

TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF PATH CONNECTED COMPONENTS IN SPACES OF WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS INTO L^∞

KEI JI IZUCHI, YUKO IZUCHI AND SHŪICHI OHNO

ABSTRACT. This paper demonstrates equivalence amongst the topological structures of path connected components in the spaces of weighted composition operators from L^∞ , H^∞ and the disk algebra into L^∞ on the unit circle.

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane and $\partial\mathbb{D}$ its boundary. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ be the set of all analytic self-maps of \mathbb{D} . For an analytic function u on \mathbb{D} and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$, we define the weighted composition operator $M_u C_\varphi$ as the product of multiplication and composition operators by $(M_u C_\varphi)f(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z))$ for analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The properties of (weighted) composition operators have been extensively studied over the past few decades. See [6, 23] for an overview of these results.

Some of the most long-standing open questions are related to the topological structure of the space of (weighted) composition operators on the Banach space of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} endowed with the operator norm and the essential operator norm, which was originally considered on the classical Hardy space H^2 . In 1981, Berkson [2] first studied the component structure of the space of all composition operators on H^2 in the operator norm topology, and MacCluer [16] continued. Shapiro and Sundberg [24] further investigated and raised

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 47B38, Secondary 30H10.

Keywords and phrases. Weighted composition operator, Lebesgue space, space of bounded analytic functions, disk algebra, essential norm, path connected component.

The first author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No. 24540164). The third author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No. 24540190).

Received by the editors on April 5, 2012, and in revised form on June 9, 2013.

the problems on the component structure in the operator and the essential operator norm topologies.

Then, MacCluer, Zhao and the third author [17] considered these problems on H^∞ (also see [11]), where H^∞ is the Banach space of bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} with the supremum norm. The first and third authors together with Hosokawa investigated the component structure in the space of weighted composition operators on H^∞ and determined path connected components ([10, Theorem 4.1]). Refer to [1, 3, 4, 7, 18, 19] for results on various analytic function spaces.

On the other hand, by Sarason [22] C_φ can be viewed as an integral operator acting on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ via Poisson extension. Let m be the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. For $f \in L^p = L^p(\partial\mathbb{D}, dm)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), let $P_z[f]$ be the Poisson extension of f onto \mathbb{D} . Then $P_z[f] \circ \varphi$ is a harmonic function and has a radial limit $(P_z[f] \circ \varphi)^*$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. We have $(P_z[f] \circ \varphi)^* \in L^p$. Hence, we may define the composition operator C_φ on L^p by

$$C_\varphi f = (P_z[f] \circ \varphi)^*.$$

Let $L^\infty = L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D})$ be the Banach space of all bounded measurable functions f on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ with the essential supremum norm $\|f\|_\infty$. For $u \in L^\infty$, we may define the weighted composition operator $M_u C_\varphi$ on L^∞ . For $f \in L^\infty$, let $f^\#$ be the function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ that takes the value of $P_z[f]$ in \mathbb{D} and the value of f on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Then $M_u C_\varphi f = u(f^\# \circ \varphi^*)$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. The authors have extended the investigation of (weighted) composition operators on L^∞ ([12, 13, 14] and see [20, 25] also).

Let $A = A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ be the space of continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ that are analytic on \mathbb{D} . Usually $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is called the disk algebra. For each $f \in H^\infty$, we identify f with its radial limit function f^* on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. We may consider that $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset H^\infty \subset L^\infty$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ the space of nonzero weighted composition operators on L^∞ , that is,

$$\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty) = \{M_u C_\varphi : u \in L^\infty, u \neq 0, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

For $M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, we denote by $\|M_u C_\varphi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)}$ its operator norm. Restricting the operator $M_u C_\varphi$ on H^∞ and $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, we may consider that $M_u C_\varphi$ are bounded linear mappings from H^∞ and $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ into L^∞ . For these operator norms, we write $\|M_u C_\varphi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty)}$ and

$\|M_u C_\varphi\|_{(A, L^\infty)}$, and we have the spaces $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$.

We note that, as sets,

$$\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty).$$

Naturally, the question occurs as to whether the topological structures in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$ are the same. The topologies in these spaces deeply depend on the norms of differences of the two weighted composition operators on them.

Trivially, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} &\leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty)} \\ &\leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

However, we note that generally the inequality

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty)} \leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)}$$

is strict. For example, see [12, Theorem 4.1] and [15, Theorem 4.1]. Also refer to [5, page 172] and [17, Proposition 4] in the unweighted case.

So the main theme of this paper is to consider the question whether the topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$ are the same. In Section 2, we shall show that

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} = \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty)}.$$

So path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ are path connected sets in $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$, and the topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$ are the same. Moreover, we shall also show that if $\|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0$, then $\|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0$. This fact shows that the structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ are the same as sets. But it is unclear whether the topological properties of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ are the same (is an open and closed path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$?).

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ the space of operators in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ which are not compact. Similarly we have the spaces $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$. In [13], the authors determined the structures of

path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$. In Section 2, we shall show that the topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ are the same. We shall also prove that $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(H^\infty, L^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$ and topological properties of path connected components in them are the same.

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^\infty$ or H^∞ or $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. We denote by $\text{ball}(\mathcal{H})$ the closed unit ball of \mathcal{H} . For a bounded linear operator T from \mathcal{H} to L^∞ , let $\|T\|_{(\mathcal{H}, L^\infty, e)} = \inf_K \|T - K\|_{(\mathcal{H}, L^\infty)}$, where K moves in the space $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}, L^\infty)$ of all compact operators from \mathcal{H} into L^∞ . Usually $\|T\|_{(\mathcal{H}, L^\infty, e)}$ is called the essential operator norm of T . We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(\mathcal{H}, L^\infty)$ the space $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(\mathcal{H}, L^\infty)$ with the essential operator norm. Since

$$\mathcal{K}(L^\infty, L^\infty)|_{H^\infty} \subset \mathcal{K}(H^\infty, L^\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}(H^\infty, L^\infty)|_A \subset \mathcal{K}(A, L^\infty),$$

we have

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty, e)} &\leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty, e)} \\ &\leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty, e)}. \end{aligned}$$

So it is also unclear whether the topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$ are the same. In [14], the authors determined the structure of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$. In Section 3, we shall prove that the topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$ are the same. The authors think that equalities hold in (1.1), but at this moment it is unclear.

Let

$$\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, H^\infty) = \{M_u C_\varphi : u \in H^\infty, u \neq 0, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

Similarly, we have the spaces $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$. As sets, we have $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$. Since $\mathcal{K}(H^\infty, H^\infty)|_A \subset \mathcal{K}(A, H^\infty)$, we have

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, H^\infty, e)}.$$

The authors determined the structure of path connected components of $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$ in [14]. In Section 4, we shall prove that the topolog-

ical structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$ are the same.

2. Path connected components. Let $C = C(\partial\mathbb{D})$ be the space of continuous functions on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Similarly, we have the space $\mathcal{C}_w(C, L^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)|_C$ and

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(C, L^\infty)} \leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)}.$$

Lemma 2.1.

- (i) $\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} = \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty)}$.
- (ii) $\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(C, L^\infty)} = \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)}$.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha = \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty)}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a function $f \in \text{ball}(H^\infty)$ such that $\alpha - \varepsilon < \|u C_\varphi f - v C_\psi f\|_\infty$. Then there is a function $F \in \text{ball}(L^1)$ such that

$$\alpha - \varepsilon < \left| \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} (u(f \circ \varphi)^* - v(f \circ \psi)^*) F \, dm \right|.$$

By Lindelöf’s theorem, we have $(f \circ \varphi)^* = f^\# \circ \varphi^*$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ (see [6, page 31], [12, 21]). For $0 < r < 1$ and $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $f_r(z) = f(rz)$. Then it is easy to check that $f_r \circ \varphi^* \rightarrow f^\# \circ \varphi^*$, $f_r \circ \psi^* \rightarrow f^\# \circ \psi^*$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\alpha - \varepsilon < \left| \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} (u(f_r \circ \varphi^*) - v(f_r \circ \psi^*)) F \, dm \right|$$

for r sufficiently close to 1. Since $f_r \in \text{ball}(A)$, $\alpha - \varepsilon < \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)}$. Thus, we get (i).

- (ii) Let $\beta = \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a function $f \in \text{ball}(L^\infty)$ such that $\beta - \varepsilon < \|u C_\varphi f - v C_\psi f\|_\infty$. Then there is a function $F \in \text{ball}(L^1)$ such that

$$\beta - \varepsilon < \left| \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} (u(f^\# \circ \varphi^*) - v(f^\# \circ \psi^*)) F \, dm \right|.$$

Since $P_z[f]_r \circ \varphi^* \rightarrow f^\# \circ \varphi^*$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ for almost every $e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. In the same way as (i), we get (ii).

□

By Lemma 2.1 (i), we have the following.

Corollary 2.2. *The topological structures of path connected components in $C_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $C_w(A, L^\infty)$ are the same.*

For $(z, w) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2$, let

$$\rho(z, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & (z, w) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2 \setminus \mathbb{D}^2, z \neq w \\ \left| \frac{z-w}{1-\bar{w}z} \right|, & (z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2, z \neq w \\ 0, & z = w. \end{cases}$$

For $e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $\varphi^*(e^{i\theta})$ and $\psi^*(e^{i\theta})$ exist, we define

$$d_A(\varphi^*(e^{i\theta}), \psi^*(e^{i\theta})) = \sup_{f \in \text{ball}(A)} |f(\varphi^*(e^{i\theta})) - f(\psi^*(e^{i\theta}))|$$

and

$$d_C(\varphi^*(e^{i\theta}), \psi^*(e^{i\theta})) = \sup_{f \in \text{ball}(C)} |f^\#(\varphi^*(e^{i\theta})) - f^\#(\psi^*(e^{i\theta}))|.$$

The following is a known fact (see [5, 17]).

Lemma 2.3. *We have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\varphi^*(e^{i\theta}), \psi^*(e^{i\theta})) &\leq d_A(\varphi^*(e^{i\theta}), \psi^*(e^{i\theta})) \leq d_C(\varphi^*(e^{i\theta}), \psi^*(e^{i\theta})) \\ &\leq 2\rho(\varphi^*(e^{i\theta}), \psi^*(e^{i\theta})) \end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

Lemma 2.4. *If $\|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0$, then $\|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0$.*

By Lemma 2.1, $\|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $\|u_n - v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\|M_{u_n-v}C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(A, L^\infty)} = \|M_{u_n-v}C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(C, L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_v(C_{\varphi_n} - C_\psi)\|_{(A, L^\infty)} &\leq \|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \\ &\quad + \|M_{u_n-v}C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(A, L^\infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\|M_v(C_{\varphi_n} - C_\psi)\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty)} \\ &= \|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(C, L^\infty)} \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1} \\ &\leq \|M_{u_n-v}C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(C, L^\infty)} + \|M_v(C_{\varphi_n} - C_\psi)\|_{(C, L^\infty)} \\ &= \|M_{u_n-v}C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(C, L^\infty)} + \text{ess sup}_{e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}} |v(e^{i\theta})| d_C(\varphi_n^*(e^{i\theta}), \psi^*(e^{i\theta})) \\ &\leq \|M_{u_n-v}C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(C, L^\infty)} + 2 \text{ess sup}_{e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}} |v(e^{i\theta})| d_A(\varphi_n^*(e^{i\theta}), \psi^*(e^{i\theta})) \\ &\hspace{10em} \text{by Lemma 2.3} \\ &= \|M_{u_n-v}C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(C, L^\infty)} + 2\|M_v(C_{\varphi_n} - C_\psi)\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *The structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$ are the same as sets.*

We shall study topological properties of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$. Let $M(H^\infty)$ and $M(L^\infty)$ be the maximal ideal spaces of H^∞ and L^∞ , respectively. We denote the Gelfand transform of a function f in H^∞ (and L^∞) by \widehat{f} . We may think of $M(L^\infty) \subset M(H^\infty)$ and $M(L^\infty)$ is the Shilov boundary of H^∞ . Then, for the normalized Lebesgue measure m on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, there exists the probability measure \widehat{m} on $M(L^\infty)$ such that

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f dm = \int_{M(L^\infty)} \widehat{f} d\widehat{m}$$

for every $f \in L^\infty$. Refer to [8, 9] for properties of the maximal ideal spaces of H^∞ and L^∞ .

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$. For each $x \in M(H^\infty)$, the mapping $H^\infty \ni f \rightarrow \widehat{f \circ \varphi}(x)$ is a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on H^∞ . Hence, there is a point $\widehat{\varphi}(x) \in M(H^\infty)$ such that $\widehat{f \circ \varphi}(x) = \widehat{f}(\widehat{\varphi}(x))$ for every $f \in H^\infty$. It is easy to show that $\widehat{\varphi} : M(H^\infty) \rightarrow M(H^\infty)$ is a continuous map (see [10, page 514]). Considering $f(z) = z$, we have $\widehat{\varphi}(x) = \widehat{z}(\widehat{\varphi}(x))$. Hence, if $|\widehat{\varphi}(x)| < 1$, then $\widehat{\varphi}(x) = \widehat{\varphi}(x) \in \mathbb{D}$. One easily checks the following.

Lemma 2.6. *For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ and $f \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, $\widehat{f \circ \varphi}(x) = f(\widehat{\varphi}(x))$ for every $x \in M(L^\infty)$.*

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$, let

$$E(\varphi) = \{x \in M(L^\infty) : |\widehat{\varphi}(x)| = 1\}$$

and $E^\circ(\varphi)$ be the interior of $E(\varphi)$ in $M(L^\infty)$. By [8, page 18], $E^\circ(\varphi)$ is an open and closed subset of $M(L^\infty)$. For $0 < r < 1$, we write

$$\{|\widehat{\varphi}| > r\} = \{x \in M(L^\infty) : |\widehat{\varphi}(x)| > r\}$$

and

$$\{r < |\widehat{\varphi}| < 1\} = \{x \in M(L^\infty) : r < |\widehat{\varphi}(x)| < 1\}.$$

Lemma 2.7. *For $u, v \in L^\infty$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ with $\varphi \neq \psi$, we have*

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \geq \max_{x \in E^\circ(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}(x)|.$$

Proof. We may assume that $E^\circ(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. We have $\widehat{m}(E^\circ(\varphi)) > 0$. Since $\varphi \neq \psi$, $\widehat{m}(\{x \in M(L^\infty) : \widehat{\varphi}(x) = \widehat{\psi}(x)\}) = 0$. Hence,

$$\widehat{m}(\{x \in E^\circ(\varphi) : \widehat{\varphi}(x) \neq \widehat{\psi}(x)\}) = \widehat{m}(E^\circ(\varphi)).$$

Let $x \in E^\circ(\varphi)$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}(x) \neq \widehat{\psi}(x)$. We have $|\widehat{\varphi}(x)| = 1$. Since $\widehat{\varphi}(x) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ is a peak point for $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, there is a function $g \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ such that $\|g\|_\infty = 1$, $g(\widehat{\varphi}(x)) = 1$ and $g(\widehat{\psi}(x)) = 0$. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} &\geq \|u(g \circ \varphi)^* - v(g \circ \psi)^*\|_\infty \\ &\geq |\widehat{u}(x)g(\widehat{\varphi}(x)) - \widehat{v}(x)g(\widehat{\psi}(x))| \\ &= |\widehat{u}(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \geq \sup_{x \in E^\circ(\varphi); \widehat{\varphi}(x) \neq \widehat{\psi}(x)} |\widehat{u}(x)|.$$

Since $\{x \in M(L^\infty) : \widehat{\varphi}(x) \neq \widehat{\psi}(x)\}$ is dense in $M(L^\infty)$, we get the assertion. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$. Then $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}_n$ be a sequence of nonzero functions in L^∞ such that $M_{u_n}C_\varphi \rightarrow M_vC_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\|u_n - v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ and $\|u_n\varphi^* - v\psi^*\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $v(\varphi^* - \psi^*) = 0$, so $\varphi^* = \psi^*$ almost everywhere on $\{e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D} : v(e^{i\theta}) \neq 0\}$. Since $v \neq 0$, by Jensen's inequality (see [9, page 51]) we have $\varphi = \psi$. Thus, we get the assertion. \square

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$, we write $\{|\varphi^*| = 1\} = \{e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D} : |\varphi^*(e^{i\theta})| = 1\}$. Similarly, we may define $\{r < |\varphi^*|\}$ and $\{r < |\varphi^*| < 1\}$ for every $0 < r < 1$. The following is given in [13, Theorem 3.6].

Lemma 2.9.

- (i) *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ and $m(\{|\varphi^*| = 1\}) = 1$, then $\{M_uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is open and closed, and a path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$.*
- (ii) *The set*

$$\{M_uC_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}), m(\{|\psi^*| = 1\}) < 1\}$$

is open and closed, and a path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$.

Theorem 2.10. *The topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$ are the same.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, the topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$ are the same. As mentioned in the introduction, path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ are path connected sets in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$. To show the assertion, it is sufficient to prove that each path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_w(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfy $m(\{|\varphi^*| = 1\}) = 1$. Then $E(\varphi) = E^o(\varphi) = M(L^\infty)$. Let $u \in L^\infty$ with $u \neq 0$ and $M_vC_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$ with $\varphi \neq \psi$. By Lemma 2.7,

$$\|M_uC_\varphi - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \geq \max_{x \in M(L^\infty)} |\widehat{u}(x)| = \|u\|_\infty > 0.$$

This shows that $\{M_uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is open in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$. By Lemma 2.8, $\{M_uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$.

Next, we shall show that

$$X := \{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}), m(\{|\varphi^*| = 1\}) = 1\}$$

is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$. By the last paragraph, X is open in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$. Let $\{M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n}\}_n$ be a sequence in X such that $M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} \rightarrow M_v C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$. Then $\|u_n - v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. If $M_v C_\psi \notin X$, then $\varphi_n \neq \psi$ for every $n \geq 1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.7, we have $\|u_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, so $v = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, X is closed in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$.

By the above facts,

$$\{M_u C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}), m(\{|\psi^*| = 1\}) < 1\}$$

is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_w(A, L^\infty)$. By Lemma 2.9, we get the assertion. \square

We shall give the equivalence of the compactness of weighted composition operators from L^∞, H^∞ and $A(\mathbb{D})$ to L^∞ .

Lemma 2.11. *Let $u \in L^\infty$ with $u \neq 0$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $M_u C_\varphi : L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty$ is compact.
- (ii) $M_u C_\varphi : H^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty$ is compact.
- (iii) $M_u C_\varphi : A \rightarrow L^\infty$ is compact.
- (iv) $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*| > r\}}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. It is trivial that (i) implies (ii) and (ii) implies (iii). By [12, Theorem 3.2], the equivalence of (i) and (iv) holds. To show the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (iv), suppose that $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*| > r\}}\|_\infty > \delta_1 > 0$ for every r with $0 < r < 1$.

First, assume that $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*|=1\}}\|_\infty = 0$. We have $(M_u C_\varphi)z^n = u(\varphi^*)^n \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (iii), $\|u(\varphi^*)^n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Hence, there is a positive integer n such that $\|u(\varphi^*)^n\|_\infty < \delta_1/2$. Take $1/2 < R < 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1/2 &> \|u(\varphi^*)^n\|_\infty \geq R\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*|^n > R\}}\|_\infty \\ &= R\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*| > \sqrt[n]{R}\}}\|_\infty > R\delta_1. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

Next, assume that $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*|=1\}}\|_\infty > \delta_2 > 0$. Then $m(\{|\varphi^*|=1\}) > 0$, so $\widehat{m}(E(\varphi)) > 0$. Since $\varphi \in H^\infty$, $\widehat{\varphi}(E(\varphi))$ is an uncountable set. Hence, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in $E(\varphi)$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) \rightarrow \alpha \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) \neq \alpha$ and $|\widehat{u}(x_n)| > \delta_2$ for every $n \geq 1$. Since $|\widehat{\varphi}(x_n)| = 1$ and $\widehat{\varphi}(x_n)$ is a peak point for $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, there is a function $f_n \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ such that $\|f_n\|_\infty = 1$, $f_n(\widehat{\varphi}(x_n)) = 1$ and $|f_n| < |\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) - \alpha|$ on the set

$$\{e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D} : |e^{i\theta} - \widehat{\varphi}(x_n)| \geq |\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) - \alpha|\}.$$

Then $f_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. By (iii), $\|M_u C_\varphi f_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{M_u C_\varphi f_n}(x_n)| &= |\widehat{u}(x_n) \widehat{f_n \circ \varphi}(x_n)| \\ &= |\widehat{u}(x_n) f_n(\widehat{\varphi}(x_n))| \quad \text{by Lemma 2.6} \\ &= |\widehat{u}(x_n)| > \delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\|M_u C_\varphi f_n\|_\infty > \delta_2$ for every $n \geq 1$. This is a contradiction. □

By Lemma 2.11, as sets we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(H^\infty, L^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty).$$

Let Λ be the set of $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying

$$0 < m(\{|\varphi^*|=1\}) = m(\{|\varphi^*| > r\})$$

for $0 < r < 1$ sufficiently close to 1. Then $\varphi \in \Lambda$ if and only if $E(\varphi) = E^\circ(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. The following is proved in [13, Theorem 3.11].

Lemma 2.12.

- (i) *If $\varphi \in \Lambda$, then $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is open and closed, and a path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$.*
- (ii) *The set*

$$\begin{aligned} &\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}), \\ &\quad m(\{|\varphi^*|=1\}) < m(\{|\varphi^*| > r\}) \quad \text{for any } r, 0 < r < 1\} \end{aligned}$$

is open and closed, and a path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$.

Now we shall study the topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$.

Theorem 2.13. *The topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$ are the same.*

Proof. As the proof of Theorem 2.10, path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ are path connected sets in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$, and the topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$ are the same. In Lemma 2.12, path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ are given. We shall show that each path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$.

Let $\varphi \in \Lambda$. Then $E(\varphi) = E^o(\varphi)$. Let $M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$. By Lemma 2.11, $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*|=1\}}\|_\infty > 0$. For $M_v C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$ with $\psi \neq \varphi$, by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty)} &\geq \max_{x \in E(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}(x)| \\ &= \|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*|=1\}}\|_\infty > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is open in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$. By Lemma 2.8, $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$.

Let

$$X = \{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty, \varphi \in \Lambda\}.$$

To prove the rest of the assertion, it is sufficient to show that X is closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$. Suppose that $\{M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n}\}_n$ is a sequence in X and $M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} \rightarrow M_v C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty) \setminus X$. We shall show a contradiction. Since $M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} \in X$, $E(\varphi_n) = E^o(\varphi_n) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\varphi_n \neq \psi$ for every $n \geq 1$, by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \max_{x \in E(\varphi_n)} |\widehat{u}_n(x)| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We also have

$$(2.2) \quad \|u_n - v\|_\infty \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so $\|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$(2.3) \quad \|M_v(C_{\varphi_n} - C_\psi)\|_{(A, L^\infty)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $M_v C_\psi : A \rightarrow L^\infty$ is not compact, by Lemma 2.11, there is a positive number δ such that $\|v\chi_{\{|\psi^*|>r\}}\|_\infty > \delta$ for every r with $0 < r < 1$. This is equivalent to

$$\sup_{x \in \{|\widehat{\psi}|>r\}} |\widehat{v}(x)| > \delta \quad (0 < r < 1).$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we may assume that

$$(2.4) \quad |\widehat{v}| < \delta/2 \quad \text{on} \quad E(\varphi_n) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

By (2.3) and Lemma 2.7, we have $\widehat{v} = 0$ on $E^o(\psi)$. Since $M_v C_\psi$ does not belong to X , ψ does not belong to Λ . Hence, we have $\widehat{m}(\{r < |\widehat{\psi}| < 1\}) > 0$ for every $0 < r < 1$ and

$$\sup_{x \in \{r < |\widehat{\psi}| < 1\}} |\widehat{v}(x)| > \delta \quad (0 < r < 1).$$

Therefore, there is a sequence $\{x_k\}_k$ in $\{0 < |\widehat{\psi}| < 1\}$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad |\widehat{\psi}(x_k)| \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty$$

and $|\widehat{v}(x_k)| > \delta$ for every $k \geq 1$. By (2.4), we have $|\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_k)| < 1$ for every $n, k \geq 1$. Since $\varphi_n \in \Lambda$,

$$(2.6) \quad \sigma_n := \sup_{k \geq 1} |\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_k)| < 1 \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then, for each fixed n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_v(C_{\varphi_n} - C_\psi)\|_{(A, L^\infty)} &= \sup_{g \in \text{ball}(A)} \|v((g \circ \varphi_n)^* - (g \circ \psi)^*)\|_\infty \\ &\geq \sup_{g \in \text{ball}(A)} |\widehat{v}(x_k)(g(\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_k)) - g(\widehat{\psi}(x_k)))| \\ &\geq \delta \sup_{g \in \text{ball}(A)} |g(\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_k)) - g(\widehat{\psi}(x_k))| \\ &\longrightarrow 2\delta \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{by (2.5) and (2.6)}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts with (2.3). Thus, X is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$. □

3. The essential operator norm topology. To study the topological properties of path connected components in the essential operator norm topology, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For $M_u C_\varphi, M_v C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$ with $\varphi \neq \psi$, we have*

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty, e)} \geq \max_{x \in E^o(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}(x)|.$$

Proof. We may assume that $\widehat{m}(E^o(\varphi)) > 0$. Since $\widehat{m}(\{\widehat{\varphi} = \lambda\}) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in $E^o(\varphi)$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) \rightarrow \alpha \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) \neq \alpha$ for every $n \geq 1$. Since $\varphi \neq \psi$, we may assume that $\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) \neq \widehat{\psi}(x_n)$ for every $n \geq 1$. Moreover, we may assume that

$$|\widehat{u}(x_n)| \rightarrow \max_{x \in E^o(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}(x)|.$$

Since $\widehat{\varphi}(x_n)$ is a peak point for $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, there is a sequence $\{g_n\}_n$ in $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ such that $\|g_n\|_\infty = 1, g_n(\widehat{\varphi}(x_n)) = 1, g_n(\widehat{\psi}(x_n)) = 0$ and

$$|g_n(e^{i\theta})| \leq |\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) - \alpha|$$

for any $e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ with $|e^{i\theta} - \widehat{\varphi}(x_n)| \geq |\widehat{\varphi}(x_n) - \alpha|$ and $n \geq 1$. Then $g_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a compact operator $K : A \rightarrow L^\infty$ such that

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty, e)} + \varepsilon \geq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi - K\|_{(A, L^\infty)}.$$

It is well known that $\|K g_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty, e)} + \varepsilon \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|M_u C_\varphi g_n - M_v C_\psi g_n\|_\infty \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{u}(x_n) g_n(\widehat{\varphi}(x_n)) - \widehat{v}(x_n) g_n(\widehat{\psi}(x_n))| \\ & = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{u}(x_n)| \\ & = \max_{x \in E^o(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the assertion. □

The following is given in [14, Theorem 3.11].

Lemma 3.2.

- (i) *Let $\varphi \in \Lambda$. Then $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D})\}$ is open and closed, and a path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$.*

(ii) The set $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty, \varphi \in \Lambda\}$ is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$.

(iii) The set

$$\begin{aligned} &\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(L^\infty, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(D), \\ &\quad m(\{|\varphi^*| = 1\}) < m(\{|\varphi^*| > r\}) \text{ for any } r, 0 < r < 1\} \end{aligned}$$

is open and closed, and a path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$.

Theorem 3.3. *The topological structures of path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$ are the same.*

Proof. As mentioned in the introduction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty, e)} &\leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, L^\infty, e)} \\ &\leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(L^\infty, L^\infty, e)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ are path connected sets in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$, and also path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ are path connected sets in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$. In Lemma 3.2, path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ are given. We shall show that each path connected component in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, L^\infty)$ is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$.

Let $\varphi \in \Lambda$. By Lemmas 2.11 and 3.1, for $\varphi \neq \psi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty, e)} &\geq \sup_{x \in E(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}(x)| \\ &= \|u \chi_{\{|\varphi^*|=1\}}\|_\infty > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is open in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$. To prove the closedness, let $\{M_{u_n} C_\varphi\}_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$ such that $\|M_{u_n} C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, L^\infty, e)} \rightarrow 0$ for some $M_v C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$. Suppose that $\psi \neq \varphi$. By Lemma 3.1, $\|u_n \chi_{\{|\varphi^*|=1\}}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$p_n(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} 0, & e^{i\theta} \in \{|\varphi^*| = 1\} \\ u_n(e^{i\theta}), & e^{i\theta} \notin \{|\varphi^*| = 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Then $p_n \in L^\infty$. Since $\varphi \in \Lambda$, by Lemma 2.11 $M_{p_n}C_\varphi : A \rightarrow L^\infty$ is compact, so

$$\|M_{(u_n-p_n)}C_\varphi - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\|u_n - p_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, we have $\|M_{(u_n-p_n)}C_\varphi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} \rightarrow 0$. Then $\|M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} = 0$. Hence, $M_vC_\psi : A \rightarrow L^\infty$ is compact, and this contradicts the fact that $M_vC_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$. Thus, $\{M_uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$.

Let $X = \{M_uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty) : u \in L^\infty, \varphi \in \Lambda\}$. To prove the assertion, it is sufficient to show that X is closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$. Let $\{M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n}\}_n$ be a sequence in X such that $\|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} \rightarrow 0$ for some $M_vC_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$. Suppose that $M_vC_\psi \notin X$. Then $\psi \neq \varphi_n$ for every $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\|u_n\chi_{\{|\varphi_n^*|=1\}}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$q_n(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} 0, & e^{i\theta} \in \{|\varphi_n^*|=1\} \\ u_n(e^{i\theta}), & e^{i\theta} \notin \{|\varphi_n^*|=1\}. \end{cases}$$

Then $q_n \in L^\infty$. Since $\varphi_n \in \Lambda$, by Lemma 2.11, $M_{q_n}C_{\varphi_n} : A \rightarrow L^\infty$ is compact. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} &\geq \|M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} - \|M_{(u_n-q_n)}C_{\varphi_n}\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} \\ &\geq \|M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} - \|u_n - q_n\|_\infty \\ &= \|M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} - \|u_n\chi_{\{|\varphi_n^*|=1\}}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\|M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,L^\infty,e)} = 0$, but this contradicts the fact that $M_vC_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, L^\infty)$. Thus, X is closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, L^\infty)$. This completes the proof. □

4. Spaces of analytic functions. By Lemma 2.11, we have the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u \in H^\infty$ with $u \neq 0$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $M_uC_\varphi : H^\infty \rightarrow H^\infty$ is compact.
- (ii) $M_uC_\varphi : A \rightarrow H^\infty$ is compact.
- (iii) $\|u\chi_{\{|\varphi^*|>r\}}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$.
- (iv) $\max_{x \in \{|\hat{\varphi}|>r\}} |\hat{u}(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$.

By this lemma, we have $C_{w,0}(H^\infty, H^\infty) = C_{w,0}(A, H^\infty)$ as sets. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty)} = \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, H^\infty)}.$$

Hence, the topological structures of path connected components in $C_{w,0}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$ and $C_{w,0}(A, H^\infty)$ are the same. In the same way as the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have the following.

Lemma 4.2. *For $M_u C_\varphi, M_v C_\psi \in C_{w,0}(A, H^\infty)$ with $\varphi \neq \psi$, we have*

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, \epsilon)} \geq \max_{x \in E^\circ(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}(x)|.$$

Recall that Λ is the set of $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying

$$0 < m(\{|\varphi^*| = 1\}) = m(\{|\varphi^*| > r\})$$

for $0 < r < 1$ sufficiently close to 1. In [14, Theorem 4.9], the authors proved the following.

Lemma 4.3.

- (i) *Let $\varphi \in \Lambda$. Then $\{M_u C_\varphi \in C_{w,0}(H^\infty, H^\infty) : u \in H^\infty\}$ is open and closed, and a path connected component in $C_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$.*
- (ii) *The set $\{M_u C_\varphi \in C_{w,0}(H^\infty, H^\infty) : u \in H^\infty, \varphi \in \Lambda\}$ is open and closed in $C_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$.*
- (iii) *The set*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{M_u C_\varphi \in C_{w,0}(H^\infty, H^\infty) : u \in H^\infty, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}), \\ & m(\{|\varphi^*| = 1\}) < m(\{|\varphi^*| > r\}) \text{ for any } r, 0 < r < 1\} \end{aligned}$$

is open and closed, and a path connected component in $C_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$.

Theorem 4.4. *The topological structures of path connected components in $C_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$ and $C_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$ are the same.*

Proof. Since

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, \epsilon)} \leq \|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(H^\infty, H^\infty, \epsilon)},$$

path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$ are path connected sets in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$. In Lemma 4.3, path connected components in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(H^\infty, H^\infty)$ are given.

Let $\varphi \in \Lambda$. We shall show that $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty) : u \in H^\infty\}$ is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$. We have $\widehat{m}(E^o(\varphi)) > 0$. By Lemma 4.2, for $\varphi \neq \psi$ we have

$$\|M_u C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \geq \max_{x \in E^o(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}(x)| > 0.$$

This shows that $\{M_u C_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty) : u \in H^\infty\}$ is open in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$.

To prove the closedness, let $\{M_{u_n} C_\varphi\}_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty)$ such that $\|M_{u_n} C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \rightarrow 0$ for some $M_v C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty)$. To show $\psi = \varphi$, suppose that $\psi \neq \varphi$. By Lemma 4.2,

$$\max_{x \in E^o(\psi)} |\widehat{v}(x)| = 0.$$

Since $v \in H^\infty$ and $v \neq 0$, this shows that $E^o(\psi) = \emptyset$. Since $M_v C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty)$, we have

$$\widehat{m}(\{r < |\widehat{\psi}| < 1\}) = \widehat{m}(\{r < |\widehat{\psi}| \leq 1\}) \neq 0$$

for every r with $0 < r < 1$. By Lemma 4.1, there is a positive constant δ such that

$$\delta < \sup_{x \in \{r < |\widehat{\psi}| < 1\}} |\widehat{v}(x)|$$

for every r with $0 < r < 1$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_k\}_k$ in $M(L^\infty)$ such that $0 < |\widehat{\psi}(x_k)| < 1$ and $|\widehat{v}(x_k)| > \delta$ for every $k \geq 1$, and $|\widehat{\psi}(x_k)| \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We may assume that $\widehat{\psi}(x_k) \rightarrow \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. One may show that there is a sequence $\{g_k\}_k$ in $\text{ball}(A)$ such that $g_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and $g_k(\widehat{\psi}(x_k)) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (see [14, Lemma 4.8]). Since $\varphi \in \Lambda$, there exists a constant R , $0 < R < 1$, such that $0 < \widehat{m}(\{|\widehat{\varphi}| = 1\}) = m(\{|\widehat{\varphi}| > R\})$. Hence, we may assume that either $|\widehat{\varphi}(x_k)| = 1$ for every $k \geq 1$ or $|\widehat{\varphi}(x_k)| \leq R$ for every $k \geq 1$. For each n , we have

$$\|M_{u_n} C_\varphi - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(g_k \circ \varphi)^* - v(g_k \circ \psi)^*\|_\infty.$$

First, we assume that $|\widehat{\varphi}(x_k)| = 1$ for every $k \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M_{u_n}C_\varphi - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,H^\infty,e)} \\ & \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{u}_n(x_k)g_k(\widehat{\varphi}(x_k)) - \widehat{v}(x_k)g_k(\widehat{\psi}(x_k))| \\ & \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{v}(x_k)g_k(\widehat{\psi}(x_k))| - |\widehat{u}_n(x_k)g_k(\widehat{\varphi}(x_k))| \\ & \geq \delta - \sup_{x \in E(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}_n(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi \in \Lambda$, $E^o(\varphi) = E(\varphi)$. By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\sup_{x \in E(\varphi)} |\widehat{u}_n(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, we get

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|M_{u_n}C_\varphi - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,H^\infty,e)} \geq \delta.$$

This is a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $|\widehat{\varphi}(x_k)| \leq R$ for every $k \geq 1$. We also have

$$\|M_{u_n}C_\varphi - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,H^\infty,e)} \geq \delta - \|u_n\|_\infty \sup_{|z| \leq R} |g_k(z)|.$$

Since $g_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\|M_{u_n}C_\varphi - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,H^\infty,e)} \geq \delta.$$

This also leads to a contradiction. Thus, we get $\psi = \varphi$. Therefore, $\{M_uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty) : u \in H^\infty\}$ is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$.

Let

$$X = \{M_uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty) : u \in H^\infty, \varphi \in \Lambda\}.$$

We shall prove that X is open and closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$. We have already proved that X is open. We shall show that X is closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$. Let $\{M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n}\}_n$ be a sequence in X such that $\|M_{u_n}C_{\varphi_n} - M_vC_\psi\|_{(A,H^\infty,e)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $M_vC_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty)$. We assume that $M_vC_\psi \notin X$. Hence, $\varphi_n \neq \psi$ for every $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \max_{x \in E(\varphi_n)} |\widehat{u}_n(x)| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \max_{x \in E^\sigma(\psi)} |\widehat{v}(x)| = 0.$$

Since $\psi \notin \Lambda$, $\{r < |\widehat{\psi}| < 1\} \neq \emptyset$ for every r with $0 < r < 1$. Since $M_v C_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{w,0}(A, H^\infty)$, by (4.2) and Lemma 4.1 there is a positive constant δ such that

$$\delta < \sup_{x \in \{r < |\widehat{\psi}| < 1\}} |\widehat{v}(x)|$$

for every r with $0 < r < 1$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_k\}_k$ in $M(L^\infty)$ such that $0 < |\widehat{\psi}(x_k)| < 1$, $|\widehat{v}(x_k)| > \delta$ for every $k \geq 1$ and $|\widehat{\psi}(x_k)| \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We may assume that $\widehat{\psi}(x_k) \rightarrow \alpha \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. One may take a sequence $\{g_k\}_k$ in $\text{ball}(A)$ such that $g_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and $g_k(\widehat{\psi}(x_k)) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (see [14, Lemma 4.8]).

For each fixed positive integer n , since $\varphi_n \in \Lambda$ there exists a constant R_n , $0 < R_n < 1$, such that $0 < \widehat{m}(\{|\widehat{\varphi}_n| = 1\}) = \widehat{m}(\{|\widehat{\varphi}_n| > R_n\})$. Hence, there is a subsequence $\{x_{k_n,j}\}_j$ of $\{x_k\}_k$ satisfying that either $|\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_{k_n,j})| = 1$ for every $j \geq 1$ or $|\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_{k_n,j})| \leq R_n$ for every $j \geq 1$. We have

$$\|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(g_{k_n,j} \circ \varphi_n)^* - v(g_{k_n,j} \circ \psi)^*\|_\infty.$$

First, we assume that $|\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_{k_n,j})| = 1$ for every $j \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \\ & \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{v}(x_{k_n,j})g_{k_n,j}(\widehat{\psi}(x_{k_n,j}))| - |\widehat{u}_n(x_{k_n,j})g_{k_n,j}(\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_{k_n,j}))|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\widehat{v}(x_{k_n,j})| \geq \delta$, by (4.1) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\delta - \sup_{x \in E(\varphi_n)} |\widehat{u}_n(x)| \right) = \delta.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \rightarrow 0$.

Next, assume that $|\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_{k_n,j})| \leq R_n$ for every $j \geq 1$. We also have

$$\|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\delta - \|u_n\|_\infty \sup_{|z| \leq R_n} |g_{k_n,j}(z)| \right).$$

Since $g_{k_n, j} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \geq \delta.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\|M_{u_n} C_{\varphi_n} - M_v C_\psi\|_{(A, H^\infty, e)} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, X is closed in $\mathcal{C}_{w,0,e}(A, H^\infty)$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. R. Aron, P. Galindo and M. Lindström, *Connected components in the space of composition operators in H^∞ functions of many variables*, Int. Equat. Oper. Th. **45** (2003), 1–14.
2. E. Berkson, *Composition operators isolated in the uniform operator topology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 230–232.
3. J. Bonet, M. Lindström and E. Wolf, *Topological structure of the set of weighted composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces of infinite order*, Int. Equat. Oper. Th. **65** (2009), 195–210.
4. P.S. Bourdon, *Components of linear-fractional composition operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **279** (2003), 228–245.
5. J.S. Choa, K.J. Izuchi and S. Ohno, *Composition operators on the space of bounded harmonic functions*, Int. Equat. Oper. Th. **61** (2008), 167–186.
6. C.C. Cowen and B.D. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
7. E.A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez, M.J. González, P. J. Nieminen and E. Saksman, *On the connected component of compact composition operators on the Hardy space*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), 986–1001.
8. T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1969.
9. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1962.
10. T. Hosokawa, K.J. Izuchi and S. Ohno, *Topological structure of the space of weighted composition operators on H^∞* , Int. Equat. Oper. Th. **53** (2005), 509–526.
11. T. Hosokawa, K.J. Izuchi and D. Zheng, *Isolated points and essential components of composition operators on H^∞* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 1765–1773.
12. K.J. Izuchi, Y. Izuchi and S. Ohno, *Weighted composition operators on the space of bounded harmonic functions*, Int. Equat. Oper. Th. **71** (2011), 91–111.
13. ———, *Path connected components in weighted composition operators on h^∞ and H^∞ with the operator norm*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365**(2013), 3593–3612.
14. ———, *Path connected components in weighted composition operators on h^∞ and H^∞ with the essential operator norm*, Houston J. Math. **40** (2014), 161–187.
15. ———, *Boundary vs. interior conditions associated with weighted composition operators*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **12** (2014), 761–777.

16. B.D. MacCluer, *Components in the space of composition operators*, Int. Equat. Oper. Th. **12** (1989), 725–738.
17. B. MacCluer, S. Ohno and R. Zhao, *Topological structure of the space of composition operators on H^∞* , Int. Equat. Oper. Th. **40** (2001), 481–494.
18. J.S. Manhas, *Topological structures of the spaces of composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Contemp. Math. **435** (2007), 283–299.
19. J. Moorhouse and C. Toews, *Differences of composition operators*, Contemp. Math. **321** (2003), 207–213.
20. P.J. Nieminen and E. Saksman, *On compactness of the difference of composition operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **298** (2004), 501–522.
21. J. Ryff, *Subordinate H^p functions*, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 347–354.
22. D. Sarason, *Composition operators as integral operators*, *Analysis and partial differential equations*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **122**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.
23. J.H. Shapiro, *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
24. J.H. Shapiro and C. Sundberg, *Isolation amongst the composition operators*, Pac. J. Math. **145** (1990), 117–152.
25. ———, *Compact composition operators on L^1* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 443–449.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN
Email address: izuchi@m.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

AOYAMA-SHINMACHI 18-6-301, NIIGATA 950-2006, JAPAN
Email address: yfd10198@nifty.com

NIPPON INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MIYASHIRO, MINAMI-SAITAMA 345-8501, JAPAN
Email address: ohno@nit.ac.jp