UNIQUE DECOMPOSITION PROPERTY AND EXTREME POINTS

MIROSLAV BAČÁK

ABSTRACT. This paper presents a solution to an open problem posed by Font and Sanchis in [1]. We will show that the unique decomposition property of a function space is necessary to obtain a full characterization of extreme points of the unit ball in a dual space.

1. Introduction. We first introduce some notation and basic facts concerning Choquet's theory. We refer the reader to [2] for the details. Let X be a Hausdorff compact space, the symbol $\mathcal C$ denotes the set of constant functions on X. A subspace $\mathcal H$ of the space of continuous functions C(X) is called a function space on X provided it separates points of X and $\mathcal C \subset \mathcal H$. Notice that the function space is not necessarily closed. The dual space $(C(X))^*$, according to the Riesz representation theorem, is considered to be the set of Radon measures on X, denoted by $\mathcal M(X)$. The set of probability Radon measures will be denoted by $\mathcal M(X)$. We define the positive part of the closed unit ball in the dual space $\mathcal H^*$ as

$$B_{\mathcal{H}^*}^+ = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{H}^* : 0 \le \psi, \|\psi\| \le 1 \}$$

and the state space of \mathcal{H} as

$$S(\mathcal{H}) = \{ \psi \in B_{\mathcal{H}^*}^+ : \|\psi\| = 1 \}.$$

It is well known that \mathcal{H}^* is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space

$$\mathcal{M}(X)/\mathcal{H}^{\perp}$$

and that

$$S(\mathcal{H}) = \pi(\mathcal{M}^1(X)).$$

Here π stands for the quotient mapping from $\mathcal{M}(X)$ to \mathcal{H}^* . Further, we define a homeomorphic embedding $\phi: X \to S(\mathcal{H})$ mapping to every

Keywords and phrases. Unique decomposition property, Choquet boundary, state space.

state space.

Received by the editors on October 23, 2006, and in revised form on March 8, 2007.

 $DOI: 10.1216 / RMJ-2009-39-5-1397 \quad Copy right © 2009 \ Rocky \ Mountain \ Mathematics \ Consortium \ Mountain \ Mathematics \ Consortium \ Mountain \ Mathematics \ Mountain \ Mathematics \ Mountain \ Mathematics \ Mathematics \ Mountain \ Mo$

 $x \in X$ a functional ϕ_x by $\phi_x = \pi(\varepsilon_x)$, where ε_x is the Dirac measure at the point x. The Choquet boundary of \mathcal{H} is denoted by $\operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$. The set of extreme points of a convex set M is denoted by $\operatorname{ext}(M)$. For the state space we have

$$\operatorname{ext}(S(\mathcal{H})) = \{\phi_x \in S(\mathcal{H}) : x \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)\}.$$

The symbol $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}$ stands for the function identically equal to 1 on X. We denote \mathcal{H}_d the quotient space \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{C} and let $\widehat{\pi}$ be the quotient mapping

$$\widehat{\pi}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{C}.$$

We define the diameter norm for functions in \mathcal{H}_d as

$$\|\widehat{\pi}(f)\|_d = \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{R}(f)),$$

where $\mathcal{R}(f)$ stands for the range of the function f. It is easy to see that

$$\operatorname{diam}\left(\mathcal{R}(f)\right) = 2\inf_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}} \{\|f - \alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}\|\} = 2\|f\|$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

Now, we introduce a lemma, which follows immediately from Theorem 4.9 in [3]. This lemma enables us to identify the space $(\mathcal{H}_d)^*$ with a subspace of \mathcal{H}^* . In what follows, we use this convention without explicit mention.

Lemma. The space $(\mathcal{H}_d)^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\{\psi \in \mathcal{H}^* : \psi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}) = 0\}$.

Further, we have

$$2\|\psi\|_{d^*} = \|\psi\|$$

for every $\psi \in (\mathcal{H}_d)^*$, where $\|\cdot\|_{d^*}$ is the (diameter) norm in $(\mathcal{H}_d)^*$ defined as

$$\|\psi\|_{d^*} = \sup_{\|f\|_d \le 1} \frac{|\psi(f)|}{\|f\|_d}.$$

Then the closed unit ball in $((\mathcal{H}_d)^*, \|\cdot\|_{d^*})$ is denoted by $B_{\mathcal{H}_d^*}$.

The main aim of this paper is to provide a full characterization of the extreme points $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{H}_d^*})$. In the general situation, when the function

space \mathcal{H} does not necessarily have any other properties, we have the following assertion.

Proposition. Let \mathcal{H} be a function space on a compact space X. Then

$$\operatorname{ext}(B_{\mathcal{H}_{d}^{*}}) \subset \{\phi_{x} - \phi_{y} : x, \ y \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X), \ x \neq y\}.$$

We refer the reader to [1] for a proof. There is also an example showing that the inclusion can be strict. So it is clear that we have to impose some tacit assumptions on \mathcal{H} in order to obtain a full characterization of ext $(B_{\mathcal{H}_{s}^{*}})$.

Definition. We say that \mathcal{H} satisfies the unique decomposition property (UDP) if for every $x, y \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$, $x \neq y$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in B_{\mathcal{H}^*}^+$ such that

$$\phi_x - \phi_y = \psi_1 - \psi_2$$
 and $\|\phi_x - \phi_y\| = \|\psi_1\| + \|\psi_2\|$,

there exist $z, t \in Ch_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$ such that $\psi_1 = \phi_z, \psi_2 = \phi_t$.

Remarks. Let us note two important things about (UDP).

• Such decomposition of $\phi_x - \phi_y$ always exists: For a Hahn-Banach extension $\Phi \in (C(X))^*$ of the functional $\phi_x - \phi_y \in \mathcal{H}^*$ we can consider its positive and negative variations Φ^+ , Φ^- . Let us denote the restrictions of these two functionals on \mathcal{H} by ψ^+ , ψ^- . Then we have

$$\phi_x - \phi_y = \psi^+ - \psi^-$$

and

$$\|\phi_x - \phi_y\| = \|\psi^+\| + \|\psi^-\|.$$

- If \mathcal{H} has (UDP), then $\|\phi_x \phi_y\| = 2$. Indeed, there are $z, t \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$ such that $\|\phi_x \phi_y\| = \|\phi_z\| + \|\phi_t\| = 1 + 1$.
- 2. Main result. Now we introduce the main theorem. The first proved implication is due to Font and Sanchis [1]; we present a little different proof for the sake of completeness. The second proved

implication answers the question, posed in [1], whether the unique decomposition property is necessary for (1) to hold.

Theorem. Let \mathcal{H} be a function space on a compact space X. Then

(1)
$$\operatorname{ext}(B_{\mathcal{H}_{x}^{*}}) = \{\phi_{x} - \phi_{y} : x, \ y \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X), \ x \neq y\},\$$

if and only if the function space \mathcal{H} enjoys (UDP).

Proof. (i) Suppose that \mathcal{H} has (UDP). According to the abovementioned proposition it remains to show that for every $x, y \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$, $x \neq y$, the functional $\phi_x - \phi_y$ is an extreme point of the closed unit ball of $(\mathcal{H}_d)^*$. From the previous remarks it follows that the diameter norm of $\phi_x - \phi_y$ is equal to 1. Let us write

$$\phi_x - \phi_y = \frac{1}{2}(\omega + \psi),$$

where ω and ψ are from the closed unit ball of $(\mathcal{H}_d)^*$, $\|\omega\|_{d^*} = \|\psi\|_{d^*} = 1$. Then for Hahn-Banach extensions Ω , $\Psi \in (C(X))^*$ of the functionals ω , ψ , we can take their positive and negative variations Ω^+ , Ψ^+ , Ω^- , Ψ^- . Then

$$\phi_x - \phi_y = \frac{1}{2} [(\omega^+ + \psi^+) - (\omega^- + \psi^-)],$$

where ω^+ , ψ^+ , ω^- , ψ^- stand for the restrictions of Ω^+ , Ψ^+ , Ω^- , Ψ^- on \mathcal{H} . We have

$$2 = \|\phi_x - \phi_y\| = \frac{1}{2} \|(\omega^+ + \psi^+) - (\omega^- + \psi^-)\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|\omega^+ + \psi^+\| + \|\omega^- + \psi^-\|)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|\omega^+\| + \|\psi^+\| + \|\omega^-\| + \|\psi^-\|)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\|\omega\| + \|\psi\|) = 2,$$

which yields

$$\|\phi_x - \phi_y\| = \frac{1}{2} (\|\omega^+ + \psi^+\| + \|\omega^- + \psi^-\|).$$

Using (UDP) we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(\omega^{+} + \psi^{+}) = \phi_{z}, \qquad \frac{1}{2}(\omega^{-} + \psi^{-}) = \phi_{t},$$

for some $z, t \in Ch_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$. Further,

$$\omega^+ = \psi^+ = \phi_z, \qquad \omega^- = \psi^- = \phi_t,$$

because ϕ_z and ϕ_t are extreme points of $S(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\omega = \psi = \phi_z - \phi_t$, and we see that $\phi_x - \phi_y$ is an extreme point of $B_{\mathcal{H}_d^*}$. This finishes the proof of the first implication.

(ii) If we suppose that \mathcal{H} lacks (UDP), we can find $x, y \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$, $x \neq y$, and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in B_{\mathcal{H}^*}^+$ such that

(2)
$$\phi_x - \phi_y = \psi_1 - \psi_2, \qquad \|\phi_x - \phi_y\| = \|\psi_1\| + \|\psi_2\|,$$

and $\psi_1 \neq \phi_z$ for every $z \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$ or $\psi_2 \neq \phi_z$ for every $z \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$. Without loss of generality let $\psi_1 \neq \phi_z$ for every $z \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$. Notice that from (2) it follows that

(3)
$$\|\psi_1\| = \psi_1 \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}} = \psi_2 \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}} = \|\psi_2\|.$$

If $\|\phi_x - \phi_y\| < 2$, then clearly the functional $\phi_x - \phi_y$ is not an extreme point of $B_{\mathcal{H}_x^*}$, so we can assume $\|\phi_x - \phi_y\| = 2$. Now (2) and (3) yield

$$\psi_1, \ \psi_2 \in S(\mathcal{H}).$$

Further, ψ_1 is not an extreme point of $S(\mathcal{H})$. So there exist ξ_1 , $\xi_2 \in S(\mathcal{H})$ and $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ such that

$$\psi_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1 + \xi_2).$$

Hence,

(4)
$$\phi_x - \phi_y = \psi_1 - \psi_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\xi_1 + \xi_2) - \psi_2 = \frac{1}{2} [(\xi_1 - \psi_2) + (\xi_2 - \psi_2)].$$

Since

$$(\xi_1 - \psi_2) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}} = 0, \qquad (\xi_2 - \psi_2) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}} = 0,$$

and

$$\|\xi_1 - \psi_2\| \le (\xi_1 + \psi_2) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}} = 2, \qquad \|\xi_2 - \psi_2\| \le (\xi_2 + \psi_2) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}} = 2,$$

the functionals $\xi_1 - \psi_2$ and $\xi_2 - \psi_2$ are (different) elements of the closed unit ball of $(\mathcal{H}_d)^*$. Having a nontrivial combination in (4), we can conclude that $\phi_x - \phi_y$ is not an extreme point of $B_{\mathcal{H}_d^*}$.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Professors J. Lukeš and J. Spurný for many useful remarks concerning this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. J.J. Font and M. Sanchis, Extreme points and the diameter norm, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 34 (2004), 1325–1331.
- 2. R.R. Phelps, Lectures on Choquet's theorem, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
 - 3. W. Rudin, Functional analysis, McGraw Hill, New York, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC Email address: bacak@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

CURRENT ADDRESS: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, AUSTRALIA

Email address: miroslav.bacak@newcastle.edu.au