

NONLINEAR SEMIGROUP FOR THE UNNORMALIZED CONDITIONAL DENSITY

YASUHIRO FUJITA

(Received March 7, 1984)

1. Introduction. We are concerned with partially observable control problems. Let X_t be a state process being controlled, Y_t an observation process and U_t an admissible control defined on a probability space (Ω, F, P) . The process X_t and Y_t are governed by the following stochastic differential equations:

$$(1.1) \quad dX_t = b(X_t, U_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$

$$(1.2) \quad dY_t = h(X_t)dt + d\tilde{W}_t \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$

where W_t and \tilde{W}_t are independent Wiener processes with values in \mathbf{R}^N and \mathbf{R}^M , respectively (for simplicity, we let $M = 1$ here).

Our object is to minimize

$$(1.3) \quad J = Ef(X_T)$$

by a suitable choice of an admissible control, where f is a given cost function. Define Z_t by

$$Z_t = \exp \left[\int_0^t h(X_s) dY_s - (1/2) \int_0^t |h(X_s)|^2 ds \right].$$

Then, by Girsanov's formula, Y_t and W_t turn out as independent Wiener processes under the new probability measure \hat{P} defined by $d\hat{P} = Z_T^{-1}dP$. In partially observable control problems, an admissible control U_t is usually measurable with respect to $\sigma_t(Y)$ (the σ -field generated by the observation process Y_s for $0 \leq s \leq t$). But, in this note we apply the same idea of admissibility as that in Fleming and Pardoux [5], namely we merely require that U_t is independent of W and $Y_r - Y_t$ for $r \geq t$. Let F_t denote $\sigma_t(Y, U)$ and $L(u)$ be the infinitesimal generator of X_t with a constant control u . Bensoussan [1] and Pardoux [9] showed that the unnormalized conditional probability $P(t, \omega)$, defined by

$$\hat{E}[g(X_t)Z_t|F_t](\omega) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} g(x)P(t, \omega)(dx)$$

for any bounded Borel function g on \mathbf{R}^N , has a density $p(t, x, \omega)$ under mild assumptions on b , σ and h . Furthermore, $p(t)$ is regarded as a

Sobolev space $H^2(\mathbf{R}^N)$ -valued process, which satisfies the following Zakai equation:

$$(1.4) \quad dp(t) = L^*(U_t)p(t)dt + hp(t)dY_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

In § 2, we show some regularity results on $p(t)$. In § 3, we regard $p(t)$ as a state which is governed by the equation (1.4). So, our problem (1.3) turns out to be the minimization of $J = \hat{E}F(p(t))$, and we construct a nonlinear semigroup Q_t associated with the optimal value. In § 4, we look for the generator of Q_t which is related to Mortensen equation.

Our semigroup Q_t is heavily related to the semigroup constructed by Fleming [4]. He regarded an unnormalized conditional distribution itself as state and constructed a nonlinear semigroup on the space of functions of measures on \mathbf{R}^N . Here, we mainly use the $L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)$ -theory instead of his method of measure theory.

This paper grew out of the author's master's thesis at Tohoku University. He would like to thank Professors T. Tsuchikura and M. Nishio for their advice and encouragement.

2. The control problem for the unnormalized conditional density.

Assume the following conditions (A1)~(A5):

- (A1) Γ is a convex compact subset of \mathbf{R}^L .
- (A2) $a \in C_b^2(\mathbf{R}^N, \mathbf{R}^{N^2})$, where $a = (a_{ij}) = \sigma\sigma^*$.
- (A3) $b \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R}^L, \mathbf{R}^N)$ and $b(\cdot, u) \in C_b^2(\mathbf{R}^N, \mathbf{R}^N)$ for each $u \in \Gamma$.
- (A4) $h \in C_b^2(\mathbf{R}^N, \mathbf{R})$.
- (A5) There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \alpha|\xi|^2 \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^N,$$

where $C_b^k(\mathbf{R}^m, \mathbf{R}^n)$ is the space of functions whose partial derivatives up to order k are bounded continuous \mathbf{R}^n -valued functions on \mathbf{R}^m .

Choose any $T > 0$ which is fixed throughout this note. For each $t \in [0, T]$, put

$$\Omega_t = \{(Y, U): Y_0 = 0, Y \in C([0, T], \mathbf{R}^N), U \in L^2([0, T], \Gamma)\}$$

and $F_t = \sigma_t(Y, U)$.

DEFINITION. A probability measure π_t on (Ω_t, F_t) is called an admissible control on $[0, t]$, if Y is a $(\pi_t, \{F_s\})$ -Wiener process for $0 \leq s \leq t$.

Let \mathcal{A}_t denote the set of all admissible controls on $[0, t]$. When $t = T$, we denote Ω_T and \mathcal{A}_T by Ω and \mathcal{A} . For simplicity, we use the following notations, $L^2 = L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)$, $H^i = H^i(\mathbf{R}^N)$ ($i = -1, 1, 2, 3$), $(\cdot, \cdot) =$ scalar product in L^2 , $|\cdot| = L^2$ -norm, $\|\cdot\| = H^1$ -norm, $\|\cdot\|_2 = H^2$ -norm,

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle =$ duality pairing between H^1 and H^{-1} . For each $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$ denote by $M_\pi^2(0, T; L^2)$ the space of L^2 -valued measurable processes Φ such that

(i) $\Phi(t)$ is an F_t -adapted process,

(ii)
$$E_\pi \int_0^T |\Phi(s)|^2 ds < \infty ,$$

where E_π stands for the expectation with respect to π . We define similarly $M_\pi^2(0, T; X)$ for $X = \mathbf{R}^N$ and H^1 . For $\Phi \in M_\pi^2(0, T; L^2)$, we define an L^2 -valued stochastic process $\int_0^t \Phi(s) dY_s$ by

$$\left(\phi, \int_0^t \Phi(s) dY_s \right) = \int_0^t (\phi, \Phi(s)) dY_s, \quad \phi \in L^2 .$$

Define the operators $L(u)$ and $L^*(u)$ of $L(H^1, H^{-1})$ by

(2.1)
$$\begin{aligned} \langle L(u)\phi, \psi \rangle &= \langle \phi, L^*(u)\psi \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \tilde{b}_i(x, u) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \psi dx \end{aligned}$$

for $\phi, \psi \in H^1$ and $u \in \Gamma$, where $L(H^1, H^{-1})$ is the space of bounded linear operators from H^1 into H^{-1} and

$$\tilde{b}_i(x, u) = b_i(x, u) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}(x) .$$

Thanks to (A1)~(A5), it is easily proved that there exist $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$, so that for all $\phi \in H^1$ and $u \in \Gamma$,

(2.2)
$$-\langle L^*(u)\phi, \phi \rangle + \lambda |\phi|^2 \geq (\alpha/2) \|\phi\|^2 .$$

We consider the following two Zakai equations (2.3) and (2.4):

(2.3)
$$\begin{cases} p \in M_\pi^2(0, T; H^1) \\ dp(t) = L^*(U_t)p(t)dt + hp(t)dY_t \\ p(0) = \psi \in L^2 . \end{cases}$$

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} p \in M_\pi^2(0, T; H^1) \\ dp(t) = [L^*(U_t)p(t) + f(t)]dt + [hp(t) + g(t)]dY_t \\ p(0) = \psi \in L^2 , \end{cases}$$

where $f \in M_\pi^2(0, T; H^{-1})$ and $g \in M_\pi^2(0, T; L^2)$. We state the following propositions without proof, which are easy variants of the results of Bensoussan [1] and Pardoux [9].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For each $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$, the equation (2.4) has a unique solution p , which satisfies*

(i) $p \in L^2(\Omega, d\pi, C([0, T], L^2))$

(ii) $|p(t)|^2 = |\psi|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle L^*(U_s)p(s) + f(s), p(s) \rangle ds$
 $+ 2 \int_0^t (hp(s) + g(s), p(s)) dY_s + \int_0^t |hp(s) + g(s)|^2 ds .$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Besides (A1)~(A5), we assume that ψ belongs to H^2 . Then, for each $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$, the equation (2.3) has a unique solution p , which satisfies (ii) for $f = g = 0$ and*

(iii) $p \in M_\pi^2(0, T; H^3) \cap L^2(\Omega, d\pi; C([0, T], H^2)) .$

Furthermore, $\partial p / \partial x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} d\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}\right) = \left[L^*(U_t)\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}\right) + \tilde{f}(t) \right] dt + \left[h\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}\right) + \tilde{g}(t) \right] dY_t . \\ \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}\right)(0) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{f} \in M_\pi^2(0, T; H^{-1})$ and $\tilde{g} \in M_\pi^2(0, T; L^2)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{f}(t), \phi \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial a_{kl}}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_l}(t) dx \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial \tilde{b}_k}{\partial x_i}(x, U_t) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(t) \phi dx \quad \text{for } \phi \in H^1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{g}(t) = (\partial h / \partial x_i) p(t) .$$

LEMMA 2.1. *There exist constants $K_1, K_2 \geq 1$, such that for any $\psi \in L^2$*

(2.5) $\sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi |p(t)|^2 \leq K_1 |\psi|^2 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T ,$

(2.6) $\sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi |p(t)|^4 \leq K_2 |\psi|^4 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T .$

PROOF. Using Propostion 2.1 and (2.2), we get

(2.7) $|p(t)|^2 \leq |\psi|^2 + K_3 \int_0^t |p(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^t (hp(s), p(s)) dY_s ,$

where $K_3 = (2\lambda + |h|_\infty^2)$ and $|h|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |h(x)|$. Taking the expectation of both sides of (2.7) and using Gronwall's inequality, we obtain (2.5) for $K_1 = e^{K_3 T}$.

Next, from (2.7), we get

(2.8) $E_\pi |p(t)|^4 \leq 4|\psi|^4 + 4(K_3^2 T + |h|_\infty^2) E_\pi \int_0^t |p(s)|^4 ds .$

By Gronwall's inequality, we obtain (2.6).

Using the same methods as in Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following.

LEMMA 2.2. *There exist constants $K_4, K_5 \geq 1$, such that for any $\psi \in H^1$*

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \|p(t)\|^2 \leq K_4 \|\psi\|^2 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \|p(t)\|^4 \leq K_5 \|\psi\|^4 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

3. Nonlinear semigroup. Hereafter, we assume that the initial $p(0) = \psi$ belongs to H^2 . Let C denote the space of functionals on H^2 satisfying the following two conditions:

(C1) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\phi, \tilde{\phi} \in H^2$ and $\|\phi - \tilde{\phi}\| < \delta$, then

$$|F(\phi)/(1 + \|\phi\|^2) - F(\tilde{\phi})/(1 + \|\tilde{\phi}\|^2)| < \varepsilon.$$

$$(C2) \quad \sup_{\phi \in H^2} [F(\phi)/(1 + \|\phi\|^2)] < \infty.$$

For simplicity, we put $\rho(\phi) = (1 + \|\phi\|^2)$ for $\phi \in H^1$. We define a norm $\|\cdot\|_C$ by

$$\|F\|_C = \sup_{\phi \in H^2} [F(\phi)|\rho^{-1}(\phi)].$$

Then, C becomes a Banach space.

Define Q_t by

$$Q_t F(\psi) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi F(p_\psi(t)),$$

where $p_\psi(t)$ is the solution of (2.3). Then, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Q_t maps C into C .*

PROOF. For $F \in C$ and $\psi, \tilde{\psi} \in H^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |Q_t F(\psi)\rho^{-1}(\psi) - Q_t F(\tilde{\psi})\rho^{-1}(\tilde{\psi})| \\ & \leq \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} [E_\pi |F(p_\psi(t))\rho^{-1}(p_\psi(t)) - F(p_{\tilde{\psi}}(t))\rho^{-1}(p_{\tilde{\psi}}(t))| \rho(p_\psi(t))\rho^{-1}(\psi)] \\ & \quad + \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} [E_\pi |F(p_{\tilde{\psi}}(t))\rho^{-1}(p_{\tilde{\psi}}(t))| \rho(p_\psi(t))\rho^{-1}(\psi) - \rho(p_{\tilde{\psi}}(t))\rho^{-1}(\tilde{\psi})] \\ & \equiv I_1 + I_2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that

$$|F(\phi)\rho^{-1}(\phi) - F(\tilde{\phi})\rho^{-1}(\tilde{\phi})| < \varepsilon,$$

whenever $\phi, \tilde{\phi} \in H^2$ and $\|\phi - \tilde{\phi}\| < \delta$. Put $A = \{\omega: \|p_\psi(t) - p_{\tilde{\psi}}(t)\| < \delta\}$. Then,

$$I_1 \leq \varepsilon \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi[1_A \rho(p_\psi(t)) \rho^{-1}(\psi)] + 2 \|F\|_C \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi[1_{A^c} \rho(p_\psi(t)) \rho^{-1}(\psi)] ,$$

where 1_A stands for the characteristic function of A . Using (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$I_1 \leq \varepsilon(1 + K_4) + (2/\delta) \|F\|_C [2(1 + K_4)]^{1/2} \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\| .$$

In the same way, we have

$$I_2 \leq \|F\|_C (1 + 6K_4) \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\| .$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |Q_t F(\psi) \rho^{-1}(\psi) - Q_t F(\tilde{\psi}) \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\psi})| \\ & \leq \varepsilon(1 + K_4) + \|F\|_C [(2/\delta) \{2(1 + K_4)\}^{1/2} + (1 + 6K_4)] \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\| . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $Q_t F$ satisfies (C1). Appealing to

$$|Q_t F(\psi)| \leq \|F\|_C (1 + K_4) \rho(\psi) ,$$

we see that $Q_t F$ satisfies (C2). This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. $Q_{s+t} F = Q_s Q_t F$ for $F \in C$ and $s, t \geq 0, s + t \leq T$.

From Theorems 1 and 2, we see that Q_t is a semigroup on C .

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on two lemmas. We write $p_\psi(t)$ as $p_\psi^{Y,U}(t)$ to emphasize its dependence on $(Y, U) \in \Omega$. Let us denote

$$Y_t^s = Y_{s+t} - Y_s , \quad U_t^s = U_{s+t} .$$

Clearly, $(Y, U) \in \Omega$ implies $(Y^s, U^s) \in \Omega_{T-s}$.

LEMMA 3.1. For each $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 < s < T$, the following equation holds as an element of $C([0, T - s], H^2)$ with π_{T-s} -probability 1,

$$(3.1) \quad p_\psi^{Y,U}(s + t) = p_{p^s}^{Y^s, U^s}(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T - s] ,$$

where $p^s = p_\psi^{Y,U}(s)$.

PROOF. We have for $0 < t < T - s, \pi_{T-s}$ -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} p_\psi^{Y,U}(s + t) &= \psi + \int_0^{s+t} L^*(U_\theta) p(\theta) d\theta + \int_0^{s+t} h p(\theta) dY_\theta \\ &= \psi + \int_0^s L^*(U_\theta) p(\theta) d\theta + \int_0^s h p(\theta) dY_\theta + \int_s^{s+t} L^*(U_\theta) p(\theta) d\theta + \int_s^{s+t} h p(\theta) dY_\theta \\ &= p_\psi^{Y,U}(s) + \int_0^t L^*(U_\theta^s) p(s + \theta) d\theta + \int_0^t h p(s + \theta) dY_\theta^s . \end{aligned}$$

Since the solution of (2.3) is uniquely determined in $L^2(\Omega_{T-s}, \pi_{T-s}, C([0, T - s]H^2))$, this completes the proof.

Let $\pi_s(Y, U)$ be the regular conditional distribution for (Y^s, U^s) given

F_s . Next lemma is proved by the same method as in Fleming [4].

LEMMA 3.2. If $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$, then we get for $0 \leq s, t, s + t \leq T$,

(i)
$$\pi_s(Y, U) \in \mathcal{A}_{T-s}, \quad \pi_s\text{-a.s.},$$

(ii)
$$E_\pi F(p_{\psi}^{Y,U}(s+t)) = \int_D E_{\pi_s(Y,U)} [F(p_{\psi}^{Y^s U^s}(t))] d\pi_s.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Step 1. From Lemma 3.2 (ii), we see

(3.2)
$$E_\pi F(p_{\psi}^{Y,U}(s+t)) \geq \int_D Q_t F(p_{\psi}^{Y,U}(s)) d\pi_s \geq Q_s Q_t F(\psi).$$

Since (3.2) holds for all $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$, we get $Q_{s+t} F(\psi) \geq Q_s Q_t F(\psi)$. Step 2. We prove the opposite inequality. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. Since H^2 is a separable Hilbert space, we can find a sequence of Borel sets B_1, B_2, \dots such that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, $\text{diam } B_i < \varepsilon$ and $\cup_{i=1}^\infty B_i = H^2$. For any $\psi_i \in B_i$, choose $\pi_i \in \mathcal{A}$ so that

(3.3)
$$Q_i F(\psi_i) + \varepsilon > E_{\pi_i} F(p_{\psi_i}(t)).$$

On the other hand, recalling the same calculation as for I_1 in the proof of Theorem 1, we get for any $\psi, \tilde{\psi} \in H^2$

$$\begin{aligned} & |Q_i F(\psi) - Q_i F(\tilde{\psi})| \\ & \leq K_\varepsilon \varepsilon \rho(\psi) + K_\varepsilon [\rho(\psi) + \|F\|_c (\|\psi\| + \|\tilde{\psi}\|)] \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_\varepsilon = \max\{(1 + K_4), 1 + 6K_\varepsilon + 2(1 + K_4)^{1/2}\}$. Hence, for each $\psi \in B_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pi_i} F(p_{\psi}(t)) & \leq Q_i F(\psi_i) + \varepsilon + 4\varepsilon K_\varepsilon \rho(\psi) + \varepsilon K_\varepsilon \|F\|_c (1 + 2\|\psi\|) \\ & \leq Q_i F(\psi) + \varepsilon K_7 \rho(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

where K_7 is a suitable positive constant depending only on $\|F\|_c$ and K_ε .

Put $\pi_s(Y, U) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \pi_i 1_{\Omega_i}$, where $\Omega_i = \{\omega: p_{\psi}^{Y,U}(s, \omega) \in B_i\}$. For a given $\pi_s \in \mathcal{A}_s$, we can find $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $\pi_s(Y, U)$ is a regular conditional distribution for (Y^s, U^s) given F_s and $\pi|_{F_s} = \pi_s$. By Lemma 3.2 and the above results, we see

$$\begin{aligned} E_\pi F(p_{\psi}^{Y,U}(s+t)) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \int_{\Omega_i} [Q_i F(p_{\psi}^{Y,U}(s)) + \varepsilon K_7 \rho(\psi)] d\pi_s \\ & = E_{\pi_s} [Q_t F(p_{\psi}^{Y,U}(s)) + \varepsilon K_7 \rho(\psi)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$Q_{s+t} F(\psi) \leq E_{\pi_s} [Q_t F(p_{\psi}^{Y,U}(s))] + \varepsilon K_7 \rho(\psi).$$

On taking the infimum over $\pi_s \in \mathcal{A}_s$, we have

$$Q_{s+t} F(\psi) \leq Q_s Q_t F(\psi) + \varepsilon K_7 \rho(\psi).$$

Step 3. From Steps 1 and 2, we see, for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|Q_{s+t}F - Q_sQ_tF\|_C \leq \varepsilon K_7 .$$

Letting ε tend to 0, we get Theorem 2.

Now, we consider the continuity of Q_t . We put

$$H_r^2 = \{\phi \in H^2: \|\phi\|_2 \leq r\} \quad (0 < r < \infty) .$$

THEOREM 3. *For each r , $Q_tF(\psi) \rightarrow Q_sF(\psi)$ uniformly on H_r^2 , as $|t - s| \rightarrow 0$.*

For the proof of Theorem 3, we need two more lemmas, of which the first is obvious.

LEMMA 3.3. *There exists a positive constant K_8 so that*

$$\sup_{u \in I} \|L^*(u)\|_{L(H^1, H^{-1})} \leq K_8 < \infty .$$

LEMMA 3.4. *There exist positive constants K_9, K_{10} so that for any $\psi \in H^2$*

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi |p_\psi(t) - p_\psi(s)|^2 \leq K_9 |t - s| \|\psi\|^2 ,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \|p_\psi(t) - p_\psi(s)\|^2 \leq K_{10} |t - s| \|\psi\|_2^2 .$$

PROOF. We prove only (3.4). Using Lemma 2.2, we can prove (3.5) by the same methods as (3.4). For simplicity, put $s = 0$. We set $\tilde{p}(t) = p_\psi(t) - \psi$. Then, $\tilde{p}(t)$ satisfies the following equation π -a.s.:

$$d\tilde{p}(t) = [L^*(U_t)\tilde{p}(t) + L^*(U_t)\psi]dt + [h\tilde{p}(t) + h\psi]dY_t ,$$

$$\tilde{p}(0) = 0 .$$

Using Proposition 2.1, Lemma 3.3 and the inequality

$$2|ab| \leq \mu a^2 + b^2/\mu \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{R}, \mu > 0) ,$$

we get

$$E_\pi |\tilde{p}(t)|^2 + (\alpha - K_8\mu)E_\pi \int_0^t \|\tilde{p}(s)\|^2 ds \leq 2\lambda E_\pi \int_0^t |\tilde{p}(s)|^2 ds$$

$$+ (K_8t/\mu) \|\psi\|^2 + K_1 t |h|_\infty^2 |\psi|^2$$

We choose $\mu > 0$ sufficiently small so that $\alpha - K_8\mu > 0$. Then, by Gronwall's inequality, we get for any $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$E_\pi |\tilde{p}(t)|^2 \leq (1 + 2\lambda T e^{2\lambda T}) [(K_8t/\mu) \|\psi\|^2 + K_1 t |h|_\infty^2 |\psi|^2] .$$

Put $K_9 = 2(1 + 2\lambda T e^{2\lambda T}) \times [\max\{K_8/\mu, K_1 |h|_\infty^2\}]$. Then, we have

$$\sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi |\tilde{p}(t)|^2 \leq K_9 t \|\psi\|^2 .$$

This completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that if $\phi, \tilde{\phi} \in H^2$ and $\|\phi - \tilde{\phi}\| < \delta$, then

$$|F(\phi)\rho^{-1}(\phi) - F(\tilde{\phi})\rho^{-1}(\tilde{\phi})| < \varepsilon.$$

By (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_t F(\psi) - Q_s F(\psi)| &\leq \varepsilon(1 + K_4)\rho(\psi) + 2\|F\|_C \|\psi\| K_{10}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times |t - s| [(1/\delta)\|\varphi\| \{2(1 + K_8\|\psi\|)\}^{1/2} + \|\psi\|_2 K_4^{1/2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, choosing a suitable positive constant K_{11} , we get on H_r^2

$$|Q_t F(\psi) - Q_s F(\psi)| \leq K_{11}(\varepsilon + |t - s|^{1/2})(1 + r^3).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this completes the proof.

4. The generator of the semigroup Q_t . Let C^2 denote the totality of $F \in C$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) F is defined on L^2 and twice continuously Fréchet differentiable on L^2 .

(ii) $\phi \in H^1$ implies that the first derivative $DF(\phi)$ is in H^1 and $DF(\phi)/(1 + \|\phi\|)$ is bounded and uniformly continuous on H^1 .

(iii) The second derivative D^2F is bounded and uniformly continuous on L^2 .

By Pardoux [9], for $F \in C^2$, we have Ito's formula in infinite dimension for the solution of (2.3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad F(p(t)) &= F(\psi) + \int_0^t \langle DF(p(s)), L^*(U_s)p(s) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (DF(p(s)), hp(s)) dY_s + (1/2) \int_0^t (D^2F(p(s))hp(s), hp(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We define the operators $\mathcal{L}(u)$ and \mathcal{L} on C^2 by

$$\mathcal{L}(u)F(\psi) = \langle DF(\psi), L^*(u)\psi \rangle + (1/2)(D^2F(\psi)h\psi, h\psi) \quad u \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}F(\psi) = \inf_{u \in \Gamma} \mathcal{L}(u)F(\psi).$$

We can easily see that $\mathcal{L}F$ belongs to C . Taking the expectation in (4.1), we have for each $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$(4.2) \quad E_\pi F(p(t)) - F(\psi) = E_\pi \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(U_s)F(p(s)) ds.$$

THEOREM 4. For each $r < \infty$,

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} (1/t)[Q_t F(\psi) - F(\psi)] = \mathcal{L}F(\psi)$$

holds uniformly on H_r^2 .

PROOF. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We choose $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that, if $\|\phi - \tilde{\phi}\| < \delta$, then

$$\|DF(\phi)/(1 + \|\phi\|) - DF(\tilde{\phi})/(1 + \|\tilde{\phi}\|)\| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|D^2F(\phi) - D^2F(\tilde{\phi})\|_{L(L^2, L^2)} < \varepsilon.$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(U_s)F(p(s))ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(U_s)F(\psi)ds \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \int_0^t |\langle DF(p(s)), L^*(U_s)p(s) \rangle - \langle DF(\psi), L^*(U_s)\psi \rangle| ds \\ & \quad + (1/2) \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \int_0^t |(D^2F(p(s))hp(s), hp(s)) - (D^2F(\psi)h\psi, h\psi)| ds \\ & \equiv J_1 + J_2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 & \leq K_8 \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \int_0^t \|DF(p(s))\| \cdot \|p(s) - \psi\| ds \\ & \quad + K_8 \|\psi\| \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \int_0^t \|DF(p(s)) - DF(\psi)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\|DF\|_{C^1} = \sup_{\phi \in H^2} [DF(\phi)/(1 + \|\phi\|)]$. Then, using Lemmas 2.2 and 3.4 and choosing a suitable positive constant K_{12} depending only on K_4 , K_8 , K_{10} and $\|DF\|_{C^1}$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad J_1 \leq K_{12}t(\varepsilon + t^{1/2})(1 + \|\psi\|_2^3).$$

Put $\|D^2F\|_{C^2} = \sup_{\phi \in L^2} \|D^2F(\phi)\|_{L(L^2, L^2)}$. Then, choosing a suitable positive constant K_{13} depending only on K_1 , K_9 , $|h|_\infty$ and $\|D^2F\|_{C^2}$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad J_2 \leq K_{13}t(\varepsilon + t^{1/2})(1 + \|\psi\|_2^3).$$

Next, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(U_s)F(\psi)ds \geq \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \int_0^t \mathcal{L}F(\psi)ds = t\mathcal{L}F(\psi) \\ & = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(u)F(\psi)ds \geq \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(U_s)F(\psi)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, all inequalities are replaced by equalities. So, we get from (4.2)~(4.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(1/t)[Q_\varepsilon F(\psi) - F(\psi)] - \mathcal{L}F(\psi)| \\
 & \leq \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} (1/t) \left| E_\pi F(p(t)) - F(\psi) - E_\pi \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(U_s)F(\psi) ds \right| \\
 & \leq \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} (1/t) \left| E_\pi \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(U_s)F(p(s)) - E_\pi \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(U_s)F(\psi) ds \right| \\
 & \leq (K_{12} + K_{13})(\varepsilon + t^{1/2})(1 + \|\psi\|_2^3).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} (1/t)[Q_\varepsilon F(\psi) - F(\psi)] = \mathcal{L}F(\psi)$$

uniformly on H_r^2 . This completes the proof.

We denote $Q_\varepsilon F(\psi)$ by $W(t, \psi)$. By Theorem 4, we expect that $W(t, \psi)$ is a solution of the following equations:

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{cases} dW/dt(t, \psi) = \mathcal{L}W(t, \psi) & \text{in } (0, T) \times H^2, \\ W(0, \psi) = F(\psi) & \text{on } H^2. \end{cases}$$

It is, however, very difficult to derive the regularity of $W(t, \psi)$ with respect to t and ψ . We extend the concept of viscosity solution to infinite dimension and show that $W(t, \psi)$ is a viscosity solution of (4.6) in that sense.

Let G be a continuous functional on $(0, T) \times H^2$ so that $G(t)$ belongs to C for each $t \in (0, T)$. Denote by $E_+(G)$ the set of all $(t_0, \psi_0) \in (0, T) \times H^2$, where $[\max\{G(t, \psi); (t, \psi) \in (0, T) \times H^2\}]$ is attained. Similarly, denote $E_-(G)$ the set of all $(t_0, \psi_0) \in (0, T) \times H^2$, where $[\min\{G(t, \psi); (t, \psi) \in (0, T) \times H^2\}]$ is attained. We remark that if (t_0, ψ_0) belongs to $E_+(G)$ (resp. $E_-(G)$) and $\|\psi_0 - \tilde{\psi}_0\|_2 = 0$, then $(t_0, \tilde{\psi}_0)$ belongs to $E_+(G)$ (resp. $E_-(G)$). The following is due to Lions [6]:

DEFINITION. $W_0 \in C([0, T] \times H^2)$ is said to be a viscosity solution of (4.6), when it has the following properties:

$W_0(0, \psi) = F(\psi)$ and for any $G \in C([0, T] \times H^2)$ we have

$$(4.7) \quad dG/dt - \mathcal{L}G \leq 0 \quad \text{at } (t_0, \psi_0) \in E_+(W_0 - G)$$

$$(4.8) \quad dG/dt - \mathcal{L}G \geq 0 \quad \text{at } (t_0, \psi_0) \in E_-(W_0 - G),$$

if G is twice differentiable with respect to t , d^2G/dt^2 is bounded on $(0, T) \times L^2$, dG/dt belongs to C and $G(t, \psi)$ belongs to C^2 for each $t \in (0, T)$.

THEOREM 5. $W(t, \psi)$ is a viscosity solution of (4.6).

For the proof of Theorem 5, we introduce the following order in C .

DEFINITION. We say that $F \leq \tilde{F}$ in C , if $F(\phi) \leq \tilde{F}(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in H^2$.

LEMMA 4.1. *If $F \leq \tilde{F}$ in C , then $Q_t F \leq Q_t \tilde{F}$ in C for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.*

PROOF. Since $F \leq \tilde{F}$ in C , we have for all $\psi \in H^2$ $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$Q_t F(\psi) \leq E_\pi F(p_\psi(t)) \leq E_\pi \tilde{F}(p_\psi(t)) .$$

On taking the infimum over $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$Q_t F(\psi) \leq Q_t \tilde{F}(\psi) .$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $F \in C^2$ and $H \in C^1$. Then, for each $\psi \in H^2$, we have*

$$\lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} (1/\theta)[Q_\theta(F + \theta H) - F](\psi) = H(\psi) + \mathcal{L}F(\psi)$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(1/\theta)[Q_\theta(F + \theta H) - F](\psi) - [H(\psi) + \mathcal{L}F(\psi)]| \\ & \leq |(1/\theta)[Q_\theta(F + \theta H)(\psi) - Q_\theta F(\psi) - \theta H(\psi)]| \\ & \quad + |(1/\theta)[Q_\theta F(\psi) - F(\psi)] - \mathcal{L}F(\psi)| \\ & \equiv M_1 + M_2, \quad \text{say .} \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{L} is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup Q_t , we see that $M_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $\theta \downarrow 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$M_1 \leq \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} E_\pi |H(p_\psi(\theta)) - H(\psi)| .$$

By (3.4), we have $M_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\theta \downarrow 0$. This completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. By Theorem 3, we see easily $W \in C([0, T] \times H^2)$. Let $(t_0, \psi_0) \in E_+(W - G)$ and $M = (W - G)(t_0, \psi_0)$. Then, considering $G(t, \psi) + M$ instead of $G(t, \psi)$, we may assume $(W - G)(t_0, \psi_0) = 0$ without loss of generality. For $\theta \in (0, t_0)$ we have

$$G(t_0, \psi_0) = W(t_0, \psi_0) = [Q_\theta Q_{t_0-\theta} F](\psi_0) = [Q_\theta W(t_0 - \theta)](\psi_0) .$$

Since $W(t) \leq G(t)$ in C for $0 \leq t \leq T$, using Lemma 4.1 we get

$$[Q_\theta W(t_0 - \theta)](\psi_0) \leq [Q_\theta G(t_0 - \theta)](\psi_0) .$$

Since d^2G/dt^2 is bounded on $(0, T) \times L^2$, there exists $\varepsilon(\theta) > 0$, so that for all $\phi \in H^2$

$$G(t_0 - \theta, \phi) \leq G(t_0, \phi) - [dG/dt(t_0, \phi)] + \theta\varepsilon(\theta) ,$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\theta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \theta \downarrow 0 .$$

Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be arbitrary. We choose $\theta_0(\varepsilon_0) > 0$ in such a way that if $0 < \theta <$

$\theta_0(\varepsilon_0)$, then $0 < \varepsilon(\theta) < \varepsilon_0$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $G(t_0, \psi_0) \leq Q_\theta[G(t_0) + H](\psi_0)$, where $H = -(dG/dt)(t_0, \cdot) + \varepsilon_0$. Hence, we have $(1/\theta)[Q_\theta(G(t_0) + H) - G(t_0)](\psi_0) \geq 0$. Since dG/dt belongs to C , using Lemma 4.2 we have $dG/dt(t_0, \psi_0) - \mathcal{L}G(t_0, \psi_0) - \varepsilon_0 \leq 0$. Since $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is arbitrary letting ε_0 tend to 0, we get $dG/dt(t_0, \psi_0) - \mathcal{L}G(t_0, \psi_0) \leq 0$.

The proof is similar, when (t_0, ψ_0) belongs to $E_-(W - G)$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BENSOUSSAN, Maximal principle and dynamic programming approaches of the control of partially observed diffusions, *Stochastics* 9 (1983), 162-222.
- [2] A. BENSOUSSAN AND J. L. LIONS, *Application des Inéquations Variationnelles en Contrôle Stochastique*, Dunod, Paris, 1978.
- [3] M. G. CRANDALL AND P. L. LIONS, Viscosity solution of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 277 (1982), 286-301.
- [4] W. H. FLEMING, Non-linear semigroup for controlled partially observed diffusions, *SIAM. J. Control Optim.* 20 (1982), 286-301.
- [5] W. H. FLEMING AND E. PARDOUX, Optimal control for partially observable diffusions, *SIAM. J. Control Optim.* 20 (1982), 261-285.
- [6] P. L. LIONS, Optimal control of diffusion processes and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations, part 2, Viscosity solutions and uniqueness, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 8 (1983), 1229-1276.
- [7] P. L. LIONS AND M. NISIO, A uniqueness result for the semigroup associated with the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman operator, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, Math. Sci.* 58 (1983), 273-276.
- [8] M. NISIO, *Stochastic Control Theory*, ISI Lect. Notes 9, Macmillan, India, 1981.
- [9] E. PARDOUX, Stochastic partial differential equations and filtering of diffusion processes, *Stochastic* 3 (1979), 127-167.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM FUNDAMENTALS
 DIVISION OF SYSTEM SCIENCE
 KOBE UNIVERSITY
 ROKKO, KOBE, 657
 JAPAN

