The Hausdorff dimension of deformed self-similar sets

Tae Hee Kim, Sung Pyo Hong and Hung Hwan Lee (Received October 12, 1999) (Revised June 12, 2001)

ABSTRACT. We define deformed self-similar sets which are generated by a sequence of similar contraction mappings $\{\phi_{\sigma}: \sigma \in S^*\}$ on $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{d}}, \phi_{\sigma}$ having its contraction ratio r_{σ} , and calculate thier Hausdorff dimension.

1. Introduction

Hutchinson [4] proved that there exists a unique compact set $F \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ such that $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi_i(F)$ for any given finite set $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of similarities in \mathbf{R}^d with ratio r_i , $1 \le i \le n$. He also showed that $\dim_H F = \dim_B F = \dim_p F = s$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^s = 1$ if $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies the open set condition, that is, there exists a bounded non-empty open set O such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi_i(O) \subset O$ and $\phi_i(O) \cap \phi_j(O) = \emptyset$ if $i \ne j$.

Recently, S. Ikeda [5] defined the loosely self-similar set F which is generated by a sequence of mappings $\{\phi_{i_1i_2...i_k}\}$ $(i_j \in \{1,2,...,n\})$, $\phi_{i_1i_2...i_k}$ having its contraction ratio r_{i_k} , and showed that $\dim_H F = s$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^s = 1$ if $\{\phi_{i_1i_2...i_k}\}$ satisfies the disjoint condition.

In this paper, we will generalize loosely self-similar sets [5] and perturbed Cantor sets [1]. The construction is as follows.

Fix $m \ge 2$, write $S_k = \{1, 2, ..., m\}^k$ and $S^* = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$. Consider a sequence of similar contraction mappings $\{\phi_{\sigma} : \sigma \in S^*\}$ on \mathbf{R}^d . Suppose that each ϕ_{σ} has a contraction ratio r_{σ} , that is, $|\phi_{\sigma}(x) - \phi_{\sigma}(y)| = r_{\sigma}|x - y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm. We further assume there exists $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ such that $\alpha < r_{\sigma} < \beta$ for any $\sigma \in S^*$ and there exists a bounded open set $V \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ such that

- (1) $\phi_{\sigma}(V) \subset V$ for any $\sigma \in S^*$
- $(2) \quad \phi_{i_{1}i_{2}...i_{k-1}i_{k}}(V)\cap\phi_{i_{1}i_{2}...i_{k-1}i_{k'}}(V)=\emptyset,\ i_{k}\neq i'_{k}.$

It is obvious that there exists a non-empty compact set $X \subset V$ such that the properties (1) and (2) are satisfied when V is replaced by X.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A78, 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Deformed self-similar set, Hausdorff measure, Hausdorff dimension.

The first author was supported by KOSEF and the second author was supported by Com² MaC-KOSEF. The third author was supported by TGRC and Korean Research Foundation 1998-015-D00019.

For brevity, we write

$$\Phi_{\sigma} \equiv \phi_{i_1} \circ \phi_{i_1 i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$$

$$R_{\sigma} \equiv r_{i_1} r_{i_1 i_2} \dots r_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$$

for any $\sigma = i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \in S_k$. Then using a compact set X given above we obtain a unique compact set K,

$$K = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_k} \Phi_{\sigma}(X).$$

We call this K a deformed self-similar set. If we take $r_{\sigma} = r_{i_k}$ for any $\sigma = i_1 i_2 \dots i_k$, the obtained set K becomes a loosely self-similar set in S. Ikeda's sense. Moreover, if we take $\phi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = \phi_{i_k}$ for all k, the obtained set K is a self-similar set in Hutchinson's sense.

2. Preliminaries and main theorem

We begin to recall the well-known s-dimensional Hausdorff measure and dimension: Let E be a bounded subset of $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{d}}$ and $s \geq 0$. For every $\delta > 0$ we define

$$H^{s}_{\delta}(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| U_{i} \right|^{s} : E \subset \bigcup_{i} U_{i}, \left| U_{i} \right| \leq \delta \right\},$$

where |A| denotes the diameter of A. Then we obtain the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure of E by

$$H^s(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} H^s_{\delta}(E).$$

The Hausdorff dimension of E is defined by

$$\dim_H E = \sup\{s \ge 0 : H^s(E) = \infty\}.$$

Then we see that

$$\dim_H E = \inf\{s \ge 0 : H^s(E) = 0\}.$$

To calculate the Hausdorff dimension of K we recall a measure on subsets of K due to Rogers [7]. For any $s \ge 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \subset K$, let

$$M_n^s(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum |\Phi_{\sigma}(X)|^s : E \subset \bigcup_{\sigma} \Phi_{\sigma}(X), \sigma \in \bigcup_{k \geq n} S_k \right\}.$$

Then we get a measure of Hausdorff type on subsets of K by letting

$$M^s(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_n^s(E).$$

We note that if $M^t(E) > 0$ then $M^s(E) = \infty$ for all s < t. Therefore we see that

$$\sup\{s \ge 0 : M^s(E) = \infty\} = \inf\{s \ge 0 : M^s(E) = 0\}.$$

Now we are ready to show a method to get the Hausdorff dimension of a deformed self-similar set.

THEOREM 2.1. Let K be a deformed self-similar set as defined in the introduction. Put $\alpha = \sup\{s \ge 0 \mid M^s(K) = \infty\}$. Then we have $0 < H^{\alpha}(K) < \infty$ and

$$\dim_H K = \alpha$$
.

PROOF. By the definition of α , $M^s(K) < \infty$ for any $s > \alpha$. Thus, for such an s, $H^s_{\delta}(K) \le M^s_n(K) \le M^s(K)$ if $\delta \ge \beta^n$, where β is an upper bound of contraction ratios r_{σ} . Letting $\delta \to 0$, we have

$$H^s(K) \le M^s(K) < \infty$$
 for $s > \alpha$,

which implies $\dim_H K \leq \alpha$.

To prove that $\dim_H K \ge \alpha$, we claim that $s \le \dim_H K$, for all $s < \alpha$. For $0 < s < \alpha$, $M^s(K) = \infty$ by the definition of α . Then there exists a compact subset $F \subset K$ and a constant b > 0 such that $0 < M^s(F) < \infty$ and $M^s(F \cap \Phi_{\sigma}(X)) \le bR_{\sigma}^s$ for all $\sigma \in S^*$. (See Proposition 3.1 [3].) Define a Borel measure μ by

$$\mu(A) = M^s(F \cap A)$$
 for $A \subset K$.

Then $0 < \mu(K) < \infty$ and $\mu(\Phi_{\sigma}(X)) \le bR_{\sigma}^{s}$ for all $\sigma \in S^{*}$.

Let V be the open set given in the introduction and let $U \subset \mathbf{R^d}$ satisfy $0 < |U| \le |V|$. Set

$$Q = \{ \sigma \in S^* : |\Phi_{\sigma}(V)| < |U| \text{ and } |\Phi_{\sigma|(|\sigma|-1)}(V)| \ge |U| \},$$

where $\sigma|l=i_1i_2\ldots i_l$ for $\sigma=i_1i_2\ldots i_k$ and $l\leq k$. We see that $\alpha|U|<|\varPhi_\sigma(V)|<|U|$ for $\sigma\in Q$. Then $Q_o=\{\sigma\in Q:U\cap \varPhi_\sigma(\overline{V})\neq\emptyset\}$ has at most some finite m_o elements which is independent of U since $\{\varPhi_\sigma(V)\,|\,\sigma\in Q_o\}$ is disjoint. (See Lemma 9.2 [2].)

Hence

$$\mu(U) \le \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_o} \mu(\Phi_{\sigma}(X))$$

$$\le b \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_o} R_{\sigma}^s$$

$$\le b m_o |V|^{-s} |U|^s$$

which, by Mass distribution principle 4.2 [2], implies that $H^s(K) > 0$. That is, $\dim_H K \ge s$ for any $s < \alpha$. Therefore $\dim_H K \ge \alpha$.

REMARK (cf. [3]). Let K be a non-empty compact set generated by relaxing equality (3) to inclusion, that is,

$$K \subset \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup \Phi_{\sigma}(K).$$

Then it can be proved that

$$\dim_H K = \sup\{s \ge 0 : M^s(K) = \infty\}$$

by the same proof as in Theorem 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.2 (I. S. Baek [1]). Put X = [0,1]. Define a sequence of contraction maps $\{\phi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}\}$ on X for $i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \in \{1,2\}^k$, $k = 1,2,\dots$, such that

$$\phi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(x) = \begin{cases} r_1^{(k)} x, & \text{if } i_k = 1\\ r_2^{(k)} x + (1 - r_2^{(k)}), & \text{if } i_k = 2 \end{cases}$$

and there exist $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ such that

$$\alpha < r_i^{(k)} < \beta, \qquad and \qquad \alpha < 1 - \sum_{i=1}^2 r_i^{(k)} < \beta$$

for all k. Put

$$K = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_k} \Phi_{\sigma}(X).$$

Then K is a perturbed Cantor set and

$$\dim_{H} K = \sup \{ s \ge 0 : M^{s}(K) = \infty \}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ s \ge 0 : \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i_{1}i_{2}\dots i_{n} \in \{1,2\}^{n}} (r_{i_{1}}^{(1)}r_{i_{2}}^{(2)}\dots r_{i_{n}}^{(n)})^{s} = \infty \right\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$b = \sup \left\{ s \ge 0 : \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in \{1, 2\}^n} (r_{i_1}^{(1)} r_{i_2}^{(2)} \dots r_{i_n}^{(n)})^s = \infty \right\}$$
$$= \sup \left\{ s \ge 0 : \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^n} |\Phi_{\sigma}(X)|^s = \infty \right\}.$$

To show that $\dim_H K \leq b$, suppose that $0 < s < \dim_H K$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} M_n^s(K) = \infty$, that is, for given L, there exists n_o such that $M_n^s(K) \geq L$ for $n \geq n_o$. Hence

 $\sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n} |\boldsymbol{\varPhi}_{\sigma}(X)|^s > L \text{ for } n \geq n_o. \text{ Therefore } \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n} |\boldsymbol{\varPhi}_{\sigma}(X)|^s = \infty, \text{ so } s \leq b. \text{ Now suppose } s < b. \text{ Then } \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n} |\boldsymbol{\varPhi}_{\sigma}(X)|^s = \infty. \text{ Define a finite Borel measure } \mu \text{ on } X \text{ such that } \sigma \in \{1,2\}^n |\boldsymbol{\varPhi}_{\sigma}(X)|^s = \infty.$

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{arPhi}_{\sigma}(X)) = rac{\left|oldsymbol{arPhi}_{\sigma}(X)
ight|^{s}}{\sum\limits_{\sigma\in\left\{1,2
ight\}^{n}}\left|oldsymbol{arPhi}_{\sigma}(X)
ight|^{s}}.$$

Then $\mu(\Phi_{\sigma}(X)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mu(\Phi_{\sigma i}(X))$ and this measure μ is supported on K, thus, $\mu(K) = 1$. Since s < b, it is easy to see that from the definition of b that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{|\varPhi_{i_1i_2...i_n}(X)|^s}{\mu(\varPhi_{i_1i_2...i_n}(X))}=\liminf_{n\to\infty}\sum_{\sigma\in\{1,2\}^n}|\varPhi_\sigma(X)|^s=\infty$$

for all $x \in K$, where $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \dots$ is the uniquely obtained sequence such that $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(X)$. Hence there exists c > 0 such that

$$H^{s}(K) \geq c \cdot \mu(K) \inf_{x \in K} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\Phi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(X)|^{s}}{\mu(\Phi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(X))} = \infty.$$

(See Theorem 2.1 [8] and Lemma 2.2 [6].)

Therefore $M^s(K) \ge H^s(K) = \infty$. So, $M^s(K) = \infty$, which implies $b \le \dim_H K$.

COROLLARY 2.3 (S. Ikeda [5]). Let K be a deformed self-similar set such that $r_{i_1,...,i_k} = r_{i_k}$ for all $\sigma = i_1 ... i_k \in S^*$. Then K is a loosely self-similar set and

$$\dim_H K = \sup\{s \ge 0 : M^s(K) = \infty\}$$

$$= d \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^d = 1.$$

PROOF. The proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.2.

Open question: Let K be a deformed self-similar set. We wonder if the packing dimension [8] of K is equal to

$$\sup \left\{ s \ge 0 : \lim_{n \to \infty} M_n^s(K) = \infty \right\}$$

where
$$M_n^s(K) = \sup \left\{ \sum |\Phi_{\sigma}(X)|^s : \Phi_{\sigma}(X) \cap \Phi_{\sigma'}(X) = \emptyset, \ \sigma \neq \sigma' \ and \ \sigma, \sigma' \in \bigcup_{k \geq n} S_k \right\}.$$

Acknowledgement. We wish to thank the referee for his helpful comments about the proof of Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.3.

References

- I. S. Baek, Dimensions of the perturbed Cantor set, Real Analysis Exchange 19 (1993/ 1994), 269–273.
- [2] K. J. Falconer, Fractal geometry-Mathematical foundations and applications, Wiley, 1990.
- [3] K. J. Falconer, Sub-self-similar sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 3121-3129.
- [4] J. E. Hutchinson, Fractals and self-similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981), 713-747.
- [5] S. Ikeda, On loosely self-similar sets, Hiroshima Math. J. 25 (1995), 527-540.
- [6] S. Ikeda and M. Nakamura, Dimensions of Measures on perturbed Cantor set, preprint.
- [7] C. A. Rogers, Hausdorff measures, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.
- [8] S. J. Taylor and C. Tricot, The packing measure and its evaluation for a Brownian path, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 288 (1985), 679–699.

Tae Hee Kim and Hung Hwan Lee
Department of Mathematics
Kyungpook National University
Taegu 702-701, Korea
E-mail: T. H. Kim: thkim64@knu.ac.kr
E-mail: H. H. Lee: hhlee@knu.ac.kr

Sung Pyo Hong
Department of Mathematics
Pohang University of Science and Technology
Pohang 790-784, Korea
E-mail: sungpyo@vision.postech.ac.kr