

37. On the Zeros of an Entire Function which is Periodic mod a Non-Constant Entire Function of Order Less than One

By Hironobu URABE*) and Chung-Chun YANG**)

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., June 15, 1978)

In this paper, we will consider the entire function which is periodic mod a non-constant entire function of order less than one, and state two theorems, one of which shows as a special case that periodic entire functions are uniquely determined by the sets of their fixed points (Corollary 2). The detailed proofs of these results will appear elsewhere [6].

1. For a non-zero constant b , we denote by $G(b)$ the class of entire functions of the form:

$$f(z) = h(z) + H(z),$$

where $H(z)$ ($\neq \text{const.}$) is entire, periodic with period b , i.e., $H(z+b) \equiv H(z)$, and $h(z)$ is a non-constant entire function of order less than one. Especially when $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree one, the above class is denoted by $J(b)$. A function $f(z)$ in $G(b)$ (or $J(b)$) is said to be periodic (with period b) mod $h(z)$.

These classes possess a significant position in factorization theory of transcendental entire functions (cf. [1], [2], and [5]).

2. **Statement of our results.** **Theorem 1.** *Let $f(z) = c_1z + H(z)$ and $g(z) = c_2z + K(z)$ belong to the class $J(b)$ ($H(z)$ and $K(z)$ have period b , and c_1, c_2 are non-zero constants). Assume that the sets of the zeros of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are identical except (at most) a set whose exponent of convergence is less than one, then we have that $f(z) \equiv c \cdot g(z)$ and hence $H(z) \equiv c \cdot K(z)$ with $c = c_1/c_2$.*

Corollary 1. *Let $H(z)$ and $K(z)$ be two periodic entire functions with the same non-zero period. Assume that the sets of the fixed points of $H(z)$ and $K(z)$ are identical except (at most) a set whose exponent of convergence is less than one, then $H(z)$ and $K(z)$ are identically equal.*

Theorem 2. *Let $f_j(z) \in G(b_j)$ ($j=1, 2$). Assume that the sets of the zeros of $f_j(z)$ are identical for $j=1, 2$, including multiplicities. Then we have that $f_1(z) \equiv c \cdot f_2(z)$ for some non-zero constant c and hence b_1/b_2 is a rational number.*

*) Kyoto Univ. of Education, Fushimi-ku, Kyoto 612.

***) Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20375.

Corollary 2. *Let $H_j(z)$ ($j=1, 2$) be non-constant periodic entire functions with period $b_j \neq 0$. Assume that the sets of the fixed points of $H_j(z)$ are identical, including multiplicities. Then we must have that $H_1(z)$ and $H_2(z)$ are identically equal and b_1/b_2 is a rational number.*

3. For the proofs of the above results, we shall need the following lemma (unicity theorem of Borel type) due to K. Niino and M. Ozawa.

Lemma (cf. [4]). *Let $G_j(z)$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) be transcendental entire functions and c_j be non-zero constants, and let $g(z) (\neq 0)$ be an entire function such that $T(r, g) = o(T(r, G_j))$ as r tends to infinity for any j . Assume that there exists an identical relation*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j G_j(z) = g(z),$$

then we must have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \delta(0, G_j) \leq n - 1.$$

Here $T(r, *)$ and $\delta(a, *)$ denote the Nevanlinna's characteristic function and deficiency, respectively.

4. Outline of the proof of Theorem 2. Let $f_1(z) = h(z) + H_1(z)$ and $f_2(z) = k(z) + H_2(z)$, where $H_j(z)$ is entire, non-constant, with $H_j(z + b_j) = H_j(z)$ ($j=1, 2$), $h(z)$ and $k(z)$ are non-constant entire functions of order less than one. By the assumption, there exists an identical relation such as

$$(*) \quad h(z) + H_1(z) = (k(z) + H_2(z))e^{p(z)},$$

where $p(z)$ is an entire function.

Now it is possible to show that $p(z)$ would be constant if and only if b_1/b_2 is a rational number.

Owing to this fact, it is sufficient to prove that the identity (*) does not hold under the additional assumption that b_1/b_2 is not a rational number and $p(z)$ is non-constant. From this assumption, one can show that $p(z + mb_j) - p(z)$ is non-constant for any non-zero integer m ($j=1, 2$).

Then by cancelling $H_1(z)$ and $H_2(z)$ from the identity (*), we shall obtain the following new identity.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (**) \quad & (r_2 - r_1) + (r_1 - r)e^{p - p_2 - q + q_2} + (h_2 - h_1)e^{p - p_1 - p_2 - q + q_1 + q_2} \\
 & - (r_2 - r)e^{p - p_1 - q + q_1} - (h_2 - h)e^{-p_2 + q_2} + (h_1 - h)e^{-p_1 + q_1} \\
 & + (s - k)e^{p - p_2 + q_2} - (s - k)e^{p - p_1 + q_1} + (s_2 - k_2)e^{p - p_1 - q + q_1 + q_2} \\
 & - (s - k)e^{p - p_2 - q + q_1 + q_2} - (s_2 - k_2)e^{q_2} + (s_1 - k_1)e^{q_1} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $p_j(z) = p(z + jb_j)$, $q(z) = p(z + b_2)$, $q_j(z) = q(z + jb_1) = p(z + jb_1 + b_2)$, $h_j(z) = h(z + jb_1)$, $r(z) = h(z + b_2)$, $r_j(z) = r(z + jb_1)$, $k_j(z) = k(z + jb_1)$, $s(z) = k(z + b_2)$ and $s_j(z) = s(z + jb_1)$ for $j=1, 2$.

Now the coefficients of exponential functions in (**) such as $r_2 - r_1$, $r_1 - r$, $h_2 - h_1$, etc. are all of order less than one, and the function $r_2 - r_1$

is not identically zero, since $r(z)$ is a non-constant entire function of order less than one and $r_2 - r_1 = r(z + 2b_1) - r(z + b_1)$. And further the functions $-p_2 + q_2$, $-p_1 + q_1$, q_2 and q_1 are non-constant. Now we can prove, by using the lemma repeatedly, that the following seven functions

$$\begin{aligned} & p - p_2 - q + q_2, p - p_1 - p_2 - q + q_1 + q_2, p - p_1 - q + q_1, \\ & p - p_2 + q_2, p - p_1 + q_1, p - p_1 - q + q_1 + q_2 \text{ and} \\ & p - p_2 - q + q_1 + q_2 \end{aligned}$$

are all non-constant (cf. [6]). Then Lemma implies that the identity (***) cannot hold, a contradiction. Hence the assertion of Theorem 2 follows.

References

- [1] Baker, I. N., and Gross, F.: Further results on factorization of entire functions. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc. Providence R. I., vol. 11, pp. 30-35 (1968).
- [2] Gross, F.: On factorization of meromorphic functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **131**, 215-222 (1968).
- [3] Nevanlinna, R.: Le Théorème de Picard-Borel et la Théorie des Fonctions Méromorphes. Gauthier Villars, Paris (1929).
- [4] Niino, K., and Ozawa, M.: Deficiencies of an entire algebroid function. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., **22**, 98-113 (1970).
- [5] Urabe, H.: Uniqueness of the factorization under composition of certain entire functions. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **18**(1), 95-120 (1978).
- [6] Urabe, H., and Yang, C.-C.: On a characteristic property of periodic entire functions (to appear).