## A CONDITIONAL LOCAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR RECURRENT RANDOM WALK

BY W. D. KAIGH

The University of Texas at El Paso

Let  $S_n$ ,  $n=1,2,3,\cdots$  denote the recurrent random walk formed by the partial sums of i.i.d. lattice random variables with mean zero and finite variance. Let  $T_{\{x\}} = \min [n \ge 1 \mid S_n = x]$  with  $T \equiv T_{\{0\}}$ . We obtain a local limit theorem for the random walk conditioned by the event [T > n]. This result is applied then to obtain an approximation for  $P[T_{\{x\}} = n]$  and the asymptotic distribution of  $T_{\{x\}}$  as x approaches infinity.

1. Introduction. Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . We assume that the  $X_i$  are distributed on the lattice of integers with  $EX_i = 0$  and  $EX_i^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . For a fixed but arbitrary integer  $x_0$ , define  $S_0 = x_0$ ,  $S_n = x_0 + X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . The sequence  $\{S_n\}$  is a random walk with initial state  $x_0$ . We employ the notation  $P^x$  for the underlying probability measure to indicate that  $x_0 = x$ . When  $x_0 = 0$  we simply write P.

An integer x is a recurrent state if  $P[S_n = x \text{ i.o.}] = 1$ . It follows from the assumption  $EX_i = 0$  that every integer is a recurrent state and the random walk itself is said to be recurrent. In all that follows we assume also that the random walk is aperiodic (see Spitzer [5] for a discussion of periodicity of random walk).

Define the stopping time T either to be the first  $n \ge 1$  such that  $S_n = 0$  or to be  $+\infty$  if no such n exists. In this paper we consider the chance behavior of random walk conditioned by the event [T > n].

It is well known that T is finite with probability one and that

(1) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{2}} P[T > n] = (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma.$$

It follows from a result of Kesten [4] that

(2) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{3}{2}} P[T=n] = \sigma/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Belkin [1] has shown that

(3) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P[S_n/n^{\frac{1}{2}} \le x \mid T > n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|y|/2\sigma^2) \exp(-y^2/2\sigma^2) \, dy.$$

Our major result is the conditional local limit theorem corresponding to (3). We remark that in all which follows suprema will be taken over the set of integers.

THEOREM 1. Suppose the random variables  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  are i.i.d. on the lattice of

Received August 9, 1974; revised January 15, 1975.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60F15, 60G40, 60J15; Secondary 60F05.

Key words and phrases. Local limit theorem, stopping time, hitting time, conditioned random walk, random walk.

integers with  $EX_i = 0$  and  $EX_i^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then

(4) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x} |n^{\frac{1}{2}}P[S_n = x | T > n] - (|x|/2\sigma^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \exp(-x^2/2n\sigma^2)| = 0.$$

It is readily seen that this result is a generalization of (3) just as the local central limit theorem is a generalization of the integral version.

For any integer x define the hitting time  $T_{\{x\}}$  either to be the first  $n \ge 1$  such that  $S_n = x$  or to be  $+\infty$  if no such n exists. We state two interesting consequences of (4).

COROLLARY 1. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1

(5) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x} |nP[T_{\{x\}} = n] - (|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})| = 0$$

where  $\phi(t)$  denotes the standard normal probability density function.

COROLLARY 2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} P[\sigma^2 T_{\{x\}}/x^2 \le z] = 2[1 - \Phi(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})]$$

where  $\Phi(t)$  denotes the standard normal distribution function.

**2.** A lemma. We adopt the notation  $r_n = P[T > n]$ ,  $f_n = P[T = n]$ ,  $u_n(x) = P[S_n = x]$  and record the following decomposition of  $P[S_n = x; T > n]$  obtained by Belkin [1].

(6) 
$$P[S_n = x; T > n] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k [u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)].$$

It will be seen that (6) provides the key to the proof of Theorem 1. To obtain (4) we must first determine the asymptotic nature of the differences  $u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)$  appearing in (6).

LEMMA. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1

(7) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x} |\sigma n^{\frac{3}{2}} [u_n(x) - u_{n-1}(x)] - \frac{1}{2} [(x^2/\sigma^2 n) - 1] \phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})| = 0.$$

PROOF. We follow the approach of Gnedenko [3] in his proof of the local central limit theorem.

Let  $X_1$  have characteristic function f. Then employing the Fourier inversion formula and substitution we obtain

$$\sigma n^{\frac{3}{2}}[u_n(x) - u_{n-1}(x)] = (\sigma/2\pi) \int_{-n\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{n\frac{1}{2}\pi} e^{-iux/n^{\frac{1}{2}}} n[f(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}}) - 1] f^{n-1}(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}}) du.$$

Since

$$(1/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ity} (\sigma^2 t^2/2) e^{-\sigma^2 t^2/2} dt = (1/2\sigma)(1 - y^2/\sigma^2) \phi(y/\sigma)$$

it suffices to prove that  $R_n(x)$  approaches zero uniformly in x where

(8) 
$$R_{n}(x) = \int_{-n\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{n\frac{1}{2}\pi} e^{-iux/n\frac{1}{2}} n[1 - f(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}})] f^{n-1}(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}}) du$$

$$- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iux/n\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma^{2}u^{2}/2) e^{-\sigma^{2}u^{2}/2} du \equiv I_{-} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4} .$$

$$I_{1} = \int_{|u| < A} e^{-iux/n\frac{1}{2}} \{n[1 - f(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}})] f^{n-1}(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}}) - (\sigma^{2}u^{2}/2) e^{-\sigma^{2}u^{2}/2} \} du$$

$$I_{2} = \int_{A \le |u| < \delta n\frac{1}{2}} e^{-iux/n\frac{1}{2}} n[1 - f(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}})] f^{n-1}(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}}) du$$

$$I_{3} = \int_{\delta n\frac{1}{2} \le |u| \le \pi n\frac{1}{2}} e^{-iux/n\frac{1}{2}} n[1 - f(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}})] f^{n-1}(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}}) du$$

$$I_{4} = -\int_{|u| \ge A} e^{-iux/n\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma^{2}u^{2}/2) e^{-\sigma^{2}u^{2}/2} du .$$

Noting that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} n[1-f(u/n^{\frac{1}{2}})] = \sigma^2 u^2/2$  uniformly on finite intervals, a verification that each of the four integrals in (8) is uniformly small for sufficiently large n completes the proof. The essential arguments are similar to those which appear in Gnedenko [3].

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Using (1) it follows that the assertion of the theorem is equivalent to the statement

(9) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x} |nP[S_n = x; T > n] - (|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})| = 0.$$

We proceed to verify (9). From (1) and (2) it follows that there exist positive real numbers  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  such that

(10) 
$$r_n < B_1 n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

and

(11) 
$$f_n < B_2 n^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

The local version of the central limit theorem gives

(12) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x} |\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}} P[S_n = x] - \phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})| = 0.$$

Observing that each of the sequences  $\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $\frac{1}{2}(x^2/\sigma^2 n - 1)\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})$  is uniformly bounded in x, and employing (12) and (7) we are guaranteed the existence of positive real numbers  $B_3$  and  $B_4$  such that

(13) 
$$\sup_{x} u_{n}(x) < B_{3} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

(14) 
$$\sup_{x} |u_n(x) - u_{n-1}(x)| < B_4 n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Let  $\Delta$  be any real number satisfying  $0 < \Delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . Using (6) and then summation by parts we obtain for every  $x \neq 0$  (if x = 0,  $P[S_n = x; T > n] = 0$  and there is nothing to prove)

(15) 
$$nP[S_n = x; T > n] = n[u_n(x) - u_{n-1}(x)] + n \sum_{k=1}^{n\Delta-1} r_k[u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)] + n \sum_{k=n\Delta}^{n(1-\Delta)-1} r_k[u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)] + nr_{n(1-\Delta)} u_{n\Delta}(x) - n \sum_{k=n(1-\Delta)+1}^{n-1} f_k u_{n-k}(x).$$

Define  $\sum (\Delta, n, x)$  and  $I(\Delta, n, x)$  by

(16) 
$$\sum_{k=n}^{n(1-\Delta)-1} (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (k/n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-k/n)^{-\frac{3}{2}} n^{-1} \\
\times \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2 n (1-k/n)} - 1 \right] \phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-k/n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\
= n \sum_{k=n}^{n(1-\Delta)-1} \sigma(2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} (n-k)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (1/2\sigma) \\
\times \left[ \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2 (n-k)} - 1 \right] \phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma (n-k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$$

(17) 
$$I(\Delta, n, x) = \int_{\Delta}^{1-\Delta} (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \times \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2 n (1-t)} - 1 \right] \phi \left( \frac{x}{\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) dt.$$

From (15), (16), (17) and the triangle inequality we obtain

$$|nP[S_{n} = x; T > n] - (|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})|$$

$$\leq n|u_{n}(x) - u_{n-1}(x)|$$

$$+ n \sum_{k=1}^{n\Delta-1} r_{k}|u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)| + n \sum_{k=n(1-\Delta)+1}^{n-1} f_{k}u_{n-k}(x)$$

$$+ |nr_{n(1-\Delta)}u_{n\Delta}(x) - (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}[\Delta(1-\Delta)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi(x/\sigma(n\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}})|$$

$$+ |n \sum_{k=n\Delta}^{n(1-\Delta)-1} r_{k}[u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)] - \sum_{k=n}^{n} (\Delta, n, x)|$$

$$+ |\sum_{k=n\Delta} (\Delta, n, x) - I(\Delta, n, x)|$$

$$+ |I(\Delta, n, x) + (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}[\Delta(1-\Delta)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi(x/\sigma(n\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$- (|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})|.$$

We now consider the asymptotic behavior of each term in (18). From (14) we obtain

(19) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x} n|u_n(x) - u_{n-1}(x)| = 0.$$
 Applying (10) and (14) with the fact that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n\Delta} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le 2(n\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  gives

- (20)  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_x n \sum_{k=1}^{n\Delta-1} r_k |u_{n-k}(x) u_{n-k-1}(x)| \le 2B_1 B_4 \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ . Similarly we obtain from (11) and (13)
- (21)  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_x n \sum_{k=n(1-\Delta)+1}^{n-1} f_k u_{n-k}(x) \le 2B_2 B_3 \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ . Applying (13) and then (1) and (12) will show that
- (22)  $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sup_x|nr_{n(1-\Delta)}u_{n\Delta}(x)-(2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}[\Delta(1-\Delta)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi(x/\sigma(n\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}})|=0.$  Using the inequality

$$\begin{split} \sup_{x} |n \sum_{k=n\Delta}^{n(1-\Delta)-1} r_{k}[u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)] - \sum_{x} (\Delta, n, x)| \\ & \leq n \sum_{k=n\Delta}^{n(1-\Delta)-1} |k^{\frac{1}{2}}r_{k} - (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{x} |u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)| \\ & + n \sum_{k=n\Delta}^{n(1-\Delta)-1} (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}k^{-\frac{1}{2}}(n-k)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sup_{x} |\sigma(n-k)^{\frac{3}{2}}[u_{n-k}(x) - u_{n-k-1}(x)] \\ & - \frac{1}{2}[x^{2}/\sigma^{2}(n-k) - 1]\phi(x/\sigma(n-k)^{\frac{1}{2}})| \end{split}$$

it follows from (1) and (7) that

(23)  $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sup_x|n\sum_{k=n\Delta}^{n(1-\Delta)-1}r_k[u_{n-k}(x)-u_{n-k-1}(x)]-\sum_{k=n\Delta}(\Delta,n,x)|=0.$  Since the function  $f\colon [\Delta,1-\Delta]\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(t,y) = (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{2} [y^2/\sigma^2(1-t)-1] \phi(y/\sigma(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

is uniformly continuous, it follows that

(24) 
$$\lim \sup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x} |\sum (\Delta, n, x) - I(\Delta, n, x)| = 0.$$

We consider now the final term in (18). The substitution  $u = (x^2/\sigma^2 n)[(1-t)^{-1}-1]$  followed by integration by parts gives

(25) 
$$I(\Delta, n, x) = (1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}})(|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{L_{1}}^{L_{2}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u/2} du + (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ [\Delta/(1-\Delta)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(x/\sigma(n(1-\Delta))^{\frac{1}{2}}) - [(1-\Delta)/\Delta]^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(x/\sigma(n\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \}.$$

where  $L_1 = x^2 \Delta / \sigma^2 n (1 - \Delta)$  and  $L_2 = x^2 (1 - \Delta) / \sigma^2 n \Delta$ .

From (25) we obtain the inequality

(26) 
$$|I(\Delta, n, x) + (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} [\Delta/(1 - \Delta)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(x/\sigma(n\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) - (|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})| \\ \leq (|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}}) |(1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{L_{1}}^{L_{2}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u/2} du - 1| \\ + (2/\pi) [\Delta/(1 - \Delta)]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining (18), (19), (20), (21), (22), (23), (24) and (26) we have that for all x and  $\Delta \varepsilon (0, \frac{1}{2})$ 

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |nP[S_n = x; T > n] - (|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})|$$

$$\leq 2(B_1B_4 + B_2B_3)\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + (2/\pi)[\Delta/(1-\Delta)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ \limsup_{n\to\infty} (|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})|(1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{L_2}{L_2}}u^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-u/2}du - 1|.$$

We prove (9) by contradiction. If the assertion is false, then for some  $\delta > 0$  there exist both an increasing sequence of integers  $\{n_j\}$  and a sequence of integers  $\{x_i\}$  such that

(28) 
$$|n_j P[S_{n_j} = x_j; T > n_j] - (|x_j|/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x_j/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}})| \ge \delta$$
  
for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Without loss of generality we assume that  $\lim_{j\to\infty} |x_j|/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  exists (possibly infinite).

First suppose  $\lim_{j\to\infty}|x_j|/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}=0$  or  $+\infty$ . For every n, x, and  $\Delta\varepsilon(0,\frac{1}{2})$  we have

$$0 \le (1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \, \mathcal{L}_{L_1}^{L_2} \, u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u/2} \, du < (1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \, \mathcal{L}_0^{\infty} \, u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u/2} \, du = 1 \, .$$

Then  $|(1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}})\int_{L_1}^{L_2} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u/2} du - 1| \le 1$  and (27) and (28) give

$$\begin{split} 0 &< \delta \leq \limsup_{j \to \infty} |n_j P[S_{n_j} = x_j; \, T > n_j] - (|x_j|/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \phi(x_j/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}})| \\ &\leq 2(B_1 B_4 + B_2 B_3) \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + (2/\pi) [\Delta/(1 - \Delta)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \limsup_{j \to \infty} (|x_j|/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \phi(x_j/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= 2(B_1 B_4 + B_2 B_3) \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + (2/\pi) [\Delta/(1 - \Delta)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \; . \end{split}$$

Allowing  $\Delta$  to approach zero we obtain a contradiction.

Now suppose  $\lim_{j\to\infty} |x_j|/\sigma(n_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} = a$  where  $0 < a < \infty$ . Employing the substitution  $t = a^2 u \sigma^2 n_j/2x_j^2$  we have

$$\begin{array}{l} (1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{L_{1}}^{L_{2}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u/2} \ du \ = \ (1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{x_{j}^{2}/2\Delta/\sigma^{2}n_{j}(1-\Delta)}^{x_{j}^{2}/2\Delta/\sigma^{2}n_{j}(1-\Delta)} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u/2} \ du \\ \ = \ (1/\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}) (a^{-1}|x_{j}|/\sigma(n_{j})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{a^{2}(1-\Delta)/2\Delta}^{a^{2}/2\Delta/2(1-\Delta)} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-tx_{j}^{2}/a^{2}\sigma^{2}n_{j}} \ dt \\ \ \to \ (1/\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{a^{2}(1-\Delta)/2(1-\Delta)}^{a^{2}/2\Delta} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t} \ dt \qquad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty \ . \end{array}$$

From (27) and (28)

$$\begin{split} 0 &< \delta \leq \limsup_{j \to \infty} |n_{j} P[S_{n_{j}} = x_{j}; T > n_{j}] - (|x_{j}|/\sigma(n_{j})^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x_{j}/\sigma(n_{j})^{\frac{1}{2}})| \\ &\leq 2(B_{1}B_{4} + B_{2}B_{3})\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + (2/\pi)[\Delta/(1 - \Delta)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \limsup_{j \to \infty} (|x_{j}|/\sigma(n_{j})^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(|x_{j}|/\sigma(n_{j})^{\frac{1}{2}})|(1/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}})\int_{L_{1}}^{L_{2}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-u/2} du - 1| \\ &= 2(B_{1}B_{4} + B_{2}B_{3})\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + (2/\pi)[\Delta/(1 - \Delta)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ a\phi(a)|(1/\pi^{\frac{1}{2}})\int_{a_{2}^{2}\Delta/(2(1 - \Delta))}^{a_{2}(2(1 - \Delta)/2\Delta)} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-t} dt - 1|. \end{split}$$

Again allowing  $\Delta$  to approach zero we obtain a contradiction. Hence,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{x}|nP[S_n=x;T>n]-(|x|/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})\phi(x/\sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}})|=0$$

and the proof is complete.

**4. Proof of Corollary 1.** For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  define  $S_k^* = S_n - S_{n-k}$ . The P distribution of the random vector  $(S_0^*, S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)$  is the same as that of  $(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n)$ .

Then

$$P[T_{\{x\}} = n] = P[S_0 = 0, S_1 \neq x, \dots, S_{n-1} \neq x, S_n = x]$$

$$= P[S_0^* = 0, S_1^* \neq 0, \dots, S_{n-1}^* \neq 0, S_n^* = x]$$

$$= P[S_n = x; T > n]$$

and the assertion follows from Theorem 1.

5. Proof of Corollary 2. The proof involves an application of Theorem 7.8 of [2].

Suppose y is any real number and that the sequence  $\{y_x\}$  varies with x in such a way that  $y_x \to y$  as  $x \to \infty$  (each of the terms  $y_x$  must be of the form  $\sigma^2 k/x^2$  where k is an integer). It follows from Corollary 1 that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} (x^2/\sigma^2) P[\sigma^2 T_{\{x\}}/x^2 = y_x] = y^{-\frac{3}{2}} \phi(y^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

and hence that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} P[\sigma^2 T_{(x)}/x^2 \le z] = \int_0^z y^{-\frac{3}{2}} \phi(y^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \, dy = 2[1 - \Phi(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})].$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Belkin, B. (1970). A limit theorem for conditioned recurrent random walk attracted to a stable law. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 41 146-163.
- [2] BILLINGSLEY, P. (1968). Convergence of Probability Measures. Wiley, New York.
- [3] GNEDENKO, B. V. (1962). Theory of Probability. Chelsea, New York.
- [4] KESTEN, H. (1963). Ratio theorems for random walk II. J. Analyse Math. 9 323-379.
- [5] SPITZER, F. (1964). Principles of Random Walk. Van Nostrand, Princeton.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS EL PASO, TEXAS 79968