## 131. A Note on Semi-prime Modules. II

By Hidetoshi MARUBAYASHI

Department of Mathematics, Yamaguchi University

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M.J.A., Sept. 12, 1968)

The main purpose of this note is to prove the following two theorems  $:^{1)}$ 

**Theorem A.** Let R be a semi-prime Goldie ring, let Q be the right quotient ring of R, and let  $R_i$  (i=1, ..., t) be the minimal annihilator ideals<sup>2)</sup> of R. Let M be a semi-prime R-module, let  $M_i$  be the subisomorphism classes of basic submodules<sup>3)</sup> of M which corresponds to  $R_i$ and let  $J_i$  be a uniform right ideal contained in  $R_i$  (i=1,...,t). Then

(i) There exists an element  $x_i \in M_i$  such that  $I_i = \operatorname{Hom}_R(x_iJ_i, x_iJ_i)$ is a right Ore domain. The ring  $D_i \operatorname{Hom}_R(x_iJ_iQ, x_iJ_iQ)$  is the right quotient division ring of  $I_i$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ .

(ii) The ring  $I = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(N, N)$  is isomorphic onto  $I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{i}$ , where  $N = x_{1}J_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_{i}J_{i}$ .

(iii) The ring  $D = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(NQ, NQ)$  is the right quotient ring of I and is isomorphic onto  $D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_t$ .

**Theorem B.** Let R be a Goldie ring. If M is a semi-prime Rmodule, then M contains N, which is a direct sum of uniform submodules and R is contained in a semi-prime ring B such that the pair (B, N) has the double centralizer property. The submodule N may be chosen to be of the form  $x_1J_1\oplus\cdots\oplus x_tJ_t$ , where  $x_i \in M_i$  and  $J_i$  is a uniform right ideal in  $R_i$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ .

1. Proof of Theorem A. Lemma 1. Let M be a semi-prime R-module and let Q be the right quotient ring of R. Then the injective envelope  $\tilde{M}$  of M is MQ.

**Proof.** Let  $x = mc^{-1}$  be a non-zero element of MQ. Then  $xc = m \in M \cap xR$ , which implies that MQ is an essential extension of M. Suppose that M' is an essential extension of M, then  $M'^{\blacktriangle}=0$  and M' is faithful. Hence, by Proposition 1 in [7], M' is also semi-prime. By Proposition 4.1 in [3], we have  $MQ = M'Q \supseteq M'$ , which proves the lemma.

Since MQ is the injective envelope of M and  $M^{\blacktriangle}=0$ , we may

<sup>1)</sup> Throughout this paper, definitions and notations are used in the same sense as in [7]. R will denote a right Goldie ring and all R-modules will mean faithful right R-modules.

<sup>2)</sup> Cf. [5. p. 215].

<sup>3)</sup> Cf. [7. Theorem 7].

assume<sup>4</sup> that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, M) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(MQ, MQ)$ .

Lemma 2. Let U and V be uniform submodules of a semi-prime R-module M. Then

(i)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(U, U)$  is an integral domain and  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(UQ, UQ)$  is a division ring containing  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(U, U)$ .

(ii) If U and V are not connected, then  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(U, V) = 0$ .

(iii) If U, V are basic submodules such that  $U \sim V$ , then  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(U, V)$  is subisomorphic to  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(U, U)$  as Z-modules.

**Proof.** (i) follows at once by using the similar method as in Theorem 4.3 in [2]. (ii): Suppose that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(U, V) \neq 0$ . Let *B* be a basic submodule of *U* and let *f* be a non-zero element in  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(U, V)$ . By Lemma 5.4 in [6], *f* is an isomorphism and thus  $f(B) \cong B$ . Hence we have  $U \sim V$ , which is a contradiction. (iii): By the assumption, there exists an isomorphism  $\theta$  of *U* into *V*. If we define  $\theta^*$  by  $\theta^*(f) = \theta \cdot f$  for all  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(U, U)$ , then it follows directly that  $\theta^*$  is a *Z*-isomorphism of  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(U, U)$  into  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(U, V)$ . Likewise an isomorphism  $\phi: V \to U$  induces a *Z*-isomorphism  $\phi^*$  of  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(U, V)$ 

By the above two lemmas and the similar method in the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [2] we have

**Proposition 3.** A semi-prime R-module M is uniform if and only if  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{M})$  is a division ring, where  $\tilde{M}$  is the injective envelope of M.

**Lemma 4.** Let I and J be ideals of a ring A, and let Q(I) and Q(J) be the right quotient rings of I and J respectively. If I+J is a direct sum, then  $Q(I)\oplus Q(J)$  is the right quotient ring of  $I\oplus J$ .

**Proof.** Let c, d be regular elements of I, J respectively. Then it follows at once that c+d is regular in  $I \oplus J$  and  $(c+d)^{-1} = c^{-1} + d^{-1}$  in  $Q(I) \oplus Q(J)$ . Let  $x = ac^{-1} + bd^{-1}$  be an element of  $Q(I) \oplus Q(J)$ . Then we have easily  $x = (a+b)(c+d)^{-1}$ , completing the proof.

Lemma 5. Let  $J_i$  be a uniform right ideal contained in  $R_i$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ . Then

(i) There exists an element  $x_i \in M_i$  such that  $x_i J_i \cong J_i$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ .

(ii) For each element  $y \in M_k$ ,  $yJ_i = 0$   $(i \neq k)$ .

**Proof.** Since M is faithful, we have  $MJ_i \neq 0$ . Hence there exists an element m of M such that  $mJ_i \neq 0$ . By Theorem 2.4 in [2], we have  $mJ_i \cong J_i$  and hence  $mJ_i \subseteq M_i$ . Since R is semi-prime,  $(mJ_i)J_i \neq 0$ . Thus there exists an element  $x_i \in mJ_i \subseteq M_i$  such that  $x_iJ_i \neq 0$ . Again, by Theorem 2.4 in [2] we have  $x_iJ_i \cong J_i$ , which gives (i). To prove (ii), we suppose that y is any element of  $M_k$ . If  $yJ_i \neq 0$   $(i \neq k)$ , then, by

<sup>4)</sup> Cf. [2; p. 1047].

Theorem 2.4 in [2],  $yJ_i \cong J_i$ . Hence we have  $yJ_i \subseteq M_i$ . This contradicts the fact that  $M_i \oplus M_k$  is a direct sum.

Proof of Theorem A. (i): By the similar methods as in Theorem 4 of [4] and [4, p. 607], it follows at once that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(J_i, J_i)$  is a right Ore domain with the right quotient division ring  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(J_iQ, J_iQ)$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ . By Lemma 5, we have  $x_iJ_i\cong J_i$  for some  $x_i\in M_i$  and  $x_iJ_iQ\cong J_iQ$ . Consequently,  $I_i\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(J_i, J_i)$  and  $D_i\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(J_iQ, J_iQ)$ . Hence  $I_i$  is a right Ore domain with the right quotient division ring  $D_i$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ . (ii): Let f be an element of  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, N)$  and put  $f_i=f|x_iJ_i$ . Then we shall prove that  $f_i(x_iJ_i)\subseteq x_iJ_i$ . Let  $a\in x_iJ_i$  and write

$$f_i(a) = a_1 + \cdots + a_t;$$
  $(a_j \in x_j J_j).$ 

As a runs over  $x_i J_i$ , the map  $\theta_k : a \to a_k$  is a homomorphism of  $x_i J_i$ into  $x_k J_k$ . By Lemma 2,  $\theta_k = 0$  for each  $k \neq i$ . And thus  $f_i(x_i J_i) \subseteq x_i J_i$ . As is easily shown, the map

$$f \rightarrow f_i + \dots + f_t$$

is a ring-isomorphism of I onto  $I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_t$ .

(iii) is immediately proved by Lemma 4 and the fact that  $J_iQ$  are mutually non-isomorphic minimal right ideals of Q.

Remark. This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 4.6 in [2].

Corollary. The ring Q is isomorphic to  $(D_1)_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus (D_t)_{n_t}$ , where  $D_i = \operatorname{Hom}_R(x_i J_i Q, x_i J_i Q)$  and  $(D_i)_{n_i}$  is a total matrix ring over  $D_i$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ .

2. Proof of Theorem B. Let  $x_i J_i$ ,  $I_i$ ,  $D_i$ , I, D, and N be as in Theorem A. Now we shall show that N is a faithful R-module. Suppose that Na=0 for some element a of R, then we have  $x_i J_i a=0$  and hence  $J_i a=0$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ . Since  $J_i$  is representive for uniform right ideals, a annihilates for all uniform right ideals. And thus we have  $R_0 a=0$ , where  $R_0$  is the sum of all uniform right ideals in R. By [5, p. 207] we have a=0, as desired.

Since Q satisfies the maximum condition for right ideals, NQ is a finitely generated right Q-module. Then by Theorems 58.14 and 59.7 in [1], NQ is a finitely generated injective left D-module. Since D is the quotient ring of I, we have, by Corollary 4.2 in [2], NQ is an injective left I-module. From Theorem 59.6 in [1], the pair (Q, NQ)has the double centralizer property, i.e.,  $Q \cong \operatorname{Hom}_D(NQ, NQ)$ . By Proposition 4.1 in [2],  $Q \cong \operatorname{Hom}_I(NQ, NQ)$ . Since NQ is an injective left I-module, each  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_I(N, N)$  has an extension  $\alpha^* \in \operatorname{Hom}_I(NQ, NQ)$ . However, since N is a faithful R-module and  $Q \cong \operatorname{Hom}_I(NQ, NQ)$ ,  $\alpha^*$ is a unique extension of  $\alpha$ . We may, therefore, write

$$R \subseteq B \subseteq Q$$
,

where  $B = \text{Hom}_I(N, N)$ . Therefore, Q is the right quotient ring of B,

593

and by [5], B is a semi-prime Goldie ring. It is easily proved that the pair (B, N) has the double centralizer property.

Corollary. Every semi-prime Goldie ring R is contained in a semi-prime ring B, which has the same quotient ring as R and satisfies the following properties:

(i) B is the ring of endomorphisms of the left module N over a direct sum of integral domains.

(ii) the pair (B, N) has the double centralizer property.

Remark. Theorem B and Corollary are generalizations of Theorems 4.9 and 4.10 in [2] respectively.

## References

- C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner: Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras. New York (1962).
- [2] E. H. Feller and E. W. Swokowski: Prime modules. Can. J. Math., 17, 1041-1052 (1965).
- [3] —: Semi-prime modules. Can. J. Math., 18, 823-831 (1966).
- [4] A. W. Goldie: The structure of prime rings under ascending chain conditions. Proc. London Math. Soc., 8, 589-608 (1959).
- [5] A. W. Goldie: Semi-prime rings with maximum condition. Proc. London Math. Soc., 10, 201-220 (1960).
- [6] A. W. Goldie: Torsion-free modules and rings. J. Algebra, 1, 248-287 (1964).
- [7] H. Marubayashi: A note on semi-prime modules. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 44, 585-590 (1968).