

Discrete initial value problems and discrete parabolic potential theory

Fumi-Yuki MAEDA, Atsushi MURAKAMI and Maretsugu YAMASAKI

(Received March 12, 1990)

§1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall study a discrete analogue of the initial value problems and the potential theory for the heat equation $\Delta u = \partial u / \partial t$, the potential theory established e.g. in Doob [1; 1.XV & XVII], Watson [4] and, in a more abstract form, in Maeda [3]. We choose an infinite network N and consider a "discrete cylinder" with base space N .

More precisely, let X be a countable infinite set of nodes, Y be a countable infinite set of arcs and K be the node-arc incidence function. We assume that the graph $\{X, Y, K\}$ is connected and locally finite and has no self-loop. Let r be a strictly positive real function on Y . We call the quartet $N = \{X, Y, K, r\}$ an infinite network (cf. [5], [6]). Next, let T be the set of all integers which will be regarded as the time space. For $s \in T$, put $T_s = \{t \in T; t \geq s\}$. We call $\{N, T\}$ (resp. $\{N, T_s\}$) the discrete cylinder (resp. discrete half-cylinder) with base N .

We set $\mathcal{E} = X \times T$ and denote by $L(\mathcal{E})$ the set of all real functions on \mathcal{E} . For $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$, we shall define the discrete (partial) derivatives du and ∂u and the Laplacian Δu . The operators d and Δ act on the variable $x \in X$ and ∂ on $t \in T$. The parabolic operator Π acting on $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ is defined by

$$\Pi u(\xi) = \Delta u(\xi) - \partial u(\xi), \quad \xi = (x, t) \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Our initial value problems and potential theory will be discussed with respect to this operator Π .

For our study, we first recall in §2 some properties of the 1-Green function of N relative to the equation $\Delta u = u$, and give some results on iterations of the 1-Green operators. In §3, we consider superparabolic functions on a set in \mathcal{E} and give minimum principles. We study in §4 an initial value problem on $\{N, T_s\}$. The existence and uniqueness of the parabolic Green function G_α of $\{N, T\}$ with pole at $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ will be studied in §5. Solutions of an initial boundary value problem as well as the parabolic Green function of $\{N, T\}$ will be constructed by means of the iterations of the 1-Green operator of N . In case N has the harmonic Green function g_a with pole at $a \in X$, we have the

following formula :

$$\sum_{t=s}^{\infty} G_{\alpha}(x, t) = g_{\alpha}(x) \text{ with } \alpha = (a, s),$$

which has a continuous counterpart (cf. [1; 1.XVII.18]).

Discrete analogue of the Riesz decomposition theorem for nonnegative superparabolic functions will be proved in §6. We shall introduce the coparabolic operator Π^* in §7 and discuss the coparabolic Green function of $\{N, T\}$, and the duality between parabolic and coparabolic potentials.

§2. 1-Green function of N

First, we recall some results on the q -Green function of N discussed in [7], in case $q = 1$.

For notation and terminologies concerning the infinite network $N = \{X, Y, K, r\}$, we mainly follow [5], [6] and [7]: Denote by $L(X)$ (resp. $L^+(X)$) the set of all real (resp. nonnegative) functions on X and by $L_0(X)$ (resp. $L_0^+(X)$) the set of all real (resp. nonnegative) functions u on X with finite support $Su = \{x \in X; u(x) \neq 0\}$. For $u \in L(X)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} du(y) &= -r(y)^{-1} \sum_{x \in X} K(x, y)u(x), \\ D(u) &= \sum_{y \in Y} r(y)[du(y)]^2, \\ \Delta_1 u(x) &= \sum_{y \in Y} K(x, y)[du(y)] - u(x), \\ E_1(u) &= D(u) + \sum_{x \in X} u(x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(N; 1) = \{u \in L(X); E_1(u) < \infty\}$$

and for $u, v \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1)$,

$$E_1(u, v) = \sum_{y \in Y} r(y)[du(y)][dv(y)] + \sum_{x \in X} u(x)v(x).$$

Then $\mathcal{E}(N; 1)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $E_1(u, v)$. For each $a \in X$, there exists a unique $\tilde{g}_a \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1)$ such that

$$u(a) = E_1(u, \tilde{g}_a) \quad \text{for every } u \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1).$$

We call \tilde{g}_a the 1-Green function of N with pole at a . The following properties of \tilde{g}_a are known ([7; Theorems 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5]):

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{g}_a(b) = \tilde{g}_b(a) \quad \text{for every } a, b \in X;$$

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta_1 \tilde{g}_a(x) = -\varepsilon_a(x) \quad \text{on } X,$$

where ε_a is the characteristic function of the set $\{a\}$;

$$(2.3) \quad 0 < \tilde{g}_a(x) \leq \tilde{g}_a(a) \quad \text{on } X;$$

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{x \in X} \tilde{g}_a(x) \leq 1.$$

For $\mu \in L^+(X)$, the 1-Green potential $\tilde{G}\mu$ and 1-Green potential energy $\tilde{G}(\mu, \mu)$ of μ are defined by

$$\tilde{G}\mu(x) = \sum_{a \in X} \tilde{g}_a(x)\mu(a), \quad \tilde{G}(\mu, \mu) = \sum_{x \in X} [\tilde{G}\mu(x)]\mu(x).$$

LEMMA 2.1. ([7; Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 7.2]). For $\mu \in L^+(X)$, $\tilde{G}\mu \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1)$ if and only if $\tilde{G}(\mu, \mu) < \infty$; and $\tilde{G}(\mu, \mu) = E_1(\tilde{G}\mu)$ in this case.

For $u \in L(X)$ and $p > 0$, we put

$$\|u\|_p = (\sum_{x \in X} |u(x)|^p)^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_\infty = \sup\{|u(x)|; x \in X\}.$$

Note that $\|u\|_{p_2} \leq \|u\|_{p_1}$ if $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $\mu \in L^+(X)$.

(i) If $\tilde{G}\mu(x) \in L(X)$, then $\Delta_1 \tilde{G}\mu(x) = -\mu(x)$.

(ii) If $\|\mu\|_p < \infty$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $\tilde{G}\mu \in L(X)$ and $\|\tilde{G}\mu\|_p \leq \|\mu\|_p$.

(iii) If $\|\mu\|_2 < \infty$, then $\tilde{G}\mu \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1)$ and

$$(2.5) \quad 2D(\tilde{G}\mu) + \|\tilde{G}\mu\|_2^2 \leq \|\mu\|_2^2.$$

PROOF. (i) readily follows from (2.2). By (2.1) and (2.4), it is easy to see that (ii) holds. If $\mu \in L_0^+(X)$, then $\tilde{G}\mu \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1)$ by Lemma 2.1 and we have

$$D(\tilde{G}\mu) + \|\tilde{G}\mu\|_2^2 = E_1(\tilde{G}\mu) = \tilde{G}(\mu, \mu) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|\tilde{G}\mu\|_2^2 + \|\mu\|_2^2),$$

which implies (2.5) for $\mu \in L_0^+(X)$. If $\|\mu\|_2 < \infty$, then choose $\mu_n \in L_0^+(X)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $\mu_n \uparrow \mu$. Then, $\tilde{G}\mu_n \uparrow \tilde{G}\mu$ and $D(\tilde{G}\mu) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(\tilde{G}\mu_n)$. Since each μ_n satisfies (2.5), it follows that $\tilde{G}\mu \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1)$ and (2.5) holds if $\|\mu\|_2 < \infty$. This completes the proof.

For $\mu \in L^+(X)$, we inductively define $\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, by $\tilde{G}^{(0)}\mu = \mu$ and $\tilde{G}^{(n+1)}\mu = \tilde{G}(\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu)$. Then by the above lemma we have

COROLLARY 2.3. Let $\mu \in L^+(X)$.

(i) If $\|\mu\|_p < \infty$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu \in L(X)$ and $\|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu\|_p \leq \|\mu\|_p$ for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$.

(ii) If $\|\mu\|_2 < \infty$, then $\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1)$ and

$$(2.6) \quad 2\{D(\tilde{G}\mu) + D(\tilde{G}^{(2)}\mu) + \dots + D(\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu)\} + \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu\|_2^2 \leq \|\mu\|_2^2$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

We establish

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let $\mu \in L^+(X)$ and $\|\mu\|_2 < \infty$. Then $D(\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu) \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. By (2.6), we immediately deduce that $D(\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu) \rightarrow 0$. By (ii) of Lemma 2.2, we see that $\{\|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu\|_2\}_n$ is nonincreasing. Let $A \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu\|_2$.

For $u, v \in L^+(X)$, let $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{x \in X} u(x)v(x)$. Then, by (2.1), we see that $\langle \tilde{G}\mu, v \rangle = \langle \mu, \tilde{G}v \rangle$ for any $\mu, v \in L^+(X)$.

Let $\mu_n = \tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu$ for simplicity. Then, for any positive integers n and m , $\langle \mu_{n+2m}, \mu_n \rangle = \|\mu_{n+m}\|_2^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu_{n+2m-1}, \mu_n \rangle &= \langle \mu_{n+m}, \mu_{n+m-1} \rangle = \tilde{G}(\mu_{n+m-1}, \mu_{n+m-1}) \\ &= E_1(\mu_{n+m}) \geq \|\mu_{n+m}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_{n+2m} - \mu_n\|_2^2 &= \|\mu_{n+2m}\|_2^2 + \|\mu_n\|_2^2 - 2\langle \mu_{n+2m}, \mu_n \rangle \\ &= \|\mu_{n+2m}\|_2^2 + \|\mu_n\|_2^2 - 2\|\mu_{n+m}\|_2^2 \\ &\rightarrow A^2 + A^2 - 2A^2 = 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_{n+2m-1} - \mu_n\|_2^2 &= \|\mu_{n+2m-1}\|_2^2 + \|\mu_n\|_2^2 - 2\langle \mu_{n+2m-1}, \mu_n \rangle \\ &\leq \|\mu_{n+2m-1}\|_2^2 + \|\mu_n\|_2^2 - 2\|\mu_{n+m}\|_2^2 \\ &\rightarrow A^2 + A^2 - 2A^2 = 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{\mu_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$, so that there is $\mu_0 \in L^+(X)$ with $\|\mu_0\|_2 < \infty$ such that $\|\mu_0 - \mu_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). It then follows that $\mu_n(x) \rightarrow \mu_0(x)$ for every $x \in X$, so that $D(\mu_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(\mu_n) = 0$. Hence, $\mu_0 \equiv \text{const.}$, and since X is an infinite set and $\|\mu_0\|_2 < \infty$, it follows that $\mu_0 = 0$. Thus, $\|\mu_n\|_2 \rightarrow \|\mu_0\|_2 = 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If $1 < p < \infty$, then*

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu\|_p = 0 \text{ for any } \mu \in L^+(X) \text{ with } \|\mu\|_p < \infty.$$

PROOF. Let $\mu \in L^+(X)$ and $\|\mu\|_p < \infty$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\mu' \in L_0^+(X)$ such that $\mu' \leq \mu$ and $\|\mu - \mu'\|_p < \varepsilon$. Then, $\|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu'\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) by the above

proposition. If $2 < p < \infty$, then

$$\|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu'\|_p \leq \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu'\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

If $1 < p < 2$, then using Hölder's inequality and Corollary 2.3 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu'\|_p^p &\leq \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu'\|_1^{2-p} \cdot \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu'\|_2^{2(p-1)} \leq \|\mu'\|_1^{2-p} \cdot \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu'\|_2^{2(p-1)} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, again by Corollary 2.3 (i),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu\|_p \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{G}^{(n)}(\mu - \mu')\|_p \leq \|\mu - \mu'\|_p < \varepsilon$$

if $1 < p < \infty$, which completes the proof.

REMARK 2.6. In case $p = 1$ or $p = \infty$, (2.7) does not hold in general; in fact if $\tilde{G}1(x) = \sum_{a \in X} \tilde{g}_a(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$ (see [7; §5] as to when this occurs), then $\|\tilde{G}^{(n)}\mu\|_1 = \|\mu\|_1$ for any $\mu \in L^+(X)$ and n , and $\|\tilde{G}^{(n)}1\|_\infty = 1$ for all n .

For $f \in L(X)$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$, we define $\tilde{G}^{(n)}f = \tilde{G}^{(n)}f^+ - \tilde{G}^{(n)}f^-$ whenever $\tilde{G}^{(n)}f^+, \tilde{G}^{(n)}f^- \in L(X)$. By Corollary 2.3, $\tilde{G}^{(n)}f$ is defined for each n if f is bounded.

§3. Superparabolic functions and minimum principle

Now let T be the set of all integers. Given $s \in T$, let

$$T_s = \{t \in T; t \geq s\}, \quad T_s^\circ = \{t \in T; t > s\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_s^* = \{t \in T; t \leq s\}.$$

We write

$$\mathcal{E} = X \times T, \quad \mathcal{E}_s = X \times T_s, \quad \mathcal{E}_s^\circ = X \times T_s^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_s^* = X \times T_s^*.$$

We call $\{N, T\}$ (resp. $\{N, T_s\}$) the *discrete cylinder* (resp. *discrete half-cylinder*) with base N . For the set \mathcal{E} , we define $L(\mathcal{E}), L^+(\mathcal{E}), L_0(\mathcal{E})$ and $L_0^+(\mathcal{E})$ in the same manner as $L(X), L^+(X), L_0(X)$ and $L_0^+(X)$.

For $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} du(y, t) &= -r(y)^{-1} \sum_{x \in X} K(x, y)u(x, t), \\ \partial u(x, t) &= u(x, t) - u(x, t - 1), \\ \Delta u(x, t) &= \sum_{y \in Y} K(x, y)[du(y, t)], \\ \Pi u(\xi) &= \Delta u(\xi) - \partial u(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(3.1) \quad \Pi u(\cdot, t) = \Delta_1 u(\cdot, t) + u(\cdot, t - 1).$$

Thus, $\Pi u(\xi)$ can be also defined for $u \in L(\mathcal{E}_s)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_s^\circ$.

We say that a function $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ is *superparabolic* (resp. *parabolic*) on a set Ω if $\Pi u(\xi) \leq 0$ (resp. $\Pi u(\xi) = 0$) on Ω . Denote by $SPR(N, T)$ (resp. $PR(N, T)$) the set of all superparabolic (resp. parabolic) functions on \mathcal{E} . If u_1 and u_2 are superparabolic on $\Omega \subset \mathcal{E}$ and if c is a positive number, then $u_1 + u_2$ and cu_1 are superparabolic on Ω . A function u is said to be *subparabolic* on Ω if $-u$ is superparabolic on Ω .

In order to rewrite the parabolic operator in a more geometric form, let us define $\rho(\alpha)$ and $\rho(\xi, \alpha)$ for $\alpha = (a, s)$ and $\xi = (x, t)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha) &= 1 + \sum_{y \in Y} r(y)^{-1} |K(a, y)|, \\ \rho(\xi, \alpha) &= \sum_{y \in Y} r(y)^{-1} |K(x, y)K(a, y)| \quad \text{if } t = s \text{ and } \xi \neq \alpha, \\ \rho(\alpha^-, \alpha) &= 1, \text{ where } \alpha^- = (a, s - 1), \\ \rho(\xi, \alpha) &= 0 \text{ for any other pair } (\xi, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \rho(\xi, \alpha) = \rho(\alpha)$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \Pi u(\alpha) = -\rho(\alpha)u(\alpha) + \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \rho(\xi, \alpha)u(\xi).$$

For each $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$, define a discrete analogue of the Poisson integral of u by

$$P_u(\alpha) = \rho(\alpha)^{-1} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \rho(\xi, \alpha)u(\xi).$$

Then, by (3.2), $\Pi u(\alpha) \leq 0$ (resp. $\Pi u(\alpha) = 0$) if and only if $P_u(\alpha) \leq u(\alpha)$ (resp. $P_u(\alpha) = u(\alpha)$). From this, we see that if u_1 and u_2 are superparabolic on $\Omega \subset \mathcal{E}$, then so is $\min(u_1, u_2)$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$, put $\Xi(\alpha) = \{\alpha\} \cup \{\xi \in \mathcal{E}; \rho(\xi, \alpha) \neq 0\}$.

We prepare

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that $\Pi u(\alpha) \leq 0$ and $u(\alpha) = \min\{u(\xi); \xi \in \Xi(\alpha)\}$. Then $u(\xi) = u(\alpha)$ on $\Xi(\alpha)$.*

PROOF. Since $u(\xi) \geq u(\alpha)$ on $\Xi(\alpha)$ and $\Pi u(\alpha) \leq 0$, by (3.2) we have

$$\rho(\alpha)u(\alpha) \geq \sum_{\xi \in \Xi(\alpha)} \rho(\xi, \alpha)u(\xi) \geq u(\alpha) \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \rho(\xi, \alpha) = u(\alpha)\rho(\alpha),$$

and hence $u(\xi) = u(\alpha)$ on $\Xi(\alpha)$.

By this lemma, we obtain the following minimum principle:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $s < s'$ ($s, s' \in T$) and let $\Omega = \mathcal{E}_s \cap \mathcal{E}_{s'}^*$ and $\Omega^\circ = \mathcal{E}_s^\circ \cap \mathcal{E}_{s'}^*$.*

- (i) *If u is superparabolic on Ω° and if u attains its minimum on Ω at $\alpha = (a, s')$, then $u(\xi) = u(\alpha)$ for every $\xi \in \Omega$.*
- (ii) *Let Ω' be a finite subset of Ω° . If u is superparabolic on Ω' and $u(\xi) \geq 0$ on*

$\Omega - \Omega'$, then $u(\xi) \geq 0$ on Ω .

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $s \in T$ and suppose u is superparabolic on Ξ_s° . If u satisfies the following two conditions, then $u \geq 0$ on Ξ_s :*

- (a) $u(x, s) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$;
- (b) there are $f \in L^+(\Xi)$ and $p < \infty$ such that $\|f(\cdot, t)\|_p < \infty$ for all $t \in T_s^\circ$ and $u \geq -f$ on Ξ_s° .

PROOF. Let $s' > s$ be arbitrarily fixed and let Ω be as in the above theorem. Since $\|f(\cdot, t)\|_p < \infty$ for $s < t \leq s'$, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite set $\Omega' \subset \Omega^\circ$ such that $f(\xi) < \varepsilon$ for $\xi \in \Omega^\circ - \Omega'$. Then, $u + \varepsilon > 0$ on $\Omega - \Omega'$. Since $u + \varepsilon$ is superparabolic on Ω° , (ii) of the above theorem implies that $u + \varepsilon \geq 0$ on $\Omega = \Xi_s \cap \Xi_{s'}^*$. By the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s' > s$, we see that $u \geq 0$ on Ξ_s .

§4. Initial value problem for the discrete half-cylinder

The initial value problem on Ξ_s may be formulated as follows:
 [IP: f]_s: Given $f \in L(X)$, find $u \in L(\Xi_s)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} u(x, s) = f(x) \text{ for all } x \in X, \\ \Pi u(\xi) = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \Xi_s^\circ, \text{ namely } u \text{ is parabolic on } \Xi_s^\circ. \end{cases}$$

By translation, it suffices to consider the case $s = 0$. We simply write [IP: f] for the problem [IP: f]₀.

Given a bounded $f \in L(X)$ and $m \in T$, we set

$$(4.1) \quad U_f^{(m)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t < m \\ [\tilde{G}^{(t-m)} f](x), & \text{if } t \geq m. \end{cases}$$

By Corollary 2.3, Lemma 2.2 (i) and (3.1), we immediately obtain

LEMMA 4.1. *If $f \in L(X)$ is bounded, then $U_f^{(m)}(\cdot, m) = f$, $|U_f^{(m)}(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for any $m \in T$ and $\xi \in \Xi$, and*

$$\Pi U_f^{(m)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \neq m, \\ \Delta_1 f(x), & \text{if } t = m. \end{cases}$$

Thus, together with Corollary 3.3 and Proposition 2.5, we obtain

THEOREM 4.2. *If $f \in L(X)$ is bounded, then $u = U_f^{(0)}$ (restricted to Ξ_0) is a bounded solution of the problem [IP: f]. Furthermore, it has the following properties:*

- (i) $|u(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for all $\xi \in \Xi_0$.
- (ii) If $\|f\|_p < \infty$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, then u is the unique solution of [IP: f] satisfying $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_p < \infty$ for all $t \in T_0^\circ$.

(iii) If $\|f\|_p < \infty$ with $1 < p < \infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_p = 0$.

Next, we consider the class

$$HB(N; 1) = \{h \in L(X); h \text{ is bounded and } \Delta_1 h = 0 \text{ on } X\}.$$

A function u on \mathcal{E}_0 will be called *time-locally bounded* if $u(\cdot, t)$ is bounded for every $t \in T_0$. The following theorem determines the set of all time-locally bounded solutions of $[IP; f]$:

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $f \in L(X)$ be bounded.*

(i) *If $\{h_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of functions in $HB(N; 1)$, then*

$$(4.2) \quad u = U_f^{(0)} + \sum_{m=1}^\infty U_{h_m}^{(m)}$$

gives a time-locally bounded solution of $[IP; f]$. If, in addition, $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \|h_m\|_\infty < \infty$, then it is a bounded solution.

(ii) *Conversely, any time-locally bounded solution of $[IP; f]$ can be expressed in the form (4.2) on \mathcal{E}_0 with $h_m \in HB(N; 1)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$*

PROOF. (i) For each $t \in T_0$, $U_{h_m}^{(m)}(\cdot, t) = 0$ for $m > t$, so that the right hand side of (4.2) is in fact a finite sum at each point of \mathcal{E}_0 and $u(\cdot, t)$ is bounded, i.e., u is time-locally bounded. By Lemma 4.1, $\Pi U_{h_m}^{(m)} = 0$ on \mathcal{E}_0° for each $m \geq 1$. Hence u is a solution of $[IP; f]$. If $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \|h_m\|_\infty < \infty$, then

$$|u(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \|h_m\|_\infty < \infty \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathcal{E}_0.$$

(ii) Let u be any time-locally bounded solution of $[IP; f]$. We inductively define $h_m \in L(X)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, by

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} h_1(x) = u(x, 1) - U_f^{(0)}(x, 1), \\ h_m(x) = u(x, m) - U_f^{(0)}(x, m) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} U_{h_j}^{(j)}(x, m), \quad m = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

Then, each h_m is bounded on X , and by (3.1) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 h_m &= \Delta_1 \{u(\cdot, m) - U_f^{(0)}(\cdot, m) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} U_{h_j}^{(j)}(\cdot, m)\} \\ &= \{\Pi u(\cdot, m) - \Pi U_f^{(0)}(\cdot, m) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \Pi U_{h_j}^{(j)}(\cdot, m)\} \\ &\quad - \{u(\cdot, m-1) - U_f^{(0)}(\cdot, m-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} U_{h_j}^{(j)}(\cdot, m-1)\} \\ &= \begin{cases} -u(\cdot, 0) + U_f^{(0)}(\cdot, 0) = -f + f = 0, & \text{if } m = 1, \\ -h_{m-1} + U_{h_{m-1}}^{(m-1)}(\cdot, m-1) = -h_{m-1} + h_{m-1} = 0, & \text{if } m \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $h_m \in HB(N; 1)$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $U_{h_m}^{(m)}(\cdot, t) = 0$ for $m > t$ and $U_{h_m}^{(m)}(\cdot, m) = h_m$, (4.3) implies (4.2).

THEOREM 4.4. (i) *If $\tilde{G}1 = 1$ (i.e., $\sum_{a \in X} \tilde{g}_a(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$), then u*

$= U_f^{(0)}$ gives the unique bounded solution of $[IP: f]$ for any bounded $f \in L(X)$.
 (ii) If $\tilde{G}1 \neq 1$, then the linear space of bounded solutions of $[IP: 0]$ is infinite dimensional.

PROOF. (i) We know ([7; Theorem 5.3]) that $\tilde{G}1 = 1$ if and only if $HB(N; 1) = \{0\}$. Therefore, (ii) of the above theorem implies that $U_f^{(0)}$ gives the unique (time-locally) bounded solution of $[IP: f]$.

(ii) If $\tilde{G}1 \neq 1$, then $\tilde{h} = 1 - \tilde{G}1 \in HB(N; 1)$ and $\tilde{h} \neq 0$. Then $\{U_{\tilde{h}}^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ provides a linearly independent infinite set of bounded solutions of $[IP: 0]$.

REMARK 4.5. The condition $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \|h_m\|_\infty < \infty$ in Theorem 4.3 (i) is by no means a necessary condition for (4.2) to be bounded, even if $h_m \geq 0$ for all m . For example, we see that $u = \sum_{m=1}^\infty U_{\tilde{h}}^{(m)}$ gives a bounded solution of $[IP: 0]$ (in fact, $u(\cdot, t) = 1 - \tilde{G}^{(t)}1$ for $t \in T_0$).

§5. Parabolic Green function of the discrete cylinder

Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$, a function $G_\alpha \in L(\mathcal{E})$ is called the *parabolic Green function* of $\{N, T\}$ with pole at α if it satisfies the following three conditions:

(G.1) $G_\alpha(\xi) \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$;

(G.2) $\Pi G_\alpha(\xi) = -\varepsilon_\alpha(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} ;

(G.3) If $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ satisfies conditions

(i) $u(\xi) \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$, and

(ii) $\Pi u(\xi) \leq -\varepsilon_\alpha(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} ,

then $u(\xi) \geq G_\alpha(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} .

The uniqueness of the parabolic Green function G_α is assured by condition (G.3).

THEOREM 5.1. *The parabolic Green function of $\{N, T\}$ with pole at $\alpha = (a, s) \in \mathcal{E}$ always exists; in fact it is given by $G_\alpha = U_{\tilde{g}_\alpha}^{(s)}$, namely*

$$G_\alpha(x, t) = 0 \text{ if } t < s,$$

$$G_\alpha(x, t) = [\tilde{G}^{(t-s)}\tilde{g}_\alpha](x) \text{ if } t \geq s.$$

PROOF. Condition (G.1) is clear. We see that (G.2) holds by Lemma 4.1 and (2.2). To show (G.3), let $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) in (G.3). Let $v(\xi) = u(\xi) - G_\alpha(\xi)$. Then $v(\xi) \geq 0$ for $\xi = (x, t)$ with $t < s$, $v(\xi) \geq -G_\alpha(\xi)$ and $\Pi v(\xi) \leq 0$ on \mathcal{E} . Since $\|\tilde{g}_\alpha\|_1 < \infty$, $\|G_\alpha(\cdot, t)\|_1 < \infty$ for all $t \geq s$ by Corollary 2.3. Thus, by Corollary 3.3, we see that $v \geq 0$ on \mathcal{E} .

By (2.3), (2.4), Corollary 2.3 and Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain

THEOREM 5.2. *The parabolic Green function $G_\alpha(\xi)$, $\alpha = (a, s)$, has the following properties:*

(G.4) $G_\alpha(x, t) > 0$ if $t \geq s$.

(G.5) $\|G_\alpha(\cdot, t)\|_p \leq 1$ for any $t \in T$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), in particular $G_\alpha(\xi) \leq 1$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$.

(G.6) $G_\alpha(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{E}(N; 1)$ for any $t \in T$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_1(G_\alpha(\cdot, t)) = 0$.

(G.7) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|G_\alpha(\cdot, t)\|_p = 0$ for $p > 1$.

REMARK 5.3. (G.7) does not hold for $p = 1$ in general; see Remark 2.6.

We say that a function $g_a \in L(X)$ is the harmonic Green function of N with pole at $a \in X$ if

$$\Delta g_a(x) = -\varepsilon_a(x) \text{ on } X \text{ and } g_a \in D_0(N).$$

Here $D_0(N)$ is the closure of $L_0(X)$ in $D(N) = \{u \in L(X); D(u) < \infty\}$ with respect to the norm $[D(u) + u(x_0)^2]^{1/2}$ ($x_0 \in X$). The harmonic Green function exists if and only if N is of hyperbolic type, i.e., $D_0(N) \neq D(N)$, or equivalently $1 \notin D(N)$ (cf. [5], [6]).

Now we show a fundamental formula expressing the harmonic Green function of N with pole at $a \in X$ by the parabolic Green function of $\{N, T\}$ with pole at $\alpha = (a, s) \in \mathcal{E}$.

THEOREM 5.4. *Assume that N is of hyperbolic type. Then*

$$g_a(x) = \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} G_\alpha(x, t) \text{ for } \alpha = (a, s).$$

PROOF. For $m \in T$ with $m > s$, put $v_m(x) = \sum_{t=s}^m G_\alpha(x, t)$ and $h_m = g_a - v_m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_m &= \Delta_1 v_m + v_m = \sum_{t=s}^m \Delta_1 [\tilde{G}^{(t-s)} \tilde{g}_a] + v_m \\ &= -\sum_{t=s+1}^m [\tilde{G}^{(t-s-1)} \tilde{g}_a] - \varepsilon_a + v_m = [\tilde{G}^{(m-s)} \tilde{g}_a] - \varepsilon_a, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Delta h_m = \Delta g_a - \Delta v_m = -[\tilde{G}^{(m-s)} \tilde{g}_a] \leq 0$$

on X . Hence h_m is superharmonic on X and $h_m \geq -v_m$. By Corollary 2.3, $\|v_m\|_1 < \infty$. Hence, by an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 3.3, together with the minimum principle ([6; Lemma 2.1]), we conclude that $h_m \geq 0$ on X , i.e., $v_m \leq g_a$ on X . It follows that v_m converges to $v = \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} G_\alpha(\cdot, t)$, $v \leq g_a$ on X , v is a nonnegative superharmonic function and $\Delta v = -\varepsilon_a$ on X . By the Riesz decomposition theorem ([6; Theorem 5.1]), we conclude that $v = g_a$.

§6. Riesz decomposition theorem

For $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$, let us define $\tau_\alpha u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ by

$$\tau_\alpha u(\xi) = u(\xi) \text{ for } \xi \neq \alpha \text{ and } \tau_\alpha u(\alpha) = P_u(\alpha).$$

By (3.2), $\Pi(\tau_\alpha u)(\alpha) = 0$. If $u \in SPR(N, T)$, then $\tau_\alpha u \in SPR(N, T)$ and $\tau_\alpha u \leq u$ on \mathcal{E} .

As in the continuous case, we obtain the following lemma and its corollaries:

LEMMA 6.1. *If \mathcal{P} is a Perron's family, namely if \mathcal{P} is a nonempty subset of $SPR(N, T)$ satisfying the following three conditions:*

- (P.1) *If $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\min\{u_1, u_2\} \in \mathcal{P}$;*
- (P.2) *$\tau_\alpha u \in \mathcal{P}$ for every $u \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$;*
- (P.3) *$\{u(\xi); u \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is bounded below at each point $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$,*

then its lower envelope: $(\inf \mathcal{P})(\xi) = \inf\{u(\xi); u \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is parabolic on \mathcal{E} .

PROOF. Let $\tilde{u} = \inf \mathcal{P}$. Then $\tilde{u} \in L(\mathcal{E})$ by (P.3) and $\tilde{u} \leq u$ on \mathcal{E} for every $u \in \mathcal{P}$. We show that $\Pi\tilde{u}(\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$. By (P.1) and (P.2), we can choose a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in \mathcal{P} such that $u_n(\xi) \rightarrow \tilde{u}(\xi)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}(\alpha)$ and $P_{u_n}(\alpha) = u_n(\alpha)$ for all n . Then $P_{\tilde{u}}(\alpha) = \tilde{u}(\alpha)$, i.e., $\Pi\tilde{u}(\alpha) = 0$.

COROLLARY 6.2. *If $u \in SPR(N, T)$ has a subparabolic minorant, then u has the greatest parabolic minorant $GPM(u)$, which is equal to the greatest subparabolic minorant of u .*

COROLLARY 6.3. *Let $f \in L^+(\mathcal{E})$. If there exists $v \in SPR(N, T)$ such that $v \geq f$ on \mathcal{E} , then the reduction function*

$$Rf(\xi) = \inf\{u(\xi); u \in SPR(N, T) \text{ and } u \geq f \text{ on } \mathcal{E}\}$$

is superparabolic on \mathcal{E} and parabolic on the set $\{\xi \in \mathcal{E}; \Pi f(\xi) \geq 0\}$; in particular, it is parabolic on the set $\{\xi \in \mathcal{E}; f(\xi) = 0\}$.

In order to obtain a discrete analogue of the Riesz decomposition theorem, we introduce parabolic Green potentials.

For $v \in L^+(\mathcal{E})$, its parabolic Green potential Gv is defined by

$$Gv(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} G_\alpha(\xi)v(\alpha).$$

Let

$$M(G) = \{v \in L^+(\mathcal{E}); Gv \in L(\mathcal{E})\}.$$

It follows from (G.5) that

$$L_0^+(\mathcal{E}) \subset \{v \in L^+(\mathcal{E}); v(\mathcal{E}) < \infty\} \subset M(G),$$

where $v(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} v(\xi)$. If $v \in M(G)$, then $Gv \in SPR(N, T)$ and $\Pi(Gv) = -v$ on \mathcal{E} by (G.2).

LEMMA 6.4. *If $v \in L_0^+(\mathcal{E})$, then $GPM(Gv) = 0$.*

PROOF. Put $u = GPM(Gv)$. There is $s \in T$ such that $v = 0$ on \mathcal{E}_s^* . Clearly, $u \geq 0$ on \mathcal{E} and $u = 0$ on \mathcal{E}_s^* . By (G.5), $\|Gv(\cdot, t)\|_p < \infty$ for any $t \in T_s^\circ$ ($p < \infty$). Since $-u \geq -Gv$, Corollary 3.3 implies that $-u \geq 0$.

Now we prove the Riesz decomposition theorem:

THEOREM 6.5. *Let $u \in SPR(N, T)$ and assume u has a subparabolic minorant. Let $v = -\Pi u \geq 0$. Then $v \in M(G)$ and u can be decomposed in the form: $u = Gv + GPM(u)$.*

PROOF. Let $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$ be an exhaustion of \mathcal{E} by finite sets. Define v_n by $v_n = v$ on \mathcal{E}_n and $v_n = 0$ on $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_n$. For each n , $h_n = u - Gv_n$ is superparabolic on \mathcal{E} and parabolic on \mathcal{E}_n . Let $h = GPM(u)$. Since $h - h_n \leq u - h_n = Gv_n$ and $h - h_n$ is subparabolic, we have $h \leq h_n$ by Lemma 6.4, namely $Gv_n \leq u - h$. Since $Gv_n \uparrow Gv$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), it follows that $v \in M(G)$ and h_n decreases to a parabolic function $h_0 \geq h$. Then $h_0 = u - Gv \leq u$, and hence $h_0 = h = GPM(u)$ and $u = Gv + GPM(u)$.

COROLLARY 6.6. *Let $v \in SPR(N, T) \cap L^+(\mathcal{E})$. Then v is a parabolic Green potential if and only if $GPM(v) = 0$.*

THEOREM 6.7. *If $u \in L_0(\mathcal{E})$, then $u(\xi) = -\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} G_\alpha(\xi)[\Pi u(\alpha)]$.*

PROOF. Let $\mu = \max\{\Pi u, 0\}$ and $\nu = \max\{-\Pi u, 0\}$. Then $\mu, \nu \in L_0^+(\mathcal{E})$ and $\Pi u = \mu - \nu$. Put $h = u - Gv + G\mu$ and $\mathcal{E}' = \{\xi \in \mathcal{E}; u(\xi) \neq 0\}$. Then h is parabolic on \mathcal{E} and $-Gv \leq h \leq G\mu$ on $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'$. By Theorem 3.2 (ii), $-Gv \leq h \leq G\mu$ on \mathcal{E} . It follows from Lemma 6.4 that $h = 0$, i.e., $u = Gv - G\mu$.

COROLLARY 6.8. *If $f \in L_0^+(X)$, then the reduction function Rf is a parabolic Green potential.*

PROOF. By the above theorem, $f \leq Gv$ with $v = \max\{-\Pi f, 0\}$. Hence, $0 \leq Rf \leq Gv$. By Corollaries 6.3 and 6.6, we see that Rf is a parabolic Green potential.

As another application of the Riesz decomposition theorem, we shall prove the following domination principle by the same argument as in [2; Proposition 2.5]:

THEOREM 6.9. *Let $\mu \in M(G)$ and $v \in SPR(N, T) \cap L^+(\mathcal{E})$. If $G\mu(\xi) \leq v(\xi)$ on the support $S\mu$ of μ , then the same inequality holds on \mathcal{E} .*

PROOF. Let $f(\xi) = \min\{0, v(\xi) - G\mu(\xi)\}$ and $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} - S\mu$. Then $v(\xi) - G\mu(\xi) \geq 0$ on $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'$ and $v - G\mu$ is superparabolic on \mathcal{E}' . Using (3.2), we easily see that f is superparabolic on \mathcal{E} . Obviously $f(\xi) \geq -G\mu(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} . It follows from Corollary 6.6 that $f(\xi) \geq 0$ on \mathcal{E} , namely $G\mu(\xi) \leq v(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} .

§7. Coparabolic operator and duality

As in the continuous case, we define the coparabolic operator Π^* on $L(\mathcal{E})$ by

$$\Pi^*u(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t) + \partial u(x, t + 1).$$

Similarly to (3.1), we have

$$\Pi^*u(\cdot, t) = \Delta_1 u(\cdot, t) + u(\cdot, t + 1).$$

We say that a function $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ is *cosuperparabolic* (resp. *coparabolic*) on a set Ω if $\Pi^*u(\xi) \leq 0$ (resp. $\Pi^*u(\xi) = 0$) on Ω . Denote by $SPR^*(N, T)$ (resp. $PR^*(N, T)$) the set of all cosuperparabolic (resp. coparabolic) functions on \mathcal{E} .

By the interchange of the order of summation, we easily obtain the following discrete analogue of [3; Proposition 1.1]:

THEOREM 7.1. *Let $u, v \in L(\mathcal{E})$. If u or v belongs to $L_0(\mathcal{E})$, then the following equality holds:*

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} u(\xi) \Pi^*v(\xi) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} v(\xi) \Pi u(\xi).$$

COROLLARY 7.2. *A function $u \in L(\mathcal{E})$ is parabolic (resp. superparabolic) on \mathcal{E} if and only if*

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} u(\xi) \Pi^*v(\xi) = 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \leq 0) \quad \text{for all } v \in L_0^+(\mathcal{E}).$$

A function $v \in L(\mathcal{E})$ is coparabolic (resp. cosuperparabolic) on \mathcal{E} if and only if

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} v(\xi) \Pi u(\xi) = 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \leq 0) \quad \text{for all } u \in L_0^+(\mathcal{E}).$$

COROLLARY 7.3. *For any $u \in L_0(\mathcal{E})$,*

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \Pi u(\xi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \Pi^*u(\xi) = 0.$$

We obtain the dual statements of the results in §§3–6 with respect to the operator Π^* or cosuperparabolic functions. As to the Green function with respect to Π^* , we have

THEOREM 7.4. Let $u^*(\xi) = G_\xi(\alpha)$. Then u^* has the following properties:

(G*.1) $u^*(\xi) \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$;

(G*.2) $\Pi^*u^*(\xi) = -\varepsilon_\alpha(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} ;

(G*.3) If $v \in L(\mathcal{E})$ satisfies conditions

(i) $v(\xi) \geq 0$ on \mathcal{E} ,

(ii) $\Pi^*v(\xi) \leq -\varepsilon_\alpha(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} ,

then $v(\xi) \geq u^*(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} .

In view of this theorem, we call $G_\alpha^*(\xi) = G_\xi(\alpha)$ the *coparabolic Green function* of $\{N, T\}$ with pole at α . For $v \in L^+(\mathcal{E})$, the *coparabolic Green potential* G^*v is defined by

$$G^*v(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} G_\alpha^*(\xi)v(\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} G_\xi(\alpha)v(\alpha).$$

Let $M(G^*) = \{v \in L^+(\mathcal{E}); G^*v \in L(\mathcal{E})\}$. If $\mu \in M(G)$ and $v \in M(G^*)$, then

$$(7.1) \quad \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} G\mu(\xi)v(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} \mu(\alpha)G^*v(\alpha).$$

The reduction operator R^*f for $f \in L^+(\mathcal{E})$ is defined by

$$R^*f(\xi) = \inf\{u(\xi); u \in SPR^*(N, T) \text{ and } u \geq f \text{ on } \mathcal{E}\}.$$

If $f \in L_0^+(\mathcal{E})$, then R^*f is a coparabolic Green potential by the dual statement of Corollary 6.8, namely, $R^*f = G^*\lambda_f^*$ with $\lambda_f^* \in L_0^+(\mathcal{E})$.

LEMMA 7.5. Let $v \in SPR^*(N, T) \cap L^*(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mu \in M(G)$. If $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of functions in $L_0^+(\mathcal{E})$ which increases to v , then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} v(\alpha)\mu(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} G\mu(\xi)\lambda_{f_n}^*(\xi).$$

PROOF. Since $f_n \leq R^*f_n \leq v$, we see that $R^*f_n \uparrow v$ on \mathcal{E} . Hence, using (7.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} v(\alpha)\mu(\alpha) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} R^*f_n(\alpha)\mu(\alpha) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} G^*\lambda_{f_n}^*(\alpha)\mu(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} G\mu(\xi)\lambda_{f_n}^*(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

From this lemma, we immediately obtain

THEOREM 7.6. (cf. [3; Lemma 1.3]). Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(G)$. If $G\mu_1 \leq G\mu_2$ on \mathcal{E} , then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} v(\alpha)\mu_1(\alpha) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} v(\alpha)\mu_2(\alpha)$$

for any $v \in SPR^*(N, T) \cap L^+(\mathcal{E})$; in particular

$$\mu_1(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{E}).$$

References

- [1] J. L. Doob, Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart, Springer-Verlag, New York etc., 1983.
- [2] F-Y. Maeda, Dirichlet integrals on harmonic spaces, Lecture Notes Math. 803, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1980.
- [3] F-Y. Maeda, Dirichlet integral and energy of potentials on harmonic spaces with adjoint structure, Hiroshima Math. J. **18** (1988), 1–14.
- [4] N. A. Watson, Green functions, potentials, and the Dirichlet problem for the heat equation, Proc. London Math. Soc. **33** (1976), 251–298.
- [5] M. Yamasaki, Parabolic and hyperbolic infinite networks, Hiroshima Math. J. **7** (1977), 135–146.
- [6] M. Yamasaki, Discrete potentials on an infinite network, Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ. **13** (1979), 31–44.
- [7] M. Yamasaki, The equation $\Delta u = qu$ on an infinite network, Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ. **21** (1987), 31–46.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

*Department of Mathematics,
Hiroshima Institute of Technology*

and

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Shimane University*

