

The Direct Image Sheaf $f_*(O_X)$

Dedicated to Professor Ken-ichi SHINODA

Kentaro MITSUI and Iku NAKAMURA

Kobe University and Hokkaido University

(Communicated by N. Suwa)

Abstract. We prove $f_*(O_X) = O_S$ for a proper flat surjective morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ of noetherian schemes under a mild condition.

1. Introduction

Let X and S be noetherian schemes, and O_X (resp. O_S) the structure sheaf of X (resp. S). Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes. We mean by f a pair $f = (\psi, \theta) : (X, O_X) \rightarrow (S, O_S)$ in the sense of [3, I, Def. 2.2.1] where $\psi : X \rightarrow S$ is the map of underlying topological spaces, and $\theta : O_S \rightarrow f_*(O_X)$ is the homomorphism of structure sheaves. For any morphism $T \rightarrow S$, we denote the fiber product $X \times_S T$ by X_T and the natural projection of X_T to T by f_T . Let s be a point of S , $k(s)$ the residue field of s , and $X_s = f^{-1}(s) := X \times_S \text{Spec } k(s)$ the fiber of f over s .

By [3, III₁, Th. 3.2.1], $(f_T)_*(O_{X_T})$ is a coherent sheaf on T if f is a proper morphism of schemes.

The main result of this note is the following.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $f = (\psi, \theta) : (X, O_X) \rightarrow (S, O_S)$ be a proper flat surjective morphism of noetherian schemes such that $H^0(X_s, O_{X_s}) = k(s)$ for any closed point s of S . Then the natural homomorphism $\theta : O_S \rightarrow f_*(O_X)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover the isomorphism $\theta : O_S \simeq f_*(O_X)$ commutes with base change $T \rightarrow S$, that is, for any morphism $t : T \rightarrow S$, we have a commutative diagram of natural isomorphisms*

Received February 17, 2015; revised January 26, 2016

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 14F05 (Primary), 13D02, 13H99 (Secondary)

Key words and phrases: Flatness, Direct image sheaf

The first author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (No. 25800018) and the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) (No. 24224001), JSPS. The second author was partially supported by the Grants-in-aid for Scientific Research (S) (No. 20340001, 24224001), JSPS.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \theta_T & : & O_T \xrightarrow{\cong} (f_T)_*(O_{X_T}) \\
 & & \uparrow \cong \\
 t^*\theta & : & t^*O_S \xrightarrow{\cong} t^*f_*(O_X),
 \end{array}$$

where f_T is the base change of f by t .

The following is a corollary of [1, Th. 7.3, p. 67] and Lemma 1.1.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper flat surjective morphism of noetherian schemes such that $H^0(X_s, O_{X_s}) = k(s)$ for any closed point s of S . Then the Picard functor for f is representable by an algebraic space $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ locally of finite presentation.*

We remark that $H^0(Y, O_Y) = k$ for any proper scheme Y over a field k that is geometrically reduced and geometrically connected over k . Lemma 1.1 is important for applications such as the above corollary. However it seems that there are no adequate literatures for Lemma 1.1, and that this is not well-known even to specialists. Note that Lemma 1.1 does not assume that S is reduced, and that it is proved by using [7, Cor. 2, p. 48] when S is reduced.

2. Faithful flatness

THEOREM 2.1 [5, Th. 2, p. 25]. *Let A be a ring and M an A -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) M is faithfully A -flat;
- (2) M is A -flat, and for any A -module $N \neq 0$ we have $N \otimes_A M \neq 0$;
- (3) M is A -flat, and for any maximal ideal m of A , we have $mM \neq M$.

This theorem does not assume that M is a finite A -module. Thus we can apply it to any local ring $M = B$ over a local ring A .

COROLLARY 2.2 [5, Corollary, p. 27]. *Let A and B be local rings¹, and $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ a homomorphism of local rings. If B is A -flat via ϕ , then B is faithfully A -flat.*

PROOF. Let p (resp. q) be the maximal ideal of A (resp. B). Since $q \supset \phi(p)$, $B \neq qB \supset \phi(p)B$. Hence by Th. 2.1, B is faithfully A -flat. □

The following is due to [3, IV₂, Cor. 2.2.8].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $f = (\psi, \theta) : (X, O_X) \rightarrow (S, O_S)$ be a flat surjective morphism of schemes and $S = \text{Spec } A$. Then $H^0(\theta) := H^0(S, \theta) : A = H^0(S, O_S) \rightarrow H^0(X, O_X)$ is injective.*

¹ A is not necessarily noetherian in Cor. 2.2.

PROOF. Let $J = \text{Ker}(H^0(\theta))$. We shall prove $J = 0$ (without assuming that A is noetherian). Let p be any prime ideal of A . Since f is a flat surjective morphism, there exists an open affine subset $U = \text{Spec } B$ of X and a prime ideal q of B such that $p = \theta^{-1}(q)$ by the natural pullback homomorphism $\theta : A \rightarrow B$. Since f is flat, B is A -flat via θ , hence the local ring B_q is A_p -flat (hence A -flat) via the localization (denoted by θ) of θ .

From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/J \rightarrow 0$ we infer an exact sequence by the A -flatness of B_q :

$$0 \rightarrow J \otimes_A B_q \rightarrow B_q \rightarrow (A/J) \otimes_A B_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $(A/J) \otimes_A B_q \simeq B_q/H^0(\theta)(J)B_q \simeq B_q$, we have $(J \otimes_A A_p) \otimes_{A_p} B_q = J \otimes_A B_q = 0$. Since B is A -flat via $H^0(\theta)$, B_q is faithfully A_p -flat by Cor. 2.2. It follows from Th. 2.1 that $J \otimes_A A_p = 0$ for any prime ideal p of A , hence $J = 0$ by [6, Th. 4.6, p. 27]. See also [2, Prop. 3.8, p. 40]. □

3. Proof of Lemma 1.1

To prove Lemma 1.1, we may assume $S = \text{Spec } A$. We apply the argument of Mumford [7, Cor. 3, p. 50] to $f_*(O_X)$.

By [7, Theorem, p. 44], there exists a complex

$$K^\bullet = (K^p; \partial^p : K^p \rightarrow K^{p+1})$$

of finite A -modules K^p ($p \in \mathbf{Z}$) such that

- (K-i) $K^p = 0$ except for $0 \leq p \leq g$,
- (K-ii) K^0 is A -flat, K^p is A -projective ($1 \leq p \leq g$),
- (K-iii) there is an isomorphism of functors

$$H^q(X \times_S \text{Spec } B, O_X \otimes_A B) \simeq H^q(K^\bullet \otimes_A B), \quad (q \geq 0)$$

on the category of A -algebras B .

Let $H^0(\theta) := H^0(S, \theta) : A = H^0(S, O_S) \rightarrow H^0(X, O_X)$. Since $H^0(\theta)$ is injective by Lemma 2.3, we have a sequence of A -modules

$$(1) \quad A \xrightarrow{H^0(\theta)} H^0(X, O_X) \xrightarrow{\phi} K^0 \xrightarrow{\partial^0} K^1,$$

where ϕ is the composite of the isomorphism $H^0(X, O_X) \simeq \ker(\partial^0)$ and the natural inclusion $\ker(\partial^0) \hookrightarrow K^0$. Let $f_0 := \phi H^0(\theta)(1_A) \in K^0$ for the unit 1_A of A . By tensoring (1) with B , we obtain a sequence of B -modules

$$B \xrightarrow{H^0(\theta) \otimes_A B} H^0(X, O_X) \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes_A B} K^0 \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\partial^0 \otimes_A B} K^1 \otimes_A B.$$

In what follows, we shall prove that, for any A -algebra B , the homomorphism $\phi H^0(\theta) \otimes_A B$ induces an isomorphism

$$(2) \quad B \simeq \ker(\partial^0 \otimes_A B : K^0 \otimes_A B \rightarrow K^1 \otimes_A B).$$

Let s be any closed point of S . By localizing S at s , we may assume that A is a local ring with maximal ideal p (corresponding to s).

We have a complex of $k(s)$ -vector spaces:

$$A \otimes_A k(s) \xrightarrow{\phi H^0(\theta) \otimes_A k(s)} K^0 \otimes_A k(s) \xrightarrow{\partial^0 \otimes_A k(s)} K^1 \otimes_A k(s).$$

Since $H^0(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s})$ is, by the assumption, the $k(s)$ -vector space consisting of constant functions with values in $k(s)$, we obtain by (K-iii)

$$(3) \quad 0 \neq \text{im}(\phi H^0(\theta) \otimes_A k(s)) \subset \ker(\partial^0 \otimes_A k(s)) \simeq H^0(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) = k(s).$$

It follows from (3)

$$\ker(\partial^0 \otimes_A k(s)) = \text{im}(\phi H^0(\theta) \otimes_A k(s)) = k(s)(f_0 \otimes_A 1_{k(s)}).$$

Since $K^p \otimes_A k(s)$ is a finite-dimensional $k(s)$ -vector space, we have a $k(s)$ -vector subspace \overline{W}_2 of $K^0 \otimes_A k(s)$, a $k(s)$ -vector subspace \overline{U}_1 of $K^1 \otimes_A k(s)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} K^0 \otimes_A k(s) &= k(s)(f_0 \otimes_A 1_{k(s)}) \oplus \overline{W}_2, \\ K^1 \otimes_A k(s) &\simeq \overline{U}_1 \oplus \overline{W}_2, \end{aligned}$$

and there is a commutative diagram of $k(s)$ -homomorphisms

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K^0 \otimes_A k(s) & \xrightarrow{\partial^0 \otimes_A k(s)} & K^1 \otimes_A k(s) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\ k(s)(f_0 \otimes_A 1_{k(s)}) \oplus \overline{W}_2 & \xrightarrow{0 \oplus \text{id}_{\overline{W}_2}} & \overline{U}_1 \oplus \overline{W}_2. \end{array}$$

Since K^p is A -flat or A -projective by (K-ii) and A is a local ring, K^p is A -free by [5, (3.G), p. 21]. Then there exist an A -free submodule W_2 of K^0 , an A -free submodule U_1 of K^1 and a commutative diagram of A -homomorphisms such that

$$(6) \quad W_2 \otimes_A k(s) = \overline{W}_2, \quad U_1 \otimes_A k(s) = \overline{U}_1,$$

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K^0 & \xrightarrow{\partial^0} & K^1 \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\ Af_0 \oplus W_2 & \xrightarrow{0 \oplus \text{id}_{W_2}} & U_1 \oplus W_2, \end{array}$$

where $Af_0 \simeq A$ because $\phi H^0(\theta)$ in (1) is injective.

We prove it in what follows. First we choose elements e_i ($i \in I$) of K^0 such that $e_i \otimes 1_{k(s)}$ ($i \in I$) is a $k(s)$ -basis of \overline{W}_2 . Next let

$$W_2 := \sum_{i \in I} Ae_i, \quad F := Af_0 + W_2.$$

Then F is an A -submodule of K^0 such that $(K^0/F) \otimes_A k(s) = 0$. Hence by Nakayama's lemma, we have $K^0 = F$. Moreover e_i ($i \in I$) and f_0 is an A -free basis of K^0 . Indeed, this is shown as follows. e_i ($i \in I$) and f_0 is a minimal basis of K^0 in the sense of [6, Th. 2.3, p. 8]. Since K^0 is A -free, K^0 has an A -free basis, which is a minimal basis of K^0 by [6, Th. 2.3 (i)]. Hence e_i ($i \in I$) and f_0 is an A -free basis by [6, Th. 2.3 (iii)].

By (4) and (5) we can find elements u_j ($j \in J$) of K^1 that $u_j \otimes 1_{k(s)}$ ($j \in J$) is a $k(s)$ -basis of \overline{U}_1 . Let

$$W_2^* := \sum_{i \in I} Ae_i^*, \quad G := W_2^* + \sum_{j \in J} Au_j$$

where $e_i^* = \partial^0 e_i$. It is clear that $W_2 \simeq W_2^*$ as A -modules. Since G is a finite A -submodule of K^1 such that $G \otimes_A k(s) = K^1 \otimes_A k(s)$, by Nakayama's lemma, we have $G = K^1$. Since K^1 is also A -free, e_i^* ($i \in I$) and u_j ($j \in J$) is, by [6, Th. 2.3 (i), (iii)], a minimal basis of K^1 and hence an A -free basis of K^1 . This proves (6) and (7).

Now we prove (2). For any A -algebra B , we have a commutative diagram of B -homomorphisms for any A -algebra B

$$\begin{CD} K^0 \otimes_A B @>{\partial^0 \otimes_A B}>> K^1 \otimes_A B \\ @V{\simeq}VV @VV{\simeq}V \\ B(f_0 \otimes 1_B) \oplus (W_2 \otimes_A B) @>{0 \oplus \text{id}_{W_2 \otimes_A B}}>> (U_1 \otimes_A B) \oplus (W_2 \otimes_A B) \end{CD}$$

we infer the isomorphism (2)

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X \times_S \text{Spec } B, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_A B) &\simeq H^0(K^\bullet \otimes_A B) = \ker(\partial^0 \otimes_A B) \\ &= B(f_0 \otimes 1_B) \simeq B, \end{aligned}$$

because $Af_0 \otimes_A B \simeq B$ by $Af_0 \simeq A$. It also follows $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq A$.

Now we shall complete the proof of Lemma 1.1. Since $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq A$ for $S = \text{Spec } A$, we have $\mathcal{O}_S \simeq f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Let $T \rightarrow S$ be any morphism. By (2) and (K-iii), we have a natural isomorphism

$$\theta_T : \mathcal{O}_T \simeq (f_T)_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_T}).$$

It follows that the isomorphism $\theta : O_S \simeq f_*(O_X)$ commutes with base change. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.1.

References

- [1] M. ARTIN, Algebraization of formal moduli: I; in “Global Analysis, papers in honor of K. Kodaira,” D. C. Spencer and S. Iyanaga, eds., University of Tokyo Press and Princeton University Press, 1969, pp. 21–71.
- [2] M. F. ATIYAH and I. G. MACDONALD, *Introduction to Commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Series in Mathematics, Westview Press, 1969.
- [3] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique, I, II, III, IV*, Publ. Math. IHES **4** (1960), **8** (1961), **11** (1961), **17** (1963), **20** (1964), **24** (1965), **28** (1966), **32** (1967).
- [4] R. HARTSHORNE, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1977.
- [5] H. MATSUMURA, *Commutative Algebra*, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York, 1970.
- [6] H. MATSUMURA, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, **8**, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [7] D. MUMFORD, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Hindustan Book Agency, 2012.

Present Addresses:

KENTARO MITSUI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE,
KOBE UNIVERSITY,
HYOGO 657–8501, JAPAN.
e-mail: mitsui@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

IKU NAKAMURA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY,
SAPPORO 060–0810, JAPAN.
e-mail: nakamura@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp