SUMMABILITY METHODS OF BOREL TYPE AND TAUBERIAN SERIES

KYUHEI IKENO

(Received March 16, 1964)

1. Introduction. Let $t_p = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{pk} s_k$ denote a linear transformation of a

sequence $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k$ where $\{u_k\}$ is a real or complex sequence. When a sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfies Tauberian condition of the form $\lambda_n u_n = O(1)^{1}$, it is sometimes possible to estimate $\limsup |t_p - s_n|$ even when $\{s_n\}$ and $\{t_p\}$ are divergent. Such estimation was initiated by H. Hadwiger [5]. R. P. Agnew [1], [2], [3] and [4] gave such estimations for Borel, Abel and integral transforms.

In a recent paper, A.Meir [7] defined summability methods of Borel type B(a,q) which contained Borel, Valiron, Euler, Taylor and S_{α} transformation and showed the following fact:

If
$$t_p = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk} s_k$$
 belongs to $B(a, q)$,
(1. 1) $\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |\sqrt{n} u_n| = L < +\infty$

and $n = n(\alpha)$, $p = p(\alpha)$ are positive increasing functions tending to $+\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ such that

(1. 2)
$$\limsup_{q \to \infty} |n - q| / \sqrt{q} = M < +\infty,$$

then

(1. 3)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |t_p - s_n| \leq A \cdot L,$$

where A is a finite constant depending only on M.

In the present paper, the author will consider the case

$$\limsup_{\alpha\to\infty} |n-q|/\sqrt{q} = +\infty$$

1) We have $\lambda_n = \sqrt{n}$ for Borel transforms and $\lambda_n = n$ for Abel transforms.

and show that with the same constant A, (1.3) is also true for the series satisfying the more general Tauberian condition of Schmidt type when $\{t_p\}$ belongs to B(a,q).

In section 4, we shall consider a problem on limit points of $\{t_p\}$ and $\{s_n\}$. We shall show by a counter example that the statement on limit points in [7] is not generally valid and shall give a substitute theorem on this problem.

Finally I wish to express my gratitude to Professor G. Sunouchi for his kind suggestions.

2. Summability Methods of Borel Type. After A. Meir let us say that the linear transformation $t_p = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk} s_k$ belongs to B(a,q), if the matrix $[c_{pk}]$ satisfies the following conditions: p is a discrete or continuous parameter, ais a positive constant and q = q(p) is a positive increasing function such that for every fixed δ , $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < \frac{2}{3}$

(2. 1)
$$c_{pk} = \left(\frac{a}{\pi q}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{a(k-q)^2}{q}\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{|k-q|+1}{q}\right) + O\left(\frac{|k-q|^3}{q^2}\right)\right)$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in k for $|k-q| \leq q^{\delta}$,

(2. 2)
$$\sum_{|k-q|>q^{\delta}} kc_{pk} = O(\exp(-q^{\eta}))$$

where η is some positive number independent of p, and

$$(2. 3) c_{pk} \ge 0.$$

It is known that the family B(a,q) with appropriate a and q contains such transformations as Borel, Valiron, S_{α} , Euler and Taylor, see [6] and [7].

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose that a sequence $\{s_n\}$ $(s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k)$ satisfies

(1.1)
$$\limsup |\sqrt{n} u_n| = L < +\infty$$

and that $\{t_p\}$ belongs to B(a, q). Let $n = n(\alpha)$ and $p = p(\alpha)$ be integer-valued increasing functions of a parameter α such that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} n(\alpha) = +\infty, \quad and \quad \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} p(\alpha) = +\infty.$$

i) If

(2. 4)
$$\limsup_{q \to \infty} |n - q| / \sqrt{q} = M < +\infty,$$

then we have

(2. 5)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |t_p - s_n| \leq A \cdot L,$$

where
$$A = A_{M} = (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (e^{-aM^{2}+2aM} \int_{0}^{M} e^{-ax^{2}} dx)$$

 $= \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |x - M| e^{-ax^{2}} dx.$

Moreover, the constant $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the best possible in the sense that there exists a real sequence $\{s_n\}$ such that $\limsup |\sqrt{n} u_n| = L < +\infty$ and the members of (2.5) are equal.

ii) If
$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} q(p(\alpha)) = +\infty$$

and

(2. 6)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |n-q|/\sqrt{q} = +\infty,$$

then A in the formula (2.5) is infinite in the sense that there exists a real sequence $\{s_n\}$ such that $\limsup |\sqrt{n} u_n| = L < +\infty$ and $\limsup |t_p - s_n| = +\infty$.

For the proof of this theorem we require the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. If $\{a_k(\alpha)\}\$ is a sequence of real functions defined for $\alpha > 0$, such that

(2. 7)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k(\alpha)| = M,$$

where M is finite or infinite and

(2.8)
$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} a_k(\alpha) = 0 \qquad for \ k = 1, 2, 3, \cdot \cdot \cdot,$$

then each bounded real or complex sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a transformation $y(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(\alpha)x_k$ such that

(2. 9)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |y(\alpha)| \leq M \limsup_{n \to \infty} |x_n|.$$

Moreover there is a real sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $0 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup |x_n| < +\infty$ and the members of (2.9) are equal.

For the proof of this lemma, see R. P. Agnew [2].

LEMMA 2.2. If the matrix $[c_{pk}]$ belongs to B(a, q), then

(2. 10)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk} = 1 + o(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \qquad as \ p \to \infty.$$

The proof follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) by simple calculations.

LEMMA 2.3. If we put
$$\sum_{k=m+1} k^{\frac{1}{2}} = \int_m x^{\frac{1}{2}} dx - \varepsilon_{m,n}$$

where $0 \leq m < n$, then we have

$$0 < arepsilon_{m,n} < m^{-rac{1}{2}}$$
 when $m > 1,$
 $0 < arepsilon_{m,n} < 2$ when $m = 0.$

and

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since the first part of this theorem has been proved by A. Meir [7], we shall prove the second part.

By using Lemma 2.2 and setting $\sqrt{k} u_k = x_k$, we get

$$t_{p} - s_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk} s_{k} - s_{n}$$

= $-u_{0} \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{pj} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k} \left(1 - \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{pj} \right) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} u_{k} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{pj}$
= $o(1) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{pj} \right) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} x_{k} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{pj},$

because the series are absolutely convergent.

If we set

(3. 1)
$$a_{k}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{pj} \right) & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{pj} & \text{for } n < k, \end{cases}$$

then we get

(3. 2)
$$t_p - s_n = o(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(\alpha) x_k$$

Since (2.6) holds, there is no loss of generality in setting $\lim_{a\to\infty} |n-q|/\sqrt{q} = \lim_{a\to\infty} |w| = +\infty$, where $w = (n-q)/\sqrt{q}$.

1°) The case where $w/\sqrt{q} = O(1)$. Using Lemma 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{k}(\alpha)| \ge \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{pj} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{pj} - |o(q^{-\frac{1}{2}})n^{1/2}|$$
$$= o(1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{pk} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} j^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_{pk} \sum_{j=n+1}^{k} j^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= O(1) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n}| c_{pk}.$$

Now we shall set

(3. 4)
$$F(\alpha) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n}| c_{pk}.$$

In the case n > q, w is positive and therefore we get

$$n = q + w\sqrt{q} \leq q + wq^{\delta}$$

 $\sqrt{k} + \sqrt{n} \leq 2\sqrt{q + wq^{\delta}}$ for max $(1, q - wq^{\delta}) \leq k \leq q + wq^{\delta}$,

and

$$|k-n| = n-k \ge w\sqrt{q}$$
 for $\max(1, q-wq^{\delta}) \le k \le q$.

Then from (3.4) we have

$$egin{aligned} F(lpha) &\geq 2\sum\limits_{q-wq^{\delta} \leq k \leq q+wq^{\delta}} |\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n}| c_{pk} \ &\geq rac{1}{\sqrt{q+wq^{\delta}}}\sum\limits_{q-wq^{\delta} \leq k \leq q} |k-n| c_{pk} \ &\geq rac{w\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q+wq^{\delta}}}\sum\limits_{q-wq^{\delta} \leq k \leq q} c_{pk}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take α large enough, then we get from (2.2) and Lemma 2.2

$$F(\alpha) \ge rac{1}{3} rac{w\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q+wq^{\delta}}}.$$

In the case n < q, we get the followings similarly for sufficiently large α

$$F(lpha) \ge rac{|w|\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q+|w|q^\delta}} \sum_{q \le k \le q+wq\delta} c_{pk} \ge rac{1}{3} rac{|w|\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q+|w|q^\delta}}.$$

Consequently we have for sufficiently large α

(3. 5)
$$F(\alpha) \ge \frac{1}{3} \frac{|w|\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q+|w|q^{\delta}}}$$

and then

(3. 6)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} F(\alpha) \ge \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{1}{3} \frac{|w|\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q} + |w|q^{\delta}} = +\infty.$$

Since for each fixed k, we have easily

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} a_k(\alpha) = 0,$$

then from Lemma 2.1, (3.3) and (3.4) we get

(3. 8)
$$A = \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k(\alpha)| = + \infty.$$

2°) The case where $\limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} |w| / \sqrt{q} = + \infty$. Since we have

SUMMABILITY METHODS OF BOREL TYPE

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left(1+\int_{1}^{n}x^{-\frac{1}{2}}dx\right) \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{q}} = \left(\frac{q+|w|\sqrt{q}}{q}\right)^{1/2},$$

it follows from Lemma 2.2 and 2.3 that

$$(3. 9) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k(\alpha)| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| -k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{jj} + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{jj}$$
$$\geq \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{jj} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{jj} - \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{n}{q}}$$
$$= O(1) + F(\alpha) - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{q+|w|\sqrt{q}}{q}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence we get from (3.5) and Lemma 2.2 for sufficiently large α

$$(3.10) F(\alpha) - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{q + |w|\sqrt{q}}{q} \right)^{1/2} \ge \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \frac{|w|\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q} + |w|q^{\delta}} - \left(\frac{q + |w|\sqrt{q}}{q} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{3} \frac{|w|\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q} + |w|q^{\delta}} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{q}{|w|} \right)^{1/2} \frac{(|w|^{-1} + q^{\delta-1})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{q}} \right) \\ \ge \frac{1}{6} \frac{|w|\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q} + |w|q^{\delta}}.$$

Now (3.7) also holds in this case and then we get from (3.9), and (3.10)

$$A = \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k(\alpha)| = + \infty.$$

Thus Theorem 2.1 is completely proved.

4. Tauberian constant and Limit points. The constant A_M mentioned above increases with M, and A_M attains to its minimum value $A_0 = (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ when and only when M = 0, that is $\lim (n - q)/\sqrt{q} = 0$.

We shall define that this constant $A_0 = (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is Tauberian constant of summability method B(a, q).

Now we can derive the following two theorems from Theorem 2.1. The same results on Borel transformation have been proved by R. P. Agnew [4].

THEOREM 4.1. Let
$$t_p = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk}s_k$$
 belong to $B(a,q)$ and let $q(p)$ tend to

infinity as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. A sequence $\{\alpha_i\}$ for which α_i tends to infinity is such that,

(4. 1)
$$\limsup_{\alpha_{i}\to\infty} |t_{p}-s_{n}| \leq (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \limsup_{n\to\infty} |\sqrt{n} u_{n}|,$$

whenever $\sum u_n$ satisfies Tauberian condition (1.1) in which L is positive, if and only if

(4. 2)
$$\lim_{\alpha_i \to \infty} (n-q)/\sqrt{q} = 0.$$

There is no sequence $\{\alpha_i\}$ such that α_i tends to infinity and

(4. 3)
$$\limsup_{\alpha_i\to\infty} |t_p - s_n| < (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \limsup_{n\to\infty} |\sqrt{n} \ u_n|,$$

whenever $\sum u_n$ satisfies Tauberian condition (1.1) in which L is positive.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $t_p = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk}s_k$ and q(p) satisfy the same conditions as in Theorem 4.1.

A function $n(\alpha)$ which is integer-valued for $\alpha > 0$ and tends to infinity as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ is such that,

(4. 4)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |t_p - s_n| \leq (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \limsup_{n \to \infty} |\sqrt{n} u_n|,$$

whenever $\sum u_n$ satisfies Tauberian condition (1.1) in which L is positive, if and only if

(4. 5)
$$\lim_{a\to\infty} (n-q)/\sqrt{q} = 0.$$

There is no function $n(\alpha)$ such that $n(\alpha)$ tends to infinity and

(4. 6)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |t_p - s_n| < (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \limsup_{n \to \infty} |\sqrt{n} u_n|,$$

whenever $\sum u_n$ satisfies Tauberian condition (1.1) in which L is positive.

Now we take the theorem concerning limit points of $\{t_p\}$ and $\{s_n\}$. It is mentioned in [7] without proof that if (1.1) is satisfied and Z and Z_B denote the set of limit points of $\{s_n\}$ and $\{t_p\}$ respectively, then for each $s \in Z$ there exists at least one $t \in Z_B$ such that

$$|t-s| \leq (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot L.$$

However this statement is not generally valid without some appropriate condition on q(p). This fact is shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE. Define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ by

(4. 7) $u_0 = 1$

$$u_n = \begin{cases} -\nu^{-2} & (\nu^4 - \nu^3 < n \leq \nu^4, \nu = 1, 2, 3, \cdots) \\ \nu^{-2} & (\nu^4 < n \leq \nu^4 + \nu^3 + \nu^2, \nu = 1, 2, \cdots) \\ 0 & (\text{for other } n). \end{cases}$$

Here we can easily see

$$L = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup |\sqrt{n} u_n| = 1$$

and

(4. 9)
$$s_{\nu^4} = 0, \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$

Hence s = 0 is a point of Z.

Let a summability matrix $[c_{pk}]$ belong to B(a,q), where q = q(p) is a strictly increasing continuous function of p and q(p) tends to infinity as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we set for $\nu = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$

(4.10)
$$q_{\nu} = q(p_{\nu}) = \nu^{4} + 2(\nu^{3} + \nu^{2}) + \frac{\nu}{2}$$

and construct a new summability matrix $[c_{pv,k}]$ from $[c_{pk}]$. Since $[c_{pk}]$ belongs to B(a, q) the new matrix $[c_{pv,k}]$ also belongs to $B(a, q_v)$. We divide the summation of $t_{pv} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pvk} s_k$ into two parts and set t'_{pv} , t''_{pv} as follows:

(4.11)
$$t_{p_{v}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p_{v}k} s_{k}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{|k-q_{v}| \le q_{v}^{\delta}} + \sum_{|k-q_{v}| < q_{v}^{\delta}} \right) c_{p_{v}k} s_{k}$$
$$= t'_{p_{v}} + t''_{p_{v}}.$$

If we take ν large enough, then we get

$$(4.12) s_k = \nu + 1 \text{for } |k - q_\nu| \leq q_\nu^\delta$$

and applying Lemma 2.2, formulas (4.9), (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) to (4.11), we get

(4.13)
$$t'_{p_{\nu}} = (\nu + 1) \sum_{|k-q_{\nu}| \le q_{\nu}^{\delta}} c_{\nu k}$$
$$\ge (\nu + 1) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + o(1/\sqrt{q_{\nu}}) - O(e^{-q_{\nu}^{\eta}}) \right\}$$

and

(4.14)
$$t_{p_{\nu}}^{\prime\prime} = \sum_{|k-q_{\nu}| > q_{\nu}^{\delta}} c_{pk} s_{k}$$
$$\leq \sum_{|k-q_{\nu}| > q_{\nu}^{\delta}} c_{p_{\nu}k} = O(e^{-q_{\nu}^{\eta}}) = o(1).$$

as
$$\nu \rightarrow \infty$$
.

Then we get from (4.11), (4.13) and (4.14) $\liminf_{v\to\infty} t_{p_v} = +\infty$ and thus we have shown that there is no point $t \in Z_B$ such that $\limsup_{v\to\infty} |t-s| \leq (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $s=0 \in Z$.

A.Meir have proved in [7], using the sequence $\{u_n\}$ defined by (4.7), that the least constant A satisfying the condition that for every sequence $\{s_n\}$ which satisfies Tauberian condition (1.1) and each $s \in Z$, there should exist at least one $t \in Z_B$ such that $|t - s| \leq A \cdot L$, is $A_0 = (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

If we assume that q = q(p) is continuous and tends to infinity as $p \rightarrow \infty$, then using Theorem 2.1 the statement mentioned above is valid and the following theorem shows that this statement is true to some generalized condition on q(p).

THEOREM 4.3. Let a summability matrix belong to B(a, q) where q = q(p) is a increasing function of p and tends to infinity as $p \rightarrow \infty$, and let a sequence $\{s_n\}$ satisfy the Tauberian condition (1.1). J(p) denotes q(p+0) - q(p-0).

If we suppose $J(p) = o(\sqrt{q(p)})^{2}$, then for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists at least one $t \in \mathbb{Z}_B$ such that

$$|t-s| \leq (a\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot L.$$

Using Theorem 2.1 we can easily prove this theorem.

5. Schmidt Condition. It is well known and is easy to prove that if a series $\sum u_n$ satisfies Tauberian condition (1.1), then its partial sums $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k$ satisfy the more general Tauberian condition of Schmidt type

(5. 1) $\limsup_{p \to \infty} \max_{|q-p| \leq \lambda \sqrt{p}} |s_q - s_p| \leq L \cdot \lambda, \text{ where } \lambda \text{ is positive.}$

Letting $n(\alpha)$, $p(\alpha)$ be defined as in section 1, we shall determine the least constant A' which depends upon the functions $n(\alpha)$ and q(p) such that

(5. 2)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |t_p - s_n| \leq A'L,$$

where the sequence $\{s_n\}$ satisfies Schmidt condition (5.1).

Now we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. Suppose that the sequence $\{s_n\}$ $(s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k)$ satisfies Schmidt condition (5.1) and $\{t_n\}$ belongs to B(q, q), where q(p) tends to infinity

as $p \to \infty$ and $n(\alpha)$, $p(\alpha)$ are the functions of parameter α as in Theorem 2.1. Then the least constant A' for which (5.2) holds is equal to the const-

ant A in Theorem 2.1.

Introducing the following two lemmas, we can prove this theorem with the same method as in [4] by R. P. Agnew.

LEMMA 5.1. Suppose that the sequence $\{s_n\}$ satisfies Schmidt condition (5.1), $n(\alpha)/q(\alpha) \rightarrow 1$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, and

2) If $p = p_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots)$, then the condition is replaced by $q(p_i) - q(p_{i-1}) = o(\sqrt{q(p_{i-1})})$.

(5. 3)
$$f(\alpha) = \max_{|k-n| \leq X(\alpha) \sqrt{q}} |s_k - s_n|,$$

where $X(\alpha)$ is bounded. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number α_0 such that

(5. 4)
$$f(\alpha) < L \cdot X(\alpha) + \varepsilon, \qquad \alpha > \alpha_0.$$

For the proof, see R. P. Agnew [4].

LEMMA 5.2. If

(5. 5)
$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k(\alpha) h_k(\alpha) ,$$

where $g_k(\alpha)$ and $h_k(\alpha)$ are nonnegative and bounded and $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} g_k(\alpha) = G_k$ for each k, then

(5. 6)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} f(\alpha) = \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} G_k h_k(\alpha).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1. Since each series satisfying Tauberian condition (1.1) also satisfies Schmidt condition (5.1), it is evident from the definition of A and A' in (2.5) and (5.2) that $A' \ge A$. Then we can prove A' = A, provided that we show $A' \le A$. In the case where $\limsup |w| = +\infty$ we have $A = +\infty$ from (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and the inequality $A' \le A$ is evidently satisfied. Next, we consider the case where $\limsup |w| = M < +\infty$.

Since the sequence $\{s_n\}$ satisfies Schmidt condition (5.1), we can easily obtain $s_n = O(\sqrt{n})$. From this fact and Lemma 2.2 and since $n/q \rightarrow 1$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we have

(5. 7)
$$t_{p} - s_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk} s_{k} - s_{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk} + o(1/\sqrt{q}) \right)^{k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk} (s_{k} - s_{n}) + o(1)$$
$$= H(\alpha) + o(1),$$

where

(5.8)
$$H(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{pk}(s_k - s_n).$$

Now we shall estimate $|H(\alpha)|$, dividing summation of (5.8) into three parts and we set $H_1(\alpha)$, $H_2(\alpha)$ $H_3(\alpha)$ as follows:

(5. 9)
$$|H(\alpha)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |s_k - s_n| c_{pk}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{|k-q| \leq T_{n/q}} + \sum_{T_{n/q} < |k-q|q^{\delta}} \sum_{|k-q| > q^{\delta}} \right) |s_k - s_n| c_{pk}$$
$$= H_1(\alpha) + H_2(\alpha) + H_3(\alpha),$$

where T is a fixed constant large enough. At first we consider H(x) We have

At first we consider $H_1(\alpha)$. We have

(5.10)
$$H_{1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{|k-q| \leq T_{\mathcal{N}} \neq \overline{q}}} |s_{k} - s_{n}| c_{pk}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{r=-N}}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{k \in E(r,\alpha)}} |s_{k} - s_{n}| c_{pk}$$
$$\leq \sum_{\substack{r=-N}}^{N-1} f_{r}(\alpha) \sum_{\substack{k \in E(r,\alpha)}} c_{pk},$$

where $E(r, \alpha)$ is the set of nonnegative integer k for which

(5.11)
$$q + r \frac{T}{N} \sqrt{q} \leq k \leq q + (r+1) \frac{T}{N} \sqrt{q}$$

and

(5.12)
$$f_r(\alpha) = \max_{\substack{k \in E(r,\alpha)}} |s_k - s_n|$$
$$= \max_{\substack{(r\frac{T}{N} - w)\sqrt{q} \leq k - n \leq ((r+1)\frac{T}{N} - w)\sqrt{q}}} |s_k - s_n|.$$

Appliying Lemma 5.1 to $f_r(\alpha)$ in (5.10) we find that there is a number α_0 such that for each integer r satisfying $-N \leq r \leq N-1$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

(5.13)
$$f_r(\alpha) \leq L \cdot X(r,\alpha) + \varepsilon, \qquad \alpha > \alpha_0,$$

where

(5.14)
$$X(r,\alpha) = \max\left(\left|r\frac{T}{N} - w\right|, (r+1)\frac{T}{N} - w\right|\right).$$

Then we have

(5.15)
$$H_{1}(\alpha) \leq \sum_{r=-N}^{N-1} (L \cdot X(r, \alpha) + \sum_{k \in E(r, \alpha)} c_{pk})$$
$$\leq \varepsilon + o(1) + L \sum_{r=-N}^{N-1} X(r, \alpha) \sum_{k \in E(r, \alpha)} c_{pk}.$$

Since the summability matrix $[c_{pk}]$ belongs to B(a,q) we get for sufficiently large α

(5.16)
$$\sum_{k \in E(r,a)} c_{pk} = \sum_{rT/N \leq (k-q)/\sqrt{q} \leq (r+1)T/N} c_{pk}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi q}} \sum_{rT/N \leq (k-q)/\sqrt{q} \leq (r+1)T/N} \exp\left(\frac{(-a(k-q)^2)}{q}\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{|k-q|+1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) + O\left(\frac{|k-q|^3}{q^2}\right)\right)$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{rT/N}^{(r+1)T/N} \exp\left(-ax^2\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{|x|+1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) + O\left(\frac{|x|^3}{\sqrt{q}}\right)\right) dx + o(1)$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{rT/N}^{(r+1)T/N} \exp\left(-ax^2\right) dx + o(1).$$

Applying Lemma 5.2 to (5.15) we have

(5.17)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} H_{1}(\alpha) = L \cdot \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} \sum_{r=-N}^{N-1} X(r, \alpha) \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{rT/N}^{(r+1)T/N} \exp(-ax^{2}) dx$$
$$= L \cdot \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} H_{1}'(\alpha),$$

where

(5.18)
$$H'_{1}(\alpha) = \sum_{r=-N}^{N-1} X(r,\alpha) \int_{r_{T/N}}^{(r+1)T/N} \exp(-ax^{2}) dx.$$

By the definition of $X(r, \alpha)$, (5.14), when $rT/N \leq x_r \leq (r+1)T/N$ and when N is large enough, from (5.18) we have the following inequality

SUMMABILITY METHODS OF BOREL TYPE

(5.19)
$$H_{1}'(\alpha) \leq \sum_{r=-N}^{N-1} \left(\frac{T}{N} + |x_{r} - w| \int_{rT/N}^{(r+1)T/N} \exp(-ax^{2}) dx \right)$$
$$= \frac{T}{N} \int_{-T}^{T} \exp(-ax^{2}) dx + \int_{-T}^{T} |x - w| \exp(-ax^{2}) dx + \varepsilon.$$

If we take T large enough and for this T we take N large enough such as

(5.20)
$$\int_{|x|>T} |x-w|^{-ax^2} dx < \varepsilon \text{ and } \frac{T}{N} \int_{-T}^{T} e^{-ax^2} dx < \varepsilon,$$

then we get from (5.17), (5.19) and (5.20)

(5.21)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} H_1(\alpha) \leq 3\varepsilon + L \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |x - M| e^{-\alpha x^*} dx.$$

In the next place we shall estimate $H_2(\alpha)$ defined by (5.9). Since the sequence $\{s_n\}$ satisfies Schmidt condition (5.1) and from the fact that $|k-n| > \sqrt{n}$ for $T\sqrt{q} < |k-n| \le q^{\delta}$, we obtain

(5.22)
$$|s_k - s_n| \leq c |\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n}|$$
 for $T\sqrt{q} < |k - n| \leq q^{\delta}$

where c is a constant independent of k and n.

Then we have

(5.23)
$$H_{2}(\alpha) = \sum_{T_{n}\sqrt{q} < |k-q| \le d^{\delta}} |s_{k} - s_{n}| c_{pk} \le c \sum_{T_{n}\sqrt{q} < |k-q| \le d^{\delta}} |\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n}| c_{pk}$$
$$\le \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{T_{n}\sqrt{q} < |k-q| \le d^{\delta}} |k - n| c_{pk}$$
$$\le \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{T_{n}\sqrt{q} < |k-q| \le d^{\delta}} (|k - q| + |w|\sqrt{q}) c_{pk}$$
$$= \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{T_{n}\sqrt{q} < |k-q| \le d^{\delta}} |k - q| c_{pk} + \frac{c|w|}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{T_{n}\sqrt{q} < |k-q| \le d^{\delta}} \sqrt{q} c_{pk}$$
$$= H_{21}(\alpha) + H_{22}(\alpha),$$

where

$$H_{21}(\alpha) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{T_{n}\sqrt{q} < |k-q| \leq q^{\delta}} |k-q| c_{pk},$$

and

224

$$H_{22}(\alpha) = \frac{c |w|}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{T_{n/\overline{q}} < |k-q| \leq a^{\delta}} \sqrt{q} c_{pk}.$$

Since the summability matrix $[c_{pk}]$ belongs to B(a,q), we have for sufficiently large α

$$\begin{split} H_{21}(\alpha) &= \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{T,\sqrt{q} < |k-q| \le q^3} \frac{|k-q|}{\sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi q}}} \exp\left(\frac{-a(k-q)^2}{q}\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{|k-q|+1}{q}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2c}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{qa}{\pi}} \int_T^\infty x e^{-ax^2} dx + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_T^\infty x^2 e^{-ax^2} dx\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_T^\infty x^4 e^{-ax^2} dx\right) + o(1). \end{split}$$

If we take T large enough, we get

At the same time we obtain for sufficiently large T

(5.25)
$$H_{22}(\alpha) = \frac{c |w|}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{T \wedge \overline{q} < |k-q| \leq q^{\delta}} \sqrt{q} c_{pk}$$
$$= O\left(\sum_{T < |k-q| \sqrt{q}} c_{pk}\right) < \varepsilon.$$

From (5.23), (5.24) and (5.25) we consequently obtain

$$(5.26) H_2(\alpha) \leq 2\varepsilon + o(1).$$

Finally we consider $H_{\mathfrak{s}}(\alpha)$ defined by (5.9). Since $n/q \rightarrow 1$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$ert \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n} ert \leq n \quad ext{for } k < q - q^{\delta},$$

 $ert \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n} ert \leq k \quad ext{for } q + q^{\delta} < k,$

and from (5.22) and Lemma 2.2, we have

(5.27)
$$H_{3}(\alpha) = \sum_{|k-q|>q^{\delta}} |s_{k} - s_{n}| c_{pk}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{|k-q|>q^{\delta}} |\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n}| c_{pk}$$

$$\leq c \left(\sum_{0 \leq k < q-q^{\delta}} + \sum_{q+q^{\delta} < k} \right) |\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{n}| c_{pk}$$

$$\leq c (n+1) \sum_{|k-q|>q^{\delta}} k c_{pk}$$

$$= O(q \exp(-q^{\eta}) + \exp(-q^{\eta})) = o(1).$$

From (5.9), (5.21), (5.26) and (5.27) we obtain

(5.28)
$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |H(\alpha)| \leq \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} H_1(\alpha) + \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} H_2(\alpha) + \limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} H_3(\alpha)$$
$$\leq 5\varepsilon + L \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |x - M| e^{-\alpha x^2} dx.$$

Since (5.28) holds for each $\varepsilon > 0$, it implies that

$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} |H(\alpha)| \leq L \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |x - M| e^{-ax^2} dx$$

and therefore

$$A' \leq \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |x - M| e^{-ax^3} dx = A.$$

Thus we get A' = A and prove Theorem 5.1, completely.

References

- R.P.AGNEW, Abel transforms of Tauberian series, Duke Math. Journ., 12(1945), 27-36.
- [2] R. P. AGNEW, Abel transforms and partial sums of Tauberian series, Annals of Math., 50(1949), 110-117.
- [3] R.P.AGNEW, Integral transformations and Tauberian constants, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72(1952), 501-518.
- [4] R.P.AGNEW, Borel transforms of Tauberian series, Math. Zeitschr., 67(1957), 51-62.
- [5] H. HADWIGER, Über ein Distanztheorem bei der A-Limitierung, Comm. Math. Helvetici, 16(1944), 209-214.
- [6] G. H. HARDY, Divergent Series, Oxford Press, 1949.
- [7] A. MEIR, Tauberian constants for a family of transformations, Annals of Math., 78 (1963), 594-599.

AKITA UNIVERSITY.