

On the Norm of a Self-Adjoint Operator and Applications to the Hilbert's Type Inequalities

Bicheng Yang

Abstract

The norm of a bounded self-adjoint operator $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ is considered. As applications, a new bilinear inequality with a best constant factor and some Hilbert's type inequalities are built.

Let H be a real separable Hilbert space and $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded self-adjoint semi-positive definite operator. Then (see [1],(17))

$$|(a, Tb)| \leq \frac{\|T\|}{\sqrt{2}} (\|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 + (a, b)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (a, b \in H), \quad (1)$$

where (a, b) is the inner product of a and b , and $\|a\| = \sqrt{(a, a)}$ is the norm of a .

Note 1. By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality (see [2]), (1) can imply to

$$|(a, Tb)| \leq \|T\| \|a\| \|b\| \quad (a, b \in H). \quad (2)$$

It is obvious that the constant factor $\|T\|$ in (2) is the best possible and then the constant factor $\|T\|/2$ in (1) is still the best possible since (1) is an improvement of (2).

In this paper, the norm of a bounded self-adjoint operator $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ is considered. As applications, a new bilinear inequality with a best constant factor and some new Hilbert's type inequalities are built by using (1), (2) and the given norm.

Received by the editors May 2005.

Communicated by F. Bastin.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 47A07, 26D15.

Key words and phrases : norm; self-adjoint; bilinear inequality; Hilbert's type inequality; Beta function.

For this, we consider some firsthand corollaries of (1) as follows:

(a) Since we have (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m+n)^\lambda} \right]^2 \leq \left[B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right]^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} a_n^2, \tag{3}$$

where the constant factor $\left[B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right]^2$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 4$) is the best possible and $B(u, v)$ is the Beta function. Replacing $n^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}} a_n$ by a_n in (3), we have an equivalent form of (3) as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(mn)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}{(m+n)^\lambda} a_m \right]^2 \leq \left[B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right]^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2. \tag{4}$$

If we set a self-adjoint semi-positive definite operator $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ as:

$$Ta := b = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(mn)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}{(m+n)^\lambda} a_m \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in l^2,$$

then Inequality (4) is equivalent to $\|Ta\| \leq B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \|a\|$. Since the constant factor $B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 4$) in (4) is the best possible, we can conclude that T is a bounded operator and $\|T\| = B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$. Hence, if T is shown being of semi-positive definite, then by (1) and Note 1, one has: If $\{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^2$, then for $0 < \lambda \leq 4$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(mn)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{5}$$

where the constant factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$ is the best possible.

(b) Since we have (see [4])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^\lambda + n^\lambda} \right]^2 \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{\lambda}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} a_n^2, \tag{6}$$

where the constant factor $\left(\frac{\pi}{\lambda}\right)^2$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$) is the best possible. By the same way of (a), we have:

If $\{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^2$, then for $0 < \lambda \leq 2$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(mn)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} a_m b_n}{m^\lambda + n^\lambda} \leq \frac{\pi}{\lambda\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{7}$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\lambda\sqrt{2}}$ is the best possible.

(c) Since we have (see [5])

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\lambda-1} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \right]^2 \leq \left[B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right]^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1-\lambda} a_n^2, \tag{8}$$

where the constant factor $\left[B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right]^2$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$) is the best possible. By the same way, we have:

If $\{a_m\}_{m=0}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in l^2$, then for $0 < \lambda \leq 2$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{[(m + \frac{1}{2})(n + \frac{1}{2})]^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}}{(m + n + 1)^\lambda} a_m b_n \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}) \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n^2 \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n^2 + \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n b_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{9}$$

where the constant factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$ is the best possible. In particular, for $\lambda = 1$, we have the following improved Hilbert's inequality (see [1])

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{m + n + 1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n^2 \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n^2 + \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n b_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{10}$$

(d) Since we have (see [7])

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\lambda-1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} \right]^2 \leq \left(\frac{4}{\lambda}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{1-\lambda} a_n^2, \tag{11}$$

where the constant factor $(\frac{4}{\lambda})^2$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$) is the best possible. By the same way, we have:

If $\{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^2$, then for $0 < \lambda \leq 2$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(mn)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} a_m b_n}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} \leq \frac{4}{\lambda\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n b_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{12}$$

where the constant factor $\frac{4}{\lambda\sqrt{2}}$ is the best possible. In particular, for $\lambda = 1$, we have the following improved Hilbert's type inequality (see [6]) :

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m, n\}} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n b_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{13}$$

Theorem 1. Let $k(x, y)$ be continuous in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying:

(i) $k(x, y) = k(y, x) (> 0)$, for $x, y \in (0, \infty)$;

(ii) for $x > 0$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$, $k(x, y)(\frac{x}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}$ is decreasing in $y \in (0, \infty)$;

(iii) for $x > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$ (ε_0 is small enough), the integral $\int_0^\infty k(x, y)(\frac{x}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy$ is a constant only dependent on ε , but independent on x , such that

$$k(\varepsilon) := \int_0^\infty k(x, y)(\frac{x}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy = k(0) + o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+); \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \int_0^1 k(m, y)(\frac{m}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy = O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \tag{15}$$

If l^2 is a real space, define the operator $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ with the kernel $k(m, n)$ as: for $n \in N$,

$$Ta := b = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^\infty k(m, n) a_m \right\}_{n=1}^\infty, \quad a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l^2.$$

Then T is a bounded self-adjoint operator and

$$\|T\| = k := k(0) = \int_0^\infty k(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy < \infty. \quad (16)$$

Proof. By Cauchy's inequality with weight (see[8]), we have from (i), (ii) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) a_m \right)^2 = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) \left[\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right] \left[\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} a_m\right] \right\}^2 \\ & \leq \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(n, m) \left[\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_m^2 \right] \\ & \leq \left[\int_0^\infty k(n, x) \left[\left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \right] \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_m^2 \right] \\ & = k \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_m^2; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ta\|^2 &= (Ta, Ta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) a_m \right)^2 \\ &\leq k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_m^2 \right] = k \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] a_m^2 \\ &\leq k \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\int_0^\infty k(m, y) \left(\frac{m}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \right] a_m^2 = k^2 \|a\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and then $\|Ta\| \leq k\|a\|$. It follows that $Ta \in l^2$ and $\|T\| \leq k$.

For $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, setting \tilde{a} as: $\tilde{a} = \{m^{-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l^2$, then by (ii) and (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T\tilde{a}, \tilde{a}) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) \left(\frac{1}{mn}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \int_1^\infty k(m, y) \left(\frac{m}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \left[\int_0^\infty k(m, y) \left(\frac{m}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy - \int_0^1 k(m, y) \left(\frac{m}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} k(\varepsilon) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \int_0^1 k(m, y) \left(\frac{m}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} k(\varepsilon) - O(1) = \|\tilde{a}\|^2 (k + o(1)) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\|T\| \|\tilde{a}\|^2 \geq \|T\tilde{a}\| \|\tilde{a}\| \geq (T\tilde{a}, \tilde{a}) \geq \|\tilde{a}\|^2 (k + o(1)).$$

Hence $\|T\| \geq k$ ($\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$), and $\|T\| = k$. Since

$$(Ta, b) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) a_m b_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) b_n = (a, Tb).$$

It follows that $T = T^*$ and T is a bounded self-adjoint operator. The theorem is proved.

By using (2) and Theorem 1, we have

Theorem 2. *If l^2 is a real inner-product space, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty, b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^2$, and $k(x, y)$ is defined by Theorem 1, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k(m, n)a_m b_n \leq k \|a\| \|b\|, \tag{18}$$

where the constant factor k is the best possible and $k = k(0) = \int_0^\infty k(x, y) (\frac{x}{y})^{\frac{1}{2}} dy$.

Note 2. If $k = k(0) = \int_0^\infty k(x, y) (\frac{x}{y})^{\frac{1}{2}} dy$ is a constant but the integral $\int_0^\infty k(x, y) (\frac{x}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy$ ($0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$) is dependent on x and ε , then (17) is still valid, and we have $\|T\| \leq k$. In this case, by (2), we still have (18), but we can't affirm that the constant factor k in (18) is still the best possible.

In the following, we need the formula of the Beta function $B(u, v)$ as (cf. Wang et al. [9]):

$$B(u, v) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^{u+v}} t^{u-1} dt = B(v, u) \quad (u, v > 0). \tag{19}$$

Lemma 1. *If $\lambda > 0$, define the function $f(u) := \frac{\ln u}{u^{\lambda-1}}, u \in (0, \infty)$ ($f(1) := \frac{1}{\lambda} = \lim_{u \rightarrow 1} f(u)$), then $f(u)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Setting $g(u) = u^\lambda - 1 - \lambda u^\lambda \ln u$, then $f'(u) = \frac{g(u)}{(u^{\lambda-1})^2 u}$. Since $g'(u) = -\lambda^2 u^{\lambda-1} \ln u$, we have $g'(u) > 0, u \in (0, 1); g'(u) < 0, u \in (1, \infty)$, and then $g(1) = 0 = \max_{u>0} \{g(u)\} \geq g(u) (u > 0)$. Hence $f'(u) \leq 0$ and $f(u)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$. The lemma is proved.

(e) Setting $k(x, y) = \frac{\ln(x/y)}{x^\lambda - y^\lambda} (xy)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$), then by Lemma 1, for fixed $x > 0, x^\lambda f(\frac{y}{x}) = \frac{\ln(x/y)}{x^\lambda - y^\lambda}$ is decreasing in $y \in (0, \infty)$, and for $x > 0, \varepsilon \geq 0$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 2$,

$$k(x, y) (\frac{x}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} = \frac{\ln(x/y)}{x^\lambda - y^\lambda} (\frac{1}{y})^{\frac{2-\lambda+\varepsilon}{2}} x^{\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{2}}$$

is decreasing in $y \in (0, \infty)$. For $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda/2$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} k(\varepsilon) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(x/y)}{x^\lambda - y^\lambda} (xy)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} (\frac{x}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln u}{u-1} u^{\frac{\varepsilon-\lambda}{2\lambda}} du \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln u}{u-1} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} du = (\frac{\pi}{\lambda})^2 = k \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\ln(m/y)}{m^\lambda - y^\lambda}$ is decreasing in $y \in (0, \infty)$, then for $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda/2$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< A(m, \varepsilon) := \sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(m/y)}{m^\lambda - y^\lambda} (my)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} (\frac{m}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty m^{-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln m}{m^\lambda - 1} (my)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} (\frac{m}{y})^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\lambda - \varepsilon} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{\ln m}{m^\lambda - 1} m^{\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{2}-1} \leq \frac{4}{\lambda} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{\ln m}{(m^\lambda - 1)m^{1-\frac{3\lambda}{4}}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and then $A(m, \varepsilon) = O(1)$. Hence $k(x, y)$ possesses the conditions of (i),(ii) and (iii).

If l^2 is a real space, define the operator $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ with the kernel $k(m, n) = \frac{\ln(m/n)}{m^\lambda - n^\lambda} (mn)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$) as: for $n \in N$,

$$Ta := b = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(m/n)}{m^\lambda - n^\lambda} (mn)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} a_m \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in l^2.$$

Then by Theorem 1, T is a bounded self-adjoint operator and

$$\|T\| = k := k(0) = \int_0^{\infty} k(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy = \left(\frac{\pi}{\lambda}\right)^2.$$

By Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 1. If l^2 is a real space, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}, b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^2$, then for $0 < \lambda \leq 2$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(mn)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} \ln(\frac{m}{n})}{m^\lambda - n^\lambda} a_m b_n \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{\lambda}\right)^2 \|a\| \|b\|, \tag{20}$$

where the constant factor $(\frac{\pi}{\lambda})^2$ is the best possible. In particular, for $\lambda = 1$, we have the following Hilbert's type inequality (see [6]) :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(\frac{m}{n})}{m - n} a_m b_n \leq \pi^2 \|a\| \|b\|, \tag{21}$$

(f) Setting $k(x, y) = \frac{(xy)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}{(1+xy)^\lambda}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$), then for $x > 0, \varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$k(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} = \frac{1}{(1+xy)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{\frac{2-\lambda+\varepsilon}{2}} x^{\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{2}}$$

is decreasing in $y \in (0, \infty)$. For $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$, setting $u = xy$, we obtain from (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} k_x(\varepsilon) & : = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(xy)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}}{(1+xy)^\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy = x^\varepsilon \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} u^{\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{2}-1} du \\ & = x^\varepsilon B\left(\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{2}\right) \rightarrow B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) = k \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \end{aligned}$$

If l^2 is a real space, define the operator $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ with the kernel $k(m, n) = \frac{(mn)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}{(1+mn)^\lambda}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$) as: for $n \in N$,

$$Ta := b = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(mn)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}}{(1+mn)^\lambda} a_m \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in l^2.$$

Then T is a self-adjoint operator and by Note 2, $\|T\| \leq B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$. Hence by (18), we have

Corollary 2. If l^2 is a real space, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}, b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^2$, then for $0 < \lambda \leq 2$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(mn)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}{(1+mn)^\lambda} a_m b_n \leq B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \|a\| \|b\|. \tag{22}$$

(g) Setting $k(x, y) = \frac{(xy)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}{1+(xy)^\lambda}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$), then for $x > 0, \varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$k(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} = \frac{1}{1+(xy)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{\frac{2-\lambda+\varepsilon}{2}} x^{\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{2}}$$

is decreasing in $y \in (0, \infty)$. For $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$, setting $u = (xy)^\lambda$, we obtain from (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} k_x(\varepsilon) &: = \int_0^\infty \frac{(xy)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}}{1+(xy)^\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} dy = x^\varepsilon \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+u} u^{\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{2\lambda}-1} du \\ &= x^\varepsilon \frac{1}{\lambda} B\left(\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{2\lambda}, \frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{2\lambda}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{\lambda} = k \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \end{aligned}$$

If l^2 is a real space, define the operator $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ with the kernel $k(m, n) = \frac{(mn)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}{1+(mn)^\lambda}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$) as: for $n \in N$,

$$Ta := b = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(mn)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}{1+(mn)^\lambda} a_m \right\}_{n=1}^\infty, \quad a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l^2.$$

Then T is self-adjoint operator and by Note 2, $\|T\| \leq \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$. By (18), we have

Corollary 3. If l^2 is a real space, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty, b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^2$, then for $0 < \lambda \leq 2$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(mn)^{(mn)^{(\lambda-1)/2}}}{1+(mn)^\lambda} a_m b_n \leq \frac{\pi}{\lambda} \|a\| \|b\|. \tag{23}$$

Remarks. (i) For $\lambda = 1$, both (5) and (7) reduce to the following improved Hilbert’s inequality:

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n b_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{24}$$

(ii) For $\lambda = 1$, both (22) and (23) reduce to the following new Hilbert’s type inequality:

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{1+mn} \leq \pi \|a\| \|b\|. \tag{25}$$

(iii) By using Theorem 2 and Note 2, we can build some new Hilbert’s type inequalities such as (20), (22) and (23).

References

[1] Kewei Zhang, A bilinear inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 271(2002), 288-296.
 [2] A. E. Taylor, D.C.Lay, Introduction to Functional Analysis, John Wiley and Son, New York, 1976.
 [3] Yang Bicheng. On a generalization of Hilbert’s double series theorem, J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Biquarterly, 18,1(2001), 145-152.

- [4] Yang Bicheng, On an extension of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, Chinese Annals of Math.,23A,2 (2002), 247-254.
- [5] Yang Bicheng and L. Debnath, On a new generalization of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and its applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl.,233(1999), 484-497.
- [6] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [7] Yang Bicheng, On a generalization of the Hilbert's type inequality and its applications, Chinese Journal of Engineering Mathematics, 21,5(2004), 821-824.
- [8] J. Kuang, Applied Inequalities, Shandong Science Press, Jinan, 2003.
- [9] Z. Wang, D. Gua. An Introduction to Special Functions. Science Press, Beijing, 1979.

Department of Mathematics, Guangdong Institute of Education,
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China
E-mail: bcyang@pub.guangzhou.gd.cn