

ON THE RELATION BETWEEN HARMONIC SUMMABILITY AND SUMMABILITY BY RIESZ MEANS OF CERTAIN TYPE

O. P. VARSHNEY

(Received September 19, 1958)

1. An infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ with partial sums $s_n = \sum_0^n u_k$ is said to be *summable by Harmonic means* [3], if the sequence $\{y_n\}$ tends to a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$(1.1) \quad y_n = \frac{b_n s_0 + b_{n-1} s_1 + \dots + b_0 s_n}{b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_n}, \quad \left(b_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \right).$$

We write $B_n = b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_n$ so that $B_n \sim \log n$.

The main interest of the method lies in the Tauberian theorem associated with it.

THEOREM A [2]. *If $\sum u_n$ is summable by Harmonic means, and*

$$u_n = O(n^{-\delta}) \quad 0 < \delta < 1,$$

then $\sum u_n$ is convergent.

If $\delta = 1$, Theorem A reduces to well known Tauber's first theorem, in view of the fact that Harmonic summability implies (C, δ) summability for every $\delta > 0$.

If $p_n \geq 0$, $p_0 > 0$, $\sum p_n = \infty$, (so that $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n \rightarrow \infty$), and

$$(1.2) \quad t_n = \frac{p_0 s_0 + p_1 s_1 + \dots + p_n s_n}{p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n} \rightarrow s$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we say that $s_n \rightarrow s(R, p_n)$ [1, p. 57]. If we choose $P_n = \exp n^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$), then the Tauberian condition of Theorem A is also the Tauberian condition of (R, p_n) summability.

The object of this note is to give an indirect proof of Theorem A by proving the following theorem:

THEOREM I. *If an infinite series $\sum u_n$ is summable by Harmonic means to the sum s , then it is also summable (R, p_n) to the same sum, where $P_n = \exp n^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$).*

2. Let a_n be defined by

$$(2. 1) \quad \left(1 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r x^r\right) \left(\sum_0^{\infty} b_r x^r\right) = 1.$$

We shall be using the following known relations [2].

$$(2. 2) \quad b_n = \sum_{r=1}^n a_r b_{n-r}$$

$$(2. 3) \quad B_n = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^n a_r B_{n-r},$$

$$(2. 4) \quad a_n = O\left(\frac{1}{n(\log n)^2}\right)$$

$$(2. 5) \quad a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$

In our case $a_n \geq 0$ by Kaluza's theorem [1, p. 68].

We shall also need the following lemma :

LEMMA. *If $P_n = \exp n^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and $m < n^{1-\alpha}$, then*

$$(2. 6) \quad \frac{p_{n-m}}{p_n} = 1 + O\left(\frac{m}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right).$$

PROOF .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_{n-m}}{p_n} &= \left(\frac{n}{n-m}\right)^{1-\alpha} \exp \{(n-m)^\alpha - n^\alpha\} \\ &= \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{m}{n-m}\right)\right\} \left\{1 - O\left(\frac{m}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right)\right\} \\ &= 1 + O\left(\frac{m}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem I. Without loss of generality we may assume that $s = 0$. From (1. 1) and (1. 2), we obtain

$$(3. 1) \quad s_n = B_n y_n - \sum_{r=1}^n a_r B_{n-r} y_{n-r},$$

and

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^n y_n c_{n,k},$$

where

$$c_{n,k} = \frac{B_k}{P_n} (p_k - a_1 p_{k+1} - \dots - a_{n-k} p_n) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

For the proof of our theorem it is sufficient to prove [1, p. 43] that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n,k} = 0 \quad \text{for each } k; \\ & \text{(ii)} \quad \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} = \lambda_n \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty; \\ & \text{(iii)} \quad \sum_{k=0}^n |c_{n,k}| < H \quad \text{where } H \text{ is independent of } n. \end{aligned}$$

Since Σa_n is convergent and $\frac{p_n}{P_n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right)$ it is easy to prove (3.2)

(i). For proving (3.2) (ii) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n B_k (p_k - a_1 p_{k+1} - \dots - a_{n-k} p_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{r=0}^n p_r [B_r - a_1 B_{r-1} \dots - a_r B_0] \\ &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{r=0}^n p_r \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

by using (2.3).

For proving (3.2) (iii) we assume that $n_0 = [n^{1-\alpha+\epsilon}]$ and $m_0 = [n^{1-\alpha-\epsilon}]$ where ϵ is a fixed positive number.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n |c_{n,k}| &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n B_k |p_k - a_1 p_{k+1} - \dots - a_{n-k} p_n| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-n_0} B_k p_k + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-n_0} B_k (a_1 p_{k+1} + \dots + a_{n-k} p_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=n-n_0+1}^n B_k |p_k - a_1 p_{k+1} - \dots - a_{n-k} p_n| \\ &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

First we consider Σ_1 .

$$(3.3) \quad \Sigma_1 = O(B_n) \frac{P_{n-n_0}}{P_n} = O(B_n) \exp(-\alpha n_0 / n^{1-\alpha}) = O(1).$$

Again using (2.3) and (2.5) we have

$$\Sigma_2 = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-n_0} B_k (a_1 p_{k+1} + \dots + a_{n-k} p_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{r=1}^{n-n_0+1} p_r (B_0 a_r + B_1 a_{r-1} + \dots + B_{r-1} a_1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{r=n-n_0+2}^n p_r (B_0 a_r + \dots + B_{n-n_0} a_{r-(n-n_0)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{r=1}^{n-n_0+1} p_r (B_r - 1) + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{r=n-n_0+2}^{n-n_0+1+m_0} p_r (B_0 a_r + \dots + B_{n-n_0} a_{r-(n-n_0)}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{r=n-n_0+2+m_0}^n p_r (B_0 a_r + \dots + B_{n-n_0} a_{r-(n-n_0)}) \\
&= O\left(\frac{B_n P_{n-n_0}}{P_n}\right) + O\left(\frac{P_{n-n_0+1+m_0}}{P_n}\right) B_n \max_{n-n_0+2 \leq r \leq n-n_0+1+m_0} (a_r + a_{r-1} + \dots + a_{r-(n-n_0)}) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{P_n B_n}{P_n}\right) \max_{n-n_0+2+m_0 \leq r \leq n} (a_r + a_{r-1} + \dots + a_{r-(n-n_0)}) \\
&= O(1) + O(\log n) \exp\{-\alpha(n-m_0-1)/n^{1-a}\} + O(B_n/\log m_0) \\
(3.4) \quad &= O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_3 &= O(B_n/P_n) \sum_{k=n-n_0+1}^n |p_k - a_1 p_{k+1} - \dots - a_{n-k} p_n| \\
&= O(B_n/P_n) \sum_{k=n-n_0+1}^{n-m_0-1} |p_k - a_1 p_{k+1} - \dots - a_{m_0} p_{k+m_0}| \\
&\quad + O(B_n/P_n) \sum_{k=n-n_0+1}^{n-m_0-1} (a_{m_0+1} p_{m_0+1+k} + \dots + a_{n-k} p_n) \\
&\quad + O(B_n/P_n) \sum_{k=n-m_0}^n |p_k - a_1 p_{k+1} - \dots - a_{n-k} p_n| \\
&= \Sigma_{31} + O(\log n/P_n) \sum_{r=n-n_0+m_0+2}^n p_r (a_{m_0+1} + a_{m_0+2} + \dots + a_{r-n+n_0-1}) \\
&\quad + O(\log n/P_n) p_n \sum_{k=n-m_0}^n (1 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n-k}) \\
&= \Sigma_{31} + O(\log n P_n/P_n \log m_0) + O(B_n p_n m_0/P_n) \\
(3.5) \quad &= \Sigma_{31} + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{31} &= O(\log n/P_n) \sum_{k=n-n_0+1}^{n-m_0-1} |p_k - a_1 p_{k+1} - \dots - a_{m_0} p_{k+m_0}| \\
&= O(\log n/P_n) \sum_{k=n-n_0+1}^{n-m_0-1} p_{k+m_0} \left| \frac{p_k}{p_{k+m_0}} - a_1 \frac{p_{k+1}}{p_{k+m_0}} - \dots - a_{m_0} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(\log n/P_n) \sum_{n-n_0+1}^{n-m_0-1} p_{k+m_0}(1 - a_1 - \dots - a_{m_0}) \\
&+ O(\log n/P_n) \sum_{n-n_0+1}^{n-m_0-1} \frac{p_{k+m_0}}{(k+m_0)^{1-\alpha}} [m_0 + (m_0 - 1)a_1 + \dots + a_{m_0-1}] \\
&= O(\log n/\log m_0) + O(m_0 \log n/P_n(n - n_0)^{1-\alpha}) \sum_{n-n_0+1}^{n-m_0-1} p_{k+m_0} \\
&= O(1) + O\left(\frac{m_0}{(n-n_0)^{1-\alpha}} \cdot \frac{P_n \log n}{P_n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.6) \quad = O(1).$$

Collecting (3. 3), (3. 4), (3. 5) and (3. 6) we see that (3. 2) (iii) is also satisfied. This completes the proof of the theorem.

I am indebted to Prof. M. L. Misra for his kind interest and advice in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] HARDY, G. H., *Divergent Series*, Oxford, (1949).
- [2] IYANGAR, K. S. K., A Tauberian theorem and its application to convergence of Fourier series. *Proc. Indian Acad. of Sciences*, 18 A (1943), 81-87.
- [3] RIESZ, M., Sur l'équivalence de certaines méthodes de sommation., *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* 22 (1924), 412-419.

UNIVERSITY OF SAUGAR, SAGAR (INDIA).