the derived representation is  $dK_h(u, v) = (u^2 + v^2 + 1, 2uv)$ .

(2) Also for  $\mathcal{Cl}(h)$  the orbits of  $K$  are rectangular hyperbolas. In other words, the angle between the asymptotes of the hyperbolas is a right angle.

(3) The curvature of a  $K$ -orbit at a point  $(0, v)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^h$  is equal to  $\kappa = \frac{2v}{1+v^2}$ . A hyperbola passing through the point  $(0, v)$  has the focal distance between foci  $2p$ , where  $p = \frac{v^2+1}{\sqrt{2v}}$  and the upper focus is located at  $(0, f)$  with:

$$f = \begin{cases} p - \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1}, & \text{for } 0 < v < 1; \text{ and} \\ p + \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1}, & \text{for } v \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* 1. We consider for  $\mathcal{Cl}(h)$ , an orbit of the subgroup  $K$  intersects the  $V$ -axis at the point  $(0, v)$ . To find the other point in which it intersects the  $V$ -axis, we proceed as Lemma 3.2 above, with the exception that  $e_2^2 = 1$  for the hyperbolic case. From the previous results (see equation (3.5), [4]),

$$\frac{\cos t(v e_2) + e_1 \sin t}{e_1 \sin t(v e_2) + \cos t} = \left( \frac{M}{D'} \right) e_1 + \left( \frac{v}{D'} \right) e_2.$$

The components of the vector field at time  $t$  are

$$x(t) = \frac{M}{D'} \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) = \frac{v}{D'}, \quad (3.3)$$

where,  $M = \frac{(1+v^2)}{2} \sin 2t$  and  $D' = (1 - (1+v^2) \sin^2 t)$ . As before at time  $t = 0$ ,  $(x(t), y(t)) = (0, v)$  and at time  $t = \pi/2$ ,  $(x(t), y(t)) = (0, -v^{-1})$ . To show that the  $K$ -orbit is a hyperbola, we define  $v_0 = (v - v^{-1})/2$ . The radius vector  $\vec{r}$  and the vector field  $V_{(x,y)}$  at the position  $(x(t), y(t))$  as earlier are

$$\vec{r} = (x(t), y(t) - v_0) = \left( \frac{M}{D'}, \frac{v}{D'} - v_0 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{(x,y)} = \left( 1 + \frac{(M^2 + v^2)}{D'^2}, \frac{2vM}{D'^2} \right).$$

To show that the condition  $u'u - v'v = 0$  is satisfied (see Lemma 3.2, [5]) for it to be a hyperbola. Here,  $(u, v) = \vec{r}$  and  $(u', v') = V_{(x,y)}$ . We have

$$u'u - v'v = \frac{2M}{D'^3} \left[ \frac{(1+v^2)^2}{8} \sin^2 2t - \frac{(1+v^2)^2 \sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right] = 0.$$

As a result, the  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{C}\ell(h)$  are hyperbolas. Hence  $v_0 = (v - v^{-1})/2$  is the centre of the hyperbola. The equation of the hyperbola is given by

$$u^2 - (v - v_0)^2 = -\frac{(v + v^{-1})^2}{4}.$$

The equations of the asymptotes are given by

$$u^2 - (v - v_0)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow u = \pm(v - v_0),$$

which are parallel to the lines  $u = \pm v$ , see Figure 3( $K_h$ ) in [2].

2. The components of the vector field (Lemma 3.1) for  $\mathcal{C}\ell(h)$  are

$$u_1 = 1 + u^2 + v^2 \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 = 2uv,$$

where  $(u, v)$  is any point on the hyperbola. As  $(u, v) \rightarrow +\infty$ , the asymptote (given by the equation  $u = v$ ) approaches the tangent at that point, see Figure 2. Therefore the slope of the tangent as given by

$$\tan \theta_1 = \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v_1}{u_1} = \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2uv}{1 + u^2 + v^2},$$

is on the line  $u = v$ ,

$$\tan \theta_1 = \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2u^2}{1 + 2u^2} \left( \text{of } \frac{\infty}{\infty} \text{ form} \right).$$

Using L' Hôpital's rule, we get

$$\tan \theta_1 = 1 \Rightarrow \theta_1 = \pi/4.$$

Similarly as  $(u, v) \rightarrow -\infty$ , the asymptote (given by the equation  $u = -v$ ) tends to the tangent at that point and as before,

$$\tan \theta_2 = -1 \Rightarrow \theta_2 = -\pi/4.$$

Hence the angle between the asymptotes  $u = \pm v$  is given by

$$\theta = |\theta_1| + |\theta_2| = |\pi/4| + |-\pi/4| = \pi/2,$$

which is a right angle. As a result the  $K$ -orbits are rectangular hyperbolas.

3. Differentiating the components  $(x(t), y(t))$  in equation (3.3) twice with respect to  $t$  and obtaining their values at  $t = 0$  as before, the curvature ( $\kappa$ ) at time  $t = 0$  is  $\kappa|_{t=0} = \frac{2v}{(1+v^2)}$  and the radius of curvature ( $\rho$ ) is  $\rho = \frac{(1+v^2)}{2v}$ .

In case of a hyperbola, the focal length (distance between the focus and the centre)  $p = \sqrt{2}\rho$  where  $\rho$  is the radius of curvature. Therefore

$$p = \frac{(v^2 + 1)}{\sqrt{2}v}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $2p$  is the focal distance between foci of the hyperbola passing through the point  $(0, v)$ .

At  $v = 1$ ,  $f = p = \sqrt{2}$ , as it is a rectangular hyperbola. Here the hyperbola passes through the point  $(0, v)$ ,  $2p$  is the focal distance between foci and the upper focus is located at  $(0, f)$ , see Figure 3.

At  $v > 1$ ,  $f = p + x$ ,  $x > 0$ , and at  $v < 1$ ,  $f = p - x$ ,  $x > 0$ , see Figure 4. The focal distance between foci  $2p$  is from equation (3.4)

$$v^2 - \sqrt{2}vp + 1 = 0.$$

$$\text{Therefore } v = \left( \frac{p}{\sqrt{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1} \right), \left( \frac{p}{\sqrt{2}} - \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1} \right).$$

For the case  $v > 1$ , we know from the property of a rectangular hyperbola (Figure 4) that

$$p = \sqrt{2}(v - x) \Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{2}v - p) = \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1}$$

(rejecting the other value of  $v$  as  $x > 0$ ). Therefore

$$f = p + x = p + \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1}.$$

Similarly, for the case  $v < 1$ , we get

$$p = \sqrt{2}(v + x) \Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p - \sqrt{2}v) = \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1}$$

Hence,

$$f = p - x = p - \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1}.$$

Thus the upper focus located at  $(0, f)$  is given by

$$f = \begin{cases} p - \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1} & \text{for } 0 < v < 1; \text{ and} \\ p + \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2} - 1} & \text{for } v \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

□

*Remark 3.5.* 1. The values of all three vector fields  $dK_e$ ,  $dK_p$  and  $dK_h$  coincide on the “real”  $U$ -axis ( $v = 0$ ), i.e. they are three different extensions into the domain of the same boundary condition.

2. The hyperbola passing through the point  $(0, 1)$  has the shortest focal length  $\sqrt{2}$  among all other hyperbolic orbits; two hyperbolas passing through  $(0, v)$  and  $(0, -v^{-1})$  have the same focal length as

$$p \equiv \frac{(-v^{-1})^2 + 1}{\sqrt{2}(-v^{-1})} = \frac{-(v^2 + 1)}{\sqrt{2}v},$$



which has the same expression as in equation (3.4) except for a negative sign. These hyperbolas are related to each other as explained in Remark [11].

3. An alternative proof of Lemma 3.4(2) can be presented by the parametric representation (equation (3.3)).

## 4 Conclusion

Here, we have calculated the vector fields for the three subgroups  $A$ ,  $N$  and  $K$ , using the formula for the derived representation. Then we study the actions of the subgroups of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . We took an isomorphic realisation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  for studying the actions of the subgroups  $A$ ,  $N$  and  $K$ . In drawing the figures, we have employed MetaPost software package.

## Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to the Referees for extending the valuable suggestions. The author is also thankful to the supervisor Dr. Vladimir V Kisil of the Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Leeds, UK for introducing her to this field.

## References

- [1] D. Biswas, (Non)-invariance of the upper half plane under Möbius transformation in EPH cases. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **101(4)** (2009), pp 411-418.
- [2] D. Biswas, The Cayley transform and the unit cycle in the parabolic case. *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, **31(1)** (2009), pp 37-48.
- [3] D. Biswas, The concept of “lengths” and “orthogonality” for the elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic cases. *International Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, **2(1-2)** (2009), pp 59-69.
- [4] D. Biswas, Geometry of EPH homogeneous spaces using Clifford algebras and the isotropy subgroups. *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, **27(3)** (2007), pp 741-758.
- [5] D. Biswas, EPH-classification. *J. Rajasthan Acad. Phys. Sci.*, **6(4)** (2007), pp 371-378.
- [6] D. Biswas, The Cayley transform and the unit cycle in elliptic and hyperbolic cases. *Ultra Sci. Phys. Sci.*, **19(3M)** (2007), pp 559-570.
- [7] R. Courant and H. Robbins, revised by I. Stewart, What is Mathematics? An Elementary Approach To Ideas And Methods (2nd Edition). *Oxford University Press Inc.* Oxford, New York (1996).
- [8] H. Eves, College Geometry. *Narosa Publishing House*, New Delhi (1998).
- [9] T. Gowers, Mathematics: A Very Short Introduction. *Oxford University Press Inc.* New York, USA (2002).

- [10] A. A. Kirillov, Elements of the theory of representations. *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin, (1976).
- [11] V. V. Kisil and D. Biswas, Elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic analytic function theory-0: Geometry of domains. In *Complex Analysis and Free Boundary Flows, Trans. Inst. Math. of the NAS of Ukraine*, **1** (2004), pp 100-118.
- [12] S. Lang,  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Volume 105 of Graduate Text in Mathematics. *Springer-Verlag*, New York (1985).
- [13] P. Lounesto, Clifford algebras and spinors. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 239. *Cambridge University Press* Cambridge (1997).
- [14] W. Rossmann, Lie groups. Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, *Oxford University Press*, Oxford, (2002), An introduction through linear groups.
- [15] J. Stillwell, Mathematics And Its History. *Springer-Verlag*, New York Berlin Heidelberg (1999).