## STRONGLY EXTREME POINTS IN KÖTHE-BOCHNER SPACES

H. HUDZIK AND M. MASTYŁO

ABSTRACT. The Kadec-Klee property with respect to a measure is discussed. A characterization of strongly extreme points of the unit sphere in certain Köthe-Bochner spaces is given.

1. Introduction. Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  denote a measure space with  $\sigma$ -finite and complete measure  $\mu$  and  $L^0 = L^0(\Omega)$  denote the space of all (equivalence classes of)  $\Sigma$ -measurable real-valued functions, equipped with the topology of convergence in measure on  $\mu$ -finite sets. In what follows, if  $x, y \in L^0$ , then  $x \leq y$  means  $x(t) \leq y(t)$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ .

For any Banach space X we denote by  $S_X$  the unit sphere of X.

A Banach subspace E of  $L^0$  is said to be a Köthe function space (over  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ) if

- (i)  $|x| \le |y|, x \in L^0, y \in E \text{ imply } x \in E \text{ and } ||x|| \le ||y||,$
- (ii) supp  $E:=\cup\{\operatorname{supp} x:x\in E\}=\Omega,$  where supp  $x=\{t\in\Omega:x(t)\neq 0\}.$

A Köthe function space E is said to be *order continuous* (respectively, monotone complete) provided  $x_n \downarrow 0$  implies  $||x_n|| \to 0$  (respectively  $0 \le x_n \uparrow x, x \in E$  imply  $||x_n|| \to ||x||$ ).

Let E be a Köthe function space on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , X a Banach space. By E(X) we denote the Banach space of all (equivalence classes of) strongly measurable functions  $f: \Omega \to X$  such that  $\bar{f} = ||f(\cdot)||_X \in E$  equipped with the norm  $||f|| = ||\bar{f}||_E$ .

Let E be a Köthe function space over  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . E is said to have the (positive) Kadec-Klee property with respect to the measure  $\mu$  (simply property  $(H_{\mu}^{+})$ , respectively,  $(H_{\mu})$ ), whenever  $(x_n \stackrel{\mu}{\to} x, x_n, x \in E^{+})$   $x_n \stackrel{\mu}{\to} x$  and  $||x_n|| \to ||x||$  imply  $x_n \to x$  strongly. Here

Received by the editors on July 15, 1991.

 $x_n \stackrel{\mu}{\to} x$  means that  $x_n \to x$  in  $L^0$  and if A is a subset of  $L^0$ , then  $A^+ = \{x \in A : x \ge 0\}.$ 

Note that E has the  $(H_{\mu})$  (respectively,  $(H_{\mu}^{+})$ ) property if and only if norm and measure convergence coincide on the unit sphere  $S_{E}$  of E (respectively on  $S_{E}^{+}$ ).

In the above definitions measure convergence may be replaced by  $\mu$ -almost everywhere convergence.

A Banach space X is said to be *locally uniformly rotund* if  $||x_n|| \to ||x||$  and  $||x_n + x|| \to 2||x||$  imply that  $x_n \to x$  strongly.

We say that  $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  is an Orlicz function if  $\varphi$  is convex and even,  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ . We say that an Orlicz function satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  (at infinity) [at zero] if there are positive constants K and  $t_0$  such that  $\varphi(t_0) > 0$  and the inequality  $\varphi(2t) \leq K\varphi(t)$  is satisfied for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  (for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $|t| \geq t_0$ ) [for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $|t| \leq t_0$ ].

For any Orlicz function  $\varphi$  the statement " $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition" means that:

 $\varphi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all t if  $\mu$  is atomless and infinite.

 $\varphi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at infinity if  $\mu$  is atomless and finite.

 $\varphi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at zero if  $\mu$  is counting measure.

Let E be a Köthe function space, and let  $\varphi$  be an Orlicz function. The functional

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} ||\varphi(x)||_E & \text{if } \varphi(x) \in E, \\ \infty & \text{if } \varphi(x) \notin E \end{cases}$$

is a convex modular, i.e.,  $\rho(0) = 0$  and x = 0 whenever  $\rho(\alpha x) = 0$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$ ,  $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \le \alpha \rho(x) + \beta \rho(y)$  for any  $x, y \in L^0$  and  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

Let  $E_{\varphi}$  be the space generated by the modular  $\rho$ , i.e.,

$$E_{\varphi} = \{ x \in L^0 : \rho(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \}.$$

As a modular space  $E_{\varphi}$  is equipped with the so-called Luxemburg norm

$$||x||_{\varphi} = \inf \{\lambda > 0 : \rho(x/\lambda) \le 1\}$$

under which it is a Köthe function space.

For the theory of modular spaces, we refer to [12]. It is clear that for  $E = L_1$ ,  $E_{\varphi}$  becomes an ordinary Orlicz space  $L^{\varphi}$  (cf. [10 and 12]).

Note that  $E_{\varphi}$  is a special case of the Calderón-Lozanovskii space (see [11, 1]).

Suppose f belongs to  $L^0$ . The nonincreasing rearrangement of f is the function  $f^*$  defined on  $[0,\infty)$  by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu_f(\lambda) \le t\}$$

(by the convention  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ ), where  $\mu_f$  is the distribution function of f defined by

$$\mu_f(t) = \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : |f(\omega)| > t\}), \qquad t \ge 0.$$

By  $w:[0,\gamma)\to \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $\gamma\leq\infty$ , denote a nonincreasing locally integrable function with respect to the Lebesgue measure m, called a weight function.

Recall that the *Lorentz space*  $\Lambda_w$  is defined as follows:

$$\Lambda_w = \{ f \in L^0 : ||f||_{\Lambda_w} = \int_0^\gamma f^*(t) w(t) \, dt < \infty \}, \qquad \gamma = \mu(\Omega).$$

Now, if for a given Orlicz function  $\varphi$ , we take  $E = \Lambda_w$ , then the space  $E_{\varphi}$ , denoted by  $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$ , is called the *Orlicz-Lorentz space* (cf. [6]).

An easy proof of the following lemma, useful in the sequel, can be found in [8, Lemma 2, p. 141].

**Lemma 1.** Let E be a Köthe function space. If  $x_n \to x$  in E, then there exist  $y \in E^+$ ,  $(x_{n_k}) \subset (x_n)$  and  $(\varepsilon_{n_k}) \subset \mathbf{R}_+$  with  $\varepsilon_{n_k} \downarrow 0$  such that  $|x_{n_k} - x| \leq \varepsilon_{n_k} y$ .

It is proved in [13] that, for any p in  $(1, \infty)$  and any separable Banach space X, a point f of the unit sphere of the Lebesgue-Bochner space  $L^p(\mu, X)$  is strongly extreme if and only if the values  $f(s)/||f(s)||_X$  are strongly extreme points of the unit ball of X for  $\mu$ -almost everywhere  $s \in \text{supp } f$ .

Recall that an element x of the unit sphere of a Banach space X is called *strongly extreme* if any sequence  $(x_n) \subset X$  such that  $||x_n + x|| \to 1$  and  $||x_n - x|| \to 1$  converges to zero.

In the proof of this theorem the facts that for any p in  $(1, \infty)$ , the Lebesgue space  $L^p(\mu)$  is locally uniformly rotund (in fact, it is uniformly rotund) and that, for any Banach space X,  $L^p(\mu, X)$  has the Kadec-Klee property with respect to the measure  $\mu$  were applied.

In the present paper, it is proved that Smith's characterization of strongly extreme points of the unit sphere of  $L^p(\mu,X)$  remains valid if we replace the Lebesgue space  $L^p(\mu)$ , 1 , by any Köthe function space <math>E which is locally uniformly rotund. In Proposition 1 the  $(H_{\mu})$  property is discussed. Moreover, it is proved (cf. Lemma 2) that the spaces  $E_{\varphi}$ , where E is a monotone complete Köthe function space with the  $(H_{\mu}^+)$  property, have the  $(H_{\mu})$  property if the Orlicz function  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition. It is proved also (cf. Theorem 1 and Remark 2) that in the case of an atomless finite measure  $\mu$  the Orlicz-Lorentz space  $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$  has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property if and only if the Orlicz function  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

## 2. Results. We start with the following

**Proposition 1.** Let E be an order continuous Köthe function space. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) E has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property.
- (b) E has the  $(H_{\mu}^{+})$  property.
- (c) For any  $(f_n)$  and f in E(X), if  $f_n \to f$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere and  $||f_n|| \to ||f||$ , then  $f_n \to f$  strongly for any Banach space X.

*Proof.* The implications (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) are obvious. In order to finish the proof, it suffices to show (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Suppose (b) holds. Let X be any Banach space, and let  $f_n$ ,  $f \in E(X)$  satisfy  $f_n \to f$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere and  $||f_n|| \to ||f||$ . Clearly,

$$\bar{f}_n \stackrel{\mu}{\to} \bar{f}$$
 and  $||\bar{f}_n||_E \to ||\bar{f}||_E$ .

Hence,  $\bar{f}_n \to \bar{f}$  strongly in E, by (b). Thus, passing to a subsequence

 $(f_{n_k})$  and applying Lemma 1, we have

$$|\bar{f}_{n_k}| = \bar{f}_{n_k} \le x$$

for some  $x \in E^+$ . Let

$$g_m(\cdot) = ||f_{n_m}(\cdot) - f(\cdot)||_X$$

and

$$x_k(\cdot) = \sup\{g_m(\cdot) : m \ge k\}.$$

The sequence  $(x_k)$  is nonincreasing,  $x_k \to 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere (because  $f_n \to f$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere) and, by virtue of (\*), we have

$$0 \le x_k \le x + \bar{f} \in E^+$$
.

Thus  $x_k \in E$  and, in view of the order continuity of E,  $x_k \to 0$  strongly in E. Since  $0 \le g_k \le x_k$ , we have  $g_k \to 0$  in E. This implies, of course, that  $f_n \to f$  strongly in E(X). The proof is finished.  $\square$ 

It is well known that the space  $L^1$  has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property. This fact will be used to show that some class of Köthe function spaces have the  $(H_{\mu})$  property too.

**Lemma 2.** Let E be a monotone complete Köthe function space with the  $(H_{\mu}^+)$  property such that  $L^{\infty} \subset E$  in the case of an atomless finite measure, and  $E \subset l^{\infty}$  in the case of counting measure. Then  $E_{\varphi}$  has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property whenever  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

*Proof.* First observe that, if E is monotone complete with the  $(H_{\mu}^{+})$  property, then E is order continuous. In fact, if  $x_n \downarrow 0$ ,  $x_n \in E$ , then  $x_1 - x_n \uparrow x_1$  which yields  $||x_1 - x_n|| \to ||x_1||$ . Thus  $||x_n|| \to 0$  by the  $(H_{\mu}^{+})$  property.

Suppose that  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then  $x \in E_{\varphi}$  if and only if  $\rho(x) < \infty$ . This easily implies that  $E_{\varphi}$  is order continuous. Therefore, in view of Proposition 1, in order to prove that  $E_{\varphi}$  has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property, it suffices to show that it has the  $(H_{\mu}^+)$  property.

We observe now that  $||x||_{\varphi} = 1$  implies  $\rho(x) = 1$ . Let  $||x||_{\varphi} = 1$ . Take any sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ . Then it follows that  $||y_n||_E \leq 1$ , where  $y_n = \varphi(x/(1+\varepsilon_n))$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $0 \le y_n \uparrow y = \varphi(x)$  and  $y \in E_{\varphi}$ , we have

$$\rho(x) = ||y||_E = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||y_n||_E \le 1.$$

Assume that

Since  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition, the function

$$f(t) = \rho(tx), \qquad t > 0,$$

is an Orlicz function. Condition (+) means that f(1) < 1. Thus, by continuity of f, it follows that there exists  $\lambda > 1$  such that  $f(\lambda) = \rho(\lambda x) \le 1$ . This yields  $||x||_{\varphi} \le 1/\lambda < 1$ , a contradiction.

In order to finish the proof, suppose that  $E_{\varphi}$  does not have the  $(H_{\mu}^{+})$  property. Thus, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that, for some  $x_{n}, x \geq 0$  in  $E_{\varphi}$ , we have

$$(**) \hspace{1cm} ||x_n||_{\varphi} = ||x||_{\varphi} = 1, \hspace{1cm} x_n \overset{\mu}{\to} x \hspace{1cm} \text{and} \hspace{1cm} ||x_n - x||_{\varphi} > \varepsilon$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As we have just proved, from (\*\*) it follows that  $||y_n||_E = ||y||_E = 1$ , where  $y_n = \varphi(x_n)$  and  $y = \varphi(x)$ . Since  $y_n \stackrel{\mu}{\to} y$  in E, by the  $(H_{\mu}^+)$  property and Lemma 1, we obtain that

$$0 \leq y_{n_k} \leq w$$

for some subsequence  $(y_{n_k})$  and  $w \in E^+$ . Notice that if an Orlicz function  $\varphi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at zero, then it satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition on the interval  $[0, t_0]$  for any positive constant  $t_0$ .

By virtue of the assumption  $E \subset l^{\infty}$ , in the case of counting measure, putting  $t_0 = \max\{\sup_n \varphi^{-1}(w(n)), \sup_n \varphi^{-1}(x(n))\}$ , where w(n) and x(n) denote, respectively, the *n*-th coordinate of w and x, we get for some constant K > 0 depending on  $t_0$ 

$$\varphi(x_{n_k} - x) \le K\varphi((x_{n_k} - x)/2) \le K(\varphi(x_{n_k}) + \varphi(x))/2$$
  
$$\le K(w + y)/2 \in E^+.$$

This inequality holds also in the case of an atomless infinite measure. In the case of an atomless finite measure, in view of  $L^{\infty} \subset E$  and the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at infinity, we have

$$\varphi(x_{n_k}-x) \le K(w+y)/2 + \varphi(t_0)\chi_{\Omega} \in E^+.$$

In consequence,  $\varphi(x_{n_k} - x) \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$  and  $0 \le \varphi(x_{n_k} - x) \le r$  for some  $r \in E^+$ . Thus, by the order continuity of E, we have

$$\rho(x_{n_k}-x)\to 0.$$

Since  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we have  $x_{n_k} - x \to 0$  in  $E_{\varphi}$ , and that contradicts (\*\*). Thus,  $E_{\varphi}$  has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property, and the proof is finished.

It is obvious that  $L^{\varphi} = (L^1)_{\varphi}$  and  $L^{\infty} \subset L^{\varphi}$  if  $\mu$  is atomless and finite,  $l^{\varphi} \subset l^{\infty}$  if  $\mu$  is counting measure and both  $L^1$  and  $l^1$  have the  $(H_{\mu})$  property. Thus, by Lemma 2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1. An Orlicz space  $L^{\varphi}(\mu)$  has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property if and only if  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

The necessity follows from the fact that if  $\varphi$  does not satisfy the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition then  $L^{\varphi}$  contains an isometric copy of  $l^{\infty}$  (cf. [2, 5, 14]). Indeed, any Orlicz space  $L^{\varphi}$  is monotone complete, whence it follows that the  $(H_{\mu})$  property of  $L^{\varphi}$  implies order continuity. Therefore, assuming that  $\varphi$  does not satisfy the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we obtain a contradiction.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $L^{\varphi}(\mu)$  be an Orlicz space with  $\varphi$  satisfying the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition and X a Banach space. Then, for  $(f_n)$  and f in the Orlicz-Bochner space  $L^{\varphi}(\mu, X)$ , if  $||f_n|| \to ||f||$  and  $f_n \to f$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere, then  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^{\varphi}(\mu, X)$ .

The proof follows by applying Corollary 1 and Proposition 1.

Remark 1. In the case of  $\varphi(t) = |t|^p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , the above result was proved by Smith in [13] by a quite different method.

Next we will give an example of Köthe function spaces with the  $(H_{\mu})$  property, namely Orlicz-Lorentz spaces (cf. [6]).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$  be an Orlicz-Lorentz space over a finite atomless measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  with the weight function w. Then  $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$  has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property if  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

*Proof.* Since  $\Lambda_w$  is monotone complete, in view of Lemma 2, it suffices to show that  $\Lambda_w$  has the  $(H_{\mu}^+)$  property. In order to prove that it is enough to establish that, if  $x_n$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , and

$$(++)$$
  $x_n \stackrel{\mu}{\to} x$  and  $||x_n||_{\Lambda_m} \to ||x||_{\Lambda_m}$ 

then  $(x_n)$  contains a subsequence convergent strongly to x.

Since  $x_n \stackrel{\mu}{\to} x$ , we have  $x_n^* \to x^*$  m-almost everywhere (cf. [9, p. 93]). Thus

$$x_n^* w \to x^* w$$
 m-a.e.

and

$$\int x_n^* w \, dt \to \int x^* w \, dt,$$

by the assumption that  $||x_n||_{\Lambda_w} \to ||x||_{\Lambda_w}$ . This yields, by the  $(H_\mu)$  property of  $L^1$  that

$$\int |x_n^* - x^*| w \, dt \to 0.$$

Thus, in virtue of Lemma 1, this implies that

$$|x_{n_k}^* - x^*| w \le y$$

for some subsequence  $(x_{n_k}^*)$  of  $(x_n^*)$  and  $y \in (L^1)^+$ . In consequence, by the assumption on the weight function w, we obtain

$$(x_{n_k} - x)^*(t)w(t) \le x_{n_k}^*(t/2)w(t) + x^*(t/2)w(t)$$
  

$$\le x_{n_k}^*(t/2)w(t/2) + x^*(t/2)w(t/2)$$
  

$$\le y(t/2) + 2x^*(t/2)w(t/2) = z(t).$$

We have  $z \in L^1$  and  $(x_{n_k} - x)^* \to 0$  m-almost everywhere. Thus, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields

$$||x_{n_k}-x||_{\Lambda_w} = \int (x_{n_k}-x)^* w \, dt o 0.$$

This finishes the proof.

Remark 2. In the case of an atomless measure, the assumption concerning the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for  $\varphi$  is necessary in Theorem 1, because, in the opposite case, the Orlicz-Lorentz space  $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$  contains an isometric copy of  $l^{\infty}$  (cf. [6]).

Now we will consider the problem of a characterization of strongly extreme points of the unit sphere of Köthe-Bochner spaces.

Remark 3. Every locally uniformly rotund Banach space X has the  $Kadec\text{-}Klee\ property$ , i.e., norm and weak convergence of sequences coincide on the unit sphere of X. Therefore, locally uniformly convex Köthe function spaces are order continuous (cf. [10, p. 28]).

**Theorem 2.** Let E be a locally uniformly rotund Köthe function space over a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , and let X be a Banach space. If  $f \in S_{E(X)}$  is such that  $f(s)/||f(s)||_X$  is a strongly extreme point of  $S_X$  for  $\mu$ -almost everywhere  $s \in \operatorname{supp} f$ , then f is a strongly extreme point of  $S_{E(X)}$ .

Proof (cf. [13]). The local uniform rotundity of E implies that E has the  $(H_{\mu})$  property (cf. [4]). Suppose f is in  $S_{E(X)}$  and  $(g_n)$  is a sequence in E(X) such that  $||f \pm g_n|| \to 1 = ||f||$ . Hence, it follows that  $||2f + g_n|| \to 2$ . By the triangle inequality in X and E, we have

(1) 
$$||2f \pm g_n|| \le ||||f(\cdot)||_X + ||f(\cdot) \pm g_n(\cdot)||_X||_E \le ||f|| + ||f \pm g_n||.$$

Since the left side and the right side of (1) tend towards two, the local uniform rotundity of E yields that  $||f(\cdot) \pm g_n(\cdot)||_X \to ||f(\cdot)||_X$  in E. Thus, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, and applying the continuous embedding of E into  $L^0$ , we can assume that

(2) 
$$||f(s) \pm g_n(s)||_X \to ||f(s)||_X \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Hence, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $s \in \text{supp } f$ , we have

$$||f(s) \pm g_n(s)||_X/||f(s)||_X \to 1.$$

Thus, by the assumption that f(s)/||f(s)|| are strongly extreme points of  $S_X$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere in supp f, it follows that  $g_n(\cdot) \to 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere in supp f. By (2), it follows also that  $g_n(\cdot) \to 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere in  $\Omega \setminus \sup f$ . In consequence, we have

$$||f \pm g_n|| \rightarrow ||f||$$

(by the assumption) and

$$f + q_n \to f$$
  $\mu$ -a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

By Remark 3, E is order continuous. Thus, in view of Proposition 1, we get  $g_n \to 0$  in E(X), and the proof is finished.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 3. Let  $\varphi$  be a strictly convex Orlicz function satisfying the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition, X a Banach space. If  $f \in S_{L^{\varphi}(X)}$  is such that  $f(s)/||f(s)||_X$  is a strongly extreme point of  $S_X$  for  $\mu$ -almost everywhere s in supp f, then f is a strongly extreme point of  $S_{L^{\varphi}(X)}$ .

The proof follows immediately from Theorem 2 and the fact that the strict convexity of  $\varphi$  and the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition for  $\varphi$  imply the local uniform rotundity of  $L^{\varphi}$  (cf. [7]). Note that, in the case of counting measure, it is enough to assume strict convexity of  $\varphi$  on the interval  $[0, \varphi^{-1}(1)]$ ) (cf. [6]).

In the case of a nonatomic measure  $\mu$ , strongly extreme points of the unit sphere of Orlicz spaces  $L^{\varphi} = L^{\varphi}(\mu, \mathbf{R})$  were characterized in [3] in the case when  $\varphi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

Under the same assumption on  $\varphi$  as in Corollary 3, if X is a separable Banach space, then the sufficient condition for f to be an extreme point of  $S_{L^{\varphi}(X)}$  is also necessary. This follows immediately from the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let E be as in Theorem 2 and X a separable Banach space. If  $f \in S_{E(X)}$  is a strongly extreme point, then  $f(s)/||f(s)||_X$  are strongly extreme points of  $S_X$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $s \in \text{supp } f$ .

The proof for  $L^p(\mu, X)$ , 1 , in [13] can be repeated in our case

## REFERENCES

- 1. E.I. Berezhnoi and M. Mastyło, On Calderón-Lozanovskii construction, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 37 (1989), 23–32.
- 2. H. Hudzik, On some equivalent conditions in Musielak-Orlicz spaces, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 24 (1984), 57-64.
- 3. H. Hudzik and M. Wista, Strongly extreme points in Orlicz function spaces, to appear.
- ${\bf 4.}$  H. Hudzik and M. Mastyło, Local uniform rotundity in Banach via sublinear operators, submitted.
- $\bf 5.~A.~Kamińska,~Flat~Orlicz-Musielak~sequence~spaces,$ Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math.  $\bf 30~(1982),~347–352.$
- 6. ——, Some remarks on Orlicz-Lorentz spaces, Math. Nachr. 147 (1990), 29-38.
- 7. ——, The criteria for local uniform rotundity of Orlicz spaces, Studia Math. 79 (1984), 201–215.
- $\bf 8.~L.V.~Kantorovič$  and G.P. Akilov, Functional analysis, 2nd ed., Moscow (1978), in Russian.
- 9. S.G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin and E.M. Semenov, Interpolation of linear operators, Moscow (1978), in Russian.
- 10. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces II, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- 11. G.Ya. Lozanovskii, On some Banach lattices II, Sibirsk. Mat. Z. 12 (1971), 562–576, in Russian.
- 12. J. Musielak, Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, Lect. Notes Math. 1034 (1983).
- 13. M.A. Smith, Strongly extreme points in  $L^p(\mu, X)$ , Rocky Mountain J. Math. 16 (1986), 1–5.
  - 14. B. Turett, Rotundity of Orlicz spaces, Indag. Math. 38 (1976), 462-469.
  - 15. A.C. Zaanen, Riesz spaces II, North-Holland, 1983.

Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland