

## Double Kostka Polynomials and Hall Bimodule

Dedicated to Professor Ken-ichi SHINODA

Shiyuan LIU and Toshiaki SHOJI

*Tongji University*

(Communicated by N. Suwa)

**Abstract.** Double Kostka polynomials  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  are polynomials in  $t$ , indexed by double partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ . As in the ordinary case,  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  is defined in terms of Schur functions  $s_{\lambda}(x)$  and Hall–Littlewood functions  $P_{\mu}(x; t)$ . In this paper, we study combinatorial properties of  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  and  $P_{\mu}(x; t)$ . In particular, we show that the Lascoux–Schützenberger type formula holds for  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  in the case where  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . Moreover, we show that the Hall bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$  introduced by Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin is isomorphic to the ring of symmetric functions (with two types of variables) and the natural basis  $u_{\lambda}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is sent to  $P_{\lambda}(x; t)$  (up to scalar) under this isomorphism. This gives an alternate approach for their result.

### Introduction

Kostka polynomials  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$ , indexed by double partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , were introduced in [S1, S2] as a generalization of ordinary Kostka polynomials  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  indexed by partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ . In this paper, we call them double Kostka polynomials. Let  $\Lambda = \Lambda(y)$  be the ring of symmetric functions with respect to the variables  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . We regard  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$  as the ring of symmetric functions  $\Lambda(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$  with respect to two types of variables  $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$ . Schur functions  $\{s_{\lambda}(x)\}$  gives a basis of  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$ . In [S1, S2], the function  $P_{\mu}(x; t)$  indexed by a double partition  $\mu$  was defined, as a generalization of the ordinary Hall–Littlewood function  $P_{\mu}(y; t)$  indexed by a partition  $\mu$ .  $\{P_{\mu}(x; t)\}$  gives a basis of  $\mathbf{Z}[t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (\Lambda \otimes \Lambda)$ , and as in the ordinary case,  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  is defined as the coefficient of the transition matrix between two basis  $\{s_{\lambda}(x)\}$  and  $\{P_{\mu}(x; t)\}$ .

After the combinatorial introduction of  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  in [S1, S2], Achar–Henderson [AH] gave a geometric interpretation of double Kostka polynomials in terms of the intersection cohomology associated to the closure of orbits in the enhanced nilpotent cone, which is a natural generalization of the classical result of Lusztig [L1] that Kostka polynomials are interpreted by the intersection cohomology associated to the closure of nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ . At the same time, Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin [FGT] studied the convolution algebra associated to the affine Grassmannian in connection with double Kostka polynomials and the geometry of the

enhanced nilpotent cone. In particular, they introduced the Hall bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$  (the mirabolic Hall bimodule in their terminology) as a generalization of the Hall algebra, and showed that  $\mathcal{M}$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$  over  $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ , and  $P_\lambda(x; t)$  is obtained as the image of the natural basis  $u_\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

In this paper, we study the combinatorial properties of  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  and  $P_\mu(x; t)$ . In particular, we show that the Lascoux–Schützenberger type formula holds for  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  in the case where  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$  (Theorem 3.11). Moreover, in Theorem 4.7, we give a more direct proof for the above mentioned result of [FGT] (in the sense that we do not appeal to the convolution algebra associated to the affine Grassmannian).

The construction of double Kostka polynomials in [S1, S2] works for the case of  $r$ -partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)})$ , and one can define Kostka functions associated to  $r$ -partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , called  $r$ -Kostka functions (a priori they are rational functions on  $t$ ). In [S3], a partial result concerning the geometric realization of  $r$ -Kostka functions was obtained, and by making use of it, Theorem 3.11 was generalized in [S4] to the case of  $r$ -Kostka functions.

In the appendix, we give tables of double Kostka polynomials for  $2 \leq n \leq 5$ , where  $n$  is the size of double partitions. The authors are grateful to J. Michel for the computer computation of those polynomials.

### 1. Double Kostka polynomials

**1.1.** First we recall basic properties of Hall–Littlewood functions and Kostka polynomials in the original setting, following [M]. Let  $\Lambda = \Lambda(y) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Lambda^n$  be the ring of symmetric functions over  $\mathbf{Z}$  with respect to the variables  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ , where  $\Lambda^n$  denotes the free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of symmetric functions of degree  $n$ . We put  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^n = \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^n$ . For a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , put  $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be the set of partitions of  $n$ , i.e., the set of  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda| = n$ . Let  $s_\lambda$  be the Schur function associated to  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Then  $\{s_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$  gives a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda^n$ . Let  $p_\lambda \in \Lambda^n$  be the power sum symmetric function associated to  $\lambda$ . Then  $\{p_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$  gives a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ . For  $\lambda = (1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , define an integer  $z_\lambda$  by

$$(1.1.1) \quad z_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} m_i!.$$

Following [M, I], we introduce a scalar product on  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}$  by  $\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} z_\lambda$ . It is known that  $\{s_\lambda\}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $\Lambda$ .

**1.2.** Let  $P_\lambda(y; t)$  be the Hall–Littlewood function associated to a partition  $\lambda$ . Then  $\{P_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$  gives a  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ -basis of  $\Lambda^n[t] = \mathbf{Z}[t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^n$ , where  $t$  is an indeterminate.  $P_\lambda$  enjoys a property that

$$(1.2.1) \quad P_\lambda(y; 0) = s_\lambda, \quad P_\lambda(y; 1) = m_\lambda,$$

where  $m_\lambda(y)$  is a monomial symmetric function associated to  $\lambda$ . Kostka polynomials  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ ) are defined by the formula

$$(1.2.2) \quad s_\lambda(y) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n} K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) P_\mu(y; t).$$

Recall the dominance order  $\lambda \leq \mu$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ , which is defined by the condition  $\lambda \leq \mu$  if and only if  $\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^i \mu_j$  for each  $i \geq 1$ . For each partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , we define an integer  $n(\lambda)$  by  $n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^k (i-1)\lambda_i$ . It is known that  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = 0$  unless  $\lambda \geq \mu$ , and that  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$  is a monic of degree  $n(\mu) - n(\lambda)$  if  $\lambda \geq \mu$  ([M, III, (6.5)]).

For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{P}_n$  with  $\lambda_k > 0$ , we define  $z_\lambda(t) \in \mathbf{Q}(t)$  by

$$(1.2.3) \quad z_\lambda(t) = z_\lambda \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - t^{\lambda_i})^{-1},$$

where  $z_\lambda$  is as in (1.1.1). Following [M, III], we introduce a scalar product on  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}(t) = \mathbf{Q}(t) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda$  by  $\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = z_\lambda(t) \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$ . Then  $P_\lambda(y; t)$  form an orthogonal basis of  $\Lambda[t] = \mathbf{Z}[t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda$ . In fact, they are characterized by the following two properties ([M, III, (2.6) and (4.9)]);

$$(1.2.4) \quad P_\lambda(y; t) = s_\lambda(x) + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} w_{\lambda\mu}(t) s_\mu(x)$$

with  $w_{\lambda\mu}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ , and

$$(1.2.5) \quad \langle P_\lambda, P_\mu \rangle = 0 \text{ unless } \lambda = \mu.$$

**1.3.** Let  $\Xi = \Xi(x) = \Lambda(x^{(1)}) \otimes \Lambda(x^{(2)})$  be the ring of symmetric functions over  $\mathbf{Z}$  with respect to variables  $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$ , where  $x^{(1)} = (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, \dots)$ ,  $x^{(2)} = (x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots)$ . We denote it as  $\Xi = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Xi^n$ , similarly to the case of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  be the set of double partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'')$  such that  $|\lambda'| + |\lambda''| = n$ . For  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we define a Schur function  $s_\lambda(x) \in \Xi^n$  by

$$(1.3.1) \quad s_\lambda(x) = s_{\lambda'}(x^{(1)}) s_{\lambda''}(x^{(2)}).$$

Then  $\{s_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}\}$  gives a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of  $\Xi^n$ . For an integer  $r \geq 0$ , put  $p_r^{(1)} = p_r(x^{(1)}) + p_r(x^{(2)})$ , and  $p_r^{(2)} = p_r(x^{(1)}) - p_r(x^{(2)})$ , where  $p_r$  is the  $r$ -th power sum symmetric function in  $\Lambda$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we define  $p_\lambda(x) \in \Xi^n$  by

$$(1.3.2) \quad p_\lambda = \prod_i p_{\lambda'_i}^{(1)} \prod_j p_{\lambda''_j}^{(2)},$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'')$  such that  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_{k'})$ ,  $\lambda'' = (\lambda''_1, \lambda''_2, \dots, \lambda''_{k''})$  with  $\lambda'_{k'}, \lambda''_{k''} > 0$ . Then  $\{p_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}\}$  gives a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis of  $\Xi^n_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we define functions

$z_{\lambda}^{(1)}(t), z_{\lambda}^{(2)}(t) \in \mathbf{Q}(t)$  by

$$(1.3.3) \quad z_{\lambda}^{(1)}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k'} (1 - t^{\lambda'_j})^{-1}, \quad z_{\lambda}^{(2)}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k''} (1 + t^{\lambda''_j})^{-1}.$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we define an integer  $z_{\lambda}$  by  $z_{\lambda} = 2^{k'+k''} z_{\lambda'} z_{\lambda''}$ . We now define a function  $z_{\lambda}(t) \in \mathbf{Q}(t)$  by

$$(1.3.4) \quad z_{\lambda}(t) = z_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{(1)}(t) z_{\lambda}^{(2)}(t).$$

Let  $\Xi[t] = \mathbf{Z}[t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Xi$  be the free  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ -module, and  $\Xi_{\mathbf{Q}}(t) = \mathbf{Q}(t) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Xi$  be the  $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ -space. Then  $\{p_{\lambda}(x) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}\}$  gives a basis of  $\Xi_{\mathbf{Q}}^n(t)$ . We define a scalar product on  $\Xi_{\mathbf{Q}}^n(t)$  by

$$\langle p_{\lambda}, p_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} z_{\lambda}(t).$$

We express a double partition  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'')$  as  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_k), \lambda'' = (\lambda''_1, \dots, \lambda''_k)$  with some  $k$ , by allowing zero on parts  $\lambda'_i, \lambda''_i$ . We define a composition  $c(\lambda)$  of  $n$  by

$$c(\lambda) = (\lambda'_1, \lambda''_1, \lambda'_2, \lambda''_2, \dots, \lambda'_k, \lambda''_k).$$

We define a partial order  $\lambda \geq \mu$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  by the condition  $c(\lambda) \geq c(\mu)$ , where  $\geq$  is the dominance order on the set of compositions of  $n$  defined in a similar way as in the case of partitions.

The following fact is known.

PROPOSITION 1.4 ([S1, S2]). *There exists a unique function  $P_{\lambda}(x; t) \in \Xi_{\mathbf{Q}}[t]$  satisfying the following properties.*

- (i)  $P_{\lambda}$  is expressed as a linear combination of Schur functions  $s_{\mu}$  as

$$P_{\lambda}(x; t) = s_{\lambda}(x) + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} u_{\lambda, \mu}(t) s_{\mu}(x)$$

with  $u_{\lambda, \mu}(t) \in \mathbf{Q}(t)$ .

- (ii)  $\langle P_{\lambda}, P_{\mu} \rangle = 0$  unless  $\lambda = \mu$ .

REMARK 1.5.  $P_{\lambda}$  is called the Hall–Littlewood function associated to a double partition  $\lambda$ . More generally, Hall–Littlewood functions associated to  $r$ -partitions of  $n$  was introduced in [S1]. However the arguments in [S1] is based on a fixed total order which is compatible with the partial order  $\geq$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  even in the case of double partitions. In [S2, Theorem 2.8], the closed formula for  $P_{\lambda}$  is given in the case of double partitions. This implies that  $P_{\lambda}$  is independent of the choice of the total order, and is determined uniquely as in the above proposition. (The uniqueness of  $P_{\lambda}$  also follows from the result of Achar–Henderson, see Theorem 2.4.)

**1.6.** By Proposition 1.4,  $\{P_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}\}$  gives a basis of  $\Xi_{\mathbf{Q}}^n(t)$ . For  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we define a function  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) \in \mathbf{Q}(t)$  by the formula

$$s_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) P_\mu(x; t).$$

$K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$  are called the Kostka functions associated to double partitions. For each  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , put  $n(\lambda) = n(\lambda' + \lambda'') = n(\lambda') + n(\lambda'')$ . We define an integer  $a(\lambda)$  by

$$(1.6.1) \quad a(\lambda) = 2n(\lambda) + |\lambda''|.$$

The following result was proved in [S2, Prop. 3.3].

**PROPOSITION 1.7.**  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ .  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = 0$  unless  $\lambda \geq \mu$ . If  $\lambda \geq \mu$ ,  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$  is a monic of degree  $a(\mu) - a(\lambda)$ , hence  $K_{\lambda,\lambda}(t) = 1$ . In particular,  $P_\lambda(x; t) \in \Xi^n[t]$ , and  $u_{\lambda,\mu}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ .

**1.8.** Since  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$  is a polynomial in  $t$  associated to double partitions, we call it the double Kostka polynomial. Put  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = t^{a(\mu)} K_{\lambda,\mu}(t^{-1})$ . By Proposition 1.7,  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$  is again contained in  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ , which we call the modified double Kostka polynomial. In the case of Kostka polynomial  $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ , we also put  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = t^{n(\mu)} K_{\lambda,\mu}(t^{-1})$ . By 1.2,  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ , which is called the modified Kostka polynomial.

Following [S1, S2], we give a combinatorial characterization of  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$  and  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ . In order to discuss both cases simultaneously, we introduce some notation. For  $r = 1, 2$ , put  $W_{n,r} = S_n \times (\mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z})^n$ . Hence  $W_{n,r}$  is the symmetric group  $S_n$  of degree  $n$  if  $r = 1$ , and is the Weyl group  $W_n$  of type  $C_n$  if  $r = 2$ . For a (not necessarily irreducible) character  $\chi$  of  $W_{n,r}$ , we define the fake degree  $R(\chi)$  by

$$(1.8.1) \quad R(\chi) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (t^{ir} - 1)}{|W_{n,r}|} \sum_{w \in W_{n,r}} \frac{\varepsilon(w)\chi(w)}{\det_{V_0}(t - w)},$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the sign character of  $W_{n,r}$ , and  $V_0$  is the reflection representation of  $W_{n,r}$  if  $r = 2$  (i.e.,  $\dim V_0 = n$ ), and its restriction on  $S_n$  if  $r = 1$ . Let  $R(W_{n,r}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N R_i$  be the coinvariant algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  associated to  $W_{n,r}$ , where  $N$  is the number of positive roots of the root system of type  $C_n$  (resp. type  $A_{n-1}$ ) if  $r = 2$  (resp.  $r = 1$ ). Then  $R(W_{n,r})$  is a graded  $W_{n,r}$ -module, and we have

$$(1.8.2) \quad R(\chi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \chi, R_i \rangle_{W_{n,r}} t^i,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W_{n,r}}$  is the inner product of characters of  $W_{n,r}$ . It follows that  $R(\chi) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ . It is known that irreducible characters of  $W_{n,r}$  are parametrized by  $\mathcal{P}_{n,r}$  (we use the convention that  $\mathcal{P}_{n,1} = \mathcal{P}_n$ ). We denote by  $\chi^\lambda$  the irreducible character of  $W_{n,r}$  corresponding to

$\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,r}$ . (Here we use the parametrization such that the identity character corresponds to  $\lambda = (n), -$  if  $r = 2$ , and  $\lambda = (n)$  if  $r = 1$ .) We define a square matrix  $\Omega = (\omega_{\lambda,\mu})_{\lambda,\mu}$  by

$$(1.8.3) \quad \omega_{\lambda,\mu} = t^N R(\chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\mu \otimes \varepsilon).$$

We have the following result. Note that Theorem 5.4 in [S1] is stated for a fixed total order on  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . But in our case, it can be replaced by the partial order (see Remark 1.5).

**PROPOSITION 1.9** ([S1, Thm. 5.4]). *Assume that  $r = 2$ . There exist unique matrices  $P = (p_{\lambda,\mu})$ ,  $\Lambda = (\xi_{\lambda,\mu})$  over  $\mathbf{Q}[t]$  satisfying the equation*

$$P \Lambda^t P = \Omega,$$

*subject to the condition that  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix and that*

$$p_{\lambda,\mu} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{unless } \mu \leq \lambda, \\ t^{a(\lambda)} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu. \end{cases}$$

*Then the entry  $p_{\lambda,\mu}$  of the matrix  $P$  coincides with  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ .*

*A similar result holds for the case  $r = 1$  by replacing  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  by  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , and by replacing  $a(\lambda)$  by  $n(\lambda)$ .*

**1.10.** Assume that  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . If  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , then  $\mu$  is of the form  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$  with  $\mu'' \leq \lambda''$ . Thus  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = 0$  unless  $\mu$  satisfies this condition. The following result was shown by Achar-Henderson [AH] by a geometric method (see Proposition 2.5 (ii)). We give below an alternate proof based on Proposition 1.9.

**PROPOSITION 1.11.** *Assume that  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'')$ ,  $\mu = (-, \mu'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . Then*

$$(1.11.1) \quad \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = t^n \tilde{K}_{\lambda'',\mu''}(t^2).$$

*In particular, we have*

$$(1.11.2) \quad K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = K_{\lambda'',\mu''}(t^2).$$

**PROOF.** (1.11.2) follows from (1.11.1). We show (1.11.1). We shall compute  $\omega_{\lambda,\mu} = t^N R(\chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\mu \otimes \varepsilon)$  for  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'')$ ,  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ .  $\chi^\lambda$  corresponds to the irreducible representation of  $S_n$  with character  $\chi^{\lambda''}$ , extended by the action of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$  such that any factor  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  acts non-trivially. This is the same for  $\chi^\mu$ . Hence  $\chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\mu$  corresponds to the representation of  $S_n$  with character  $\chi^{\lambda''} \otimes \chi^{\mu''}$ , extended by the trivial action of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$ . Thus  $\chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\mu \otimes \varepsilon$  corresponds to the representation of  $S_n$  with character  $\chi^{\lambda''} \otimes \chi^{\mu''} \otimes \varepsilon'$ , extended by the action of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$  such that any factor  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  acts non-trivially, where  $\varepsilon'$  denote the sign character of  $S_n$ . Let  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  be the set of simple reflections of  $W_n$ . We identify the symmetric algebra  $S(V_0^*)$  of  $V_0$  with the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{R}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  with the natural  $W_n$ -action, where  $s_i$  permutes  $y_i$  and  $y_{i+1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ), and  $s_n$  maps  $y_n$  to  $-y_n$ . Then

$(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$ -invariant subalgebra of  $\mathbf{R}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  coincides with  $\mathbf{R}[y_1^2, \dots, y_n^2]$ . It follows that the  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$ -invariant subalgebra  $R(W_n)^{(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n}$  of  $R(W_n)$  is isomorphic to  $R(S_n)$  as graded algebras, where the degree  $2i$ -part of  $R(W_n)^{(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n}$  corresponds to the degree  $i$  part of  $R(S_n)$ . Let  $X$  be the subspace of  $R(W_n)$  consisting of vectors on which  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$  acts in such a way that each factor  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  acts non-trivially. Then  $X = y_1 \dots y_n R(W_n)^{(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n}$ . It follows that

$$R(\chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\mu \otimes \varepsilon)(t) = t^n R(\chi^{\lambda''} \otimes \chi^{\mu''} \otimes \varepsilon')(t^2).$$

Since  $N = n^2$  for  $W_n$ -case, and  $N = n(n - 1)/2$  for  $S_n$ -case, this implies that

$$(1.11.3) \quad \omega_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{2n} \omega_{\lambda'', \mu''}(t^2)$$

We consider the embedding  $\mathcal{P}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  by  $\lambda'' \mapsto (-, \lambda'')$ . This embedding is compatible with the partial order of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , and in fact,  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is identified with the subset  $\{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2} \mid \mu \leq (-, (n))\}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . We consider the matrix equation  $P \Lambda^t P = \Omega$  as in Proposition 1.9 for  $r = 2$ . Let  $P_0, \Lambda_0, \Omega_0$  be the submatrices of  $P, \Lambda, \Omega$  obtained by restricting the indices from  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . Then these matrices satisfy the relation  $P_0 \Lambda_0^t P_0 = \Omega_0$ . By (1.11.3)  $\Omega_0$  coincides with  $t^{2n} \Omega'(t^2)$ , where  $\Omega'$  denotes the matrix  $\Omega$  in the case  $r = 1$ . If we put  $P' = t^{-n} P_0, \Lambda' = \Lambda_0$ , we have a matrix equation  $P' \Lambda'^t P' = \Omega'(t^2)$ . Note that the  $(\lambda'', \lambda'')$ -entry of  $P'$  coincides with  $t^{-n} t^{a(\lambda)} = t^{2n(\lambda'')}$ . Hence  $P', \Lambda', \Omega'$  satisfy all the requirements in Proposition 1.9 for the case  $r = 1$ , by replacing  $t$  by  $t^2$ . Now by Proposition 1.9, we have  $t^{-n} \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda'', \mu''}(t^2)$  as asserted.  $\square$

As a corollary, we have

COROLLARY 1.12. *Assume that  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'')$ . Then  $P_\lambda(x; t) = P_{\lambda''}(x^{(2)}; t^2)$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'')$ , we have  $s_\lambda(x) = s_{\lambda''}(x^{(2)})$ . By (1.11.2), we have

$$s_{\lambda''}(x^{(2)}) = \sum_{\mu'' \in \mathcal{P}_n} K_{\lambda'', \mu''}(t^2) P_{\mu''}(x; t).$$

We have also

$$s_{\lambda''}(x^{(2)}) = \sum_{\mu'' \in \mathcal{P}_n} K_{\lambda'', \mu''}(t^2) P_{\mu''}(x^{(2)}; t^2).$$

Since  $(K_{\lambda'', \mu''}(t^2))$  is a non-singular matrix indexed by  $\mathcal{P}_n$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

## 2. Geometric interpretation of double Kostka polynomials

2.1. In [L1], Lusztig gave a geometric interpretation of Kostka polynomials in terms of the intersection cohomology complex associated to the nilpotent orbits of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ . Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , and put  $G = GL(V)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}$  the nilpotent cone of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $G$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}$  by the adjoint action,

and the set of  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}$  is in bijective correspondence with  $\mathcal{P}_n$  via the Jordan normal form of nilpotent elements. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  the  $G$ -orbit corresponding to  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}$ . Then we have  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda = \coprod_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mathcal{O}_\mu$ , where  $\mu \leq \lambda$  is the dominance order of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . Let  $A_\lambda = \text{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  be the intersection cohomology complex of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -sheaves, and  $\mathcal{H}_x^i A_\lambda$  the stalk at  $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$  of the  $i$ -th cohomology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^i A_\lambda$ . Lusztig’s result is stated as follows.

**THEOREM 2.2** ([L1, Thm. 2]).  *$\mathcal{H}^i A_\lambda = 0$  for odd  $i$ . For each  $x \in \mathcal{O}_\mu \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$ ,*

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{n(\lambda)} \sum_{i \geq 0} (\dim \mathcal{H}_x^{2i} A_\lambda) t^i .$$

**2.3.** The geometric interpretation of double Kostka polynomials analogous to Theorem 2.2 was established by Achar-Henderson [AH]. We follow the setting in 2.1. Consider the direct product  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{g} \times V$ , on which  $G$  acts as  $g : (x, v) \mapsto (gx, gv)$ , where  $gv$  is the natural action of  $G$  on  $V$ . Put  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}} \times V$ .  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$  is a  $G$ -stable subset of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and is called the enhanced nilpotent cone. It is known by Achar-Henderson [AH] and by Travkin [T] that the set of  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$  is in bijective correspondence with  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . The correspondence is given as follows; take  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$ . Put  $E^x = \{g \in \text{End}(V) \mid gx = xg\}$ . Then  $W = E^x v$  is an  $x$ -stable subspace of  $V$ . Let  $\lambda'$  be the Jordan type of  $x|_W$ , and  $\lambda''$  the Jordan type of  $x|_{V/W}$ . Then  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , and the assignment  $(x, v) \mapsto \lambda$  gives the required correspondence. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  the  $G$ -orbit corresponding to  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . The closure relation for  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  was described by [AH, Thm. 3.9] as follows;

$$(2.3.1) \quad \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda = \coprod_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mathcal{O}_\mu ,$$

where the partial order  $\mu \leq \lambda$  is the one defined in 1.3. We consider the intersection cohomology complex  $A_\lambda = \text{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$  associated to  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . The following result was proved by Achar-Henderson.

**THEOREM 2.4** ([AH, Thm. 5.2]). *Assume that  $A_\lambda$  is attached to the enhanced nilpotent cone. Then  $\mathcal{H}^i A_\lambda = 0$  for odd  $i$ . For  $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$ ,*

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{a(\lambda)} \sum_{i \geq 0} (\dim \mathcal{H}_z^{2i} A_\lambda) t^{2i} .$$

Note that  $\mathcal{H}^{2i}$  corresponds to  $t^{2i}$  in the enhanced case, which is different from the correspondence  $\mathcal{H}^{2i} \leftrightarrow t^i$  in the  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}$  case. As a corollary, we have

**PROPOSITION 2.5** ([AH, Cor. 5.3]). *Under the notation as above,*

- (i)  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}[t]$ . *Moreover, only powers of  $t$  congruent to  $a(\lambda)$  modulo 2 occur in the polynomial.*

- (ii) Assume that  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'')$ ,  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . Then  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^n \tilde{K}_{\lambda'', \mu''}(t^2)$ .
- (iii) Assume that  $\lambda = (\lambda', -)$  and  $\mu = (\mu', \mu'')$ . Then  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda', \mu'+\mu''}(t^2)$ .

PROOF. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof here. (i) is clear from the theorem. For (ii), take  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'')$ . Then by the correspondence given in 2.3, if  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ , then  $v = 0$ , and  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda''}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda''}$  and that  $A_\lambda \simeq A_{\lambda''}$ .  $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$  is also written as  $z = (x, 0)$  with  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mu''}$ . Then (ii) follows by comparing Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4. For (iii), it was proved in [AH, Lemma 3.1] that  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda'} \times V$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda', -)$ . Thus  $\text{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_l) \simeq \text{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda'}, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_l) \boxtimes (\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_l)_V$ , where  $(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_l)_V$  is the constant sheaf  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_l$  on  $V$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{H}_z^{2i} A_\lambda = \mathcal{H}_x^{2i} A_{\lambda'}$  for  $z = (x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$ . Since  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mu'+\mu''}$ , (iii) follows from Theorem 2.2 (note that  $a(\lambda) = 2n(\lambda')$ ).  $\square$

REMARK 2.6. Proposition 2.5 (ii) was also proved in Proposition 1.11 by a combinatorial method. We don't know whether (iii) can be proved in a combinatorial way. However if we admit that  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  depends only on  $\mu' + \mu''$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda', -)$  (this is a consequence of (iii)), a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 1.11 can be applied.

Proposition 2.5 (iii) implies the following.

COROLLARY 2.7. For  $v \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , we have

$$P_v(x^{(1)}; t^2) = \sum_{v=\mu'+\mu''} t^{|\mu''|} P_{(\mu', \mu'')}(x; t).$$

PROOF. It follows from Proposition 2.5 (iii) that  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{|\mu''|} K_{\lambda', \mu'+\mu''}(t^2)$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda', -)$ . Since  $s_\lambda(x) = s_{\lambda'}(x^{(1)})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\lambda'}(x^{(1)}) &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) P_\mu(x; t) \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} K_{\lambda', \mu'+\mu''}(t^2) t^{|\mu''|} P_\mu(x; t) \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} K_{\lambda', v}(t^2) \sum_{v=\mu'+\mu''} t^{|\mu''|} P_{(\mu', \mu'')}(x; t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$s_{\lambda'}(x^{(1)}) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} K_{\lambda', v}(t^2) P_v(x^{(1)}; t^2).$$

Since  $(K_{\lambda', v}(t^2))$  is a non-singular matrix, we obtain the required formula.  $\square$

REMARK 2.8. The formula in Corollary 2.7 suggests that the behavior of  $P_\mu(x; t)$  at  $t = 1$  is different from that of ordinary Hall–Littlewood functions given in (1.2.1). In fact, by Corollary 1.12,  $P_{(-, v)}(x; t) = P_v(x^{(2)}; t^2)$ . Hence  $P_{(-, v)}(x; 1) = m_v(x^{(2)})$  by (1.2.1). Also by (1.2.1)  $P_v(x^{(1)}; 1) = m_v(x^{(1)})$ . Then by Corollary 2.7, we have

$$m_\nu(x^{(1)}) = m_\nu(x^{(2)}) + \sum_{\nu=\mu'+\mu'', \mu' \neq \emptyset} P_{(\mu', \mu'')}(x; 1).$$

This formula shows that a certain cancelation occurs in the expression of  $P_\mu(x; 1)$  as a sum of monomials. Concerning this, we will have a related result later in Proposition 3.23.

**2.9.** There exists a geometric realization of double Kostka polynomials in terms of the exotic nilpotent cone instead of the enhanced nilpotent cone. Let  $V$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of odd characteristic. Let  $G = GL(V)$  and  $\theta$  an involutive automorphism of  $G$  such that  $G^\theta = Sp(V)$ . Put  $H = G^\theta$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ .  $\theta$  induces a linear automorphism of order 2 on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which we denote also by  $\theta$ .  $\mathfrak{g}$  is decomposed as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^\theta \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm\theta}$  is the eigenspace of  $\theta$  with eigenvalue  $\pm 1$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm\theta}$  are  $H$ -invariant subspaces in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We consider the direct product  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta} \times V$ , on which  $H$  acts diagonally. Put  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^{-\theta} = \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^{-\theta}$  is  $H$ -stable, and we consider  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^{-\theta} \times V$ .  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$  is an  $H$ -invariant subset of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and is called the exotic nilpotent cone. It is known by Kato [K1] that the set of  $H$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$  is in bijective correspondence with  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  the  $H$ -orbit corresponding to  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . It is also known by [AH] that the closure relations for  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  are given by the partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . We consider the intersection cohomology complex  $A_\lambda = IC(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$ . The following result was proved by Kato [K2], and [SS2], independently.

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Assume that  $A_\lambda$  is attached to the exotic nilpotent cone. Then  $\mathcal{H}^i A_\lambda = 0$  unless  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . For  $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}$ , we have*

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{a(\lambda)} \sum_{i \geq 0} (\dim \mathcal{H}_z^{4i} A_\lambda) t^{2i}.$$

**2.11.** Let  $W_n$  be the Weyl group of type  $C_n$ . The advantage of the use of the exotic nilpotent cone relies on the fact that it has a good relationship with representations of Weyl groups, as explained below. Let  $B$  be a  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $B^\theta$  is a Borel subgroup of  $H$ , and we denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the flag variety  $H/B^\theta$  of  $H$ . Let  $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_n \subset V$  be the (full) isotropic flag fixed by  $B^\theta$ . Hence  $M_n$  is a maximal isotropic subspace of  $V$ . Put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{nil}} = \{(x, v, gB^\theta) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^{-\theta} \times V \times \mathcal{B} \mid g^{-1}x \in \text{Lie } B, g^{-1}v \in M_n\},$$

and define a map  $\pi_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{nil}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$  by  $(x, v, gB^\theta) \mapsto (x, v)$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{nil}}$  is smooth, irreducible and  $\pi_1$  is proper surjective. Let  $V_\lambda$  be the irreducible representation of  $W_n$  corresponding to  $\chi^\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ ). We consider the direct image  $(\pi_1)_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$  of the constant sheaf  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{nil}}$ . The following result is an analogue of the Springer correspondence for reductive groups, and was proved by Kato [K1], and [SS1], independently.

**THEOREM 2.12.**  *$(\pi_1)_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim \mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}]$  is a semisimple perverse sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$ , equipped with  $W_n$ -action, and is decomposed as*

$$(2.12.1) \quad (\pi_1)_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim \mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}] \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} V_\lambda \otimes A_\lambda[\dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda],$$

where  $A_\lambda[\dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda]$  is a simple perverse sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$ .

**2.13.** For each  $z = (x, v) \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$ , put

$$\mathcal{B}_z = \{gB^\theta \in \mathcal{B} \mid g^{-1}x \in \text{Lie } B, g^{-1}v \in M_n\}.$$

$\mathcal{B}_z$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_1^{-1}(z)$ , and is called the Springer fibre. Since  $\mathcal{H}_z^i((\pi_1)_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ ,  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  has a structure of  $W_n$ -module, which we call the Springer representation of  $W_n$ . Put  $K = (\pi_1)_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ . By taking the stalk at  $z \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$  of the  $i$ -th cohomology of both sides in (2.12.1), we have an isomorphism of  $W_n$ -modules,

$$\mathcal{H}_z^i K \simeq H^i(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} V_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_z^{i+\dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda - \dim \mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}} A_\lambda.$$

Since  $\dim \mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}} - \dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda = 2a(\lambda)$  (see [SS2, (5.7.1)]), this together with Theorem 2.10 imply the following result.

**PROPOSITION 2.14.** Assume that  $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$ . Then  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = 0$  for odd  $i$ , and we have

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \langle H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l), V_\lambda \rangle_{W_n} t^i,$$

namely, the coefficient of  $t^i$  in  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  is given by the multiplicity of  $V_\lambda$  in the  $W_n$ -module  $H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ .

### 3. Combinatorial properties of $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$ and $P_\mu(x; t)$

**3.1.** In [AH], Achar-Henderson gave a formula expressing double Kostka polynomials in terms of various ordinary Kostka polynomials. We consider the enhanced nilpotent cone  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}} \times V$  as in 2.3, under the assumption that  $k$  is an algebraic closure of a finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Take  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . For each  $z = (x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (v', v'')$ , we define a variety  $\mathcal{G}_\nu^\mu$  by

$$(3.1.1) \quad \mathcal{G}_\nu^\mu = \{W \subset V \mid W : x\text{-stable subspace, } v \in W, \\ x|_W \text{ type : } \nu', x|_{V/W} \text{ type : } \nu''\}.$$

Note that if  $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu(\mathbf{F}_q)$ , the variety  $\mathcal{G}_\nu^\mu$  is defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , and one can count the cardinality  $|\mathcal{G}_\nu^\mu(\mathbf{F}_q)|$  of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -fixed points in  $\mathcal{G}_\nu^\mu$ . Clearly,  $|\mathcal{G}_\nu^\mu(\mathbf{F}_q)|$  is independent of the choice of  $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu(\mathbf{F}_q)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2 (Achar-Henderson [AH, Prop. 5.8]). *Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ .*

(i) *There exists a polynomial  $g_\nu^\mu(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  such that  $|\mathcal{G}_\nu^\mu(\mathbf{F}_q)| = g_\nu^\mu(q)$  for any finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$  with  $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu(\mathbf{F}_q)$ .*

(ii) *Take  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda''), \nu = (\nu', \nu'')$ . Then we have*

$$(3.2.1) \quad \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{a(\lambda) - 2n(\lambda)} \sum_{\substack{\nu' \leq \lambda' \\ \nu'' \leq \lambda''}} g_\nu^\mu(t^2) \tilde{K}_{\lambda', \nu'}(t^2) \tilde{K}_{\lambda'', \nu''}(t^2).$$

3.3. The formula (3.2.1) can be rewritten as

$$(3.3.1) \quad K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{|\mu''| - |\lambda''|} \sum_{\nu = (\nu', \nu'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} t^{2n(\mu) - 2n(\nu)} g_\nu^\mu(t^{-2}) K_{\lambda', \nu'}(t^2) K_{\lambda'', \nu''}(t^2).$$

Note that  $g_\nu^\mu(t)$  is a generalization of Hall polynomials. If  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ , then  $z = (x, \nu)$  with  $\nu = 0$ . In that case,  $g_\nu^\mu(t)$  coincides with the original Hall polynomial  $g_{\nu', \nu''}^{\mu''}(t)$  given in [M, II, 4]. In particular, if  $g_{\nu', \nu''}^\mu(t) \neq 0$ , then  $g_{\nu', \nu''}^\mu(t)$  is a polynomial with degree  $n(\mu) - n(\nu') - n(\nu'')$  and leading coefficient  $c_{\nu', \nu''}^\mu$ , where  $c_{\nu', \nu''}^\mu$  is the Littlewood–Richardson coefficient determined by the following conditions; for partitions  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ ,

$$(3.3.2) \quad s_\mu s_\nu = \sum_\lambda c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda s_\lambda.$$

For partitions  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ , we define a polynomial  $f_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(t)$  by

$$(3.3.3) \quad P_\mu(y; t) P_\nu(y; t) = \sum_\lambda f_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(t) P_\lambda(y; t).$$

Then it is known by [M, III, (3.6)] that

$$(3.3.4) \quad g_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(t) = t^{n(\lambda) - n(\mu) - n(\nu)} f_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(t^{-1}).$$

We have a lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. *Assume that  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . Then we have*

$$(3.4.1) \quad K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{|\lambda'|} \sum_{\nu', \nu''} f_{\nu', \nu''}^{\mu''}(t^2) K_{\lambda', \nu'}(t^2) K_{\lambda'', \nu''}(t^2),$$

$$(3.4.2) \quad K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{|\lambda'|} \sum_\eta c_{\lambda', \lambda''}^\eta K_{\eta, \mu''}(t^2).$$

PROOF. The first equality is obtained by substituting (3.3.4) into (3.3.1). We show the second equality. One can write

$$s_{\lambda'}(y) = \sum_{\nu'} K_{\lambda', \nu'}(t) P_{\nu'}(y; t),$$

$$s_{\lambda''}(y) = \sum_{\nu''} K_{\lambda'', \nu''}(t) P_{\nu''}(y; t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4.3) \quad s_{\lambda'}(y)s_{\lambda''}(y) &= \sum_{\nu', \nu''} K_{\lambda', \nu'}(t) K_{\lambda'', \nu''}(t) P_{\nu'}(y; t) P_{\nu''}(y; t) \\ &= \sum_{\nu', \nu''} \sum_{\mu''} f_{\nu' \nu''}^{\mu''}(t) K_{\lambda', \nu'}(t) K_{\lambda'', \nu''}(t) P_{\mu''}(y; t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4.4) \quad s_{\lambda'}(y)s_{\lambda''}(y) &= \sum_{\eta} c_{\lambda' \lambda''}^{\eta} s_{\eta}(y) \\ &= \sum_{\eta} c_{\lambda' \lambda''}^{\eta} \sum_{\mu''} K_{\eta, \mu''}(t) P_{\mu''}(y; t). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing (3.4.3) and (3.4.4), we have, for each  $\lambda', \lambda''$  and  $\mu''$ ,

$$\sum_{\eta} c_{\lambda' \lambda''}^{\eta} K_{\eta, \mu''}(t) = \sum_{\nu', \nu''} f_{\nu' \nu''}^{\mu''}(t) K_{\lambda', \nu'}(t) K_{\lambda'', \nu''}(t).$$

This proves the second equality. □

**3.5.** For  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , let  $SST(\lambda, \mu)$  be the set of semistandard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  and weight  $\mu$ . For a semistandard tableau  $S$ , the charge  $c(S)$  is defined as in [M, III, 6]. Then Lascoux–Schützenberger theorem ([M, III, (6.5)]) asserts that

$$(3.5.1) \quad K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = \sum_{S \in SST(\lambda; \mu)} t^{c(S)}.$$

In what follows, we shall prove an analogue of (3.5.1) for double Kostka polynomials  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  for some special cases. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n, 2}$ . A pair  $T = (T_+, T_-)$  is called a semistandard tableau of shape  $\lambda$  if  $T_+$  (resp.  $T_-$ ) is a semistandard tableau of shape  $\lambda'$  (resp.  $\lambda''$ ) with respect to the letters  $1, \dots, n$ . We denote by  $SST(\lambda)$  the set of semistandard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ .  $T \in SST(\lambda)$  is regarded as a usual semistandard tableau associated to a skew diagram; write  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_{k'})$  with  $\lambda'_{k'} > 0$ , and  $\lambda'' = (\lambda''_1, \lambda''_2, \dots, \lambda''_{k''})$  with  $\lambda''_{k''} > 0$ . Put  $a = \lambda''_1$ . We define a partition  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k'+k''}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n+ak'}$  by

$$\xi_i = \begin{cases} \lambda'_i + a & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k', \\ \lambda''_{i-k'} & \text{for } k' + 1 \leq i \leq k' + k''. \end{cases}$$

We define a partition  $\theta = (a^{k'})$  of rectangular shape. Then  $\theta \subset \xi$ , and the skew diagram  $\xi - \theta$  consist of connected components of shape  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda''$ . Thus  $T \in SST(\lambda)$  can be identified with a semistandard tableau  $\tilde{T}$  of shape  $\xi - \theta$ . Assume  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . We say that  $T \in SST(\lambda)$

has weight  $\pi$  if the corresponding tableau  $\tilde{T}$  has shape  $\xi - \theta$  and weight  $\pi$ . We denote by  $SST(\lambda, \pi)$  the set of semistandard tableau of shape  $\lambda$  and weight  $\pi$ .

The set  $SST(\lambda, \pi)$  is described as follows; for a partition  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_m$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  such that  $|\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i = m$ , let  $SST(\nu; \alpha)$  be the set of semistandard tableau of shape  $\nu$  and weight  $\alpha$ . Then we have

$$(3.5.2) \quad SST(\lambda, \pi) = \coprod_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=\pi \\ |\alpha|=\lambda'}} (SST(\lambda', \alpha) \times SST(\lambda'', \beta)).$$

REMARK 3.6. Usually, the weight of a semistandard tableau is assumed to be a partition. Here we need to consider the weight which is not a partition. But this gives no essential difference. In fact, we consider the set  $SST(\nu; \alpha)$ .  $S_n$  acts on  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  by a permutation of factors. We denote by  $O(\alpha)$  the  $S_n$ -orbit of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . There exists a unique  $\mu \in O(\alpha)$  such that  $\mu$  is a partition. Then we have  $|SST(\nu; \alpha)| = |SST(\nu; \mu)|$ . This follows from (5.12) in [M, I] and the discussion below (though it is not written explicitly).

3.7. For (an ordinary) semistandard tableau  $S$ , a word  $w(S)$  is defined as a sequence of letters  $1, \dots, n$ , reading from right to left, and top to down. This definition works for the semistandard tableau associated to a skew-diagram. For a semistandard tableau  $T = (T_+, T_-) \in SST(\lambda)$ , we define the associated word  $w(T)$  by  $w(T) = w(T_+)w(T_-)$ . Hence  $w(T)$  coincides with  $w(\tilde{T})$ .

Following [M, I, 9], we introduce a notion of lattice permutation. A word  $w = a_1 \dots a_N$  consisting of letters  $1, \dots, n$  is called a lattice permutation if for  $1 \leq r \leq N$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , the number of occurrences of the letter  $i$  in  $a_1 \dots a_r$  is  $\geq$  the number of occurrences of the letter  $i + 1$ . We denote by  $SST^0(\lambda, \pi)$  the set of semistandard tableau  $T \in SST(\lambda, \pi)$  such that  $w(T)$  is a lattice permutation.

LEMMA 3.8. Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}, \pi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . There exists a bijective map

$$(3.8.1) \quad \Theta : SST(\lambda, \pi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \coprod_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} (SST^0(\lambda, \nu) \times SST(\nu, \pi))$$

PROOF. Under the correspondence  $T \leftrightarrow \tilde{T}$  in 3.5, the set  $SST(\lambda, \pi)$  can be identified with the set  $SST(\xi - \theta, \pi)$ . Then (3.8.1) is a special case of the bijection given in [M, I, (9.4)]. In (9.4), this bijection is explicitly constructed. □

COROLLARY 3.9. Assume that  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Then we have

$$|SST^0(\lambda, \nu)| = c_{\lambda', \lambda''}^\nu.$$

PROOF. We prove the corollary by modifying the discussion in [M, I, 9]. By [M, I, (5.12)], we have

$$s_{\lambda'}(y) = \sum_{S' \in SST(\lambda')} y^{S'},$$

$$s_{\lambda''}(y) = \sum_{S'' \in SST(\lambda'')} y^{S''}.$$

It follows that

$$s_{\lambda'}(y)s_{\lambda''}(y) = \sum_{T \in SST(\lambda)} y^T.$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of (5.14) in [M, I], we have

$$|SST(\lambda, \pi)| = \langle s_{\lambda'}s_{\lambda''}, h_{\pi} \rangle,$$

where  $h_{\pi}$  is the complete symmetric function associated to  $\pi$ . Similarly, we have  $|SST(v, \pi)| = \langle s_v, h_{\pi} \rangle$ . Then by (3.8.1), we have

$$\langle s_{\lambda'}s_{\lambda''}, h_{\pi} \rangle = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} |SST^0(\lambda, v)| \langle s_v, h_{\pi} \rangle$$

for any  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . It follows that

$$(3.9.1) \quad s_{\lambda'}s_{\lambda''} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} |SST^0(\lambda, v)|s_v.$$

On the other hand, by (3.3.2) we have

$$(3.9.2) \quad s_{\lambda'}s_{\lambda''} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{\lambda', \lambda''}^v s_v.$$

By comparing the coefficient of  $s_v$  in (3.9.1) with (3.9.2), we obtain the result. □

**3.10.** Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  and  $\mu'' \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . For  $T \in SST(\lambda, \mu'')$ , write  $\Theta(T) = (D, S)$ , with  $S \in SST(v, \mu'')$  for some  $v$ . We define a charge  $c(T)$  of  $T$  by  $c(T) = c(S)$ , where  $c(S)$  is the charge of  $S$  as in (3.5.1). The following formula is an analogue of Lascoux–Schützenberger theorem for the double Kostka polynomial  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  in the case where  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ .

**THEOREM 3.11.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , and assume that  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . Then*

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^{|\lambda'|} \sum_{T \in SST(\lambda, \mu'')} t^{2c(T)}.$$

**PROOF.** We define a map  $\Psi : SST(\lambda, \mu'') \rightarrow \coprod_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} SST(v, \mu'')$  by  $T \mapsto S$ , where  $\Theta(T) = (D, S)$ . Then by Corollary 3.9, for each  $S \in SST(v, \mu'')$ , the set  $\Psi^{-1}(S)$  has the cardinality  $c_{\lambda', \lambda''}^v$ , and, by definition, any element  $T \in \Psi^{-1}(S)$  has the charge  $c(T) = c(S)$ . Hence

$$\sum_{T \in SST(\lambda, \mu'')} t^{c(T)} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{S \in SST(v, \mu'')} c_{\lambda', \lambda''}^v t^{c(S)}$$

$$= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{\lambda', \lambda''}^\nu K_{\nu, \mu''}(t)$$

since  $K_{\nu, \mu''}(t) = \sum_S t^{c(S)}$  by (3.5.1). Now the theorem follows from (3.4.2). □

**COROLLARY 3.12.** *Assume that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  with  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . Then we have*

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(1) = |SST(\lambda, \mu'')|.$$

**REMARK 3.13.** (i) The Littlewood–Richardson rule is a combinatorial procedure of computing Littlewood–Richardson coefficients. In [M, I, (9.2)] it is stated that  $c_{\lambda', \lambda''}^\nu$  is equal to the number of semistandard tableaux  $T$  of shape  $\nu - \lambda'$  and weight  $\lambda''$  such that  $w(T)$  is a lattice permutation. Hence Corollary 3.9 gives a variant of the Littlewood–Richardson rule.

(ii) The definition of the charge in [M] makes sense for words rather than tableaux, and we have  $c(S) = c(w(S))$  for a semistandard tableau  $S$  in (3.5.1). So in the case where  $T \in SST(\lambda, \mu'')$  it would be more natural to define the charge  $c'(T)$  as the charge of the word  $w(T)$ . But in that case it is not clear whether this charge  $c'$  is compatible with the bijection  $\Theta$  in (3.8.1), and we do not know whether  $c'$  coincides with  $c$  defined in 3.10. However, in [Li], the first named author proved a similar formula for  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t)$  as Theorem 3.11 by using the charge  $c'$ , by constructing a different type bijection of  $\Theta$ .

**3.14.** Here we recall the explicit construction of  $\chi^\lambda$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . Put  $|\lambda'| = m', |\lambda''| = m''$ . Let  $\chi^{\lambda'}$  (resp.  $\chi^{\lambda''}$ ) be the irreducible character of  $S_{m'}$  (resp.  $S_{m''}$ ) corresponding to the partition  $\lambda' \in \mathcal{P}_{m'}$  (resp.  $\lambda'' \in \mathcal{P}_{m''}$ ). We denote by  $\tilde{\chi}^{\lambda'}$  the irreducible character of  $W_{m'} = S_{m'} \times (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{m'}$  obtained by extending  $\chi^{\lambda'}$  by the trivial action of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{m'}$ . We also denote by  $\tilde{\chi}^{\lambda''}$  the irreducible character of  $W_{m''} = S_{m''} \times (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{m''}$  by extending  $\chi^{\lambda''}$  by defining the action of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{m''}$  so that each factor  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  acts non-trivially. Then  $\text{Ind}_{W_{m'} \times W_{m''}}^{W_n} \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda'} \otimes \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda''}$  gives an irreducible character  $\chi^\lambda$ . It follows from the construction that  $\chi^\lambda|_{S_n}$  coincides with  $\text{Ind}_{S_{m'} \times S_{m''}}^{S_n} \chi^{\lambda'} \otimes \chi^{\lambda''}$ .

For  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , we denote by  $S_\nu$  the Young subgroup  $S_{\nu_1} \times \dots \times S_{\nu_k}$ . We show the following formula.

**PROPOSITION 3.15.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  with  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . Then we have*

$$(3.15.1) \quad K_{\lambda, \mu}(1) = \langle \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{W_n} 1, \chi^\lambda \rangle_{W_n}.$$

**PROOF.** Under the notation in 3.14, we compute the inner product.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{W_n} 1, \chi^\lambda \rangle_{W_n} &= \langle \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{S_n} 1, \chi^\lambda|_{S_n} \rangle_{S_n} \\ &= \langle \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{S_n} 1, \text{Ind}_{S_{m'} \times S_{m''}}^{S_n} \chi^{\lambda'} \otimes \chi^{\lambda''} \rangle_{S_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we can write  $\text{Ind}_{S_{\mu'} \times S_{\mu''}}^{S_n} \chi^{\lambda'} \otimes \chi^{\lambda''} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{\lambda' \lambda''}^{\nu} \chi^{\nu}$  by using the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients. Thus

$$\langle \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{W_n} 1, \chi^{\lambda} \rangle_{W_n} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{\lambda' \lambda''}^{\nu} \langle \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{S_n} 1, \chi^{\nu} \rangle_{S_n} .$$

But it is known that  $\langle \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{S_n} 1, \chi^{\nu} \rangle_{S_n} = K_{\nu, \mu''}(1)$  (see eg. [M, I, Remark after (7.8)]). Hence we have

$$\langle \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{W_n} 1, \chi^{\lambda} \rangle_{W_n} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{\lambda' \lambda''}^{\nu} K_{\nu, \mu''}(1) .$$

Then the proposition follows from (3.4.2), by substituting  $t = 1$ . □

**COROLLARY 3.16.** *Let  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mu}$  the corresponding  $H$ -orbit in the exotic nilpotent cone  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nil}}$ . Then for  $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mu}$ , we have*

$$(3.16.1) \quad \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{W_n} 1$$

as  $W_n$ -modules.

**PROOF.** Put  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_z) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ . Then Proposition 2.14 shows that

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(1) = \langle H^*(\mathcal{B}_z), \chi^{\lambda} \rangle_{W_n}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . Thus, by comparing it with (3.15.1), we obtain the required formula. □

**REMARK 3.17.** It would be interesting to compare (3.16.1) with a similar formula for the ordinary Springer representations of type  $C_n$ . We follow the setting in 2.11. For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^{\theta}$ , we define

$$\mathcal{B}_x^{\star} = \{gB^{\theta} \in \mathcal{B} \mid g^{-1}x \in \text{Lie } B^{\theta}\} .$$

$\mathcal{B}_x^{\star}$  is the original Springer fibre associated to  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^{\theta}$ , and the cohomology group  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_x^{\star}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  has a natural action of  $W_n$ . It is known that  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_x^{\star}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = 0$  for odd  $i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{l}^{\theta}$  be a Levi subalgebra of a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\theta}$  of type  $A_{\mu_1''-1} + A_{\mu_2''-1} + \dots + A_{\mu_k''-1}$  for  $\mu'' = (\mu_1'', \mu_2'', \dots, \mu_k'') \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Assume that  $x$  is a regular nilpotent element in  $\mathfrak{l}_{\text{nil}}^{\theta}$ . Then by a general formula due to [L2], we have

$$(3.17.1) \quad \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_x^{\star}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq \text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{W_n} 1$$

as  $W_n$ -modules. However, the graded  $W_n$ -module structures in (3.16.1) and (3.17.1) do not coincide in general. For example, assume that  $n = 2$ , and  $\mu = (-, 2)$ , i.e.,  $\mu'' = (2)$ . In that

case,  $\text{Ind}_{S_{\mu''}}^{W_2} 1 = \text{Ind}_{S_2}^{W_2} 1 = \chi^{(-,2)} + \chi^{(1,1)} + \chi^{(2,-)}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H^4(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) &= \chi^{(-,2)}, & H^2(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) &= \chi^{(1,1)}, & H^0(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) &= \chi^{(2,-)}, \\ H^2(\mathcal{B}_x^*, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) &= \chi^{(-,2)} + \chi^{(1,1)}, & H^0(\mathcal{B}_x^*, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) &= \chi^{(2,-)}. \end{aligned}$$

**3.18.** We shall give an interpretation of the formula (3.2.1) in terms of the Springer modules. Let  $A_n = (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$  be the abelian subgroup of  $W_n$ . We denote by  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  the generators of  $A_n$ , where  $t_i$  is the generator of the  $i$ -th component  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a linear character of  $A_n$ . For each  $A_n$ -module  $X$ , we denote by  $X_\varphi$  the weight space of  $X$  corresponding to  $\varphi$ , namely  $X_\varphi = \{v \in X \mid av = \varphi(a)v \text{ for } a \in A_n\}$ . Let  $S_\varphi$  be the stabilizer of  $\varphi$  in  $S_n$  under the action of  $S_n$  on  $A_n$ . Then  $S_\varphi \simeq S_m \times S_{n-m}$ , where  $m$  is the number of  $i$  such that  $\varphi(t_i) = 1$ . If  $X$  is an  $W_n$ -module,  $X$  is an  $A_n$ -module by restriction. Then  $X_\varphi$  turns out to be an  $S_\varphi$ -module.

The  $W_n$ -module  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ , which is called the (exotic) Springer module, is isomorphic to each other for  $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$  ( $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ ). In the discussion below, we denote it simply by  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_\mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}^0 = G_0/B_0$  be the flag variety of  $G_0 = GL_n$ , where  $B_0$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G_0$ . Recall that for each nilpotent element  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ , the Springer fibre  $\mathcal{B}_x^0$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_x^0 = \{gB_0 \in \mathcal{B}^0 \mid g^{-1}x \in \text{Lie } B_0\},$$

and the cohomology group  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_x^0, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  has a natural structure of  $S_n$ -module, the Springer module. Since the  $S_n$ -module structure does not depend on  $x \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$  ( $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ ), we denote it by  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_\nu^0)$ . Let  $A_n^\wedge$  be the set of irreducible characters of  $A_n$ . Then we have the weight space decomposition

$$H^i(\mathcal{B}_\mu) = \bigoplus_{\varphi \in A_n^\wedge} H^i(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_\varphi,$$

where each  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_\varphi$  has a structure of  $S_\varphi$ -module.

Recall the polynomial  $g_\nu^\mu(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  given in Proposition 3.2. We write it as

$$g_\nu^\mu(t) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} g_{\nu,\ell}^\mu t^\ell$$

with (possibly negative) integers  $g_{\nu,\ell}^\mu$ . The following proposition gives a description of  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_\varphi$  in terms of the Springer modules of  $S_\varphi$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.19.** *Assume that  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . Let  $\varphi \in A_n^\wedge$  be such that  $S_\varphi \simeq S_m \times S_{n-m}$ . Then the following equality holds (in the Grothendieck group of the category of  $S_\varphi$ -*

modules)

$$H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_\varphi = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}=(\mathbf{v}',\mathbf{v}'' )\in \mathcal{P}_{n,2} \\ |\mathbf{v}'|=m}} \sum_{j,k,\ell} g_{\mathbf{v},\ell}^\mu(H^{2j}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{v}'})^0 \otimes H^{2k}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{v}''})^0),$$

where the second sum is taken over all  $j, k, \ell$  satisfying the condition

$$i = (n - m) + 2\ell + 2(j + k).$$

PROOF. By Proposition 2.14, one can write (as an identity in the Grothendieck group of the category of  $S_\varphi$ -modules, extended by scalar to  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ )

$$(3.19.1) \quad \sum_{i \geq 0} H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_\varphi t^i \simeq \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)(V_\lambda)_\varphi$$

for each  $\varphi \in A_n^\wedge$ . Assume that  $S_\varphi \simeq S_m \times S_{n-m}$ . It follows from the explicit construction of  $V_\lambda$  in 3.14 that  $(V_\lambda)_\varphi = 0$  unless  $|\lambda'| = m, |\lambda''| = n - m$ , and in that case,  $(V_\lambda)_\varphi \simeq V_{\lambda'} \otimes V_{\lambda''}$  as  $S_m \times S_{n-m}$ -modules, where  $V_{\lambda'}$  denotes the irreducible  $S_m$ -module corresponding to  $\chi^{\lambda'}$ , and similarly for  $V_{\lambda''}$ . By (3.2.1), the right hand side of (3.19.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{n-m} \sum_{\substack{\lambda' \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ \lambda'' \in \mathcal{P}_{n-m}}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}=(\mathbf{v}',\mathbf{v}'' )\in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} g_{\mathbf{v}}^\mu(t^2) \tilde{K}_{\lambda',\mathbf{v}'}(t^2) \tilde{K}_{\lambda'',\mathbf{v}''}(t^2) V_{\lambda'} \otimes V_{\lambda''} \\ &= t^{n-m} \sum_{\mathbf{v}} g_{\mathbf{v}}^\mu(t^2) \left( \sum_{\lambda' \in \mathcal{P}_m} \tilde{K}_{\lambda',\mathbf{v}'}(t^2) V_{\lambda'} \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{\lambda'' \in \mathcal{P}_{n-m}} \tilde{K}_{\lambda'',\mathbf{v}''}(t^2) V_{\lambda''} \right) \\ &= t^{n-m} \sum_{\mathbf{v}} g_{\mathbf{v}}^\mu(t^2) \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{v}'}^0) t^{2i} \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{v}''}^0) t^{2i} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the formulas analogous to Proposition 2.14 in the case of  $GL_m$  and  $GL_{n-m}$ . By comparing the last expression with the left hand side of (3.19.1), we obtain the proposition.  $\square$

**3.20.** We consider  $\varphi \in A_n^\wedge$  in the special case where  $m = n$  or  $m = 0$ . Put  $\varphi = \varphi_+$  (resp.  $\varphi = \varphi_-$ ) if  $m = n$  (resp.  $m = 0$ ). In these cases,  $S_\varphi \simeq S_n$ , and we have a more precise description of the  $S_n$ -module  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_\varphi$  as follows. (Note that  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_{\varphi_+}$  coincides with the  $A_n$ -fixed point subspace of  $H^i(\mathcal{B}_\mu)$ . The formula (i) in the corollary should be compared with the result in [SSr], where the case of ordinary Springer representations of type  $C_n$  is discussed.)

COROLLARY 3.21. Assume that  $\mu = (\mu', \mu'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ .

(i) There exists an isomorphism of  $S_n$ -modules

$$H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_{\varphi_+} \simeq \begin{cases} H^i(\mathcal{B}_{\mu'+\mu''})^0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii)  $H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_{\varphi_-} = 0$  unless  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . Assume that  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . There exists an isomorphism of  $S_n$ -modules

$$H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}_\mu)_{\varphi_-} \simeq \begin{cases} H^{i-n}(\mathcal{B}_{\mu''}^0) & \text{if } i \equiv n \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Assume that  $\varphi = \varphi_+$ . Then  $(V_\lambda)_\varphi = 0$  unless  $\lambda = (\lambda', -)$ , and in that case,  $(V_\lambda)_\varphi \simeq V_{\lambda'}$  as  $S_n$ -modules. Moreover, if  $\lambda = (\lambda', -)$ , we have  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda', \mu' + \mu''}(t^2)$  by Proposition 2.5 (ii). On the other hand, assume that  $\varphi = \varphi_-$ . Then we have  $(V_\lambda)_\varphi = 0$  unless  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'')$ , and in that case,  $(V_\lambda)_\varphi \simeq V_{\lambda''}$  as  $S_n$ -modules. Moreover, by Proposition 2.5 (i), if  $\lambda = (-, \lambda'')$ ,  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = 0$  unless  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ , and in that case,  $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = t^n \tilde{K}_{\lambda'', \mu''}(t^2)$ . Then the corollary follows from (3.19.1) by a similar discussion as in the proof of Proposition 3.19.  $\square$

**3.22.** Recall that the Hall–Littlewood function  $P_\lambda(x; t)$  is defined by two types of variables  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}$ . Here we consider a specialization of those variables. We denote by  $P_\lambda(x; t)|_{x=(y,y)}$  the function in  $\Lambda[t]$  obtained by substituting  $x^{(1)} = x^{(2)} = y$ . We further consider the specialization of this function by putting  $t = 1$ , i.e.,  $P_\lambda(x; 1)|_{x=(y,y)}$ . The following result shows that the behavior of  $P_\lambda(x; t)$  at  $t = 1$  is quite different from that of ordinary Hall–Littlewood functions (cf. Remark 2.8).

PROPOSITION 3.23. *Under the notation as above, we have*

$$P_\mu(x; 1)|_{x=(y,y)} = \begin{cases} m_{\mu''}(y) & \text{if } \mu = (-; \mu''), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Assume that  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$ . Since  $P_\mu(x; t) = P_{\mu''}(x^{(2)}; t^2)$  for  $\mu = (-, \mu'')$  by Corollary 1.12, we have

$$(3.23.1) \quad P_\mu(x; 1)|_{x=(y,y)} = m_{\mu''}(y),$$

which shows the first equality.

By (1.2.1) and (1.2.2), for any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , we have

$$s_\lambda(y) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n} K_{\lambda, \mu}(1) m_\mu(y).$$

Also by substituting  $t = 1$  in the formula (3.3.3) and by using (1.2.1), we have, for any partitions  $\mu, \nu$ ,

$$m_\mu(y) m_\nu(y) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} f_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(1) m_\lambda(y).$$

Thus for  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we have

$$(3.23.2) \quad s_\lambda(x)|_{x=(y,y)} = s_{\lambda'}(y) s_{\lambda''}(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\nu'} \sum_{\nu''} K_{\lambda', \nu'}(1) K_{\lambda'', \nu''}(1) m_{\nu'}(y) m_{\nu''}(y) \\
 &= \sum_{\mu'' \in \mathcal{P}_n} m_{\mu''}(y) \sum_{\nu', \nu''} f_{\nu' \nu''}^{\mu''}(1) K_{\lambda', \nu'}(1) K_{\lambda'', \nu''}(1) \\
 &= \sum_{\mu = (-, \mu'')} K_{\lambda, \mu}(1) m_{\mu''}(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from (3.4.1). On the other hand, by 1.6, we have

$$(3.23.3) \quad s_{\lambda}(x)|_{x=(y,y)} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} K_{\lambda, \mu}(1) P_{\mu}(x; 1)|_{x=(y,y)}.$$

Put  $\mathcal{P}'_{n,2} = \{\mu = (\mu', \mu'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2} \mid |\mu'| \neq 0\}$ . Then (3.23.2) and (3.23.3), together with (3.23.1) imply that

$$(3.23.4) \quad \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}'_{n,2}} K_{\lambda, \mu}(1) P_{\mu}(x; 1)|_{x=(y,y)} = 0$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . By Proposition 1.7,  $K_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = 0$  unless  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , and  $K_{\lambda, \lambda}(t) = 1$ . Now the proposition follows from (3.23.4) by induction on the partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{P}'_{n,2}$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**4. Hall bimodule**

**4.1.** Before going into details on the Hall bimodule, we show a preliminary result. In this section we fix a total order on  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  which is compatible with the partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . For  $\nu = (\nu', \nu'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , put  $R_{\nu}(x; t) = P_{\nu'}(x^{(1)}, t^2) P_{\nu''}(x^{(2)}, t^2)$ . Then  $\{R_{\nu} \mid \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}\}$  gives a basis of  $\Xi^n[t]$ . Hence there exist polynomials  $h_{\nu}^{\mu}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  such that

$$(4.1.1) \quad R_{\nu}(x; t) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} h_{\nu}^{\mu}(t) P_{\mu}(x; t).$$

The transition matrix between the bases  $\{s_{\lambda}\}$  and  $\{R_{\nu}\}$  is lower unitriangular (with respect to the fixed total order), and a similar result holds also for the bases  $\{s_{\lambda}\}$  and  $\{P_{\mu}\}$ . Hence the transition matrix  $(h_{\nu}^{\mu}(t))_{\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}}$  between  $\{R_{\nu}\}$  and  $\{P_{\mu}\}$  is also lower unitriangular (we regard that the  $\nu\mu$ -entry is  $h_{\nu}^{\mu}(t)$ ). The following formula is an analogue of the formula (3.3.4) relating the polynomials  $f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(t)$  with the Hall polynomials  $g_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(t)$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $g_{\nu}^{\mu}(t)$  be the polynomials given in Proposition 3.2. Then*

$$(4.2.1) \quad h_{\nu}^{\mu}(t) = t^{a(\mu) - a(\nu)} g_{\nu}^{\mu}(t^{-2}).$$

*In particular, the matrix  $(g_{\nu}^{\mu}(t))_{\mu, \nu}$  is lower unitriangular.*

PROOF. For any  $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda(x) &= s_{\lambda'}(x^{(1)})s_{\lambda''}(x^{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{v'} K_{\lambda',v'}(t^2)P_{v'}(x^{(1)}; t^2) \sum_{v''} K_{\lambda'',v''}(t^2)P_{v''}(x^{(2)}; t^2) \\ &= \sum_{v',v''} K_{\lambda',v'}(t^2)K_{\lambda'',v''}(t^2) \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} h_v^\mu(t)P_\mu(x; t) \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} \left( \sum_{v',v''} K_{\lambda',v'}(t^2)K_{\lambda'',v''}(t^2)h_v^\mu(t) \right) P_\mu(x; t). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$s_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)P_\mu(x; t),$$

by comparing the coefficients of  $P_\mu(x; t)$ , we have

$$(4.2.2) \quad K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = \sum_{v',v''} h_v^\mu(t)K_{\lambda',v'}(t^2)K_{\lambda'',v''}(t^2).$$

On the other hand, if we notice that  $K_{\lambda',v'}(t^2)K_{\lambda'',v''}(t^2) \neq 0$  only when  $|\lambda'| = |v''|$ , the formula (3.3.1) can be rewritten as

$$(4.2.3) \quad K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = \sum_{v',v''} t^{a(\mu)-a(v)} g_v^\mu(t^{-2})K_{\lambda',v'}(t^2)K_{\lambda'',v''}(t^2).$$

Since  $(K_{\lambda',v'}(t^2)K_{\lambda'',v''}(t^2))_{\lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}}$  is a unitriangular matrix with respect to the partial order on  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , the proposition is obtained by comparing (4.2.2) and (4.2.3).  $\square$

**4.3.** We keep the assumption in 3.1, in particular,  $k$  is an algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Based on the idea of Finkelberg–Ginzburg–Travkin [FGT], we introduce the Hall bimodule. Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be double partitions, and  $\alpha$  be a partition. Take  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ . We define varieties

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda &= \{W \subset V \mid W : x\text{-stable subspace,} \\ &\quad x|_W : \text{type } \alpha, (x|_{V/W}, v \pmod{W}) : \text{type } \mu\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda &= \{W \subset V \mid W : x\text{-stable subspace, } v \in W, \\ &\quad (x|_W, v) : \text{type } \mu, x|_{V/W} : \text{type } \alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)$ , those varieties are defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , and one can consider the subsets of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -fixed points. Assuming that  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)$ , we define integers  $G_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(q)$  and  $G_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda(q)$  by

$$(4.3.1) \quad G_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(q) = |\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)|, \quad G_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda(q) = |\mathcal{G}_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)|.$$

Note that  $G_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(q), G_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda(q)$  are independent of the choice of  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . It is clear from the definition that  $G_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(q) = G_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda(q) = 0$  unless  $|\lambda| = |\alpha| + |\mu|$ . In the case where  $\lambda = (-, \lambda''), \mu = (-, \mu''), G_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(q) = G_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda(q)$  coincides with  $g_{\mu'',\alpha}^{\lambda''}(q) = g_{\mu'',\alpha}^{\lambda''}|_{t=q}$ , where  $g_{\mu'',\alpha}^{\lambda''}$  is the original Hall polynomial given in 3.3.

Put  $\mathcal{P} = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}^{(2)} = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . Recall the definition of the Hall algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ ;  $\mathcal{H}$  is the free  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ -module with basis  $\{u_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ , and the multiplication is defined by

$$u_\beta u_\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_n} g_{\beta,\gamma}^\alpha(t) u_\alpha,$$

where  $n = |\beta| + |\gamma|$ .  $\mathcal{H}$  is a commutative, associative algebra over  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ . We define the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_q$  by  $\mathcal{H}_q = \mathbf{Z} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[t]} \mathcal{H}$ , under the specialization  $\mathbf{Z}[t] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, t \mapsto q$ .

We define a Hall bimodule  $\mathcal{M}_q$  as follows;  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module with basis  $\{u_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}\}$ . We define actions (the left action and the right action) of  $\mathcal{H}_q$  on  $\mathcal{M}_q$  by

$$(4.3.2) \quad u_\alpha u_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} G_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(q) u_\lambda,$$

$$(4.3.3) \quad u_\mu u_\alpha = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} G_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda(q) u_\lambda,$$

where  $n = |\alpha| + |\mu|$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_q$  turns out to be a  $\mathcal{H}_q$ -bimodule, which is verified as follows; for partitions  $\beta, \gamma$ , and double partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , we define a variety

$$\mathcal{G}_{\beta,\gamma;\mu}^\lambda = \{(W_1 \subset W_2) \mid W_1, W_2 : x\text{-stable subspaces of } V, \\ x|_{W_1} : \text{type } \beta, x|_{W_2/W_1} : \text{type } \gamma, (x|_{V/W_2}, v \pmod{W_2}) : \text{type } \mu \}.$$

We compute the number  $|\mathcal{G}_{\beta,\gamma;\mu}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)|$  in two different ways. Put  $n = |\beta| + |\gamma|$ . Assume that  $x_{W_2}$  has type  $\alpha$ . Then the cardinality of such  $W_2$  is given by  $G_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(q)$ . For each  $W_2$ , the cardinality of  $W_1$  is given by  $g_{\beta,\gamma}^\alpha(q)$ . It follows that

$$(4.3.4) \quad |\mathcal{G}_{\beta,\gamma;\mu}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_n} g_{\beta,\gamma}^\alpha(q) G_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(q).$$

On the other hand, the cardinality of  $W_1$  satisfying the condition that  $x|_{W_1}$  has type  $\beta$ ,  $(x|_{V/W_1}, v \pmod{W_1})$  has type  $\nu$  is  $G_{\beta,\nu}^\lambda(q)$ . For each  $W_1$ , the cardinality of  $W_2$  such that  $W_1 \subset W_2 \subset V$  and that  $x|_{W_2/W_1}$  has type  $\gamma$ ,  $(x|_{V/W_2}, v \pmod{W_2})$  has type  $\mu$  is given by  $G_{\gamma,\mu}^\nu(q)$ . It follows that

$$(4.3.5) \quad |\mathcal{G}_{\beta,\gamma;\mu}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{m,2}} G_{\beta,\nu}^\lambda(q) G_{\gamma,\mu}^\nu(q),$$

where  $m = |\lambda| - |\beta|$ . Now the equality (4.3.4) = (4.3.5) implies that  $u_\beta(u_\gamma u_\mu) = (u_\beta u_\gamma)u_\mu$ . In a similar way, one can show that  $(u_\mu u_\gamma)u_\beta = u_\mu(u_\gamma u_\beta)$ . Next we consider a variety

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha;\mu;\beta}^\lambda = \{(W_1 \subset W_2) \mid W_1, W_2 : x\text{-stable subspaces of } V, v \in W_2 \\ x|_{W_1} : \text{type } \alpha, (x|_{W_2/W_1}, v \pmod{W_1}) : \text{type } \mu, x|_{V/W_2} : \text{type } \beta\}.$$

We compute the number  $|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha;\mu;\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)|$  in two different ways. Take  $W_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{\nu;\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)$  for some  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$  with  $n = |\lambda| - |\beta|$ . The cardinality of such  $W_2$  is  $G_{\nu,\beta}^\lambda(q)$ . For each  $W_2$ , the cardinality of  $W_1$  such that  $(W_1 \subset W_2) \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha;\mu;\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)$  is given by  $G_{\alpha,\mu}^\nu(q)$ . Thus

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha;\mu;\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} G_{\nu,\beta}^\lambda(q) G_{\alpha,\mu}^\nu(q).$$

On the other hand, first we take  $W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\nu}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)$ , and then take  $W_2$  such that  $(W_1 \subset W_2)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha;\mu;\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . This implies that

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha;\mu;\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n',2}} G_{\alpha,\nu}^\lambda(q) G_{\mu,\beta}^\nu(q),$$

where  $n' = |\lambda| - |\alpha|$ . Comparing these two equalities, we have  $u_\alpha(u_\mu u_\beta) = (u_\alpha u_\mu)u_\beta$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}_q$  has a structure of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ -bimodule.

For an integer  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_q^n$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  spanned by  $u_\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{M}_q = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{M}_q^n$ . Similarly, we have a decomposition  $\mathcal{H}_q = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_q^n$ . The above discussion shows that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  has a structure of graded  $\mathcal{H}_q$ -bimodule, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}_q^m \mathcal{M}_q^n \subset \mathcal{M}_q^{n+m}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_q^n \mathcal{H}_q^m \subset \mathcal{M}_q^{n+m}$ .

**4.4.** For  $\lambda = (-, -)$ , put  $u_0 = u_\lambda$ . It is easy to see that  $u_0 u_\beta = u_{(-,\beta)}$  for  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$  (but  $u_\beta u_0 \neq u_{(\beta,-)}$ ). Take  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$ . One can check that  $G_{\alpha,(-,\beta)}^\lambda(q) = g_{(\alpha,\beta)}^\lambda(q)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}$ . It follows, for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$ , that

$$(4.4.1) \quad u_\alpha u_0 u_\beta = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} g_{(\alpha,\beta)}^\lambda(q) u_\lambda,$$

where  $n = |\alpha| + |\beta|$ . For each  $\mu = (\mu', \mu'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , put  $v_\mu = u_{\mu'} u_0 u_{\mu''}$ . We have a lemma.

**LEMMA 4.5.**  $\{v_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}\}$  gives a basis of  $\mathcal{M}_q^n$ . Hence  $\{v_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}\}$  gives a basis of  $\mathcal{M}_q$ . For  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we have

$$(4.5.1) \quad v_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} g_\mu^\lambda(q) u_\lambda.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is a free  $\mathcal{H}_q$ -bimodule of rank 1 (with a basis  $v_{(-,-)} = u_0$ ).

PROOF. (4.5.1) follows from (4.4.1).  $\mathcal{M}_q^n$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module with rank  $|\mathcal{P}_{n,2}|$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $(g_\mu^\lambda(q))_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}}$  is a unitriangular matrix with respect to a certain total order on  $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ . Thus  $\{\mathbf{v}_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}\}$  gives rise to a basis of  $\mathcal{M}_q^n$ .  $\square$

**4.6.** Recall that  $\Xi = \Lambda(x^{(1)}) \otimes \Lambda(x^{(2)})$ , and  $\Xi[t] = \Lambda(x^{(1)})[t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[t]} \Lambda(x^{(2)})[t]$ . Thus  $\Xi[t]$  is regarded as a free  $\Lambda[t]$ -bimodule of rank 1 ( $\Lambda = \Lambda(y)$  acts on  $\Lambda(x^{(1)})$  by replacing  $y$  by  $x^{(1)}$ , and so on for  $\Lambda(x^{(2)})$ ). It is known by [M, III, (3.4)] that the map  $u_\alpha \mapsto t^{-n(\alpha)} P_\alpha(y; t^{-1})$  gives an isomorphism of rings  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ . This induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}_q \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . We define a map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{q^2} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \Xi_{\mathbf{Q}}$  by

$$(4.6.1) \quad \mathbf{v}_\mu \mapsto (q^{-n(\mu')} P_{\mu'}(x^{(1)}, q^{-2})) (q^{-n(\mu'') - |\mu''|} P_{\mu''}(x^{(2)}, q^{-2})) = q^{-a(\mu)} R_\mu(x; q^{-1})$$

for  $\mu = (\mu', \mu'') \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}$ . Then it is clear that  $\Psi$  gives an isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_{q^2} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Xi_{\mathbf{Q}}$  of bimodules (under the isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}_{q^2} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}$ ).

By making use of (4.2.1), the formula (4.5.1) can be rewritten as

$$q^{a(\mu)} \mathbf{v}_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} h_\mu^\lambda(q^{-1}) q^{a(\lambda)} u_\lambda,$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_\mu, u_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_{q^2}$ . Since  $(h_\mu^\lambda(q))_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}}$  is the transition matrix between the bases  $\{R_\mu(x; q)\}$  and  $\{P_\lambda(x; q)\}$  of  $\Xi_{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ , we see that

$$(4.6.2) \quad \Psi(u_\lambda) = q^{-a(\lambda)} P_\lambda(x; q^{-1}).$$

For given  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ , we define polynomials  $H_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda(t), \tilde{H}_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  by

$$P_\alpha(x^{(1)}; t^2) P_\mu(x; t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} H_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda(t) P_\lambda(x; t),$$

$$P_\mu(x; t) P_\alpha(x^{(2)}; t^2) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} \tilde{H}_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda(t) P_\lambda(x; t),$$

where  $n = |\alpha| + |\mu|$ . Considering  $\Psi^{-1}$ , and by comparing (4.3.2) and (4.3.3), we have the following formula; for  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$(4.6.3) \quad G_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda(q^2) = q^{a(\lambda) - a(\mu) - 2n(\alpha)} H_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda(q^{-1}),$$

$$(4.6.4) \quad G_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda(q^2) = q^{a(\lambda) - a(\mu) - 2n(\alpha) - |\alpha|} \tilde{H}_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda(q^{-1}).$$

The following result can be compared with that of the mirabolic Hall bimodule in [FGT, §4].

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Assume that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

- (i) *There exist polynomials  $G_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda, G_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  such that  $G_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda(q) = G_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda|_{t=q}, G_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda(q) = G_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda|_{t=q}$ . Thus one can define a  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -bimodule structure for the free  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ -module  $\mathcal{M}_t =$*

$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}} \mathbf{Z}[t]u_\lambda$  by extending (4.3.2) and (4.3.3), where  $\mathcal{H}_t$  denotes the Hall algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  over  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ .

- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}_t$  is a free  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -bimodule of rank 1, with the basis  $u_0$ . More precisely, let  $\{u_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$  be the basis of  $\mathcal{H}_t$ . Then  $\{u_{\mu'}u_0u_{\mu''} \mid (\mu', \mu'') \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}\}$  gives a basis of  $\mathcal{M}_t$ . For any  $\mu = (\mu', \mu'') \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ , we have

$$u_{\mu'}u_0u_{\mu''} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} g_\mu^\lambda(t)u_\lambda.$$

- (iii) The map  $\Psi : u_\lambda \mapsto t^{-a(\lambda)}P_\lambda(x; t^{-1})$  gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{t^2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[t^2]} \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Xi \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$$

as bimodules (under the isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}_{t^2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[t^2]} \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \simeq \Lambda \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ ).

PROOF. In view of (4.6.3) and (4.6.4), what we need to show is, for  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$(4.7.1) \quad t^{a(\lambda)-a(\mu)-2n(\alpha)}H_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda(t^{-1}) \in \mathbf{Z}[t^2],$$

$$(4.7.2) \quad t^{a(\lambda)-a(\mu)-2n(\alpha)-|\alpha|}H_{\mu,\alpha}^\lambda(t^{-1}) \in \mathbf{Z}[t^2].$$

All other assertions follow from the discussion in 4.6. By (4.2.1), we see that  $t^{a(\lambda)-a(\mu)}h_\mu^\lambda(t^{-1}) \in \mathbf{Z}[t^2]$ . The matrix  $H(t^{-1}) = (h_\mu^\lambda(t^{-1}))$  is unitriangular. Let  $D(t)$  be the diagonal matrix such that the  $\lambda\lambda$ -entry is  $t^{a(\lambda)}$ . Then the matrix  $(t^{a(\lambda)-a(\mu)}h_\mu^\lambda(t^{-1}))$  coincides with  $D(t)^{-1}H(t^{-1})D(t)$ . This matrix is also unitriangular. It follows that each entry of its inverse matrix is contained in  $\mathbf{Z}[t^2]$ . Let  $H(t^{-1})^{-1} = (h'_{\mu,\nu}(t^{-1}))$  be the inverse matrix of  $H(t^{-1})$ . Then  $t^{a(\nu)-a(\mu)}h'_{\mu,\nu}(t^{-1}) \in \mathbf{Z}[t^2]$ . Note that  $H(t)$  is the transition matrix between the bases  $\{R_\mu\}$  and  $\{P_\lambda\}$ . Hence  $H(t)^{-1}$  is the transition matrix between the bases  $\{P_\mu\}$  and  $\{R_\nu\}$ . One can write

$$P_\mu(x; t) = \sum_{\nu=(\nu',\nu'') \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}} h'_{\mu,\nu}(t)P_{\nu'}(x^{(1)}; t^2)P_{\nu''}(x^{(2)}; t^2).$$

Since

$$P_\alpha(x^{(1)}; t^2)P_{\nu'}(x^{(1)}; t^2) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{P}} f_{\alpha,\nu'}^\xi(t^2)P_\xi(x^{(1)}; t^2),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\alpha(x^{(1)}; t^2)P_\mu(x; t) &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}} h'_{\mu,\nu}(t) \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{P}} f_{\alpha,\nu'}^\xi(t^2)P_\xi(x^{(1)}; t^2)P_{\nu''}(x^{(2)}; t^2) \\ &= \sum_{\nu,\xi} h'_{\mu,\nu}(t)f_{\alpha,\nu'}^\xi(t^2) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}} h_{(\xi,\nu'')}^\lambda(t)P_\lambda(x; t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(4.7.3) \quad H_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{v}, \xi} h'_{\mu, \mathbf{v}}(t) f_{\alpha, \mathbf{v}'}^\xi(t^2) h_{(\xi, \mathbf{v}'')}^\lambda(t).$$

Here  $h'_{\mu, \mathbf{v}}(t^{-1}) \in t^{a(\mu)-a(\mathbf{v})}\mathbf{Z}[t^2]$  and  $h_{(\xi, \mathbf{v}'')}^\lambda(t^{-1}) \in t^{a((\xi, \mathbf{v}''))-a(\lambda)}\mathbf{Z}[t^2]$ . Moreover, by (3.3.4),  $f_{\alpha, \mathbf{v}'}^\xi(t^{-2}) \in t^{2n(\alpha)+2n(\mathbf{v}')-2n(\xi)}\mathbf{Z}[t^2]$ . Since  $a((\xi, \mathbf{v}'')) = 2n(\xi) + 2n(\mathbf{v}'') + |\mathbf{v}''|$  and  $a(\mathbf{v}) = 2n(\mathbf{v}') + 2n(\mathbf{v}'') + |\mathbf{v}''|$ , we see that  $H_{\alpha, \mu}^\lambda(t^{-1}) \in t^{a(\mu)+2n(\alpha)-a(\lambda)}\mathbf{Z}[t^2]$ . This proves (4.7.1). A similar computation shows that

$$(4.7.4) \quad H_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{v}, \xi} h'_{\mu, \mathbf{v}}(t) f_{\mathbf{v}'', \alpha}^\xi(t^2) h_{(\mathbf{v}', \xi)}^\lambda(t).$$

As above, we have  $H_{\mu, \alpha}^\lambda(t^{-1}) \in t^{a(\mu)-a(\lambda)+2n(\alpha)+(|\xi|-|\mathbf{v}''|)}\mathbf{Z}[t^2]$ . Since  $|\xi| - |\mathbf{v}''| = |\alpha|$ , we obtain (4.7.2). □

**Appendix Tables of double Kostka polynomials**

Let  $K(t) = (K_{\lambda, \mu}(t))_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}}$  be the matrix of double Kostka polynomials. We give the table of matrices  $K(t)$  for  $2 \leq n \leq 5$ . In the table below, we use the following notation; we denote the double partition  $(\lambda, \mu)$  with  $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{m_1}, \dots, \lambda_k^{m_k}), \mu = (\mu_1^{n_1}, \dots, \mu_{k'}^{n_{k'}})$  by  $\lambda_1^{m_1} \dots \lambda_k^{m_k} \cdot \mu_1^{n_1} \dots \mu_{k'}^{n_{k'}}$ . For example,

$$(21^2, 3^2) \leftrightarrow 21^2 \cdot 3^2 \quad (32, -) \leftrightarrow 32. \quad (-, 21^2) \leftrightarrow \cdot 21^2$$

and so on.



TABLE 3.  $K(t)$  for  $n = 4$

|                                |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   |                                |             |
|--------------------------------|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
|                                | 4. | 3.1 | 31.   | 2.2   | 21.1  | 1.3   | 2.1 <sup>2</sup> | 1 <sup>2</sup> .2 | 2 <sup>2</sup> . | 1.21        | .4    | 21 <sup>2</sup> . | 1 <sup>2</sup> .1 <sup>2</sup> | .31         |
| 4.                             | 1  | $t$ | $t^2$ | $t^2$ | $t^3$ | $t^3$ | $t^4$            | $t^4$             | $t^4$            | $t^5$       | $t^4$ | $t^6$             | $t^6$                          | $t^6$       |
| 3.1                            |    | 1   | $t$   | $t$   | $t^2$ | $t^2$ | $t^3 + t$        | $t^3$             | $t^3$            | $t^4 + t^2$ | $t^3$ | $t^5 + t^3$       | $t^5 + t^3$                    | $t^5 + t^3$ |
| 31.                            |    |     | 1     |       | $t$   |       | $t^2$            | $t^2$             | $t^2$            | $t^3$       |       | $t^4 + t^2$       | $t^4$                          | $t^4$       |
| 2.2                            |    |     |       | 1     | $t$   | $t$   | $t^2$            | $t^2$             | $t^2$            | $t^3 + t$   | $t^2$ | $t^4$             | $t^4 + t^2$                    | $t^4 + t^2$ |
| 21.1                           |    |     |       |       | 1     |       | $t$              | $t$               |                  | $t^2$       |       | $t^3 + t$         | $t^3 + t$                      | $t^3$       |
| 1.3                            |    |     |       |       |       | 1     |                  | $t$               |                  | $t^2$       | $t$   |                   | $t^3$                          | $t^3 + t$   |
| 2.1 <sup>2</sup>               |    |     |       |       |       |       | 1                |                   |                  | $t$         |       | $t^2$             | $t^2$                          | $t^2$       |
| 1 <sup>2</sup> .2              |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  | 1                 |                  | $t$         |       |                   | $t^2$                          | $t^2$       |
| 2 <sup>2</sup> .               |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   | 1                |             |       | $t^2$             | $t^2$                          |             |
| 1.21                           |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  | 1           |       |                   | $t$                            | $t$         |
| .4                             |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             | 1     |                   |                                | $t^2$       |
| 21 <sup>2</sup> .              |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       | 1                 |                                |             |
| 1 <sup>2</sup> .1 <sup>2</sup> |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   | 1                              |             |
| .31                            |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   |                                | 1           |
| 1 <sup>3</sup> .1              |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   |                                |             |
| .2 <sup>2</sup>                |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   |                                |             |
| 1.1 <sup>3</sup>               |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   |                                |             |
| .21 <sup>2</sup>               |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   |                                |             |
| 1 <sup>4</sup> .               |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   |                                |             |
| .1 <sup>4</sup>                |    |     |       |       |       |       |                  |                   |                  |             |       |                   |                                |             |

|                                |                   |                   |                    |                    |                           |   |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---|
|                                | 1 <sup>3</sup> .1 | .2 <sup>2</sup>   | 1.1 <sup>3</sup>   | .21 <sup>2</sup>   | 1 <sup>4</sup> .          | .1 <sup>4</sup>                         |
| 4.                             | $t^7$             | $t^8$             | $t^9$              | $t^{10}$           | $t^{12}$                  | $t^{16}$                                |
| 3.1                            | $t^6 + t^4$       | $t^7 + t^5$       | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4$  | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5$  | $t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$      | $t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9$        |
| 31.                            | $t^5 + t^3$       | $t^6$             | $t^7 + t^5$        | $t^8 + t^6$        | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$      | $t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10}$              |
| 2.2                            | $t^5 + t^3$       | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$ | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3$  | $t^8 + t^6 + 2t^4$ | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$      | $t^{14} + t^{12} + 2t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$ |
| 21.1                           | $t^4 + 2t^2$      | $t^5 + t^3$       | $t^6 + 2t^4 + t^2$ | $t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$ | $t^9 + 2t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$ | $t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 2t^9 + 2t^7 + t^5$  |
| 1.3                            | $t^4$             | $t^5 + t^3$       | $t^6$              | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3$  | $t^9$                     | $t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$           |
| 2.1 <sup>2</sup>               | $t^3$             | $t^4$             | $t^5 + t^3 + t$    | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$  | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4$         | $t^{12} + t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6 + t^4$    |
| 1 <sup>2</sup> .2              | $t^3 + t$         | $t^4$             | $t^5 + t^3$        | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$  | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4$         | $t^{12} + t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6 + t^4$    |
| 2 <sup>2</sup> .               | $t^3$             | $t^4$             | $t^5$              | $t^6$              | $t^8 + t^4$               | $t^{12} + t^8$                          |
| 1.21                           | $t^2$             | $t^3 + t$         | $t^4 + t^2$        | $t^5 + 2t^3 + t$   | $t^7 + t^5$               | $t^{11} + 2t^9 + 2t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$     |
| .4                             |                   | $t^4$             |                    | $t^6$              |                           | $t^{12}$                                |
| 21 <sup>2</sup> .              | $t$               |                   | $t^3$              | $t^4$              | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$         | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$                    |
| 1 <sup>2</sup> .1 <sup>2</sup> | $t$               | $t^2$             | $t^3 + t$          | $t^4 + t^2$        | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$         | $t^{10} + t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4 + t^2$       |
| .31                            |                   | $t^2$             |                    | $t^4 + t^2$        |                           | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$                    |
| 1 <sup>3</sup> .1              | 1                 |                   | $t^2$              | $t^3$              | $t^5 + t^3 + t$           | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5 + t^3$                 |
| .2 <sup>2</sup>                |                   | 1                 |                    | $t^2$              |                           | $t^8 + t^4$                             |
| 1.1 <sup>3</sup>               |                   |                   | 1                  | $t$                | $t^3$                     | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3 + t$                   |
| .21 <sup>2</sup>               |                   |                   |                    | 1                  |                           | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                       |
| 1 <sup>4</sup> .               |                   |                   |                    |                    | 1                         | $t^4$                                   |
| .1 <sup>4</sup>                |                   |                   |                    |                    |                           | 1                                       |





|         | $31^2$               | $1^4_1$                      | $2^2_1$                     | $1^4_4$                                 | $21^3$                                    |
|---------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 5.      | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$ | $t^{13}$                     | $t^{13}$                    | $t^{16}$                                | $t^{17}$                                  |
| 4.1     | $t^9 + t^7 + 2t^5$   | $t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8$      | $t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8$     | $t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9$        | $t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10}$       |
| 3.2     | $t^9 + t^7$          | $t^{11} + t^9 + 2t^7$        | $t^{11} + t^9 + 2t^7 + t^5$ | $t^{14} + t^{12} + 2t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$ | $t^{15} + t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7$  |
| 4.1.    | $t^9 + t^7$          | $t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$         | $t^{11} + t^9$              | $t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10}$              | $t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11}$                |
| 2.3     | $t^8 + t^6 + 2t^4$   | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$         | $t^{10} + t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4$ | $t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$           | $t^{14} + t^{12} + 2t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6$  |
| 31.1    | $t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4$   | $t^{10} + 2t^8 + 3t^6 + t^4$ | $t^{10} + 2t^8 + 2t^6$      | $t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 3t^9 + 2t^7 + t^5$  | $t^{14} + 2t^{12} + 3t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6$ |
| 1.4     | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3$    | $t^9$                        | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5$           | $t^{12}$                                | $t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$             |
| 21.2    | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3$    | $t^9 + 2t^7 + 3t^5 + t^3$    | $t^9 + 2t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$   | $t^{12} + 2t^{10} + 3t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4$  | $t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 3t^9 + 3t^7 + 2t^5$   |
| 3.12    | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3$    | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5$            | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5$           | $t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8$                 | $t^{13} + t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7 + t^5$      |
| 32.     | $t^7$                | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5$            | $t^9 + t^7$                 | $t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$                    | $t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9$                   |
| 1^2_3   | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$    | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4$            | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4$           | $t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$                    | $t^{12} + t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6 + t^4$      |
| 2.21    | $t^6 + 2t^4 + t^2$   | $t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4$           | $t^8 + 2t^6 + 2t^4 + t^2$   | $t^{11} + 2t^9 + 2t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$     | $t^{12} + 2t^{10} + 3t^8 + 3t^6 + 2t^4$   |
| 2^2_1   | $t^6$                | $t^8 + t^6 + 2t^4$           | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4$           | $t^{11} + t^9 + 2t^7 + t^5$             | $t^{12} + t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6$            |
| 1.31    | $t^5 + 2t^3 + t$     | $t^7 + t^5$                  | $t^7 + 2t^5 + 2t^3$         | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$                    | $t^{11} + 2t^9 + 3t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$       |
| 21.1^2  | $t^5 + t^3$          | $t^7 + 2t^5 + 2t^3$          | $t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$          | $t^{10} + 2t^8 + 3t^6 + 2t^4 + t^2$     | $t^{11} + 2t^9 + 3t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$       |
| 31^2.   | $t^5$                | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3$            | $t^7$                       | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$                    | $t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$                      |
| 1^2_21  | $t^4 + t^2$          | $t^6 + 2t^4 + t^2$           | $t^6 + 2t^4 + t^2$          | $t^9 + 2t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$               | $t^{10} + 2t^8 + 3t^6 + 2t^4 + t^2$       |
| 21^2_1  | $t^4$                | $t^6 + 2t^4 + 2t^2$          | $t^6 + t^4$                 | $t^9 + 2t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$               | $t^{10} + 2t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4$              |
| .5      | $t^6$                | $t^6$                        | $t^8$                       | $t^8$                                   | $t^{12}$                                  |
| 1.22    | $t^3$                | $t^5$                        | $t^5 + t^3 + t$             | $t^8 + t^4$                             | $t^9 + t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$                  |
| 2.1^3   | $t^2$                | $t^4$                        | $t^4$                       | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3 + t$                   | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                   |
| 1^3_2   | $t^3$                | $t^5 + t^3 + t$              | $t^5$                       | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4$                       | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5 + t^3$                   |
| 2^2_1.  | $t^4 + t^2$          | $t^5 + t^3$                  | $t^5$                       | $t^8 + t^6$                             | $t^9 + t^7$                               |
| .41     | $t$                  | $t^3$                        | $t^6 + t^4$                 | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                       | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$                      |
| 1.21^2  | $t^2$                | $t^3 + t$                    | $t^3 + t$                   | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                       | $t^7 + 2t^5 + 2t^3 + t$                   |
| 1^3_1^2 |                      |                              | $t^3$                       | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                       | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3$                         |
| .32     |                      | $t$                          | $t^4 + t^2$                 | $t^4$                                   | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4$                         |
| 21^3.   |                      | $t^2$                        |                             | $t^4$                                   | $t^5$                                     |
| 1^2_1^3 |                      | $t^2$                        | $t^2$                       | $t^5 + t^3 + t$                         | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                         |
| .31^2   | 1                    | 1                            | $t^2$                       | $t^3$                                   | $t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                         |
| 1^4_1   |                      |                              |                             |   | $t^4 + t^2$                               |
| .2^2_1  |                      |                              |                             |   | $t$                                       |
| 1.1^4   |                      |                              |                             |   | $t^4 + t^2$                               |
| 2.1^3   |                      |                              |                             |   | $t$                                       |
| 1^5.    |                      |                              |                             |   | $t$                                       |
| .1^5    |                      |                              |                             |   | $t$                                       |

|                                | $1^5$   | $1^5$   |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 5.                             | $t^{20}$  | $t^{25}$  |
| 4.1                            | $t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{15} + t^{13}$                 | $t^{24} + t^{22} + t^{20} + t^{18} + t^{16}$                            |
| 3.2                            | $t^{18} + t^{16} + 2t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10}$       | $t^{23} + t^{21} + 2t^{19} + 2t^{17} + 2t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11}$       |
| 41.                            | $t^{18} + t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{12}$                 | $t^{23} + t^{21} + t^{19} + t^{17}$                                     |
| 2.3                            | $t^{17} + t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11}$                 | $t^{22} + t^{20} + 2t^{18} + 2t^{16} + 2t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10}$       |
| 31.1                           | $t^{17} + 2t^{15} + 3t^{13} + 3t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7$ | $t^{22} + 2t^{20} + 3t^{18} + 3t^{16} + 3t^{14} + 2t^{12} + t^{10}$     |
| 1.4                            | $t^{16}$  | $t^{21} + t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{15} + t^{13}$                            |
| 21.2                           | $t^{16} + 2t^{14} + 3t^{12} + 3t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6$ | $t^{21} + 2t^{19} + 3t^{17} + 4t^{15} + 4t^{13} + 3t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7$ |
| 3.1 <sup>2</sup>               | $t^{16} + t^{14} + 2t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8$          | $t^{21} + t^{19} + 2t^{17} + 2t^{15} + 2t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9$          |
| 32.                            | $t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8$           | $t^{21} + t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{15} + t^{13}$                            |
| 1 <sup>2</sup> .3              | $t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9$                    | $t^{20} + t^{18} + 2t^{16} + 2t^{14} + 2t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8$          |
| 2.21                           | $t^{15} + 2t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7$           | $t^{20} + 2t^{18} + 3t^{16} + 4t^{14} + 4t^{12} + 3t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6$ |
| 2 <sup>2</sup> .1              | $t^{15} + t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7 + t^5$      | $t^{20} + t^{18} + 2t^{16} + 2t^{14} + 2t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8$          |
| 1.31                           | $t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10}$                          | $t^{19} + 2t^{17} + 3t^{15} + 3t^{13} + 3t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7$           |
| 21.1 <sup>2</sup>              | $t^{14} + 2t^{12} + 3t^{10} + 3t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4$    | $t^{19} + 2t^{17} + 3t^{15} + 4t^{13} + 4t^{11} + 3t^9 + 2t^7 + t^5$    |
| 31 <sup>2</sup> .              | $t^{14} + t^{12} + 2t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$             | $t^{19} + t^{17} + 2t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11}$                           |
| 1 <sup>2</sup> .21             | $t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 2t^9 + 2t^7 + t^5$              | $t^{18} + 2t^{16} + 3t^{14} + 4t^{12} + 4t^{10} + 3t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4$    |
| 21 <sup>2</sup> .1             | $t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 3t^9 + 3t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$       | $t^{18} + 2t^{16} + 3t^{14} + 3t^{12} + 3t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6$           |
| .5                             |   | $t^{20}$  |
| 1.2 <sup>2</sup>               | $t^{12} + t^8$                                      | $t^{17} + t^{15} + 2t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7 + t^5$                |
| 2.1 <sup>3</sup>               | $t^{11} + t^9 + t^7 + t^5$                          | $t^{16} + t^{14} + 2t^{12} + 2t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6 + t^4$                |
| 1 <sup>3</sup> .2              | $t^{12} + t^{10} + 2t^8 + t^6 + t^4$                | $t^{17} + t^{15} + 2t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 2t^9 + t^7 + t^5$                |
| 2 <sup>2</sup> .1.             | $t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8 + t^6 + t^4$                 | $t^{17} + t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9$                               |
| 41.                            |   | $t^{18} + t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{12}$                                     |
| 1.21 <sup>2</sup>              | $t^{10} + t^8 + t^6$                                | $t^{15} + 2t^{13} + 3t^{11} + 3t^9 + 3t^7 + 2t^5 + t^3$                 |
| 1 <sup>3</sup> .1 <sup>2</sup> | $t^{10} + t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                   | $t^{15} + t^{13} + 2t^{11} + 2t^9 + 2t^7 + t^5 + t^3$                   |
| 32.                            |   | $t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8$                               |
| 21 <sup>3</sup> .              | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                             | $t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^7$   |
| 1 <sup>2</sup> .1 <sup>3</sup> | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5 + t^3$                             | $t^{14} + t^{12} + 2t^{10} + 2t^8 + 2t^6 + t^4 + t^2$                   |
| .31 <sup>2</sup>               |   | $t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8 + t^6 + t^4$                                     |
| 1 <sup>4</sup> .1              | $t^7 + t^5 + t^3 + t$                               | $t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8 + t^6 + t^4$                                     |
| 2 <sup>2</sup> .1              | $t^4$   | $t^9 + t^7 + t^5 + t^3 + t$   |
| 1.1 <sup>4</sup>               |   | $t^8 + t^6 + t^4 + t^2$   |
| .21 <sup>3</sup>               |   | $t^5$   |
| 1 <sup>5</sup> .               | 1   | 1   |
| .1 <sup>5</sup>                |   |   |

References

[AH] P. ACHAR and A. HENDERSON, Orbit closures in the enhanced nilpotent cone, *Adv. in Math.* **219** (2008), 27–62, Corrigendum **228** (2011), 2984–2988.

[FGT] M. FINKELBERG, V. GINZBURG and R. TRAVKIN, Mirabolic affine Grassmannian and character sheaves, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **14** (2009), 607–628.

[K1] S. KATO, An exotic Deligne-Langlands correspondence for symplectic groups, *Duke Math. J.* **148** (2009), 306–371.

[K2] S. KATO, An algebraic study of extension algebras, preprint, arXiv:1207.4640v5.

[Li] S. LIU, Fermionic formula for double Kostka polynomials, to appear in *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, arXiv:1602.08792v1.

[L1] G. LUSZTIG, Green polynomials and singularities of unipotent classes, *Adv. in Math.* **42** (1981), 169–178.

[L2] G. LUSZTIG, An induction theorem for Springer’s representations, *Adv. Studies in Pure Math.* **40**, in *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups*, 2004, pp. 253–259.

[M] I.G. MACDONALD, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

[S1] T. SHOJI, Green functions associated to complex reflection groups, *J. Algebra* **245** (2001), 650–694.

[S2] T. SHOJI, Green functions attached to limit symbols, *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups*, *Adv. Stu. Pure Math.* vol. **40**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo 2004, 443–467.

- [S3] T. SHOJI, Enhanced variety of higher level and Kostka functions associated to complex reflection groups, preprint.
- [S4] T. SHOJI, Kostka functions associated to complex reflection groups, to appear in J. Algebra.
- [SS1] T. SHOJI and K. SORLIN, Exotic symmetric space over a finite field, I, Transformation Groups **18** (2013), 877–929.
- [SS2] T. SHOJI and K. SORLIN, Exotic symmetric space over a finite field, II, Transformation Groups **19** (2014), 887–926.
- [SSr] T. SHOJI and B. SRINIVASAN, Averages of Green functions of classical groups, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **5** (1998), 165–220.
- [T] R. TRAVKIN, Mirabolic Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence, Selecta Mathematica (New series) **14** (2009), 727–758.

*Present Addresses:*

SHIYUAN LIU  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TONGJI UNIVERSITY,  
1239 SIPING ROAD, SHANGHAI 200092, P.R. CHINA.  
*e-mail:* liushiyuantj@sina.com

TOSHIKI SHOJI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TONGJI UNIVERSITY,  
1239 SIPING ROAD, SHANGHAI 200092, P.R. CHINA.  
*e-mail:* shoji@tongji.edu.cn