SOME IDENTITIES CONNECTING PARTITION FUNCTIONS TO OTHER NUMBER THEORETIC FUNCTIONS

NEVILLE ROBBINS

Introduction. Let A be a subset of N, the set of all natural numbers. If n belongs to N, let $p_A(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts belonging to A. Let $\sigma_A(n)$ denote the sum of the divisors of n that belong to A. In particular, if A = N, then $p_A(n) = p(n)$, the unrestricted partition function, and $\sigma_A(n) = \sigma(n)$; if A is the set of odd natural numbers, then $p_A(n) = q(n)$, the number of partitions of n into odd parts, and $\sigma_A(n) = \sigma^0(n)$, our notation for the sum of the odd divisors of n. Let $q_0(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into distinct odd parts. Let E(n) = n(3n-1)/2. The integers $E(\pm n)$, where $n \geq 0$, are know as the pentagonal numbers. Let T(n) = n(n+1)/2. The integers T(n), where $n \geq 0$, are known as the triangular numbers. Consider the following general theorem:

Theorem X. Let $f: A \to N$ be a function such that both

$$F_A(x) = \prod_{n \in A} (1 - x^n)^{-f(n)/n} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{A,f}(n) x^n$$

and

$$G_A(x) = \sum_{n \in A} \frac{f(n)}{n} x^n$$

converge absolutely and represent analytic functions in the unit disk: |x| < 1. Let $p_{A,f}(0) = 1$ and $f_A(k) = \sum \{f(d) : d \mid k, d \in A\}$. Then

(1)
$$np_{A,f}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{A,f}(n-k) f_A(k).$$

Copyright ©1999 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Received by the editors on September 12, 1996, and in revised form on March 24, 1997.

Theorem X is Theorem 14.8 in [1]; its proof is obtained by logarithmic differentiation of the generating function $F_A(x)$. Theorem X is a master identity that can be used to derive many partition identities. For example, if we let f(n) = n, then $p_{A,f}(n) = p_A(n)$ and $f_A(k) = \sigma_A(k)$. We thus obtain

(1.1)
$$np_{A}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{A}(n-k)\sigma_{A}(k).$$

If we let A = N, we obtain

(1.2)
$$np(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p(n-k)\sigma(k).$$

In [4], Erdos used (1.2) in the equivalent form

(1.3)
$$np(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/m]} mp(n-km)$$

to prove by elementary means that $p(n) \sim (C/n) \exp(2\pi (n/6)^{1/2})$. (This important estimate had been proven earlier in more precise form, namely with $C = 48^{-1/2}$, by Hardy and Ramanujan. See [5].

In [6], Kraetzel used (1.3) to prove the bound

$$p(n) < 5^{n/4}$$

with equality only when n=4. If we let A=N and f(n)=-n, then (by Euler's well-known pentagonal number formula) we have $f_A(k)=-\sigma(k)$ and

$$P_{A,f}(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m & \text{if } n = E(\pm m) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This yields

(2)
$$\sigma(n) + \sum_{k \ge 1} (-1)^k \{ \sigma(n - E(k)) + \sigma(n - E(-k)) \}$$

$$= \begin{cases} n(-1)^{m-1} & \text{if } n = E(\pm m) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this note, in Theorems 1 and 2a below, we use (1) to derive identities pertaining to q(n) and $q_0(n)$ that are analogous to (1.2). In Theorem 2b and Corollary 1, we obtain explicit formulas for $\sigma^0(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ in terms of q(n) and $q_0(n)$. (As for the sum of the even divisors of n, which we denote $\sigma^E(n)$, it is easily seen that, if $n = 2^k m$ where $k \geq 0$ and m is odd, so that $\sigma^0(n) = \sigma(m)$, then $\sigma^E(n) = (2^{k+1} - 2)\sigma^0(n)$.)

In addition to the results mentioned above, we prove Theorem Y, which is Exercise 14.10 in [1]. We use Theorem Y to prove several additional identities. The first two, which involve $\mu(n)$ and $\phi(n)$, respectively, are not new; the third yields a new formula for p(n) in terms of $\sigma(n)$.

2. Preliminaries. Let |x| < 1. Then

(2.1)
$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) x^n$$

(2.2)
$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n-1})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q(n)x^n$$

(2.3)
$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + x^{2n-1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_0(n) x^n$$

(2.4)
$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \{ x^{E(n)} + x^{E(-n)} \}$$
 (Euler)

(2.5)
$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^3 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n+1) x^{T(n)}$$
 (Jacobi).

Remarks. Equations (2.1) through (2.5) may be found in [1]. (See Table 14.1 and Theorems 14.3 and 14.7.)

3. The main theorems.

Theorem 1.

$$nq(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q(n-k)\sigma^{0}(k).$$

Proof. Let A be the set of all odd natural numbers. Then $p_A(n) = q(n), \sigma_A(k) = \sigma^0(k)$, and the conclusion follows from (1.1).

Theorem 2.

(a)
$$nq_0(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} q_0(n-k)\sigma^0(k)$$

(b)
$$\sigma^{0}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} k q_{0}(k) q(n-k).$$

Proof of (a). Let A be the set of all odd natural numbers. Write (2.3) in the form:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_0(n) x^n = \prod_{n \in A} (1 + x^n).$$

Replace x by -x to obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q_0(n) = \prod_{n \in A} (1 - x^n).$$

Let f(n) = -n, so that $f_A(k) = -\sigma^0(k)$. Now Theorem X applies, with $p_{A,f}(n) = (-1)^n q_0(n)$, so that

(*)
$$n(-1)^n q_0(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} q_0(n-k)(-\sigma^0(k)).$$

Upon simplifying, we obtain (a). \Box

Proof of (b). (*) implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} q_0(n-k) \sigma^0(k) = n(-1)^{n-1} q_0(n).$$

If |x| < 1, then we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} q_0(n-k) \sigma^0(k) \right) x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n (-1)^{n-1} q_0(n) x^n,$$

that is,

$$\bigg(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q_0(n) x^n \bigg) \bigg(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^0(n) x^n \bigg) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n (-1)^{n-1} q_0(n) x^n.$$

Now (2.3) implies

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n-1}) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^0(n) x^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n (-1)^{n-1} q_0(n) x^n$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^0(n) x^n = \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n-1})^{-1} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(-1)^{n-1} q_0(n) x^n \right).$$

Now (2.2) implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{0}(n)x^{n} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q(n)x^{n}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(-1)^{n-1}q_{0}(n)x^{n}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{0}(n) x^{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k(-1)^{k-1} q_{0}(k) q(n-k) \right) x^{n}.$$

The conclusion now follows by equating coefficients of like powers of x.

Remark. Note that, if n is odd, then $\sigma^0(n) = \sigma(n)$. More generally, we have

Corollary 1. If $n = 2^{j}m$, where $j \geq 0$ and m is odd, then

$$\sigma(n) = (2^{j+1} - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} k q_0(k) q(m-k).$$

Proof. By hypothesis, $(2^j, m) = 1$. Therefore

$$\sigma(n) = \sigma(2^{j})\sigma(m) = (2^{j+1} - 1)\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1}kq_0(k)q(m-k)$$

by Theorem 2, part (b).

Theorem 3.

$$\sum_{k>0} (-1)^k (2k+1)\sigma(n-T(k)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m-1} (2m+1)n/3 & if \ n = T(m) \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Write the conclusion of Theorem X as

$$np_{A,f}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{A,f}(k) f_A(n-k).$$

Let A = N, f(n) = -3n, so $f_A(k) = -3\sigma(k)$. Write (2.5) as

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^3 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{A,f}(n) x^n$$

where

$$p_{A,f}(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k (2k+1) & \text{if } n = T(k) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying (**) and dividing by -3, we obtain the conclusion. \Box

Remark. Theorem 3 is not a new result; it appears on page 127 of [7]. The proof suggested by the distinguished authors involves the logarithmic differentiation of (2.5), as well as use of the identities:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n) n^{-s} = \zeta(s) \zeta(s-1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n)x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^n/(1-x^n).$$

(See [7, pp. 121, 127 and 318].) Our proof is thus somewhat simpler.

Theorem 4.

$$\sigma(n) = np(n) + \sum_{k>1} (-1)^k \{ (n-E(k))p(n-E(k)) + (n-E(-k))p(n-E(-k)) \}.$$

Proof. If |x| < 1, then (1.2) implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} p(k) \sigma(n-k) \right) x^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n p(n) x^{n},$$

that is,

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma(n)x^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np(n)x^n.$$

Now (2.1) implies

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-x^n)^{-1}\bigg(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma(n)x^n\bigg)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}np(n)x^n,$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n)x^n = \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-x^n)\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np(n)x^n\right).$$

Now (2.4) implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n) x^n = \left(1 + \sum_{k > 1} (-1)^k (x^{E(k)} + x^{E(-k)})\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np(n) x^n\right).$$

The conclusion now follows from matching coefficients of like powers of x. \Box

Theorem Y (using the notation of Theorem X). Let

$$F_A(x) = \prod_{n \in A} (1 - x^n)^{-f(n)/n},$$
 $H_A(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_A(k) x^k.$

Then $F_A(x) = \exp\{\int_0^x (H_A(t)/t) dt\}.$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem X, see [1, p. 322], we have

$$xF'_A(x) = F_A(x)H_A(x).$$

Thus

$$F_A'(x)/F_A(x) = H_A(x)/x.$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^x (F_A'(t)/F(t)) dt = \int_0^x (H_A(t)/t) dt,$$

that is,

$$\operatorname{Log} F_A(x) = \int_0^x (H_A(t)/t) \, dt,$$

from which the conclusion follows.

Theorem 5.

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^{\mu(n)/n} = e^{-x} \quad \text{if } |x| < 1.$$

Proof. Let A = N, $f(n) = -\mu(n)$. Now

$$f_A(k) = -\sum_{d \mid K} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus $H_A(x) = -x$. The conclusion now follows from Theorem Y.

Theorem 6.

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^{\phi(n)/n} = e^{-x/(1-x)} \quad \text{if } |x| < 1.$$

Proof. Let A = N, $f(n) = -\phi(n)$. Now $f_A(k) = -\sum_{d|k} \phi(d) = -k$. Thus

$$H_A(x) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^k = -x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1} \right)$$
$$= -x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k \right)' = -x ((1-x)^{-1})'$$
$$= -x (1-x)^{-2}.$$

Now

$$\int_0^x (H_A(t)/t) dt = \int_0^x -(1-t)^{-2} dt$$
$$= -(1-t)^{-1}|_0^x = \frac{-x}{1-x}.$$

The conclusion now follows from Theorem Y.

Remark. Theorem 5 was posed as a problem in the American Mathematics Monthly in 1943, see [2]; a solution was given by Buck [3]. Theorems 5 and 6 appear as Exercise 72.1 in [7, p. 126]. The suggested solution in [7] involves use of the identities

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) n^{-s} = 1/\zeta(s),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \emptyset(n) n^{-s} = \zeta(s-1)/\zeta(s).$$

We conclude with two additional applications of Theorem Y.

Theorem 7.

$$p(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k = n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \sigma(i_j) / i_j.$$

Proof. Let f(n) = n, A = N. Now

$$F_A(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n$$

by (2.1); also $f_A(k) = \sum_{d|k} d = \sigma(k)$, so $H_A(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma(k) x^k$.

$$\int_0^x H_A(t)/t \, dt = \int_0^x \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sigma(k) t^{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\sigma(k)}{k} x^k.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n = \exp\bigg\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(k)}{k}x^k\bigg\} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\bigg(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\sigma(j)}{j}x^j\bigg).$$

The conclusion now follows from matching coefficients of like powers of x. \Box

Theorem 8.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k=n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \sigma(i_j)/i_j$$

$$= \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-1} & \text{if } n = E(\pm m) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let f(n) = -n, A = N, use (4) and Theorem Y.

Acknowledgment. I wish to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- ${\bf 1.}~{\rm Tom}~{\rm M.}~{\rm Apostol},$ Introduction~to~analytic~number~theory, Springer Verlag, New York, 1976.
 - 2. R. Bellman, Problem #4072, Amer. Math. Monthly 50 (1943), 124-125.
 - 3. R.C. Buck, Solution to Problem #4072, Amer. Math. Monthly 51 (1944), 410.
- 4. P. Erdos, On an elementary proof of some asymptotic formulas in the theory of partitions, Ann. of Math. 43 (1942), 437-450.
- 5. G.H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 17 (1918), 75–115.
- 6. E. Kraetzel, Die maximale Ordnung der Anzahl der wesentlich verschiedenen Abelschen Gruppen n-ter Ordnung, Quart. J. Math. 21 (1970), 273–275.
- 7. G. Polya and G. Szego, *Problems and theorems in analysis*, Vol. II, Springer Verlag, New York, 1976.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94132

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \mathtt{robbins@math.sfsu.edu}$