

# Functional Calculus for Noncommuting Operators

GELU POPESCU

## 1. Notation and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $\Lambda$  stands for the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  where  $n$  is a fixed natural number. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  let  $F(k, \Lambda)$  be the set of all functions from the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  to  $\Lambda$ , and let

$$\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F(k, \Lambda), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $F(0, \Lambda)$  stands for the set  $\{0\}$ .

A sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of unilateral shifts on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with orthogonal final spaces is called a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift if the operator matrix  $[S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n]$  is nonunitary, that is,  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{H} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda \mathcal{H}) \neq \{0\}$ . This definition is essentially the same as that from [4]. The dimension of  $\mathcal{L}$  is called the *multiplicity* of the  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift. Two  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shifts are *unitarily equivalent* if and only if they have the same multiplicity (see [6, Thm. 1.2]).

Let us consider a model  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift of multiplicity 1, acting on the full Fock space [3]

$$F^2(H_n) = \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} H_n^{\otimes m}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $H_n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ .

For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  we define the isometry  $S_\lambda$  by

$$S_\lambda h = e_\lambda \otimes h \quad \text{for } h \in F(H_n). \quad (1.3)$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift of multiplicity 1. This model will play an important role in our investigation. We shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of all  $p \in F^2(H_n)$  of the form

$$p = a_0 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $a_0, a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ . The set  $\mathcal{P}$  may be viewed as the algebra of the polynomials in  $n$  noncommuting indeterminates, with  $p \otimes q$ ,  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ , as multi-

plication. For any sequence  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$  of bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $p(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  denote the operator acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ , given by

$$p(T_1, \dots, T_n) = a_0 I_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_k} T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_k}. \quad (1.4)$$

The von Neumann inequality [8] for  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  (see [6]) asserts that if

$$(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1 := \left\{ (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}$$

and  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \sup_{q \in (\mathcal{P})_1} \|p \otimes q\|_{F^2(H_n)}, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$(\mathcal{P})_1 := \{q \in \mathcal{P} : \|q\|_{F^2(H_n)} \leq 1\}.$$

Now we define  $F^\infty$  as being the set of all  $g \in F^2(H_n)$  for which

$$\|g\|_\infty := \sup_{q \in (\mathcal{P})_1} \|g \otimes q\|_2 < \infty, \quad \text{where } \|\cdot\|_2 := \|\cdot\|_{F^2(H_n)}. \quad (1.6)$$

Let us recall from [6] that, if  $f \in F^\infty$  and  $g \in F^2(H_n)$ , then the multiplication defined by

$$f \otimes g := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f \otimes p_n \quad (1.7)$$

(the convergence being in  $F^2(H_n)$ ), where  $p_n \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\|p_n - g\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , is well-defined and  $f \otimes g \in F^2(H_n)$ . Notice also that  $\|f \otimes g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_2$  and, according to [6],  $(F^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a noncommutative Banach algebra, which can be viewed as a noncommutative analog of the Hardy space  $H^\infty$ .

Now let us recall the Wold decomposition theorem for sequences of isometries [4]. Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a sequence of isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda V_\lambda^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  decomposes into an orthogonal sum  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_u \oplus \mathcal{K}_s$  such that  $\mathcal{K}_u$  and  $\mathcal{K}_s$  reduce each operator  $V_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ), and we have

$$\left( I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda V_\lambda^* \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{K}_u} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{V_\lambda|_{\mathcal{K}_s}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

is a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift acting on  $\mathcal{K}_s$ . This decomposition is uniquely determined; indeed, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_u = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigoplus_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} V_f \mathcal{K} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_s = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} V_f \mathcal{L},$$

where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda \mathcal{K})$ .

We recall from [4] that, for any sequence  $\mathcal{J} = \{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda T_\lambda^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$ , there exists a minimal isometric dilation  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  on a Hilbert Space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$  that is uniquely determined up to an isomorphism; that is, the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $V_\lambda^* V_\lambda = I_{\mathcal{H}}$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda V_\lambda^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$ ;
- (iii)  $V_\lambda^* \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $V_\lambda^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = T_\lambda^*$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ; and
- (iv)  $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{f \in \mathcal{F}} V_f \mathcal{H}$ .

## 2. $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ and Similarity

It is well known that if two isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are similar then they are unitarily equivalent. As a consequence, the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $V_1$  is  $*$ -isometric to the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $V_2$ .

In this section we extend this result to sequences of isometries with orthogonal final spaces.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset B(\mathcal{K})$  and  $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset B(\mathcal{K}')$  be two sequences of isometries such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n W_i W_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}'}$ . If there exists an invertible operator  $X \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$  such that*

$$XV_i = W_i X, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

*then there exists a unitary operator  $U \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$  such that*

$$UV_i = W_i U, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

*Proof.* According to the Wold decomposition,  $\mathcal{K}$  decomposes into an orthogonal sum  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_u \oplus \mathcal{K}_s$  such that  $\mathcal{K}_u$  and  $\mathcal{K}_s$  reduce each operator  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and we have

$$\left( I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{K}_u} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{V_i|_{\mathcal{K}_s}\}_{i=1}^n$$

is a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift acting on  $\mathcal{K}_s$ . Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_u = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigoplus_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} V_f \mathcal{K} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_s = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} V_f \mathcal{L}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K} \ominus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i \mathcal{K})$ .

The Wold decomposition for  $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^n$  provides the corresponding spaces  $\mathcal{K}'_u$ ,  $\mathcal{K}'_s$ , and  $\mathcal{L}'$ .

Let us denote

$$V_i|_{\mathcal{K}_u} := A_i, \quad V_i|_{\mathcal{K}_s} := B_i, \quad W_i|_{\mathcal{K}'_u} := C_i, \quad \text{and} \quad W_i|_{\mathcal{K}'_s} := D_i. \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $V_i^* X^* = X^* W_i^*$  and  $X$  is invertible, it is easy to see that

$$X^*(\text{Ker } W_i^*) = \text{Ker } V_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence, we get

$$X^* \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker } W_i^* \right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker } V_i^*.$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}' = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker } W_i^*$  and  $\mathcal{L} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker } V_i^*$ , we infer that  $\dim \mathcal{L}' = \dim \mathcal{L}$ . According to [6, Thm. 1.2] there is a unitary operator  $M \in B(\mathcal{K}_s, \mathcal{K}'_s)$  such that

$$MB_i = D_i M, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, since  $XV_i = W_i X$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), the relation (2.1) implies  $X(\mathcal{K}_u) = \mathcal{K}'_u$ . Therefore

$$(X|_{\mathcal{K}_u})(V_i|_{\mathcal{K}_u}) = (W_i|_{\mathcal{K}'_u})(X|_{\mathcal{K}_u}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.4)$$

Let us denote  $X|_{\mathcal{K}_u} := X_0$ . Obviously  $X_0$  is an invertible operator from  $\mathcal{K}_u$  to  $\mathcal{K}'_u$ . By (2.2) the relation (2.4) becomes

$$X_0 A_i = C_i X_0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (2.5)$$

Notice that  $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i A_i^* = I_{\mathcal{K}_u}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n C_i C_i^* = I_{\mathcal{K}'_u}$ . By (2.5), we have

$$X_0 A_i A_i^* = C_i X_0 A_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$X_0 = C_1 X_0 A_1^* + C_2 X_0 A_2^* + \dots + C_n X_0 A_n^*.$$

Since  $C_i^* C_j = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ) and  $C_i^* C_i = I_{\mathcal{K}'_u}$ , we deduce that

$$C_i^* X_0 = X_0 A_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Taking the adjoint of these relations, we obtain

$$A_i X_0^* = X_0^* C_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

which implies that

$$A_i X_0^* X_0 = X_0^* C_i X_0 = X_0^* X_0 A_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence,

$$A_i (X_0^* X_0)^{1/2} = (X_0^* X_0)^{1/2} A_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.6)$$

The polar decomposition of  $X_0$  gives  $X_0 = \Omega R$ , where  $\Omega$  is a unitary operator and  $R = (X_0^* X_0)^{1/2}$  is an invertible operator. The relation (2.6) becomes

$$A_i R = R A_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Now, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_i \Omega &= C_i \Omega R R^{-1} = C_i X_0 R^{-1} \\ &= X_0 A_i R^{-1} = \Omega R A_i R^{-1} = \Omega A_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$C_i \Omega = \Omega A_i \quad \text{for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2.7)$$

and  $\Omega$  is a unitary operator from  $\mathcal{K}_u$  onto  $\mathcal{K}'_u$ . Let us define the unitary operator  $U = M \oplus \Omega$ . According to the relations (2.2), (2.3), and (2.7), it follows that

$$U V_i = W_i U, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The proof is complete. □

Now let us denote by  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$  (see [1; 2]).

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, the mapping*

$$\phi: C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n) \rightarrow C^*(W_1, \dots, W_n)$$

*defined by*

$$\phi(X) = U X U^* \quad \text{for } X \in C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$$

*is an isometric \*-isomorphism.*

### 3. Von Neumann Inequality

Let us recall some facts concerning the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  and a certain extension of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . In [2] the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) was defined as the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $n$  isometries  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* = I$ . It was shown that  $\mathcal{O}_n$  does not depend, up to canonical isomorphism, on the choice of the generators  $V_1, \dots, V_n$ . In other words, if  $\hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_n$  is a second family of isometries satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{V}_i \hat{V}_i^* = I$ , then  $C^*(\hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_n)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ ; that is, the map  $\hat{V}_i \rightarrow V_i$  extends to an isomorphism from  $C^*(\hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_n)$  onto  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ . In what follows we need the following result due to Cuntz [2, Prop. 3.1].

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$  ( $n$  finite). Then the projection  $P = I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^*$  generates a closed two-sided ideal  $\mathfrak{J}$  in  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  which is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, and contains  $P$  as a minimal projection. The short sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

*is exact.*

The main result of this section is the following.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a sequence of isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

*Then the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  is  $*$ -isomorphic either to  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  or to  $\mathcal{O}_n$ .*

*Proof.* According to the Wold decomposition for the sequence  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  decomposes into an orthogonal sum

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_u \oplus \mathcal{K}_s \quad (3.2)$$

such that  $\mathcal{K}_u$  and  $\mathcal{K}_s$  reduce each operator  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n W_i W_i^* = I_{\mathcal{K}_u}. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover,  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift on  $\mathcal{K}_s$ , where

$$V_i = W_i \oplus U_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

is the decomposition of the operator  $V_i$  with respect to (3.2).

Now if  $\mathcal{K}_s = \{0\}$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* = I_{\mathcal{K}}$  and, according to the result of Cuntz [2],  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . Let us consider the case when  $\mathcal{K}_s \neq \{0\}$ . For any polynomial  $p(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  in  $2n$  noncommuting indeterminates we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(V_1, \dots, V_n; V_1^*, \dots, V_n^*) \\ = p(W_1, \dots, W_n; W_1^*, \dots, W_n^*) \oplus p(U_1, \dots, U_n; U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n; V_1^*, \dots, V_n^*)\| \\ = \max\{\|p(W_1, \dots, W_n; W_1^*, \dots, W_n^*)\|, \|p(U_1, \dots, U_n; U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*)\|\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If the multiplicity of the  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift  $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  is  $\alpha$ , then the operator  $p(U_1, \dots, U_n; U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*)$  is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of  $\alpha$  copies of  $p(S_1, \dots, S_n; S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)$ , where  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  is the model  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift of multiplicity 1 acting on the Fock space  $F^2(H_n)$ . Therefore,

$$\|p(U_1, \dots, U_n; U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*)\| = \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n; S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)\|. \quad (3.5)$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n W_i W_i^* = I_{\mathcal{H}_u}$ , according to [2] we have

$$\|p(W_1, \dots, W_n; W_1^*, \dots, W_n^*)\| = \|p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n; \sigma_1^*, \dots, \sigma_n^*)\|, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$  is a system of generators for the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$ .

On the other hand (see Lemma 3.1), we have the following short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the closed two-sided ideal in  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  generated by  $P_{\mathbf{C}1}$ , which is the orthogonal projection from  $F^2(H_n)$  onto  $\mathbf{C}1$ .

Thus, if  $\pi$  denotes the quotient map from  $B(F^2(H_n))$  onto  $B(F^2(H_n))/\mathcal{I}$ , we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n; \sigma_1^*, \dots, \sigma_n^*)\| &= \|p(\pi(S_1), \dots, \pi(S_n); \pi(S_1^*), \dots, \pi(S_n^*))\| \\ &= \|\pi(p(S_1, \dots, S_n; S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*))\| \\ &\leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n; S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the relations (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6), we infer that

$$\|p(V_1, \dots, V_n; V_1^*, \dots, V_n^*)\| = \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n; S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)\|.$$

Therefore, the mapping  $V_i \mapsto S_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) extends to an isometry from  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  onto  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  which is also a  $*$ -isomorphism. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *If  $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$  is a sequence of isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (3.7)$$

*then*

$$\|p(V_1, \dots, V_n; V_1^*, \dots, V_n^*)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n; S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)\| \quad (3.8)$$

*for any polynomial  $p(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  in  $2n$  noncommuting indeterminates. Moreover, if (3.7) is not an equality then (3.8) is an equality.*

Let us now denote by  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  the set of all polynomials in  $2n$  noncommuting indeterminates  $p(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  of the following form,

$$p(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \sum a_{i_1, \dots, j_m} X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k} Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{j_m},$$

where  $a_{i_1, \dots, j_m} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Here we use the convention  $X_0 = 1$  and  $Y_0 = 1$ .

An extension of the von Neumann inequality [6, Thm. 2.1] is as follows.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$  if and only if*

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n; T_1^*, \dots, T_n^*)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n; S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)\| \quad (3.9)$$

for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ , there is a minimal isometric dilation  $(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in (B(\mathcal{K})^n)_1$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} V_i^* V_i &= I_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* &\leq I_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$$V_i^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = T_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.11), it follows that

$$p(T_1, \dots, T_n; T_1^*, \dots, T_n^*) = P_{\mathcal{H}} p(V_1, \dots, V_n; V_1^*, \dots, V_n^*)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad p \in \mathcal{P},$$

where  $P_{\mathcal{H}}$  stands for the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{K}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence, we get

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n; T_1^*, \dots, T_n^*)\| \leq \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n; V_1^*, \dots, V_n^*)\|.$$

According to Corollary 3.3 the result follows.

Conversely, by setting  $p(X, Y) = X_1 Y_1 + \cdots + X_n Y_n$  in (3.9) we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* \right\| \leq 1.$$

The proof is complete. □

Let us remark that this theorem is also true when the relation (3.9) holds for matrices of polynomials in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denote the set of all polynomials in  $n$  noncommuting indeterminates  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{P}(X) \subset \mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  and that  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{P} \subset F^2(H_n)$  (see Section 1).

According to Theorem 3.4, for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  we have

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\|, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}(X). \quad (3.12)$$

Thus, we again find the von Neumann inequality (1.5) proved in [6, Thm. 2.1]. It would be interesting to know the answer to the following question.

If the relation (3.12) holds for polynomials in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ , does this imply that  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is in  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ ?

For any polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ ,

$$p = \sum a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_k},$$

let us define

$$\tilde{p} = \sum \bar{a}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} X_{i_k} \cdots X_{i_2} X_{i_1}.$$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *If  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^* T_i \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$ , then*

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|\tilde{p}\|_{\infty}, \quad p \in \mathcal{O}(X).$$

*Proof.* According to (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| &= \|(p(T_1, \dots, T_n))^*\| \\ &= \|\tilde{p}(T_1^*, \dots, T_n^*)\| \leq \|\tilde{p}(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \|\tilde{p}\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

#### 4. $F^{\infty}$ -Functional Calculus

In this section we extend [6, Thm. 3.6] to a more general setting. More precisely, we will obtain a noncommutative analog of the Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş  $H^{\infty}$ -functional calculus for completely noncoisometric (c.n.c.) contractions. An important role is played by the functional model for a c.n.c. contraction  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  (see [5]) and the von Neumann inequality (1.5).

Let us note that any element  $f \in F^2(H_n)$  can be written as follows:

$$f = \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} a_f e_f \quad \text{with } a_f \in \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$\|f\|_2 = \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} |a_f|^2 < \infty, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $e_f$  stands for  $e_{f(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{f(k)}$  if  $f \in F(k, \Lambda)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and  $e_0 = 1$ . We make the natural identification of  $e_f \otimes 1$  with  $e_f$  for any  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

For any  $0 < r < 1$  and  $f = \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} a_f e_f$ , define

$$f_r = \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} r_f a_f e_f,$$

where  $r_f = r_{f(1)} \cdots r_{f(k)}$ , if  $f \in F(k, \Lambda)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $r_0 = 1$ , and  $r_{f(k)} = r$  for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Notice that  $\|f_r\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2$ .

**LEMMA 4.1.** *If  $f \in F^2(H_n)$ , then*

$$\|f_r - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

*Proof.* An easy computation shows that

$$\|f_r - f\|_2^2 = \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} (r_f - 1)^2 |a_f|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r^k - 1)^2 \sum_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} |a_f|^2.$$



Because (4.1) holds, it follows that

$$\|f_r - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1. \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *If  $f \in F^\infty$  then  $f_r \in F^\infty$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ) and*

$$f(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n),$$

*where so- denotes the strong operator topology.*

*Proof.* Since  $\|f_r - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ , it follows that, for any  $p \in \mathcal{O}$ ,

$$\|f_r \otimes p - f \otimes p\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1. \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, since  $r < 1$ , the inequality (1.5) applied to  $(rS_1, rS_2, \dots, rS_n)$  implies that

$$\|f_r\|_\infty = \|f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \|f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $h \in F^2(H_n)$ . There exists a polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{O}$  such that

$$\|h - p\|_2 \leq \epsilon / \|f\|_\infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_r \otimes h - f \otimes h\|_2 &\leq \|f_r \otimes (h - p)\|_2 + \|(f_r - f) \otimes p\|_2 + \|f \otimes (h - p)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|f_r\|_\infty \|h - p\|_2 + \|(f_r - f) \otimes p\|_2 + \|f\|_\infty \|h - p\|_2 \\ &\leq \epsilon + \|(f_r - f) \otimes p\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (4.2), we infer that for any  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \|f_r \otimes h - f \otimes h\|_2 \leq \epsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|f_r \otimes h - f \otimes h\|_2 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$f(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n).$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let us remark that the above proposition remains true if  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  is replaced by a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift of arbitrary multiplicity.

Now we extend the functional calculus for  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  (see [6, Thm. 3.6]) to a more general setting. Let us recall from [4] that a contraction  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is called completely noncoisometric if there is no  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $h \neq 0$ , such that

$$\sum_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} \|T_f^* h\|^2 = \|h\|^2 \quad \text{for any } k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

We remark that  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is c.n.c. if there is no subspace  $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$  such that the operator

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_1^* \\ \vdots \\ T_n^* \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_0}_{n \text{ times}}$$

is an isometry. For this kind of contraction we have a functional model [5] which will be used in what follows.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *If  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  is c.n.c., then for any  $f \in F^\infty$  there exists*

$$\text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(T_1, \dots, T_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(T_1, \dots, T_n).$$

Moreover, the mapping

$$f \mapsto f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

is a contractive homomorphism from the Banach algebra  $F^\infty$  to  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

*Proof.* According to [5, Thm. 4.1], we can assume that

$$\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K} = l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D}_*) \oplus \overline{\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})}$$

and

$$T_\lambda = P_{\mathcal{H}} V_\lambda|_{\mathcal{H}}, \lambda \in \Lambda, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $V_\lambda = S_\lambda \oplus C_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and  $\{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is the  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift on  $l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D}_*)$ .  $\{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a sequence of isometries on  $\overline{\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})}$  defined by

$$C_\lambda(\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} v) = \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}(S_\lambda v), \quad v \in l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D}), \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\{S_\lambda\}$  is the  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift on  $l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$ . Let us recall from [5, Thm. 4.1] that  $\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}$  is a contraction and

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda C_\lambda^* = I.$$

Let  $f \in F^\infty$  and  $0 < r < 1$ . By (4.3) we infer that

$$f_r(T_1, \dots, T_n) = P_{\mathcal{H}} f_r(V_1, \dots, V_n)|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (4.5)$$

We claim that  $\text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  exists. Because

$$f_r(V_1, \dots, V_n) = f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) \oplus f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)$$

and  $\text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  exists by Proposition 4.2, it is enough to prove that  $\text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)$  exists on  $\overline{\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})}$ .

By (4.4), for any  $v \in l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$  we have

$$f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)(\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} v) = \Delta_{\mathcal{J}} f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)v,$$

which tends to  $\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} f(S_1, \dots, S_n)v$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$  (according to Proposition 4.2). Notice that for any  $0 < r < 1$  we have

$$\|f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)\| = \|f(rC_1, \dots, rC_n)\| \leq \|f(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \|f\|_\infty. \quad (4.6)$$

The above inequality comes from applying the von Neumann inequality (1.5).

Let  $x \in \overline{\Delta_3 l^2(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{D})}$  and  $v_k \in l^2(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{D})$  be such that  $\|\Delta_3 v_k - x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . There exists  $k_0$  such that if  $k \geq k_0$  then  $\|\Delta_3 v_k - x\| < \epsilon$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)x - f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)v_{k_0}\| \\ & \leq \|f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)(x - \Delta_3 v_{k_0})\| \\ & \quad + \|((f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n) - f_{r'}(C_1, \dots, C_n))\Delta_3 v_{k_0})\| + \|f_{r'}(C_1, \dots, C_n)(x - \Delta_3 v_{k_0})\| \\ & \leq \|f\|_\infty \|x - \Delta_3 v_{k_0}\| + \|f\|_\infty \|x - \Delta_3 v_{k_0}\| \\ & \quad + \|\Delta_3(f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) - f_{r'}(S_1, \dots, S_n))v_{k_0}\| \\ & \leq 2\epsilon \|f\|_\infty + \|(f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) - f_{r'}(S_1, \dots, S_n))v_{k_0}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.2 and the above inequalities, it follows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)x$$

exists for any  $x \in \overline{\Delta_3 l^2(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{D})}$ .

On the other hand, according to (4.6) we have

$$\|\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)x\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|x\|.$$

Therefore, the operator

$$f(C_1, \dots, C_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(C_1, \dots, C_n)$$

is well-defined and bounded. Thus  $\text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  exists and the relation (4.5) implies that  $\text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  exists, and the operator  $f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  defined by

$$f(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \text{so-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

is bounded and

$$\|f(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty.$$

The fact that the mapping  $f \mapsto f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is a homomorphism from  $F^\infty$  to  $B(\mathcal{H})$  is easy to deduce.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *If  $n = 1$  we find again the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş  $H^\infty$ -functional calculus for completely noncoisometric contractions.*

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** I am very grateful to Professor G. Pisier for useful discussions on the subject of this paper. I also wish to thank the referee for several suggestions.

## References

- [1] L. A. Coburn, *The  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an isometry*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 722–726.
- [2] J. Cuntz, *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. 57 (1977), 173–185.

- [3] D. E. Evans, *On  $O_n$* , Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 16 (1980), 915–927.
- [4] G. Popescu, *Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 316 (1989), 523–536.
- [5] ———, *Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, J. Operator Theory 22 (1989), 51–71.
- [6] ———, *Von Neumann inequality for  $(B(H)^n)_1$* , Math. Scand. 68 (1991), 292–304.
- [7] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [8] J. von Neumann, *Eine Spektraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes*, Math. Nachr. 4 (1951), 258–281.

Division of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Texas at San Antonio  
San Antonio, TX 78249