

## QUANTITATIVE WEIGHTED BOUNDS FOR THE COMPOSITION OF CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND OPERATORS

GUOEN HU

Communicated by J. Stochel

ABSTRACT. Let  $T_1, T_2$  be two Calderón–Zygmund operators, and let  $T_{1,b}$  be the commutator of  $T_1$  with symbol  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In this article, we establish the quantitative weighted bounds on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$  with  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for the composite operator  $T_{1,b}T_2$ .

### 1. Introduction

We will work on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $p \in [1, \infty)$ ) be the Muckenhoupt class of weight functions, that is,  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $w$  is nonnegative and locally integrable, and

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty, \quad \text{if } p \in (1, \infty),$$

and

$$[w]_{A_1} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{Mw(x)}{w(x)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $[w]_{A_p}$  is called the  $A_p$  constant of  $w$  (see [7] for properties of  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ). In the last several years, there has been significant progress in the study of sharp weighted bounds with  $A_p$  weights for classical operators in harmonic analysis. The study was begun by Buckley

---

Copyright 2019 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Mar. 17, 2018; Accepted Jun. 4, 2018.

First published online Oct. 25, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B20; Secondary 47B33.

Keywords. weighted bound, Calderón–Zygmund operator, commutator, bi-sublinear sparse operator, sharp maximal operator.

[3], who proved that if  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator  $M$  satisfies

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_{n,p} [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}. \quad (1.1)$$

Moreover, the estimate (1.1) is sharp since the exponent  $1/(p-1)$  cannot be replaced by a smaller one. Hytönen and Pérez [12] improved (1.1), and showed that

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_{n,p} ([w]_{A_p} [w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_\infty})^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where here and in what follows, for a weight  $u \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $[u]_{A_\infty}$  is the  $A_\infty$  constant of  $u$  defined by (see [25])

$$[u]_{A_\infty} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{u(Q)} \int_Q M(u\chi_Q)(x) dx.$$

It is obvious that (1.2) is more subtle than (1.1).

Let  $T$  be an  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  bounded linear operator with kernel  $K$  in the sense that, for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with compact support and almost everywhere  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{supp } f$ ,

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $K$  is a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(x, y) : x = y\}$ . We say that  $T$  is a *Calderón–Zygmund operator* if  $K$  is a Calderón–Zygmund kernel, that is,  $K$  satisfies the size condition that

$$|K(x, y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{-n} \quad \text{if } x \neq y,$$

and the regularity condition that for any  $x, y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x - y| \geq 2|y - y'|$ ,

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, y')| + |K(y, x) - K(y', x)| \lesssim \frac{|y - y'|^\varepsilon}{|x - y|^{n+\varepsilon}},$$

where  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  is a constant. The sharp dependence of the weighted estimates of Calderón–Zygmund operators in terms of the  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  constants was first considered by Petermichl [22], [23], who solved this question for Hilbert and Riesz transforms. Hytönen [10] proved that for a Calderón–Zygmund operator  $T$  and  $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_n [w]_{A_2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}. \quad (1.4)$$

This solved the so-called  *$A_2$  conjecture*. Hytönen and Lacey [11] improved the estimate (1.4), and proved that for a Calderón–Zygmund operator  $T$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_{n,p} [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} ([w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}. \quad (1.5)$$

Here and in what follows, for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $p' = p/(p-1)$ ,  $\sigma = w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ . Lerner [16] gave a very simple proof of (1.5) by dominating the Calderón–Zygmund operator using sparse operators. (For other recent works about quantitative weighted bounds for singular integral operators, see [12], [13], [17], [18], [20] and the related references therein.)

Let  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $T_{j,1}$  and  $T_{j,2}$  with  $j = 1, \dots, m$  be Calderón–Zygmund operators. Krantz and Li [15] considered the boundedness of a Toeplitz-type operator defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_b f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m T_{j,1} S_b T_{j,2} f(x), \quad (1.6)$$

where, both here and in what follows,  $S_b$  is the multiplication operator with symbol  $b$  defined by

$$S_b g(x) = b(x)g(x).$$

Krantz and Li proved that if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^m T_{j,1} T_{j,2} f = 0$ , then for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{F}_b f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|T_{j,1}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|T_{j,2}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \right) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Observe that we can write

$$T_{j,1} S_b T_{j,2} f(x) = b(x) T_{j,1}(T_{j,2} f)(x) - T_{j,1;b}(T_{j,2} f)(x).$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{j=1}^m T_{j,1} T_{j,2} f = 0$  is equivalent to the fact that

$$\mathcal{F}_b f(x) = - \sum_{j=1}^m T_{j,1;b}(T_{j,2} f)(x),$$

where, both here and in what follows, for  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a linear operator  $U$ ,  $U_b$  is the commutator defined by

$$U_b f(x) = b(x) U f(x) - U(bf)(x).$$

Thus, the study of properties of  $T_{j,1} S_b T_{j,2} f$  can be reduced to considering the operator  $T_{j,1;b} T_{j,2}$ . For  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it follows from (1.5) and the quantitative weighted bounds for the commutators of Calderón–Zygmund operators (see [5], [6]) that, if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are two Calderón–Zygmund operators and  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{1,b} T_2 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} &\lesssim \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{2}{p}} ([w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}})^2 \\ &\times ([w]_{A_\infty} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

The main purpose of this article is to establish a weighted bound for  $T_{1,b} T_2$  which is more refined than (1.7). Our main result can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be Calderón–Zygmund operators, and let  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{1,b} T_2 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} &\lesssim \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} ([w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}) \\ &\times ([w]_{A_\infty} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty})^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

*Remark 1.2.* As it is well known,

$$[w]_{A_\infty} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}, \quad [\sigma]_{A_\infty} \lesssim [\sigma]_{A_{p'}} = [w]_{A_\infty}^{p'/p}.$$

Thus,

$$[w]_{A_\infty} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} ([w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}).$$

And the inequality (1.8) is more refined than the inequality (1.7).

*Remark 1.3.* Benea and Bernicot [2] considered the weighted bounds on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$  for the composition of two Calderón–Zygmund operators. They proved that if  $T_1, T_2$  are two Calderón–Zygmund operators and  $T_1(1) = 0$ , then for  $r \in (1, \infty)$  and bounded functions  $f$  and  $g$  with compact supports, there exists a sparse family of cubes  $\mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) T_1 T_2 f(x) dx \right| \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} |Q| \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |g(y)| dy \right),$$

which implies that for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $r \in (1, p)$ , and  $w \in A_{p/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|T_1 T_2 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_{p/r}}^{\max\{\frac{1}{p-r}, 1\}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}. \quad (1.9)$$

Our argument in the proof of Theorem 1.1 does not require the assumption  $T_1(1) = 0$ , and is different from that used in [2]. In fact, repeating the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can verify that if  $T_1, T_2$  are two Calderón–Zygmund operators, then for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|T_1 T_2 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} ([w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}) ([w]_{A_\infty} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

*Remark 1.4.* To prove Theorem 1.1, we will employ the idea of Lerner [17], together with some variants. Precisely, by suitable estimate for the grand maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}_{T_1, b T_2}$ , we show that the bi-sublinear form  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_1, b T_2 f(x)| |g(x)| dx$  can be dominated by the combination of three bi-sublinear sparse operators, which via the estimates for bi-sublinear sparse operators leads to (1.8).

In what follows,  $C$  always denotes a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved, but whose value may differ from line to line. We use the symbol  $A \lesssim B$  to denote that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $A \leq CB$ . In particular, we use  $A \lesssim_{n,p} B$  to denote that there exists a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $n, p$  such that  $A \leq CB$ . Constants with subscripts such as  $c_1$  do not change from one occurrence to another. For any set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\chi_E$  denotes its characteristic function. For a cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , we use  $\ell(Q)$  (diam  $Q$ ) to denote the side length (diameter) of  $Q$ , and  $\lambda Q$  to denote the cube with the same center as  $Q$  and whose side length is  $\lambda$  times that of  $Q$ . For a fixed cube  $Q$ , denote by  $\mathcal{D}(Q)$  the set of dyadic cubes with respect to  $Q$ , that is, the cubes from  $\mathcal{D}(Q)$  that are formed by repeated subdivision of  $Q$  and each of its descendants into  $2^n$  congruent subcubes. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ ,  $B(x, r)$

denotes the ball centered at  $x$  and having radius  $r$ . For  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ , cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and a suitable function  $g$ ,  $\|g\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q}$  is the norm defined by

$$\|g\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{|g(y)|}{\lambda} \log^\beta \left( e + \frac{|g(y)|}{\lambda} \right) dy \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We denote  $\|g\|_{L(\log L)^0, Q}$  by  $\langle |g| \rangle_Q$ . For  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , we set  $\langle |g|^r \rangle_{r, Q} = (\langle |g|^r \rangle_Q)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ .

## 2. Estimates for the grand maximal operator

As in [17], for a sublinear operator  $U$ , we define the corresponding bi-sublinear grand maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}_U$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_U(f, g)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |U(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q})(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $x$ . Also, we define the grand maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}_U$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_U f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \text{ess sup}_{\xi \in Q} |U(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi)|.$$

Lerner [16, Section 3] proved that if  $T$  is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, then

$$\mathcal{M}_T f(x) \lesssim T^* f(x) + Mf(x). \quad (2.1)$$

This section is devoted to the estimates for the operators  $\mathcal{M}_{T_1, b, T_2}$ . We begin with some preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$ , let  $\varrho \in [0, \infty)$ , and let  $U$  be a sublinear operator. Suppose that*

$$\|Uf\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

*and for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Uf(x)| > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log^\varrho \left( e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx.$$

*Then for cubes  $Q_2 \subset Q_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,*

$$\|U(f \chi_{Q_2})\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_1} \lesssim \|f\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta+\varrho+1}, Q_2}.$$

For  $\beta = 0$ , Lemma 2.1 was proved in [9, Section 3]. For the case of  $\beta > 0$ , the proof is similar to the case of  $\beta = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , and let  $T$  be a sublinear operator satisfying that for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log^s \left( e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx.$$

*Then for any  $\varrho \in (0, 1)$  and cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,*

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T(f \chi_Q)(x)|^\varrho dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L(\log L)^s, Q}.$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.2, see [8, p. 643].

Let  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ . For a locally integrable function  $f$ , define the maximal function  $M_{L(\log L)^\beta}^\sharp f$  by

$$M_{L(\log L)^\beta}^\sharp f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \|f - \langle f \rangle_Q\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Obviously,  $M_{L(\log L)^0}^\sharp$  is just  $M^\sharp$ , the Fefferman–Stein sharp maximal operator (see [7]). For  $r \in (0, 1)$ , let  $M_r^\sharp$  be the operator defined by

$$M_r^\sharp f(x) = [M^\sharp(|f|^r)(x)]^{1/r},$$

and let  $M_r$  be the maximal operator defined by  $M_r f(x) = [M(|f|^r)(x)]^{1/r}$ . It is well known that if  $r \in (0, 1)$ , then (see [8]) for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r f(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \sup_{t \geq 2^{-1/r}\lambda} t |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > t\}|. \quad (2.2)$$

For  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ , let  $M_{L(\log L)^\beta}$  be the maximal operator defined by

$$M_{L(\log L)^\beta} g(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \|g\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q}.$$

For simplicity, we denote  $M_{L(\log L)^1}$  by  $M_{L \log L}$ . Carozza and Passarelli di Napoli [4, Theorem 2] proved that for  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$M_{L(\log L)^\alpha} M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f(x) \approx M_{L(\log L)^{\alpha+\beta+1}} f(x). \quad (2.3)$$

Also, we have that for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{L(\log L)^\beta} g(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x)|}{\lambda} \log^\beta \left( e + \frac{|g(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx. \quad (2.4)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\Phi$  be an increasing function on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying that*

$$\Phi(2t) \leq C\Phi(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

(i) *Let  $\beta > 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f(x) > \lambda\}| \\ & \lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{L(\log L)^\beta}^\sharp f(x) > \lambda\}|, \end{aligned}$$

*provided that for any  $R > 0$ ,*

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < R} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f(x) > \lambda\}| < \infty.$$

(ii) *Let  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Then*

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r f(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r^\sharp f(x) > \lambda\}|,$$

*provided that for any  $R > 0$ ,*

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < R} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r f(x) > \lambda\}| < \infty.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is fairly similar to the proof of Corollary 7.4.6 in [7] (see also the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [9]). We omit the details for brevity.

For a Calderón–Zygmund operator  $T$ , let  $T^*$  be the maximal singular integral operator defined by

$$T^*f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} K(x, y) f(y) dy \right|.$$

For  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $T_b^*$  be the maximal commutator defined by

$$T_b^*f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} (b(x) - b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) dy \right|,$$

and let  $M_b$  be the commutator defined by

$$M_b f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(x) - b(y)| |f(y)| dy.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two Calderón–Zygmund operators. Then for each  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : MT_2 f(x) + T_1^* T_2 f(x) > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log \left( e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx,$$

provided that  $f$  is bounded, compact supported, and has integral zero.

*Proof.* We first consider the operator  $MT_2$ . For  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ , let  $\Phi_\beta(t) = t \log^{-\beta}(e + t^{-1})$ . We claim that, for each bounded function  $f$  with compact support,

$$M_{L(\log L)^\beta}^\#(T_2 f)(x) \lesssim M_{L(\log L)^{\beta+1}} f(x), \quad f \in \bigcup_{p \geq 1} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_{\beta+1}(\lambda) \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |M_{L(\log L)^\beta} T_2 f(x)| > \lambda \right\} \right| < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

If we can prove these two estimates, then it would follow from Lemma 2.3 and the inequality (2.4) that

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |MT_2 f(x)| > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log \left( e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx. \quad (2.7)$$

The proof of (2.5) is fairly standard. For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , cube  $Q$  containing  $x$ , and function  $f \in \bigcup_{p \geq 1} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we decompose  $f$  as

$$f(y) = f(y)\chi_{6\sqrt{n}Q}(y) + f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 6\sqrt{n}Q}(y) = f_1(y) + f_2(y).$$

Let  $x_Q \in Q$  such that  $|T_2 f_2(x_Q)| < \infty$ . Then for all  $y \in Q$ ,

$$|T_2 f_2(y) - T_2 f_2(x_Q)| \lesssim Mf(x).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1 and (2.3), we see that

$$\|T_2 f_1\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} \lesssim \|f\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta+1}, 6\sqrt{n}Q} \lesssim M_{L(\log L)^{\beta+1}} f(x),$$

and so (2.5) holds true.

To prove (2.6), we assume that  $\text{supp } f \subset B(0, R)$ . Thus by Lemma 2.1, we know that  $\|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)} < \infty$  and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(0, 3R)} |T_2 f(y)| \log^\beta(e + |T_2 f(y)|) dy \\ & \lesssim \|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)} \log^\beta(e + \|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)}) \\ & \quad \times \int_{B(0, 3R)} \frac{|T_2 f(y)|}{\|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)}} \log^\beta\left(e + \frac{|T_2 f(y)|}{\|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)}}\right) dy \\ & \lesssim \|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)} \log^\beta(e + \|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{L(\log L)^\beta}(\chi_{B(0, 3R)} T_2 f)(x) > \lambda/2\}| \\ & \lesssim \int_{B(0, 3R)} \frac{|T_2 f(y)|}{\lambda} \log^\beta\left(e + \frac{|T_2 f(y)|}{\lambda}\right) dy \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \log^\beta(e + \lambda^{-1}) \int_{B(0, 3R)} |T_2 f(y)| \log^\beta(e + |T_2 f(y)|) dy \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \log^\beta(e + \lambda^{-1}) \|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)} \log^\beta(e + \|T_2 f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, B(0, 3R)}). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$M_{L(\log L)^\beta}(\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, 3R)} T_2 f)(x) \lesssim M_{L(\log L)^\beta} M f(x) \lesssim M_{L(\log L)^{\beta+1}} f(x),$$

since  $\text{supp } f \subset B(0, R)$ . This, via (2.4), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{L(\log L)^\beta}(\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, 3R)} T_2 f)(x) > \lambda/2\}| \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log^{\beta+1}\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate (2.6) now follows directly.

We turn our attention to the operator  $T_1^* T_2$ . The well-known Cotlar inequality states that

$$T_1^* g(x) \lesssim M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_1 g(x) + M g(x).$$

Applying (2.2), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_1(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_1 T_2 f(x) > \lambda\}| \\ & \lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_1(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \sup_{t \geq 2^{-2}\lambda} t |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_1 T_2 f(x)| > t\}| \\ & \lesssim \|T_2 f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $T_2 f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  when  $f$  is bounded, compact supported, and has integral zero. Recall (see [21]) that for  $g \in \bigcup_{p \geq 1} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$M_{\frac{1}{2}}^\sharp(T_1 g)(x) \lesssim M g(x). \tag{2.8}$$

It then follows from Lemma 2.3 and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_1 T_2 f(x) > 1\}| &\lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_1(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\frac{1}{2}}(T_1 T_2 f)(x) > \lambda\}| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_1(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M T_2 f(x) > \lambda\}| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \log(e + |f(x)|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This, along with (2.7), leads to the fact that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_1^* T_2 f(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx,$$

and this completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $T_1, T_2$  be two Calderón-Zygmund operators, and let  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ . If  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,  $U \in \{M_r T_{1,b}^* T_2, M_r M_b T_2\}$ , then for each  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |U f(x)| > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log^2\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx,$$

provided that  $f$  is bounded, compact supported, and has integral zero.

*Proof.* We only consider the operator  $M_r T_{1,b}^* T_2$ . At first, we claim that if  $f$  is bounded, compact supported, and has integral zero, then

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_2(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r T_{1,b}^* T_2 f(x) > \lambda\}| < \infty \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_2(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r M_b T_2 f(x) > \lambda\}| < \infty. \quad (2.10)$$

Since the proofs of these two inequalities are similar, we only prove (2.9). Recall (see [1]) that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{1,b}^* g(x)| > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x)|}{\lambda} \log\left(e + \frac{|g(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx. \quad (2.11)$$

For a bounded function  $f$  with compact support and integral zero, it is well known that  $T_2 f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus by (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_2(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r T_{1,b}^* T_2 f(x) > \lambda\}| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_2(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \sup_{t \geq 2^{-1/r} \lambda} t |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_{1,b}^* T_2 f(x) > t\}| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_2(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \sup_{t \geq 2^{-1/r} \lambda} t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|T_2 f(x)|}{t} \log\left(e + \frac{|T_2 f(x)|}{t}\right) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_2 f(x)| \log(e + |T_2 f(x)|) dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We can now conclude the proof of Lemma 2.5. For  $0 < r < \sigma < 1$ , it was proved in [1] that there exist two operators  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,b}^*g(x) &\leq W_1g(x) + W_2g(x), \\ W_1g(x) &\lesssim T_{1,b}^*g(x) + M_bg(x), \quad W_2g(x) \lesssim M_bg(x), \end{aligned}$$

and for  $\sigma \in (r, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_r^\sharp(W_1g)(x) &\lesssim M_\sigma T^*g(x) + M_{L \log L}g(x), \\ M_r^\sharp(W_2g)(x) &\lesssim M_{L \log L}g(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Observe that by Lemma 2.4 and (2.2),

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_\sigma T_1^*T_2f(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx.$$

It then follows from Lemma 2.3 and inequalities (2.9), (2.12), (2.5), and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r W_1 T_2 f(x) > 1\}| &\lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_2(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r^\sharp(W_1 T_2 f)(x) > \lambda\}| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_2(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_\sigma T_1^* T_2 f(x) > \lambda\}| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi_2(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{L \log L} T_2 f(x) > \lambda\}| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \log^2(e + |f(x)|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from Lemma 2.3 and inequalities (2.10), (2.12), (2.5), and (2.6), we obtain that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r W_2 T_2 f(x) > 1\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \log^2(e + |f(x)|) dx.$$

Therefore,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_r T_{1,b}^* T_2 f(x) > 1\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \log^2(e + |f(x)|) dx.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

We are now ready to establish our main conclusion in this section.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $T_1, T_2$  be Calderón–Zygmund operators, and let  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ . There exist three operators  $U_1, U_2$ , and  $U_3$  such that*

- (i)  $U_1$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (ii) for any bounded function  $f$  with compact support and any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |U_2 f(x)| > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx,$$

while for any bounded function  $f$  with compact support and integral zero, and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |U_3 f(x)| > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log^2 \left( e + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx;$$

(iii) for any  $q \in (1, \infty)$ , bounded function  $f$  with compact support and integral zero, and bounded function  $g$  with compact support,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{T_1, b T_2}(f, g)(x) &\lesssim (\max\{q, q'\})^2 U_1 f(x) M_q g(x) \\ &\quad + U_2 f(x) M_L \log L g(x) + U_3 f(x) M g(x). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a cube containing  $x$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_{1,b} T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q})(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T((b - \langle b \rangle_Q) \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q} T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(\xi) - \langle b \rangle_Q| |T_1(\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q} T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_{1,b}(\chi_{3Q} T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &:= \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term I, we deduce from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &\lesssim M g(x) \inf_{y \in Q} \mathcal{M}_{T_1}((b - \langle b \rangle_Q) T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(y) \\ &\lesssim \left[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (T_1^*((b - \langle b \rangle_Q) T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(y))^{\frac{1}{3}} dy \right]^3 M g(x) \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (M((b - \langle b \rangle_Q) T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(y))^{\frac{1}{3}} dy \right]^3 M g(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, a straightforward computation leads to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (T_1^*((b - \langle b \rangle_Q) T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(y))^{\frac{1}{3}} dy \right]^3 \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_{1,b}^* T_2 f(z)|^{\frac{1}{3}} dz \right)^3 + \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(z) - \langle b \rangle_Q|^{\frac{1}{3}} |T_1^* T_2 f(z)|^{\frac{1}{3}} dz \right)^3 \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_{1,b}^* T_2(f \chi_{9Q})(z)|^{\frac{1}{3}} dz \right)^3 \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(z) - \langle b \rangle_Q|^{\frac{1}{3}} |T_1^* T_2(f \chi_{9Q})(z)|^{\frac{1}{3}} dz \right)^3. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.2 that

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_{1,b}^* T_2(f \chi_{9Q})(z)|^{\frac{1}{3}} dz \right)^3 \lesssim \|f\|_{L(\log L)^2, 9Q} \lesssim M_{L(\log L)^2} f(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(z) - \langle b \rangle_Q|^{\frac{1}{3}} |T_1^* T_2(f \chi_{9Q})(z)|^{\frac{1}{3}} dz \right)^3 &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_1^* T_2(f \chi_{9Q})(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dz \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim M_{L \log L} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (T_1^* ((b - \langle b \rangle_Q) T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(y))^{\frac{1}{3}} dy \right]^3 \\ &\lesssim M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_{1,b}^* T_2 f(x) + M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_1^* T_2 f(x) + M_{L(\log L)^2} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (M((b - \langle b \rangle_Q) T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(y))^{\frac{1}{3}} dy \right]^3 \\ &\lesssim M_{\frac{1}{2}} M_b T_2 f(x) + M_{\frac{1}{2}} M T_2 f(x) + M_{L(\log L)^2} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last two estimates yields

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim (M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_{1,b}^* T_2 f(x) + M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_1^* T_2 f(x) + M_{\frac{1}{2}} M_b T_2 f(x) \\ &\quad + M T_2 f(x) + M_{L(\log L)^2} f(x)) M g(x), \end{aligned}$$

since  $M_{\frac{1}{2}} M h(x) \approx M h(x)$ . The generalization of Hölder's inequality (see [24, p. 64]), along with (2.1) and Lemma 2.2, gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} II &\lesssim (\inf_{y \in Q} \mathcal{M}_{T_1}(T_2 f)(y) + \inf_{y \in Q} \mathcal{M}_{T_1}(T_2(f \chi_{9Q}))(y)) M_{L \log L} g(x) \\ &\lesssim \left[ \inf_{y \in Q} \mathcal{M}_{T_1}(T_2 f)(y) + \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{M}_{T_1}(T_2(f \chi_{9Q}))(y)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \right)^2 \right] M_{L \log L} g(x) \\ &\lesssim (T_1^* T_2 f(x) + M T_2 f(x) + M_{L \log L} f(x)) M_{L \log L} g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider the term III. Let  $\hat{q} = (1+q)/2$ . By Hölder's inequality and the fact that  $T_1$  is bounded on  $L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C \max\{q, q'\}$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} III &\lesssim \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(\xi) - \langle b \rangle_Q| |g(\xi)| |T_1(\chi_{3Q} T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |g(\xi)| |T_1((b - \langle b \rangle_Q) \chi_{3Q} T_2(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 9Q}))(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\lesssim \max\{q, q'\} \left( \frac{1}{|3Q|} \int_{3Q} |b(\xi) - \langle b \rangle_Q|^{\hat{q}} |g(\xi)|^{\hat{q}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{\hat{q}}} \mathcal{M}_{T_2} f(x) \\ &\quad + \max\{q, q'\} \left( \frac{1}{|3Q|} \int_{3Q} |b(\xi) - \langle b \rangle_Q|^{q'} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \mathcal{M}_{T_2} f(x) M_q g(x) \\ &\lesssim (\max\{q, q'\})^2 (T_2^* f(x) + M f(x)) M_q g(x), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have invoked the fact (see [7, p. 128]) that

$$\left( \frac{1}{|3Q|} \int_{3Q} |b(\xi) - \langle b \rangle_Q|^{q'(q+1)} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{q'(q+1)}} \lesssim q'(q+1) \lesssim \max\{q, q'\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 f(x) &= T_2^* f(x) + Mf(x), \\ U_2 f(x) &= T_1^* T_2 f(x) + MT_2 f(x) + M_{L \log L} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U_3 f(x) &= M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_{1,b}^* T_2 f(x) + M_{\frac{1}{2}} T_1^* T_2 f(x) + M_{\frac{1}{2}} M_b T_2 f(x) \\ &\quad + MT_2 f(x) + M_{L(\log L)^2} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Our desired conclusion then follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $\mathcal{S} = \{Q_j\}$  be a family of cubes. We say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\eta$ -sparse if for each fixed  $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exists a measurable subset  $E_Q \subset Q$  such that  $|E_Q| \geq \eta |Q|$  and the  $E_Q$ 's are pairwise disjoint. Associated with the sparse family  $\mathcal{S}$  and constants  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, \infty)$ , we define the bi-sublinear sparse operator  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L(\log L)^{\beta_1}, L(\log L)^{\beta_2}}$  by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L(\log L)^{\beta_1}, L(\log L)^{\beta_2}}(f, g) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} |Q| \|f\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_1}, Q} \|g\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_2}, Q},$$

and the operator  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L, L^r}$  by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L, L^r}(f, g) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} |Q| \langle |f| \rangle_Q \langle g \rangle_{r, Q}.$$

We will now denote  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L(\log L)^1, L(\log L)^{\beta_2}}$  by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L \log L, L(\log L)^{\beta_2}}$ , and we will also denote  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L(\log L)^{\beta_1}, L(\log L)^0}$  by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L(\log L)^{\beta_1}}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be Calderón-Zygmund operators, and let  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ . Then for bounded function  $f$  with compact support and integral zero and bounded function  $g$  with compact support, there exists a  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{9^n}$ -sparse family of cubes  $\mathcal{S} = \{Q\}$  such that for any  $q \in (1, \infty)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{1,b} T_2 f(x)| |g(x)| dx &\lesssim \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L(\log L)^2, L}(f, g) + \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L \log L, L \log L}(f, g) \\ &\quad + (\max\{q, q'\})^2 \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}; L, L^q}(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We will employ the argument in [17]. For a fixed cube  $Q_0$ , define the local analogue of  $\mathcal{M}_{T_1, b T_2}$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_{T_1, b T_2, Q_0}(f, g)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q \subset Q_0} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_{1,b} T_2(f \chi_{9Q_0 \setminus 9Q})(y)| |g(y)| dy.$$

For  $q \in (1, \infty)$ , functions  $f$  and  $g$ , set

$$W_{f,g}^1(Q) = (\max\{q, q'\})^2 \langle |f| \rangle_{9Q} \langle |g| \rangle_{q, Q},$$

and

$$W_{f,g}^2(Q) = \|f\|_{L \log L, 9Q} \|g\|_{L \log L, Q}, \quad W_{f,g}^3(Q) = \|f\|_{L(\log L)^2, 9Q} \langle |g| \rangle_Q.$$

We claim that for each cube  $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exist pairwise disjoint cubes  $\{P_j\} \subset \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$  such that  $\sum_j |P_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q_0|$ , and for almost everywhere  $x \in Q_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_0} |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9Q_0})(x)| |g(x)| dx &\lesssim |Q_0| \sum_{k=1}^3 W_{f,g}^k(Q_0) \\ &+ \sum_j \int_{P_j} |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9P_j})(x)| |g(x)| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

If we can prove (3.1), then as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [17], we can obtain our desired conclusion by iterating the estimate (3.1) and applying a decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We now prove (3.1). Let  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$  with

$$E_1 = \left\{ x \in Q_0 : |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9Q_0})(x)| > D\|f\|_{L(\log L)^2, 9Q_0} \right\}$$

and

$$E_2 = \left\{ x \in Q_0 : \mathcal{M}_{T_{1,b}T_2, Q_0}(f, g)(x) > D \sum_{k=1}^3 W_{f,g}^k(Q_0) \right\},$$

with  $D$  a positive constant. Note that by Proposition 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &\subset \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (\max\{q, q'\})^2 U_1(f\chi_{9Q_0})(x) M_{q'}(g\chi_{Q_0})(x) > DW_{f,g}^1(Q_0) \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : U_2(f\chi_{9Q_0})(x) M_{L \log L}(g\chi_{Q_0})(x) > DW_{f,g}^2(Q_0) \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : U_3(f\chi_{9Q_0})(x) M(g\chi_{Q_0})(x) > DW_{f,g}^3(Q_0) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$|E_1 \cup E_2| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} |Q_0|,$$

if we choose  $D$  large enough.

Now on the cube  $Q_0$ , we apply the Calderón–Zygmund decomposition to  $\chi_E$  at level  $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ , and obtain pairwise disjoint cubes  $\{P_j\} \subset \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} |P_j| \leq |P_j \cap E| \leq \frac{1}{2} |P_j|$$

and  $|E \setminus \bigcup_j P_j| = 0$ . Observe that  $\sum_j |P_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q_0|$  and  $P_j \cap E^c \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{|P_j|} \int_{P_j} |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9Q_0 \setminus 9P_j})(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi \leq \inf_{y \in P_j} \mathcal{M}_{T_{1,b}T_2}(f, g)(y) \leq D \sum_{k=1}^3 W_{f,g}^k(Q_0).$$

The fact that  $|E \setminus \bigcup_j P_j| = 0$  implies that

$$\int_{Q_0 \setminus \bigcup_j P_j} |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9Q_0})(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi \leq D\|f\|_{L(\log L)^2, 9Q_0} \langle |g| \rangle_{Q_0} |Q_0|.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_0} |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9Q_0})(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi &\leq \int_{Q_0 \setminus \bigcup_j P_j} |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9Q_0})(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \sum_j \int_{P_j} |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9Q_0 \setminus 9P_j})(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \sum_j \int_{P_j} |T_{1,b}T_2(f\chi_{9P_j})(\xi)| |g(\xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (3.1) now follows. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will also need the following lemma, which is Theorem 2.3 in [12] (see also [14]).

**Lemma 3.2.**

- (a) Let  $w \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\tau_w = 2^{11+n}[w]_{A_\infty}$ . Then for any cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\delta \in (1, 1 + 1/\tau_w)$ ,

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^\delta(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \leq \frac{2}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) dx.$$

- (b) If a weight  $w$  satisfies the reverse Hölder inequality that

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^r(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_0 \langle w \rangle_Q$$

for some constant  $C_0 > 0$  and  $r \in (1, \infty)$ , then  $w \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $[w]_{A_\infty} \lesssim_n C_0 r$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Again, we assume that  $\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ . We will employ the ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.4 in [20]. Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We choose  $\varepsilon_1$  such that  $\frac{p\varepsilon_1}{p-1-\varepsilon_1} = \frac{1}{2\tau_\sigma}$ , that is,  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{p-1}{2p\tau_\sigma+1}$ , and we choose  $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\frac{\varepsilon_2 p'}{p'-1-\varepsilon_2} = \frac{1}{2\tau_w}$ , namely,  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{p'-1}{2p'\tau_w+1}$ . For a sparse family  $\mathcal{S}$ , we consider the bi-sublinear sparse operator  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L^{1+\varepsilon_1}, L^{1+\varepsilon_2}}$  by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L^{1+\varepsilon_1}, L^{1+\varepsilon_2}}(f, g) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \langle |f| \rangle_{1+\varepsilon_1, Q} \langle |g| \rangle_{1+\varepsilon_2, Q} |Q|.$$

We get by [19, Theorem 1.6] that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L^{1+\varepsilon_1}, L^{1+\varepsilon_2}}(f, g) \lesssim [v]_{A_{r_1}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_2} - \frac{1}{p'}} ([u]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}} + [v]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)},$$

with  $r_1 = (\frac{1+\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2 p})'(\frac{p}{1+\varepsilon_1} - 1) + 1$ ,  $u = w^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_1}{1+\varepsilon_1-p}}$ ,  $v = \sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_2}{1+\varepsilon_2-p'}}$ . Let  $\varrho = \frac{2\tau_\sigma+2}{2\tau_\sigma+1}$ . We then have that for any cube  $I$ ,

$$\left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u^\varrho(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u(x) dx,$$

which via Lemma 3.2(b) shows that  $[u]_{A_\infty} \lesssim [\sigma]_{A_\infty}$ . Similarly, we can verify that  $[v]_{A_\infty} \lesssim [w]_{A_\infty}$ . Note that

$$1 + \varepsilon_2 - p' = \frac{p\varepsilon_2 - 1 - \varepsilon_2}{p - 1}.$$

For each cube  $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \sigma^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_2}{1+\varepsilon_2-p'}}(x) dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \sigma^{-\frac{1+\varepsilon_2}{1+\varepsilon_2-p'} \frac{1}{r_1-1}}(x) dx \right)^{r_1-1} \\ & \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(x) dx \right)^{1+\frac{1}{2\tau_w}} \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \sigma^{\frac{p-1}{1+\varepsilon_1-1}}(x) dx \right)^{r_1-1} \\ & \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(x) dx \right)^{1+\frac{1}{2\tau_w}} \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \sigma(x) dx \right)^{(r_1-1)(1+\frac{1}{2\tau_\sigma})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $[v]_{A_{r_1}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_2}-\frac{1}{p'}} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S};L^{1+\varepsilon_1},L^{1+\varepsilon_2}}(f,g) \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{1/p} ([\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{1/p} + [w]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Recall that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  and cube  $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, I} \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^\beta} \langle |f| \rangle_{I, 1+\epsilon}.$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S};L(\log L)^2,L}(f,g) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S};L^{1+\varepsilon_1},L^{1+\varepsilon_2}}(f,g) \\ & \lesssim [\sigma]_{A_\infty}^2 [w]_{A_p}^{1/p} ([\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{1/p} + [w]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Also, we get from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S};L \log L,L \log L}(f,g) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S};L^{1+\varepsilon_1},L^{1+\varepsilon_2}}(f,g) \\ & \lesssim [\sigma]_{A_\infty} [w]_{A_\infty} [w]_{A_p}^{1/p} ([\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{1/p} + [w]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_2^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S};L,L^{1+\varepsilon_2}}(f,g) \lesssim [w]_{A_\infty}^2 [w]_{A_p}^{1/p} ([\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{1/p} + [w]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)}. \quad (3.5)$$

The estimates (3.3)–(3.5), via Theorem 3.1, imply that for bounded function  $f$  with compact support and integral zero, and bounded function  $g$  with compact support,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{1,b} T_2 f(x)| |g(x)| dx & \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} ([w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}) \\ & \times ([w]_{A_\infty} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty})^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives our desired conclusion.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank the referees for valuable suggestions and helpful comments, and for pointing out the work by Benea and Bernicot [2].

The author's work was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 11879108.

## References

1. A. M. Alphonse, *An end point estimate for maximal commutators*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **6** (2000), no. 4, 449–456. Zbl 0951.42006. MR1777383. DOI 10.1007/BF02510149. 141, 142
2. C. Benea and F. Bernicot, *Conservation de certaines propriétés à travers un contrôle épars d'un opérateur et applications au projecteur de Leray-Hopf*, preprint, arXiv:1703.00228v1 [math.CA]. 136, 149
3. S. M. Buckley, *Estimates for operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **340**, no. 1 (1993), 253–272. Zbl 0795.42011. MR1124164. DOI 10.2307/2154555. 134
4. N. Carozza and A. Passarelli di Napoli, *Composition of maximal operators*, Publ. Mat. **40** (1996), no. 2, 397–409. Zbl 0865.42016. MR1425627. DOI 10.5565/PUBLMAT\_40296\_11. 138
5. D. Chung, M. C. Pereyra, and C. Pérez, *Sharp bounds for general commutators on weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364**, no. 3 (2012), 1163–1177. Zbl 1244.42006. MR2869172. DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05534-0. 135
6. W. Damian, M. Hormozi, and K. Li, *New bounds for bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators and applications*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **34** (2018), no. 3, 1177–1210. MR3850284. 135
7. L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. **250**, Springer, New York, 2009. Zbl 1158.42001. MR2463316. DOI 10.1007/978-0-387-09434-2. 133, 138, 139, 144
8. G. Hu and D. Li, *A Cotlar type inequality for the multilinear singular integral operators and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **290** (2004), no. 2, 639–653. Zbl 1045.42008. MR2033048. DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2003.10.037. 138
9. G. Hu and D. Yang, *Weighted estimates for singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels and applications*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **85** (2008), no. 3, 377–417. Zbl 1171.42004. MR2476448. DOI 10.1017/S1446788708000657. 137, 139
10. T. Hytönen, *The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **175** (2012), no. 3, 1473–1506. Zbl 1250.42036. MR2912709. DOI 10.4007/annals.2012.175.3.9. 134
11. T. Hytönen and M. T. Lacey, *The  $A_p - A_\infty$  inequality for general Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **61** (2012), no. 6, 2041–2092. Zbl 1290.42037. MR3129101. DOI 10.1512/iumj.2012.61.4777. 134
12. T. Hytönen and C. Pérez, *Sharp weighted bounds involving  $A_\infty$* , Anal. PDE. **6** (2013), no. 4, 777–818. Zbl 1283.42032. MR3092729. DOI 10.2140/apde.2013.6.777. 134, 147
13. T. Hytönen and C. Pérez, *The  $L(\log L)^\epsilon$  endpoint estimate for maximal singular integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **428** (2015), no. 1, 605–626. Zbl 1323.42021. MR3327006. DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.03.017. 134
14. T. Hytönen, C. Pérez, and E. Rela, *Sharp reverse Hölder property for  $A_\infty$  weights on spaces of homogeneous type*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), no. 12, 3883–3899. Zbl 1266.42045. MR2990061. DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2012.09.013. 147
15. S. G. Krantz and S. Li, *Boundedness and compactness of integral operators on spaces of homogeneous type and applications, I*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **258** (2001), no. 2, 629–641. Zbl 0990.47042. MR1835563. DOI 10.1006/jmaa.2000.7402. 135
16. A. K. Lerner, *On pointwise estimates involving sparse operators*, New York J. Math. **22** (2016), 341–349. Zbl 1347.42030. MR3484688. 134, 137

17. A. K. Lerner, *A weak type estimate for rough singular integrals*, preprint, [arXiv:1705.07397v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.07397v1) [math.CA]. 134, 136, 137, 145, 146
18. A. K. Lerner, S. Obmrosi, and I. P. Rivera-Ríos, *On pointwise and weighted estimates for commutators of Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Adv. Math. **319** (2017), 153–181. Zbl 1379.42007. MR3695871. DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2017.08.022. 134
19. K. Li, *Two weight inequalities for bilinear forms*, Collect. Math. **68** (2017), no. 1, 129–144. Zbl 1365.42012. MR3591468. DOI 10.1007/s13348-016-0182-2. 147
20. K. Li, C. Pérez, I. P. Rivera-Ríos, and L. Roncal, *Weighted norm inequalities for rough singular integral operators*, preprint, [arXiv:1701.05170v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.05170v3) [math.CA]. 134, 147
21. C. Pérez, *Weighted norm inequalities for singular integral operators*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **49** (1994), no. 2, 296–308. Zbl 0797.42010. MR1260114. DOI 10.1112/jlms/49.2.296. 140
22. S. Petermichl, *The sharp bound for the Hilbert transform on weighted Lebesgue spaces in terms of the classical  $A_p$  characteristic*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), no. 5, 1355–1375. Zbl 1139.44002. MR2354322. DOI 10.1353/ajm.2007.0036. 134
23. S. Petermichl, *The sharp weighted bound for the Riesz transforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 4, 1237–1249. Zbl 1142.42005. MR2367098. DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-07-08934-4. 134
24. M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Monogr. Textb. Pure Appl. Math. **146**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991. Zbl 0724.46032. MR1113700. 144
25. M. J. Wilson, *Weighted inequalities for the dyadic square function without dyadic  $A_\infty$* , Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), no. 1, 19–50. Zbl 0639.42016. MR0883661. DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05502-5. 134

SCHOOL OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ZHUHAI 519087, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

*E-mail address:* [guoenxx@163.com](mailto:guoenxx@163.com)