

CONCERNING THE PROPER AXIOMS OF S4.02

BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

In [4] it has been established that the addition of the following formula

$$\mathbf{t1} \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLppCLMLpp$$

as a new axiom, to S4 generates a system, called S4.02, which is a proper extension of S4. And obviously, *cf.* [6], in the field of S4, $\mathbf{t1}$ is inferentially equivalent to

$$\mathbf{t2} \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLppLCLMLpp$$

In this note it will be shown that in the field of S4 each of the following two formulas

$$\mathbf{t3} \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLpLpCLMLpLp$$

and

$$\mathbf{t4} \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLpLpCLMLpp$$

is inferentially equivalent to $\mathbf{t1}$.

Proof:

1 Assume S4 and $\mathbf{t3}$. Then, obviously, we have $\mathbf{t4}$. Now, S4 yields the following formulas:

$$Z1 \quad \mathcal{C}LpLLp$$

$$Z2 \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pq\mathcal{C}LpLq$$

Whence,

$$Z3 \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}L\mathcal{C}pLpLpCLMLpp$$

[$\mathbf{t3}$; Z1]

$$\mathbf{t1} \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLppCLMLpp \quad [Z2, p/\mathcal{C}pLp, q/p; Z3; S1^\circ]$$

Thus, in the field of S4: $\{\mathbf{t3}\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{t4}\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{t1}\}$.

2 Now, let us assume S4 and $\mathbf{t1}$. Then:

$$Z1 \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}v\mathcal{C}qr\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}prs\mathcal{C}v\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pqs$$

[S4]

$$Z2 \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pq\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}v\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}prs\mathcal{C}v\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pqs$$

[S4]

Received August 19, 1973

Remarks:

1 It should be noted that the proof given above is strictly analogous to the deductions which I presented in [5], pp. 366-367, section 1.2.2.¹ Namely, in that paper a logical proof was given of Schumm's result, *cf.* [1], which he had obtained metalogically that in the field of S4 the so-called Diodorian modal formulas

N1 $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLppCMLpp$

and

M1 $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLpLpCMLpLp$

are inferentially equivalent. Obviously, an analogy existing between the proofs given in [5] and in this note is due to the fact that **N1** and **M1** have syntactical structures very similar to those which **t1** and **t3** possess respectively.

2 Recently, *cf.* [2], Schumm has proved metalogically that, in the field of S3, the formulas **t1** and **t2** are inferentially equivalent. It is an interesting open problem whether, in the field of S3, each of the following formulas **t3**, **t4** and

t5 $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLpLpLCLMLpLp$

t6 $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pLpLpLCLMLpp$

is inferentially equivalent to **t1**. A similar open problem is also worth investigating. Namely, whether in the field of S3 all the known proper axioms of S4.1 are mutually equivalent.

REFERENCES

[1] Schumm, G. F., "Solutions to four modal problems of Sobociński," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 335-340.
 [2] Schumm, G. F., "S3.02 = S3.03," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XV (1974), pp. 147-148.
 [3] Sobociński, B., "A note on modal systems," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. IV (1963), pp. 355-357.
 [4] Sobociński, B., "A proper subsystem of S4.04," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 381-384.

1. In the paper mentioned here two obvious misprints appear. Viz., on p. 366, line 14, formula *Z17* should have the form: $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}v\mathcal{C}qr\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}prs\mathcal{C}v\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}pqs$ and on the same page, line 28, in the line proof of *Z26* a condition "S2" is missing.

- [5] Sobociński, B., "Concerning some extensions of S4," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 363-370.
- [6] Sobociński, B., "Modal system S3 and the proper axioms of S4.02 and S4.04," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XIV (1973), pp. 415-418.

University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana