VALUE DISTRIBUTION OF THE PRODUCT OF A MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION AND ITS DERIVATIVE

INDRAJIT LAHIRI AND SHYAMALI DEWAN*

Abstract

In the paper we discuss the value distribution of the product of a meromorphic function and its derivative and we improve a recent result of K. W. Yu.

1. Introduction and definitions

Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function defined in the open complex plane C. Hayman [5] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM A. If $n \ge 3$ is an integer then $\psi = f^n f'$ assumes all finite values, except possibly zero, infinitely many times.

He further conjectured [7] that Theorem A remains valid even if n = 1 or 2. Mues [9] proved the result for n = 2 and the case n = 1 was proved by Bergweiler and Eremenko [1] and independently by Chen and Fang [3].

A natural question of investigating the value distribution of ff'-a, where a=a(z) is a non-zero meromorphic function satisfying T(r,a)=S(r,f), was raised and a number of researchers have worked on the problem.

We call a meromorphic function $a \equiv a(z)$ a small function of f if T(r, a) = S(r, f).

Following two theorems can be derived from two inequalities proved by Zhang {[12], see also [11]}.

Theorem B. If $\delta(\infty; f) > 7/9$ then ff' - a has infinitely many zeros, where $a \ (\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ is a small function of f.

Theorem C. If $2\delta(0; f) + \delta(\infty; f) > 1$ then ff' - a has infinitely many zeros, where $a \ (\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ is a small function of f.

^{*}The second author is thankful to C.S.I.R. for awarding her a junior research fellowship. 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D35.

Keywords and phrases: Meromorphic function, derivative, value distribution.

Received May 17, 2002; revised July 19, 2002.

However in Theorem C the condition $2\delta(0; f) + \delta(\infty; f) > 1$ can easily be replaced by the weaker condition $2\Theta(0; f) + \Theta(\infty; f) > 1$.

The following result of Bergweiler [2] is worth mentioning.

THEOREM D. If f is of finite order and a is a polynomial then ff' - a has infinitely many zeros.

In Theorem B and Theorem C we see that some conditions have to be imposed on f to achieve the desired result. On the other hand, though in Theorem D no restriction, except the order restriction, is imposed on f, the desired result is achieved only for polynomials in contrast to arbitrary small functions as the target.

Recently Yu [11] treated the general case but instead of a single small function he achieved the result for a small function and its negative as a pair of targets. His result can be stated as follows.

THEOREM E. If $a \ (\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ is a small function of f then at least one of ff' - a and ff' + a has infinitely many zeros.

In the paper we prove a result on the value distribution of $(f)^{n_0}(f^{(k)})^{n_1}$, where $n_0 (\geq 2)$, n_1 , k are positive integers and as a consequence of this we improve Theorem E though most probably one should not expect any corresponding improvement of Theorem D because of the condition $n_0 \geq 2$.

Throughout the paper we denote by f a transcendental meromorphic function defined in the open complex plane C. We do not explain the standard notations and definitions of the value distribution theory as those are available in [6].

DEFINITION [8]. Let m be a positive integer. We denote by $N(r, a; f | \le m)$ $(N(r, a; f | \ge m))$ the counting function of those a-points of f whose multiplicities are not greater (less) than m, where each a-point is counted according to its multiplicity.

In a like manner we define $N(r, a; f \mid < m)$ and $N(r, a; f \mid > m)$.

Also $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \le m)$, $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \ge m)$, $\overline{N}(r, a; f | < m)$ and $\overline{N}(r, a; f | > m)$ are defined similarly where in counting the *a*-points of *f* we ignore the multiplicities.

Finally we agree to take $\overline{N}(r,a;f|\leq \infty) \equiv \overline{N}(r,a;f)$ and $N(r,a;f|\leq \infty) \equiv N(r,a;f)$.

2. Lemma

In this section we prove a lemma which is required in the sequel.

LEMMA. If $N(r,0; f^{(k)} | f \neq 0)$ denotes the counting function of those zeros of $f^{(k)}$ which are not the zeros of f, where a zero of $f^{(k)}$ is counted according to its multiplicity, then

$$N(r, 0; f^{(k)} | f \neq 0) \le k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f | < k) + k\overline{N}(r, 0; f | \ge k) + S(r, f).$$

Proof. By the first fundamental theorem and Milloux theorem $\{p. 55 [6]\}$ we get

$$\begin{split} N(r,0;f^{(k)} \mid f \neq 0) &\leq N\bigg(r,0;\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\bigg) \\ &\leq N\bigg(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\bigg) + m\bigg(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\bigg) + O(1) \\ &\leq k\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + N(r,0;f \mid < k) + k\overline{N}(r,0;f \mid > k) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

This proves the lemma.

3. The main result

In this section we discuss the main result of the paper.

Theorem. Let $\psi = (f)^{n_0} (f^{(k)})^{n_1}$, where $n_0 (\ge 2)$, n_1 and k are positive integers such that $n_0(n_0-1)+(1+k)(n_0n_1-n_0-n_1)>0$. Then

$$\left[1 - \frac{1+k}{n_0+k} - \frac{n_0(1+k)}{(n_0+k)\{n_0+(1+k)n_1\}}\right] T(r,\psi) \le \overline{N}(r,a;\psi) + S(r,\psi)$$

for any small function $a \ (\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ of f.

Proof. First we note that {cf. [4, 10]}

$$T(r, f) + S(r, f) \le CT(r, \psi) + S(r, \psi)$$

and

$$T(r, \psi) \le \{n_0 + (1+k)n_1\}T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

where C is a constant.

So it is clear that if $a \ (\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ is a small function of f then a is also a small function of ψ and vice-versa. Hence by Nevanlinna's three small functions theorem $\{p. 47 \ [6]\}$ we get

(1)
$$T(r,\psi) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;\psi) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;\psi) + \overline{N}(r,a;\psi) + S(r,\psi),$$

where $\overline{N}(r, a; \psi) = \overline{N}(r, 0; \psi - a)$.

Now by the lemma we get

(2)
$$\overline{N}(r,0;\psi) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;f) + N(r,0;f^{(k)} \mid f \neq 0)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,0;f) + k\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + N(r,0;f \mid < k)$$

$$+ k\overline{N}(r,0;f \mid \geq k) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq (1+k)\overline{N}(r,0;f) + k\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + S(r,f).$$

Again we see that

$$N(r,0;\psi) - \overline{N}(r,0;\psi) \ge \{(1+k)n_0 + n_1 - 1\}\overline{N}(r,0;f \mid \ge 1+k) + (n_0 - 1)\overline{N}(r,0;f \mid \le k).$$

Hence from (2) we get

$$\begin{split} \overline{N}(r,0;\psi) &\leq (1+k)\overline{N}(r,0;f \mid \geq 1+k) + k\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) \\ &+ \frac{1+k}{n_0-1}[N(r,0;\psi) - \overline{N}(r,0;\psi) \\ &- \{(1+k)n_0 + n_1 - 1\}\overline{N}(r,0;f \mid \geq 1+k)] + S(r,f) \end{split}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{split} \frac{n_0 + k}{n_0 - 1} \overline{N}(r, 0; \psi) &\leq \frac{1 + k}{n_0 - 1} N(r, 0; \psi) + k \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \\ &+ \left[1 + k - \frac{(1 + k)\{(1 + k)n_0 + n_1 - 1\}}{n_0 - 1} \right] \overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \ge 1 + k) \\ &+ S(r, f) \\ &\leq \frac{1 + k}{n_0 - 1} N(r, 0; \psi) + k \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f) \end{split}$$

i.e.,

(3)
$$\overline{N}(r,0;\psi) \le \frac{1+k}{n_0+k} N(r,0;\psi) + \frac{k(n_0-1)}{n_0+k} \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + S(r,f).$$

If z_0 is a pole of f with multiplicity p then z_0 is a pole of ψ with multiplicity $n_0p + (p+k)n_1 \ge n_0 + (1+k)n_1$. Hence

(4)
$$N(r,\infty;\psi) \ge \{n_0 + (1+k)n_1\}\overline{N}(r,\infty;\psi).$$

Since $\overline{N}(r,\infty;\psi)=\overline{N}(r,\infty;f)$ and $S(r,\psi)=S(r,f),$ from (1), (3) and (4) we get

$$\begin{split} T(r,\psi) &\leq \frac{1+k}{n_0+k} N(r,0;\psi) + \left\{ 1 + \frac{k(n_0-1)}{n_0+k} \right\} \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,a;\psi) + S(r,\psi) \\ &\leq \frac{1+k}{n_0+k} N(r,0;\psi) + \frac{n_0(1+k)}{(n_0+k)\{n_0+(1+k)n_1\}} N(r,\infty;\psi) + \overline{N}(r,a;\psi) \\ &+ S(r,\psi) \end{split}$$

i.e.,

$$\left[1 - \frac{1+k}{n_0+k} - \frac{n_0(1+k)}{(n_0+k)\{n_0+(1+k)n_1\}}\right] T(r,\psi) \le \overline{N}(r,a;\psi) + S(r,\psi).$$

This proves the theorem. \Box

The following corollary improves Theorem E.

COROLLARY. Let $F = ff^{(k)}$, where k is a positive integer. Then for any small function $a \ (\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ of f

$$\Theta(a; F) + \Theta(-a; F) \le 2 - \frac{2}{(2+k)^2}.$$

Proof. Since a^2 is also a small function of f, we get from the theorem for $n_0 = n_1 = 2$

$$\left[1 - \frac{(1+k)(3+k)}{(2+k)^2}\right] T(r, F^2) \le \overline{N}(r, a^2; F^2) + S(r, F)$$

i.e.,

$$2\left[1 - \frac{(1+k)(3+k)}{(2+k)^2}\right]T(r,F) \le \overline{N}(r,a;F) + \overline{N}(r,-a;F) + S(r,F),$$

which shows that

$$\Theta(a;F) + \Theta(-a;F) \le \frac{2(1+k)(3+k)}{(2+k)^2} = 2 - \frac{2}{(2+k)^2}.$$

This proves the corollary. \Box

Acknowledgement. Authors are thankful to the referee for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. BERGWEILER AND A. EREMENKO, On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 11 (1995), 355–373.
- [2] W. Bergweiler, On the product of a meromorphic function and its derivative, Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc., 1 (1997), 97–101.
- [3] H. H. CHEN AND M. L. FANG, The value distribution of $f^n f'$, Sci. China Ser. A, **38** (1995), 789–798.
- [4] W. Doeringer, Exceptional values of differential polynomials, Pacific J. Math., 98 (1982), 55-62.
- [5] W. K. HAYMAN, Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, Ann. of Math. (2), 70 (1959), 9-42.
- [6] W. K. HAYMAN, Meromorphic Functions, Oxford Math. Monogr., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964
- [7] W. K. HAYMAN, Research Problems in Function Theory, The Athlone Press University of London, London, 1967.
- [8] I. Lahiri, Value distribution of certain differential polynomials, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 28 (2001), 83-91.
- [9] E. Mues, Über ein Problem von Hayman, Math. Z., **164** (1979), 239–259.
- [10] A. P. Singh, On order of homogeneous differential polynomials, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 16 (1985), 791–795.

- [11] K.-W. Yu, A note on the product of meromorphic functions and its derivatives, Kodai Math. J., 24 (2001), 339–343.
- [12] Q. D. Zhang, The value distribution of $\phi(z) f'(z) f'(z)$, Acta Math. Sinica, 37 (1994), 91–98 (in Chinese).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI WEST BENGAL 741235 INDIA

e-mail: indrajit@cal2.vsnl.net.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI WEST BENGAL 741235 INDIA