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## Introduction

The classical big Picard theorem says that any holomorphic map from the punctured disk $\Delta^{*}$ into $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ which omits three points can be extended to a holomorphic map $f: \Delta \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. After Kobayashi's fundamental work [14, VI], Kiernan [9] generalized this theorem to the following result.

Let $B$ an analytic subset of the complex manifold $N$ whose singularities are normal crossings and let $M$ be a hyperbolically imbedded subspace of the complex space $X$. Then any holomorphic map $f: N \backslash B \rightarrow M$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $f: N \rightarrow X$.

And Fujimoto [5] obtained the following another generalization of the big Picard theorem.

Theorem. Let $B$ be a regular analytic subset of a complex manifold $N$ and let $M$ be the complementary domain of $n+2$ hyperplanes in general position in $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$. Let $f: N \backslash B \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic map. Then either the image $f(N \backslash B)$ lies in a diagonal hyperplane in $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ or $f$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $f: N \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{n}$.

The purpose of this paper is to consider a generalization of the big Picard theorem of Fujimoto's type for any holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ where $A$ is a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ with 4 or more irreducible components in general position in a certain sence (Theorem 10.1) and for any meromorphic map $f: N \backslash B \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$, where $N$ is an arbitrary manifold, $B$ is a proper analytic subset of $N$ and $A$ is the same of the former case (Theorem 12.1). To prove the former result, Kizuka's theorem in [11] (see Theorem 7.1 in this paper) as well as Fujimoto's theorem play an important role.

## Chapter I. Preliminaries

## 1. Degeneracy locus of the Kobayashi pseudodistance

Throughout the sections $1 \sim 3$, let $X$ be a complex manifold of dimension $n$ Received January 14, 1994 ; revised October 21, 1994.
with a hermitian metric $d s^{2}$ and let $M$ be a relatively compact subdomain of $X$. Denote by $d_{M}(p, q)$ the intrinsic pseudodistance of two points $p$ and $q$ of $M$ introduced by Kobayashi [13]. In [3] we extended $d_{M}$ onto the closure $\bar{M}$ of $M$ in $X$ as follows:

For $p, q \in \bar{M}$, we deflne

$$
d_{M}(p, q)=\lim _{p^{\prime} \rightarrow p, q^{\prime} \rightarrow q} d_{M}\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right), \quad p^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \in M .
$$

It is clear that $0 \leqq d_{M}(p, q) \leqq \infty$ and $d_{M}(p, r) \leqq d_{M}(p, q)+d_{M}(q, r)$ for $p, q, r \in \bar{M}$.
Definition 1.1. We call $p \in \bar{M}$ a degeneracy point of $d_{M}$ if there exists a point $q \in \bar{M} \backslash\{p\}$ such that $d_{M}(p, q)=0$. By $S_{M}(X)$ we denote the set of the degeneracy points of $d_{M}$ on $\bar{M}$ and call it the degeneracy locus of $d_{M}$ in $X$.

We studied properties of $S_{M}(X)$ in [3] and [1]. Let us recall and study some results.

We denote the disk $\{z \in C ;|z|<r\}$ by $\Delta(r)$ and $\Delta(1)$ by $\Delta$. We have then, by Royden [18], the following criterion for the degeneracy points of $d_{m}$.

Lemma 1.2. $\quad 力$ is a degeneracy point of $d_{M}$ in $\bar{M}$ if and only if there exists a sequence of holomorphic maps $f_{\nu}: \Delta \rightarrow M(\nu=1,2, \cdots)$ such that $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} f_{\nu}(0)=p$ and $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{\nu}^{\prime}(0)\right\|=\infty$, where $f_{\nu}^{\prime}(0)=d f_{\nu}\left(d /\left.d w\right|_{w=0}\right)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm with respect to the hermitian metric $d s^{2}$.

Proof. Assume that there exists a sequence of such holomorphic maps $f_{\nu}$. Let $\bar{U}$ be any closed neighborhood of $p$ in $X$ which is biholomorphic to the closed unit ball $\left\{\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n} ; \sum_{\imath=1}^{n}\left|z_{\imath}\right|^{2} \leqq 1\right\}$. From Schwarz lemma, for any positive number $r<1$, there exists a $\nu$ such that $f_{\nu}(\Delta(r)) \not \subset U$. Therefore, there exists a sequence of $\left\{w_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda=1,2, \ldots}$ such that $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} w_{\lambda}=0$ and $f_{\nu \lambda}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \in \partial U$. By taking a subsequence we may assume $f_{\nu_{\lambda}}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow q \in \partial U$. Then $d_{M}(p, q) \leqq \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}$ $d_{M}\left(f_{\nu_{\lambda}}(0), f_{\nu_{\lambda}}\left(w_{\lambda}\right)\right) \leqq \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} d_{\Delta}\left(0, w_{\lambda}\right)=0$. So, $p$ is a degeneracy point of $d_{M}$ in $\bar{M}$.

Next, we assume that there exists a point $q \in \bar{M} \backslash\{p\}$ such that $d_{M}(p, q)=0$ and there is no such a sequence of holomorphic maps $f_{\nu}$. Then there exist a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ in $X$ and positive constant $c$ such that $q \notin \bar{U}$ and for every point $r \in U \cap M$ and $v_{r} \in T_{r}(M), F_{M}\left(r, v_{r}\right) \geqq c\left\|v_{r}\right\|$, where $T_{r}(M)$ is the tangent space of $M$ at $r$ and $F_{M}\left(r, v_{r}\right)$ is the Royden function. (cf. [18]). We take a neighborhood $V$ of $p$ such that $V \Subset U$ and $r \in V \cap M$ and $s \in \bar{U}^{c} \cap M$. Let $\gamma(t)$ be any piecewisesmooth curve on $M$ such that $\gamma(0)=r$ and $\gamma(1)=s$. From [18]

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{M}(r, s) & =\inf _{r} \int_{0}^{1} F_{M}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \\
& \geqq \inf _{r} c \cdot \int_{t \in E}\left\|\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \\
& \geqq c \cdot \operatorname{dist}(\partial V, \partial U)>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E=\{t \in[0,1] ; \gamma(t) \in U\}$. This contradicts to $d_{M}(p, q)=0$.
Proposition 1.3. $\quad S_{M}(X)$ is a closed subset of $X$.
Proof. Let $p_{\nu} \in S_{M}(X)$ such that $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} p_{\nu}=p$ and let $\bar{U}$ be any closed neighborhood of $p$ in $X$ which is biholomorphic to the closed unit ball. We may assume that $p_{\nu} \in U$ for every $\nu$. From the proof of Lemma 1.2, there exists $q_{\nu} \in \partial U \cap \bar{M}$ such that $d_{M}\left(p_{\nu}, q_{\nu}\right)=0$ for every $\nu$. By taking a subsequence we may assume $q_{\nu} \rightarrow q \in \partial U$. Then $p \in S_{M}(X)$ by the definition of $d_{M}$.

Definition 1.4. (cf. [6], [19] and [21]). A closed subset $E$ of $X$ will be called a pseudoconcave subset of order 1, if for any coordinate neighborhood

$$
U:\left|z_{1}\right|<1, \cdots,\left|z_{n}\right|<1
$$

of $X$ and positive numbers $r, s$ with $0<r<1,0<s<1$ such that $U^{*} \cap E=\emptyset$, one obtains $U \cap E=\emptyset$, where

$$
U^{*}=\left\{p \in U ;\left|z_{1}(p)\right| \leqq r\right\} \cup\left\{p \in U ; s \leqq \max _{2 \leq \imath \leq n}\left|z_{i}(p)\right|\right\}
$$

In [3], we proved the following theorems.
Theorem 1.5. $\quad S_{M}(X)$ is a pseudoconcave subset of order 1 in $X$.
Theorem 1.6. If $S_{M}(X)$ is an analytic subset of dimension 1 of $X$, then each irreducible component of $S_{M}(X)$ is of genus $\leqq 1$.

Let $S$ be an analytic subset of $X$. The following deflnition is due to Kiernan-Kobayashi [10] (cf. also Lang [15], p 37).

Definition 1.7. $M$ is hyperbolically imbedded modulo $S$ in $X$ if, for every pair of distinct points $p, q \in \bar{M}$ not both contained in $S$, there exist neighborhoods $V_{p}$ and $V_{q}$ of $p$ and $q$ in $X$ such that $d_{M}\left(V_{p} \cap M, V_{q} \cap M\right)>0$.

It is easy to see the following propositions.
Proposition 1.8. $M$ is hyperbolically imbedded modulo $S$ in $X$ if and only if, for every pair of points $p, q \in \bar{M}$ such that $d_{M}(p, q)=0$ not both contained in $S$ we conclude $p=q$.

Proposition 1.9. If $M$ is hyperbolically imbedded modulo $S$ in $X$, then $S_{M}(X) \subset S$.

Definition 1.10. (cf. Lang [15], p 32). Let $\left\{p_{\nu}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{\nu}\right\}$ be two sequences in $M$ converging to points $p, q$ in $\bar{M}$ respectively. $M$ is hyperbolically imbedded in $X$ if $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} d_{M}\left(p_{\nu}, q_{\nu}\right)=0$ then $p=q$.

It is easy to see the following
Proposition 1.11. $M$ is hyperbolically imbedded in $X$ if and only if $S_{M}(X)$ $=\emptyset$.

## 2. Basic theorem for an extension of a holomorphic map

Lemma 2.1. Let $f_{\nu}: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ be a sequence of holomorphic maps and let $\left\{z_{\nu}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\Delta^{*}$ converging to 0 such that $f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow p \notin S_{M}(X)$. Then $f_{\nu}\left(\rho_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow$ $p(\nu \rightarrow \infty)$, where $\rho_{\nu}=\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C} ;|z|=\left|z_{\nu}\right|\right\}$.

Proof. For every $\tilde{z}_{\nu} \in \rho_{\nu}$, we have the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} d_{M}\left(f_{\nu}\left(\tilde{z}_{\nu}\right), p\right) & \leqq \lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} d_{M}\left(f_{\nu}\left(\tilde{z}_{\nu}\right), f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right)\right) \\
& \leqq \lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} d_{\Delta}^{*}\left(\tilde{z}_{\nu}, z_{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d_{\Delta}^{*}\left(\tilde{z}_{\nu}, z_{\nu}\right) \sim O\left(1 / \log \left|z_{\nu}\right|\right)$ (cf. [14], p 81), and $p \notin S_{M}(X)$, then $f_{\nu}\left(\tilde{z}_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow$ $p(\nu \rightarrow \infty)$.

The following theorem is basic for an extension of a holomorphic map. The proof is essentially same as Kiernan's proof (cf. Theorem 1 in [9]).

ThEOREM 2.2. Let $f_{\nu}: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ be a sequence of holomorphic maps. If there is a sequence $\left\{z_{\nu}\right\}$ in $\Delta^{*}$ converging to 0 such that $f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow p \notin S_{M}(X)$, then $f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ $\rightarrow p$ for every sequence $\left\{z_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $\Delta^{*}$ converging to 0 .

Proof. We show that it is absurd if we assume that there is a sequence $\left\{z_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ converging to 0 such that $f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow q \neq p$.
(i) Assume that $\left|z_{\nu}\right| \leqq\left|z_{\nu}^{\prime}\right|$ by taking a subsequence and relabelling. There exists the closed neighborhood $\bar{U}$ of $p$ in $X$ which is biholomorphic to the closed unit ball $\bar{B}=\left\{\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n} ; \sum_{\imath=1}^{n}\left|w_{\imath}\right|^{2} \leqq 1\right\}$ such that $\bar{U} \cap S_{M}(x)=\emptyset$ and $q \notin \bar{U}$ from Proposition 1.3. Let $\nu$ be sufficiently large such that $f_{\nu}\left(\rho_{\nu}\right) \subset U$ and let $R_{\nu}$ be the largest annulus such that $\rho_{\nu} \subset R_{\nu}$ and $f_{\nu}\left(R_{\nu}\right) \subset U$ where $\rho_{\nu}=\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}$; $\left.|z|=\left|z_{\nu}\right|\right\}$. Then there exist $a_{\nu} \geqq 0$ and $b_{\nu}<1$ such that $R_{\nu}=\left\{z \in \Delta^{*} ; a_{\nu}<|z|<b_{\nu}\right\}$. We can assume that either $a_{\nu} \neq 0$ or $a_{\nu}=0$ for every $\nu$ by taking a subsequence and relabelling. We consider the former case flrst. Let $\sigma_{\nu}=\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C} ;|z|=a_{\nu}\right\}$ and $\tau_{\nu}=\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C} ;|z|=b_{\nu}\right\}$. Then there exist $\alpha_{\nu} \in \sigma_{\nu}$ and $\beta_{\nu} \in \tau_{\nu}$ such that $f_{\nu}\left(\alpha_{\nu}\right)$, $f_{\nu}\left(\beta_{\nu}\right) \in \partial U$. By taking a subsequence and relabelling, we may assume $f_{\nu}\left(\alpha_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow$ $q^{\prime} \in \partial U$ and $f_{\nu}\left(\beta_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow q^{\prime \prime} \in \partial U$. Since $a_{\nu}, b_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$ and $q^{\prime}, q^{\prime \prime} \notin S_{M}(X), f_{\nu}\left(\sigma_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow q^{\prime}$ and $f_{\nu}\left(\tau_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow q^{\prime \prime}$ from Lemma 2.1. By rotating $\bar{B}$ if necessary, we can assume that $\left|w_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{\prime}>0$ and $\left|w_{1}\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{\prime \prime}>0$. By the argument principle, for all sufficiently large $\nu$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\sigma_{\nu}} d \log \left(w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}(z)-w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right)\right) \\
= & \int_{\tau_{\nu}} d \log \left(w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}(z)-w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\tau_{\nu}} d \log \left(w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}(z)-w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right)\right) \\
- & \int_{\sigma_{\nu}} d \log \left(w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}(z)-w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right)\right) \\
= & 2 \pi i(N-P),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N$ and $P$ are the number of zeros and poles of the function $w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}(z)-$ $w_{1} \circ f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right)$ on the annulus $R_{\nu}$. This is a contradiction since $N>0$ and $P=0$.

If $a_{\nu}=0$ for every $\nu, f_{\nu}$ extends holomorphically to $\Delta\left(b_{\nu}\right)$ with $f_{\nu}(0)$ in $B$ by the Riemann extension theorem since $f_{\nu}\left(R_{\nu}\right) \subset U$. Setting $\sigma_{\nu}=\emptyset$, the argument used in the preceding paragraph leads to a contradiction. This proves the theorem in case (i).
(ii) Assume that $\left|z_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}\right| \leqq\left|z_{\nu}\right|$ by taking a subsequence and relabelling. There exists the closed neighborhood $\bar{U}$ of $p$ in $X$ which is biholomorphic to the closed unit ball $\bar{B}$ such that $\bar{U} \cap S_{M}(X)=\emptyset$ and $q \notin \bar{U}$. Since $f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow q(\nu \rightarrow \infty)$ and $f_{\nu}\left(\rho_{\nu}\right)$ $\subset U$ for sufficiently large $\nu$ where $\rho_{\nu}=\left\{z \in C ;|z|=\left|z_{\nu}\right|\right\}$, there exists $z_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\left|z_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right|<\left|z_{\nu}\right|$ and $f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \partial U$. By taking a subsequence and relabelling, we may assume that $f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow r \in \partial U$. Since $r \notin S_{M}(X)$, there exists a closed neighborhood $\bar{U}^{\prime}$ of $r$ in $X$ which is biholomorphic to the closed unit ball $\bar{B}$ such that $\bar{U}^{\prime} \cap S_{M}(X)=\emptyset$ and $\bar{U}^{\prime} \not \equiv p$ from Proposition 1.3. By considering $r, p, z_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}$ and $z_{\nu}$ in place of $p, q, z_{\nu}$ and $z_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}$, we can reduce to case (i).

## We obtain

Corollary 2.3. Let $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic map. If there is a sequence $\left\{z_{\nu}\right\}$ in $\Delta^{*}$ converging to 0 such that $f\left(z_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow p \notin S_{M}(X)$, then $f$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $f: \Delta \rightarrow X$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $f_{\nu}: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ be a sequence of holomorphic maps. Assume that each $f_{\nu}$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $f_{\nu}: \Delta \rightarrow X$. If there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{\nu}\right\}$ in $\Delta^{*}$ converging to 0 such that $f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow p \notin S_{M}(X)$, then $f_{\nu}(0) \rightarrow p(\nu$ $\rightarrow \infty)$.

Proof. If there is a subsequence $\left\{\nu_{\lambda}\right\}$ of $\{\nu\}$ such that $f \nu_{\lambda}(0) \rightarrow q \neq p$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ in $\Delta^{*}$ converging to 0 such that $f_{\nu_{\lambda}}\left(z_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow q$. This is a contradiction since for every sequence $\left\{z_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ in $\Delta^{*}$ converging to $0, f_{\nu}\left(z_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow p$ from Theorem 2.2.

## 3. Cluster set of a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ at 0

According to Nishino-Suzuki [16], we define and study cluser sets. We denote the punctured disk $\{z \in C ; 0<|z|<\rho \leqq 1\}$ by $\Delta^{*}(\rho)$. Let $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic map.

Definition 3.1. We define the cluster set $f(0: X)$ of $f$ at 0 by

$$
f(0: X)=\bigcap_{\rho>0} \overline{f\left(\Delta^{*}(\rho)\right)},
$$

where $\overline{f\left(\Delta^{*}(\rho)\right)}$ is the closure of $f\left(\Delta^{*}(\rho)\right)$ in $X$.
It is easy to see that $f(0: X)$ is either a single point or a continuum.
From the Riemann extension theorem we have
Proposition 3.2. If $f(0: X)$ is contained in a coodinate neighborhood of $X, f$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $f: \Delta \rightarrow X$ and then $f(0: X)$ is a single point of $X$.

Definition 3.3. We call a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ has an essential singularity at 0 if $f(0: X)$ contains at least two points.

Theorem 3.4 (cf. Theorem 1 in [16]). If a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ has an essential singularity at $0, f(0: X)$ is a pseudoconcave set of order 1 .

Proof (The following proof is essentially the same of [16]). Assume that there is a coordinate neighborhood $U$ in $X$ which is biholomorphic to the polydisk $\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n} ;\left|z_{2}\right|<1(1 \leqq i \leqq n)\right\}$ such that $f(0: X) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $f(0$ : $X) \cap U^{*} \neq \emptyset$, where $U^{*}=\left\{p \in U ;\left|z_{1}(p)\right| \leqq r\right\} \cup\left\{p \in U ; s \leqq \max _{2 \leq i \leq n}\left|z_{i}(p)\right|\right\}(0<r<$ $1,0<s<1) . \quad Z_{i}(p)=z_{i} \circ f(z)$ is a holomorphic function in $D=f^{-1}(U)(\neq \emptyset)$. We can choose a positive real number $\varepsilon$ such that $\varepsilon<r<1-\varepsilon, s<1-2 \varepsilon$ and $f(0: X)$ $\cap U_{\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset$, where $U_{\varepsilon}=\left\{p \in U ;\left|z_{i}(p)\right|<1-\varepsilon(1 \leqq i \leqq n)\right\}$. Consider in $\Delta^{*}(\rho)(0<$ $\rho<1)$ the inverse image $f^{-1}\left(U_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap \Delta^{*}(\rho)=D_{\rho}(\neq \emptyset)$. We may assume that $D_{\rho}=$ $\Delta^{*}(\rho)$ for every $\rho>0$ since if $D_{\rho}=\Delta^{*}(\rho)$ for a $\rho, f\left(\Delta^{*}(\rho)\right) \subset U_{\varepsilon}$ and $f(0: X)$ is a single point from Proposition 3.2. So the origin $z=0$ belongs to the accumulation points of the boundary $\gamma$ of $D_{\rho}$ in $\Delta^{*}(\rho)$. Since $f(0: X) \cap U^{*}=\emptyset$, we can find a $\rho>0$ such that
(i) for $z \in D_{\rho} \varepsilon<\left|Z_{1}(z)\right|<1-\varepsilon$ and $\left|Z_{i}(z)\right|<1-2 \varepsilon(2 \leqq i \leqq n)$
and
(ii) $\left|Z_{1}(\zeta)\right|=1-\varepsilon \quad$ for $\quad \zeta \in \gamma$.

From Theorem in Tôki [21], $\lim _{z \in D_{\rho, z \rightarrow 0}}\left|Z_{1}(z)\right|=1-\varepsilon$. Then $f(0: X) \cap U_{\varepsilon}=\emptyset$. This contradicts to the definition of $U_{\varepsilon}$.

From Theorem 3.4 and a property of the pseudoconcave set of order 1 ,
we obtain
Proposition 3.5 (cf. Proposition 3 in [16]). If a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow$ $M$ has an essential singularity at 0 and $f(0: X)$ is contained in an analytic subset $C$ of dimension 1 of $X$, then $f(0: X)$ is also an analytic subset of dimension 1 of $X$ composed of irreducible components of $C$.

From Corollary 2.3 we obtain
Theorem 3.6 (cf. Proposition 2 in [16]). If a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ has an essential singularity at 0 , then $f(0: X) \subset S_{M}(X)$.

## 4. Nonhyperbolic curve and hyperbolic curve

Let $X$ be a compact complex manifold of dimension 2 and let $A$ be a curve in $X$. In [1], we defined a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ as the following

Definition 4.1. An irreducible curve $C$ in $X$ is a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$, if the following condition is satisfied:

In case $C \nsubseteq A$, the normalization of $C \backslash A$ is isomorphic to either a smooth elliptic curve, $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}, \boldsymbol{C}$ or $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}=\boldsymbol{C} \backslash\{0\}$. In case $C \subset A$, the normalization of $C \backslash A^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to either a smooth elliptic curve, $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}, \boldsymbol{C}$ or $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$, where $A^{\prime}$ is the union of the components of $A$ except $C$. ( $A^{\prime}$ may be $\left.\emptyset\right)$.

Definition 4.2. An irreducible curve $C$ in $X$ is a hyperbolic curve with respect to $A$, if $C$ is not a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$.

If $C$ is a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ such that $C \nsubseteq A$, then $C \subset$ $S_{M}(X)$ since there is a nonconstant holomorphic map $f: C \rightarrow C \backslash A$ where $M=$ $X \backslash A$. In [1] we showed the following.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A$ be a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Set $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and $M=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. If $S_{M}(X)$ is a curve in $X$, then $S_{M}(X)$ is composed of nonhyperbolic curves with respect to $A$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $A$ be a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Set $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and $M=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. If $S_{M}(X)$ is a curve in $X$ and an irredncible curve $C$ in $X$ is a hyperbolic curve with respect to $A$, then $C \nsubseteq S_{M}(X)$.

## 5. Fundamental lemma

Let $Y=\boldsymbol{P}^{p}$ and $L^{p}=Y \backslash\left(H_{0} \cup \cdots \cup H_{p+1}\right)$ where $H_{0}, \cdots, H_{p+1}$ are $p+2$ hyperplanes in $\boldsymbol{P}^{p}$ in general position. Following Cartan [4], we represent $Y$ and $L^{p}$ as follows. Let $\left(w_{0}, \cdots, w_{p+1}\right)$ be homogeneous coordinates for $\boldsymbol{P}^{p+1}$ and imbed $Y$ in $\boldsymbol{P}^{p+1}$ as the hyperplane $Y=\left\{\left(w_{0}, \cdots, w_{p+1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{P}^{p+1} ; w_{0}+\cdots+w_{p+1}=0\right\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $H_{0}=\left\{\left(w_{0}, \cdots, w_{p+1}\right) \in Y ; w_{j}=0\right\}$ and therefore $L^{p}=\left\{\left(w_{0}, \cdots, w_{p+1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{P}^{p+1} ; w_{0}+\cdots+w_{p+1}=0\right.$ and $w_{j} \neq 0$ for $\}=0$, $\cdots, p+1\}$. We now define an analytic subvariety $\Delta d$ of $Y$. It will be the union of a particular set of hyperplanes which we shall call diagonal hyperplanes with respect to $H_{0}, \cdots, H_{p+1}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of subsets of $\{0, \cdots, p+1\}$ which consist of at least two elements and not more than $p$ elements. For $I=$ $\left\{\jmath_{1}, \cdots, \jmath_{k}\right\} \in \mathcal{G}$, we set $\Delta_{I}=\left\{\left(w_{0}, \cdots, w_{p+1}\right) \in Y ; w_{j_{1}}+\cdots+w_{j k}=0\right\}$ and define $\Delta_{d}=\cup_{I \in \mathcal{g}} \Delta_{I}$. Note that if $I^{\prime}$ is the subset of $\{0, \cdots, p+1\}$ complementary to $I \in \mathcal{I}$, then $\Delta_{I}{ }^{\prime}=\Delta_{I}$.

Kiernan-Kobayashi [10] showed the following
Theorem 5.1. $L^{p}$ is hyperbolically imbedded modulo $\Delta_{d}$ in $Y$.
Next lemma is fundamental for our work.
Lemma 5.2 (cf. [2], pp. 454-456). Let $A_{0}, \cdots, A_{l}$ be $l+1(l \geqq n+1)$ distinct irreducible hypersurfaces in $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ and set $A=A_{0} \cup \cdots \cup A_{l}$. Then there exists a rational map $G: \boldsymbol{P}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{p}(p \geqq 2)$ such that $\left.G\right|_{P^{n} \backslash A}: \boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A \rightarrow L^{p-1}=Y \backslash\left(H_{0} \cup \cdots \cup H_{p}\right)$ is holomorphic, $G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A\right) \subseteq \Delta_{d}$ and the rank of $G$ is always $\geqq 1$.

Proof. Let $P_{\rho}\left(z_{0}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)(0 \leqq \jmath \leqq l)$ be homogeneous polynomials which take zeros only on $A$, respectively, where ( $z_{0}, \cdots, z_{n}$ ) are the homogeneous coordinates for $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$. We may assume that $P_{j}$ 's are of the same degree $d$. Let $F$ be the rational map $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{l}$ defined by $y_{0}=P_{0}, \cdots, y_{l}=P_{l}$, where $\left(y_{0}, \cdots, y_{l}\right)$ are the homogeneous coordinates for $\boldsymbol{P}^{l}$. Since the rank of $F$ is $\leqq n$, the image of $F$ is contained in a hypersurface $S$ of $\boldsymbol{P}^{l}$. Let us write the defining equation of $S$ as follows:

$$
\sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} \cdot y_{0}{ }_{0}{ }_{0} \cdots \cdot y_{l}^{\lambda_{l}}=0
$$

where $c_{\lambda} \neq 0, \lambda=\left(\lambda_{0}, \cdots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ and $\lambda_{2}$ 's are nonnegative integers such that $\lambda_{0}+\cdots$ $+\lambda_{l}=N$ (a positive integer). Set $G_{\lambda}=c_{\lambda} \cdot P_{0}{ }_{0}{ }_{0} \ldots \cdot \cdot P_{l}^{{ }^{\lambda_{l}}}$. Then $\left\{G_{\lambda}\right\}$ are homogeneous polynomials of $z_{0}, \cdots, z_{n}$ of degree $d \cdot N$ and satisfy $\Sigma_{\lambda} G_{\lambda} \equiv 0$ and $G_{\lambda} \neq 0$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A$. Let $\left\{G_{0}, \cdots, G_{p}\right\}$ be a subset of $\left\{G_{\lambda}\right\}$ which satisfies $G_{0}+\cdots+G_{p}$ $\equiv 0$ and every subtotal of $G_{0}, \cdots, G_{p}$ is not identically zero. We consider the rational map $G$ of $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ to $Y=\left\{\left(w_{0}, \cdots, w_{p}\right) \in \boldsymbol{P}^{p} ; w_{0}+\cdots+w_{p}=0\right\}$ by $\left(G_{0}, \cdots, G_{p}\right)$.

Since $A_{0}, \cdots, A_{\iota}$ are all irreducible and distinct, $\lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$ implies $G_{\lambda} / G_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ 末 constant. Therefore, we have $p \geqq 2$ and the rank of $G$ is always $\geqq 1$.

Corollary 5.3. If rank $G=1$, there is a holomorphic rational function $g$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A$ with lacunary three points.

Proof. It is easy to see that if rank $G=1$, the normalization of $W=$ $\overline{G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A\right)}$ is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. Let $\pi: \boldsymbol{P}^{1} \rightarrow W$ be the normalization of $W$. If $\pi^{-1} \circ G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A\right)$ is not lacunary three points, there is a nonconstant holomorphic map $h: C \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A\right)\right)$. Then $\pi \circ h(\boldsymbol{C}) \subset \Delta_{d}$ from Theorem 5.1. By Lemma 5.2, $G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A\right) \oplus \Delta_{d}$. So, $\Delta_{d} \cap G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A\right)$ is a set of points. This is a contradiction since $h$ is a nonconstant map. Set $g=\pi^{-1} \circ G$. Then $g$ is a holomorphic rational function on $\boldsymbol{P}^{n} \backslash A$ with lacunary three points.

## 6. Rational functions of $C$ - or $C^{*}$-type

Let $A$ be a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, f$ be a nonconstant rational function on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and $I_{f}$ be the set of inditermination points of $f$. According to Kashiwara [8] and Kizuka [12], we define and study rational functions of $\boldsymbol{C}$ - or $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type.

Definition 6.1. We call $f$ a rational function of $\boldsymbol{C}$-type (resp. $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type) on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ if $f$ is a rational function on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and normalization of every irreducible component of all level curves of $f$ except for a finite number of them is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{C}$ (resp. $\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{*}\right)$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash\left(A \cup I_{f}\right)$. ( $A$ may be $\left.\emptyset\right)$.

Definition 6.2. We call a nonconstant rational function $f$ primitive if all level curves of $f$ are irreducible except for a finite number of them.

From the Stein factorization we have
Proposition 6.3 (cf. Proposition 1 in [12]). For every nonconstant rational function $f$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{\mathbf{2}}$ there exists a pair of a primitive rational function $f_{0}$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and a rational function $\pi$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ such that $f=\pi \circ f_{0}$.

It is well known that every irreducible component of all level curves of a rational function is the same type except for a finite number of them, so we have

Proposition 6.4. If there are infinite irreducible components of the level curves of a rational function $f$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ such that their normarizations are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{C}$ (resp. $\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{*}\right)$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash\left(A \cup I_{f}\right)$, then $f$ is of $\boldsymbol{C}$-type (resp $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type).

Proposition 6.5. Let $A$ be a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. If there exists a rational function $f$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$ - or $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$, then $A$ must belong to one of the following two classes of curves.
(i) The sum of compactifications of several irreducible components of the level curves of $f$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$ - or $\boldsymbol{C}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$.
(ii) The sum of compactifications of several irreducible components of the level curves of $f$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ (which may be $\emptyset$ ) and an irreducible curve of genus 0 in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ such that $f$ is of $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$.

Proof. Let us consider the case that $f$ is of $\boldsymbol{C}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ at first. In this case, $f$ is of $\boldsymbol{C}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Suppose that $A_{1}$ is an irreducible component of $A$ which is not contained in a level curve of $f$. Then, $\left.f\right|_{A_{1}}$ is a nonconstant holomorphic function on $A_{1}$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. Since infinite level curves of $f$ intersect with $A_{1} \backslash I_{f}$, this is a contradiction from Proposition 6.4.

Next, let us consider the case that $f$ is of $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. If $f$ is of $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$, it is obvious that $A$ is the sum of compactifications of several irreducible components of level curves of $f$ from the same discussion above. So, we prove that $f$ is of $\boldsymbol{C}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and $A$ must belong to the class (ii) if $A$ does not belong to the class (i). Suppose $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be irreducible components of $A$ such that they are not contained in level curves of $f$. Then, $\left.f\right|_{A_{i}}$ is a nonconstant holomorphic function on $A_{\imath}$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}(i=1,2)$. This is a contradiction since infinite level curves of $f$ intersect with $A_{\imath} \backslash I_{f}$. Let $A_{1}$ be an irreducible component of $A$ which is not contained in a level curve of $f$. From Proposition 6.3, we may assume that $f$ is a primitive rational function. Then it is obvious that $\left.f\right|_{A_{1}}: A_{1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ is holomorphic and one to one. So, the genus of $A_{1}$ is 0 .

## 7. Kizuka's theorem

Theorem 7.1 (Theorem 1 in Kizuka [11] and Theorem 0 in [12]). Let $A$ be a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Suppose that there exists a holomorphic map $\varphi: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ such that $\varphi$ has an essential singularity at 0 and $\varphi\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \subset A$. Then $A$ must be a nonsingular cubic curve or there exists a rational function $f$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$ - or $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. In the latter case, A contains at least one irreducible component of a level curve of $f$.

Since each tangent line to a nonsingular cubic curve $A$ through any points of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ intersects with $A$ at most two points, it is easy to see the following

Corollary 7.2. Let $A$ be a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Set $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and $M=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. Suppose that there exists a holomorphic map $\varphi: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ such that $\varphi$ has an essential singularity at 0 and $\varphi\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \subset A$. Then $S_{M}(X)=X$.

## 8. Hyperbolicity of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$

In this section, let $A$ be a curve with $l(l \geqq 4)$ irreducible components in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Set $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and $M=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. From Corollary of Theorem in [1] we have

Theorem 8.1. There are following three cases.
(i) $S_{M}(X)=\emptyset$.
(ii) $S_{M}(X)$ is a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$.
(iii) $S_{M}(X)=X$.

Proposition 8.2. If $S_{M}(X)=X$, then there exists a holomorphic rational function $g$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$ - or $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ with lacunary three points.

Proof. From Lemma 5.2, there exists a rational map $G: \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{p}(p \geqq 2)$ such that $\left.G\right|_{\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A}: \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A \rightarrow L^{p-1}=Y \backslash\left(H_{0} \cup \cdots \cup H_{p}\right)$ is holomorphic and $G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A\right)$ $₫ \Delta_{d}$. From Theorem 1 in [2], rank $G=1$ if $S_{M}(X)=X$. From Corollary 5.3, there exists a holomorphic rational function $g$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ with lacunary three points. From the little Picard theorem, if $h$ is a nonconstant holomorphic map of $\boldsymbol{C}$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A, g \circ h \equiv$ constant. From Corollary of Theorem in [1] there exist infinite nonhyperbolic curves with respect to $A$, so they are contained respectively in level curves of $g$. From Proposition 6.4, $g$ is a holomorphic rational function of $\boldsymbol{C}$ - or $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$.

Corollary 8.3. If $S_{M}(X)=X$, A must belong to one of two classes (i), (ii) of Proposition 6.5.

Consequently, from Corollary 8.3 and Proposition 6.5 there are criterions that the case (i) or (ii) of Theorem 8.1 occurs as the following

Proposition 8.4.
(1) If at least one irreducible component of $A$ is of genus $\geqq 1, S_{M}(X)$ is a curve or an empty set.
(2) If at least two irreducible components of $A$ are hyperbolic curves with respect to $A, S_{M}(X)$ is a curve or an empty set.
(3) If the singularities of $A$ are at most normal crossings, $S_{M}(X)$ is a curve or an empty set.

## Chapter II. A generalization of the big Picard theorem (1)

9. Cluster set of a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ at 0

Theorem 9.1. Let $A$ be a curve with $l(l \geqq 4)$ rrreducible components in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. If a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ has an essential singularity at 0 , then $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right)$ is a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ which consists of nonhyperbolic curves with respect to $A$.

Proof. Let us consider cases (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 8.1, since in case (i) 0 is a removable singularity of $f$ from Corollary 2.3. In case (ii), it is easy to prove statements of Theorem 9.1 from Theorem 3.6, Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.3. In case (iii), there exists a holomorphic rational function $g$ of $C$ - or $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-type on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ which omits $\{0,1, \infty\}$ from Proposition 8.2. Then $g \circ f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow$
$\boldsymbol{P}^{1} \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $g \circ f: \Delta \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ from the big Picard theorem. It is clear that $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \subset \overline{g^{-1}(d)}$, where $d=g \circ f(0)$. Since $\overline{g^{-1}(d)}$ consists of finite nonhyperbolic curves with respect to $A, f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right)$ consists of finite nonhyperbolic curves with respect to $A$ from Proposition 3.5.

Remark. In Theorem 9.1, $l \geqq 4$ is a necessary condition for which $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right)$ is a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. For example, $f\left(e^{1 / 2}, e^{e^{1 / 2}}\right): \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{2}(x, y) \backslash\{x=0\} \cup\{y=0\}$ has an essential singularity at 0 and $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \supset\left\{y=e^{x}\right\}$.

## 10. The big Picard theorem for a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$

Let $A$ be a curve with $l(l \geqq 4)$ irreducible components in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and let $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ be a holomorphic map. Set $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and $M=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$.

From Theorem 8.1 there are three cases (i) $S_{M}(X)=\emptyset$, (ii) $S_{M}(X)$ is a curve and (iii) $S_{M}(X)=X$. In case (i), $f$ is always extended holomorphically to $f: \Delta \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ from Corollary 2.3. In case (iii), let us consider $f=\left(z, e^{1 / 2}\right): \Delta^{*} \rightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}(x, y) \backslash\{x=2\} \cup\{x=3\} \cup\{y=0\}$ for example. Then $f\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ is contained in a transendental curve $\left\{y=e^{1 / x}\right\}$. In case (ii), we show that if $f$ has an essential singularity at $0, f\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ is contained in a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and then $f$ is regarded as a function of one variable. Namely, we have the following

Theorem 10.1. Suppose that $S_{M}(X)$ is a curve and $f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ is a holomorphic map. Then $f$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $f: \Delta \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ or $f\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ $\subset C$, where $C$ is a nonhyperbolic curve with resprct to $A$ such that $C \nsubseteq A$.

Proof. From the Lemma 5.2, there is a rational map $G: \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{p}(p \geqq 2)$ such that $\left.G\right|_{P^{2} \backslash A}: \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A \rightarrow L^{p-1}=Y \backslash\left(H_{0} \cup \cdots \cup H_{p}\right)$ is holomorphic where $H_{0}, \cdots, H_{p}$ are $p+1$ hyperplanes in general position in $Y \cong \boldsymbol{P}^{p-1}$ and $G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A\right) ₫ \Delta_{d}$. Set $V=G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A\right)$ and $W=\overline{G\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A\right)}$. There are two cases such that (1) rank $G=2$ or (2) rank $G=1$.

At first, let us consider the case (1). According to applying $G \circ f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow L^{p-1}$ for Fujimoto's theorem, there are following two cases.
(a) $G \circ f$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $G \circ f: \Delta \rightarrow W$.
(b) $G \circ f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \subset \Delta_{d} \cap V$.

In case (a), set $G \circ f(0)=q \in W$. Then $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \subset G^{-1}(q)$. If 0 is an essential singularity of $f$, then $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right)=C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{k}$ where $C_{\jmath}(1 \leqq \jmath \leqq k)$ is a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ such that $G\left(C_{\jmath}\right)=q$ from Theorem 9.1. In this case if $G \circ f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \equiv q$, then $f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \subset C$, for some $\jmath$. If $G \circ f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \neq q$, there exists a positive real number $\rho \leqq 1$ such that $G \circ f\left(\Delta^{*}(\rho)\right) \neq q$. Therefore, $f\left(\Delta^{*}(\rho)\right) \cap C$, $=\emptyset$ for every $\jmath$. Now set $A^{\prime}=A \cup C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{k}$ and $M^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A^{\prime}$. If $f: \Delta^{*}(\rho)$ $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A^{\prime}$ has an essential singularity at 0 , then $S_{M^{\prime}}(X)=X$ from Corollary 7.2 since $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \subset A^{\prime}$. Then it is clear that $S_{M}(X)=X$, so this is absurd. In case (b), there are two cases such that i) $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Delta_{d} \cap V\right)=0$ or ii) $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Delta_{d} \cap V\right)=1$. In
case i), $G \circ f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \equiv q \in \Delta_{d} \cap V$. Then $f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \subset G^{-1}(q)$. If 0 is an essential singularity of $f, f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \subset C$ where $C$ is an irreducible component of $G^{-1}(q)$ which is a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ from Theorem 3.6, Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.3. In case ii), $B=\overline{G^{-1}\left(\Delta_{d} \cap V\right)}$ is a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Then, if 0 is an essential singularity of $f, f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \subset C$ where $C$ is an irreducible component of $B$ and $a$ nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ from Theorem 3.6, Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.3.

Next, let us consider case (2). From Corollary 5.3, there exists a holomorphic rational function $g$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ with lacunary $\{0,1, \infty\}$. Then $g \circ f: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{P}^{1} \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}$ can be extended to a holomorphic map $g \circ f: \Delta \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. Set $a=$ $g \circ f(0)$. If $a \in\{0,1, \infty\}$, then $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \subset A$. From Corollary 7.2, 0 is a removable singularity of $f$. When $a \notin\{0,1, \infty\}, g \circ f \equiv a$ or $g \circ f \equiv a$. In the former case, $f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \subset g^{-1}(a)$. If 0 is an essential singularity of $f, f\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \subset C$ where $C$ is an irreducible component of $g^{-1}(a)$ and a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ from Theorem 3.6, Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.3. In the latter case, there exists a positive real number $\rho \leqq 1$ such that $g \circ f\left(\Delta^{*}(\rho)\right) \cap\{0,1, \infty, a\}=\varnothing$. Set $A^{\prime}=A \cup g^{-1}(a)$ and $M^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A^{\prime}$. Suppose that $f: \Delta^{*}(\rho) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A^{\prime}$ has an essential singularity at 0 . Then from Corollary 7.2, $S_{M^{\prime}}(X)=X$ since $f\left(0: \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \subset A^{\prime}$. This is absurd since it is clear that $S_{M}(X)=X$.

## Chapter III. A generalization of the big Picard theorem (2)

## 11. Meromorphic maps

It is well known the following
Proposition 11.1. If the Cousin II problem is solvable in a domain of $D$ in $\boldsymbol{C}^{k}$ and $f$ is a holomorphic map of $D$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$, then there are holomorphic functions $f_{2}(0 \leqq i \leqq n)$ in $D$ such that there is no common zero of $f_{2}$ and $f=\left[f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right]$.

Definition 11.2. Let $X$ be a complex manifold, let $Y$ be a compact complex manifold and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a meromorphic map. We denote by $I_{f}$ the set of the indetermination points of $f$ (i.e. the set of all points $\{x\}$ of $X$ such that $f(x)$ is not a single point).

The following proposition is well known. (cf. Noguchi-Ochiai [17], Chapt. IV).

Proposition 11.3. Let $X, Y$ and $f$ be the same in Definition 11.2. Then
i) $I_{f}$ is an analytic subset of $X$ such that $\operatorname{codim} I_{f} \geqq 2$,
ii) $f(x)$ is a compact connected analytic subset of $Y$ such that $\operatorname{dim} f(x) \geqq 1$ if $x \in I_{f}$ and
iii) $\left.f\right|_{X \backslash I_{f}}: X \backslash I_{f} \rightarrow Y$ is a holomorphic map.

Proposition 11.4. If the Cousin II problem is solvable in a domain $D$ in $\boldsymbol{C}^{k}$ and $f$ is a meromorphic map of $D$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$, then there are holomorphic functions $f_{2}(0 \leqq i \leqq n)$ in $D$ such that $f=\left[f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right]$ and codimension $\left\{z \in D ; f_{0}(z)=\right.$ $\left.\cdots f_{n}(z)=0\right\}\left(=I_{f}\right)$ is greater than 1.

Proof. From Proposition 11.3, $I_{f}$ is an analytic subset of $D$ such that $\operatorname{codim} I_{f} \geqq 2$ and $f: D \backslash I_{f} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ is a holomorphic map. Since Cousin II problem is solvable in $D \backslash I_{f}$, there are holomorphic functions $f_{2}(0 \leqq i \leqq n)$ in $D \backslash I_{f}$ such that there is no common zero of $f_{2}$ in $D \backslash I_{f}$ and $\left.f\right|_{D \backslash I_{f}}=\left[f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right]$. By Hartogs theorem, $f_{i}$ 's are holomorphic in $D$, so $f=\left[f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right]$ in $D$ and $I_{f}=$ $\left\{z \in D ; f_{0}(z)=\cdots=f_{n}(z)=0\right\}$.

Definition 11.5. Let $D$ be a domain of $\boldsymbol{C}^{k}$ and $f$ be a meromorphic map of $D$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$. [ $f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}$ ] is a reduced representation of $f$ on $D$ if $f_{2}$ 's ( $0 \leqq i \leqq n$ ) are holomorphic functions in $D, f=\left[f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right]$ and $I_{f}=\left\{z \in D ; f_{0}(z)=\cdots=\right.$ $\left.f_{n}(z)=0\right\}$.

It easy to see the following
Proposition 11.6. Let $N$ be a complex manifold $(\operatorname{dim} N=k \geqq 2)$, let $A$ be an analytic subset of $N$ such that $\operatorname{codim} A \geqq 2$ and $f$ be a meromorphic map of $N \backslash A$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$. Then $f$ can be uniquely extended to a meromorphic map of $N$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$.

It is also easy to see the following
Proposition 11.7. Let $f$ be a meromorphic map of $\Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k-1}$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}(k \geqq 1)$ and let $f=\left[f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right]$ be a reduced representation of $f$ on $\Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k-1}$. Suppose $f_{0} \neq 0$. Then $f$ can be extended to a meromorphic map of $\Delta^{k}$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ if and only if, $f_{1} / f_{0}, \cdots, f_{n} / f_{0}$ can be extended to meromorphic functions in $\Delta^{k}$.

It is well known the following
Proposition 11.8 (cf. Theorem (Levi) in Green [7] and Corollary of Theorem 4 in Terada [20]). Let $f$ be a meromorphic function in $\Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k}(k \geqq 1)$ and not meromorphic in $\Delta^{k+1}$. We denote by $E$ the set of $\left(y^{0}\right) \in \Delta^{k}$ such that $f\left(x,\left(y^{0}\right)\right)$ is a meromorphic function of $\Delta$. Then mes $E=0$.

It is easy to see the following
Proposition 11.9. Let $N$ be an arbitrary complex manifold of $\operatorname{dim}=k(k \geqq 2)$ and let $f$ be a meromorphic map of $N$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$. If $C$ is an irreducible and locally irreducible analytic subset of $\operatorname{dim}=1$ in $N$ and $C \nsubseteq I_{f}$, then $\left.f\right|_{C}: C \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ is holomorphic.

Lemma 11.10. Let $f$ be a meromorphic map of $\Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k}(k \geqq 1)$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ and it
can not be extended meromorphically to $\Delta^{k+1}$. Then, there is a subset $E$ of $\Delta^{k}$ such that mes $E=0$ and for every $\left(y^{0}\right) \notin E,\left.f\right|_{(y)=\left(y^{0}\right)}: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{n}$ is holomorphic and 0 is an essential singular point.

Proof. It is easy to see the demonstration from Propositions 11.7, 11.8 and 11.9, since the set of $\left(y^{0}\right)$ such that $\left\{(y)=\left(y^{0}\right)\right\} \subset I_{f}$ is contained in an analytic subset of $\Delta^{k}$.

## 12. The big Picard theorem for a meromorphic map $f: N \backslash B \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$

Theorem 12.1. Let $N$ be an arbitrary complex manifold of $\operatorname{dim}=k(k \geqq 1)$ and let $B$ be a proper analytic subset of $N$. Let $A$ be a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ with $l(l \geqq 4)$ irreducible components. And set $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and $M=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. Assume that $S_{M}(X)$ is a curve. If $f$ be a meromorphec map of $N \backslash B$ to $M$, then $f$ can be extended to a meromorphic map of $N$ to $X$ or $f(N \backslash B) \subset C$, where $C$ is a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ such that $C \not \subset A$.

Proof. If $k=1, f$ is a holomorphic map of $N \backslash B$ to $M$. So, $f$ can be extended to a holomorphic map of $N$ to $X$ or $f(N \backslash B) \subset C$, where $C$ is a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ from Theorem 10.1. Therefore we assume that $k \geqq 2$. Suppose that $f$ can not be extended meromorphically to a neighborhood $U$ of a regular point of $B$. Since we can consider $U \cong \Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k-1}$, we may assume that $\left.f\right|_{U}$ is a meromorphic map of $\Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k-1}$ to $M$ and $f$ can not be extended to a meromorphic map of $\Delta^{k}$ to $X$. From Lemma 11.10 there is a subset of $E$ of $\Delta^{k-1}$ such that mes $E=0$ and for every $\left(y^{0}\right) \notin E,\left.f\right|_{(y)=\left(y^{0}\right)}: \Delta^{*} \rightarrow M$ is holomorphic and 0 is an essential singular point. From Theorem 10.1, $f\left(\Delta^{*},\left(y^{0}\right)\right) \subset C$ for fixed $\left(y^{0}\right) \notin E$ where $C$ is a nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$. Since $f$ is holomorphic in $\Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k-1} \backslash I_{f}$, mes $E=0$ and the number of nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$ in $M$ is finite, $f\left(\Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k-1} \backslash I_{f}\right) \subset C$. Therefore, $f\left(\Delta^{*} \times \Delta^{k-1}\right) \subset C$. From the theorem of invariance of analytic relations and $N \backslash B$ is connected, $f\left(N \backslash\left(B \cup I_{f}\right)\right) \subset C$. Therefore, $f(N \backslash B) \subset C$. If $f$ can be extended meromorphically to every regular point of $B, f$ can be extended to a meromorphic map of $N$ to $X$ from Proposition 11.6.

Remark. If $S_{M}(X)=\emptyset$ in the same situation above, $f$ can be always extended to a meromorphic map of $N$ to $X$ because there is no nonhyperbolic curve with respect to $A$.

And if $S_{M}(X)=X$, Theorem 12.1 does not hold for example, $f=\left(z, e^{1 / z}\right)$ : $\Delta^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{2}(x, y) \backslash\{x=2\} \cup\{x=3\} \cup\{y=0\}$.

Corollary 12.2. Let $N, B, A, X, M$ and $f$ be the same in Theorem 12.1. Suppose that $S_{X}(X)$ is a curve or an empty set and rank $f=2$, then $f$ can be extended to a meromorphic map of $N$ to $X$.

Corollary 12.3. Let $A, X$ and $M$ be the same in Theorem 12.1. Suppose
that $S_{M}(X)$ is a curve or an empty set, then any analytic automorphism of $M$ is the restriction to $M$ of a birational map of $X$.

## 13. Application

Theorem 13.1. Let $N$ be an arbitrary complex manifold of $\operatorname{dim}=k(k \geqq 1)$ and let $B$ be a proper analytic subset of $N$. Let $X$ be an arbitrary compact complex manifold and let $M$ be a relatively compact domain of $X$. Suppose that $f$ is a holomorphic map of $N \backslash B$ to $M$ and $f$ can be extended to a meromorphic map of $N$ to $X$. If a point $o \in B \cap I_{f}$ is at most a normal crossing singularity of $B$, then $f(o) \subset S_{M}(X)$.

Proof. We may consider locally, so we assume that $N \backslash B=\Delta^{*} \times\left(\left(\Delta^{*}\right)^{k-l-1}\right.$ $\left.\times \Delta^{l}\right)(0 \leqq l \leqq k-1)$ and $o=(0, \cdots, 0)$. Suppose that $\Delta^{*} \times\left(\left(\Delta^{*}\right)^{k-l-1} \times \Delta^{l}\right) \ni\left(x^{m},\left(y^{m}\right)\right)$ $\rightarrow 0$ and $f\left(x^{m},\left(y^{m}\right)\right) \rightarrow p \oplus S_{M}(X)(m \rightarrow \infty)$. Set $f_{m}(z)=f\left(x^{m}, z \times\left(y_{1}{ }^{m} /\left|y^{m}\right|\right), \cdots\right.$, $\left.z \times\left(y_{k-1}{ }^{m} /\left|y^{m}\right|\right)\right)$, where $\left(y^{m}\right)=\left(y_{1}{ }^{m}, \cdots, y_{k-1}{ }^{m}\right)$. Then $f_{m}$ is a holomorphic map of $\Delta^{*}$ to $M, f_{m}\left(\left|y^{m}\right|\right) \rightarrow p \notin S_{M}(X)$ and $\left|y^{m}\right| \rightarrow 0(m \rightarrow \infty)$, where $\left|y^{m}\right|=$ $\sqrt{\left|y_{1}{ }^{m}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|y_{k-1}{ }^{m}\right|^{2}}$. Since $f_{m}(z)$ can be extended to a holomorphic map of $\Delta$ to $X$ from Proposition 11.9, $f_{m}(0)=f_{m}\left(x^{m},(0)\right) \rightarrow p(m \rightarrow \infty)$ from Corollary 2.4. Since $f(x,(0))$ can be extended to a holomorphic map of $\Delta$ to $X$ from Proposition 11.9, $f(x,(0)) \rightarrow p(x \rightarrow 0)$. Suppose that $\Delta^{*} \times\left(\left(\Delta^{*}\right)^{k-l-1} \times \Delta^{l}\right) \ni\left(\tilde{x}^{m},\left(\tilde{y}^{m}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $f\left(\tilde{x}^{m},\left(\tilde{y}^{m}\right)\right) \rightarrow q \notin S_{M}(X)(m \rightarrow \infty)$, then we conclude that $f(x,(0)) \rightarrow q(x \rightarrow 0)$ by the same discussion above. Therefore $p=q$. Since $f(o)$ is a connected analytic subset of $X$ and $\operatorname{dim} f(o) \geqq 1$ from Proposition 11.3, $f(o) \subset S_{M}(X)$.

Corollory 13.2. Let $A$ be a curve in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ with $l(l \geqq 4)$ irreducible components and its singularities are normal crossings. Then the number of analytic automorphisms of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$ is finite.

Proof. Set $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}=X$ and $M=\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. Since each irreducible component of $A$ is a hyperbolic curve with respect to $A, S_{M}(X)$ is a curve or an empty set from Proposition 8.4. Let $\varphi$ be an automorphism of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \backslash A$. From Corollary 12.3, $\varphi$ can be extended to a birational map of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Since the image of an indetermination point consists of nonhyperbolic curves with respect to $A$ from Theorem 13.1 and it must be contained in $A, \varphi$ is an automorphism of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. It is easy to see that the number of automorphism $\varphi$ of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ such that $\varphi(A)=A$ where $A$ consists of 4 or more hyperbolic curves is finite.
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