#### THE PRECISION OF THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE

By
H. MILICER GRUZEWSKA, PH. Dr.,
Warsaw, Poland.

Introduction. We shall consider an infinite universe of el ments characterized by pairs of variable quantities  $x_i$ ,  $(i=1,2,3,\dots,\infty)$ . Regarding the values of  $y_i$  as the weight to be assigned to the variates  $x_i$  the weighted average of  $x_i$  make denoted by  $x_y$ , i.e.

$$x_y = \frac{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3 \cdots}{y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_3 \cdots}$$

All possible samples, each of N pairs of variates  $x_i, y_i$  (i=1,2,3..., that can be selected from the universe constitute the sample population.

Our problem is to obtain an expression for the probable pr cision of the weighted average  $x_y$  according to certain hypothes concerning the selection of the pairs of variates in various sample Professor Bowley discussed this problem in his paper on "Precision of Measurement Attained in Sampling" presented in Rome during the Congress of Statistics 1925. In this paper Professor Bowle made no allowance for correlation between the variates  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ . In the present paper I shall attempt to eliminate this restriction

I am greatly indebted to Professor A. L. Bowley for suggestio regarding the simplification of the proof of theorem II and for h general assistance in improving the form of this paper.

Let us suppose:

(a) the pairs of elements selected from the universe are indepedent of each other,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cambridge 1925.

- (b) the number of pairs in each sample is so large that  $\frac{1}{N}$  may be neglected,
- (c) the frequency surface f(x, y) is normal, i.e. the probability  $P_i$  that the particular pair  $x_i, y_i$  will be selected is,

$$P_{i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} 2m^{1-r^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-r^{2})} \left(\frac{x_{i}-x}{\sigma_{x}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{y_{i}-y}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2} \frac{2r(x_{i}-x)(y_{i}-y)}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}},$$

where  $x, y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y$  and r designate the parameters characterizing the surface,

(d) the à priori chance that the parameters of (c) are equal to given values may be defined by the function  $F(x_y, y, q, g, r)$  where this function is integrable, can be expanded in Taylor's series and converges over the whole space.

Let the calculated characteristics of the sample be,

 $X_y$  the weighted average of  $x_i$  with  $y_i$  as weights (i=1,2,3,...,N)

Y the arithmetic average of the variates  $y_i$ ,  $(i=1,2,3,\dots,N)$ 

X the arithmetic average of the variates  $x_{i}$ .

 $S_{\kappa}$  the standard deviation of the variates  $\kappa_{i,\ell}$  , , ,

 $S_y$  the standard deviation of the variates  $y_i$ ,  $y_i$ ,  $y_i$ 

R the coefficient of correlation between the variates  $x_i$  and  $y_i$   $(i=1,2,3,\ldots,N)$ .

The expressions representing the most probable values of the weighted average and its standard deviation are independent whether the parameters of the universe are known or unknown. In Parts I, II, and III we shall consider the respective cases,

- (a) when all parameters are unknown,
- (b) all but y are unknown,
- (c) all but y and  $\sigma_y$  are unknown.

In Part IV we shall consider the generalized case of Part I when there are K sets of elements, i.e.  $x_i^{\ell} y_i^{\ell} \begin{bmatrix} \ell=1,2 & \dots & K \\ i=1,2,3 & \dots & \infty \end{bmatrix}$ 

in the universe. In order to consider this case we shall, at the beginning of Part IV, slightly change the hypotheses and modify the above notation.

### PART I

## CASE WHERE ALL PARAMETERS ARE UNKNOWN

Theorem (1.1). If hypotheses (a) and (c) are satisfied and if  $S_x S_y(1-R^2) \neq 0$  then, the most probable value of  $x_y$  is  $X_y$ .

Proof. If  $P_n$  denotes the probability of getting N particular pairs of variates, then it follows from hypotheses (a) and (c) that.

$$\frac{(1)}{p} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{x}\sigma_{x}}, 2\pi \overline{|1-r^{2}|}\right)^{N} e^{-\frac{N}{2(1-r^{2})}} \left[\frac{S_{x}^{2}+(x-X)^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}} + \frac{S_{y}^{2}+(y-Y)^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}} - 2r \frac{RS_{x}S_{y}+(x-X)(y-Y)}{\sigma_{x}\sigma_{y}}\right].$$

Taking the partial derivatives of  $P_n$  with respect to  $x, y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y$  and r, setting them equal to zero, and solving for  $x, y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y$  and r, yields

(2) 
$$\begin{cases} x = X, & \sigma_x = S_x, \\ y = Y, & \sigma_y = S_y, \end{cases} r = R$$

hence x = X, y = Y,  $\sigma_x = S_x$ ,  $\sigma_y = S_y$  and r = R will make  $P_n$  a maximum, and the maximum value of  $P_n$  is,

(3) 
$$P_{max} = \left[\frac{1}{e S_{x} S_{y} 2\pi \sqrt{1-R^{2}}}\right]^{N}.$$

The weighted average  $x_y$  and  $X_y$  can be expressed in terms of The weighted average  $x_y$  and  $x_y$  can be expressed in terms of  $x, y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y$ , r and  $x, y, S_x$ ,  $x_y$ ,  $x_y$  respectively,  $X_y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i \cdot y_i)/y_i \qquad \text{(by definition)}$   $= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i - XY + XY \text{ (since } \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i = Y \text{ by definition)}$ 

$$X_{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} \cdot y_{i})/y_{i}.$$
 (by definition)

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \ y_i - XY + XY}{Y} \text{ (since } \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i = Y \text{ by definition)}$$

(4) 
$$\begin{cases} \text{hence,} \\ X_y = \frac{RS_x S_y}{Y} \neq X \\ \text{similarly.} \end{cases}$$
 (since  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i - XY = RS_x S_y$ )
$$x_y = \frac{r \sigma_x \sigma_y}{y} \neq x$$

This proves theorem (1.1).

Theorem (1.2). If all four hypotheses are satisfied and if  $S_{\chi}S_{\chi}(1-R)\neq 0$  then the à posteriori probability P that the sample came from the universe, the weighted average z, of which satisfies the inequality  $|x_y - X_y| \le \epsilon$ , can be expressed by,

(5) 
$$\begin{cases} P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} e^{\frac{t^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} dt & \text{where} \\ \sigma = \frac{S\omega}{\sqrt{N}} \left[1 + \left(\frac{S_{y}}{Y}\right)^{2} \left\{1 - R^{2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{S_{y}}{Y}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from (4) that,

$$x-X=x_y-X_y-\frac{r\sigma_x\sigma_y}{v}+\frac{RS_xS_y}{Y}$$

Substituting the above value of (x-X) in (1) we shall have,

$$P_{n} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{x}\sigma_{y} \, \mathcal{Z}n\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\right)^{N} e^{-\frac{N}{2(1-r^{2})}W} \quad \text{where}$$

$$(6) \begin{cases} W = V^{2} + \left(\frac{S_{x}}{\sigma_{x}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{S_{y}}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2} - 2rR \, \frac{S_{x} \, S_{y}}{\sigma_{x} \, \sigma_{y}} + (1-r^{2}) \left(\frac{y-Y}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2} \\ V = -\frac{S_{x}}{\sigma_{x}} \left(\frac{x_{y} - Xy}{S_{x}} + \frac{RS_{y}}{Y}\right) + r\left(\frac{y-Y}{\sigma_{y}} + \frac{\sigma_{y}}{Y}\right) \end{cases}$$
and

then,

$$\frac{P_{n}}{P_{max}} = \frac{e\sqrt{1-R^{2}}}{(1+\lambda')(1+\lambda'')\sqrt{1-(R+\rho)^{2}}} e^{-\frac{N}{2[(1-(R+\rho)^{2}]}W_{n})} \text{ in which}$$

$$(7) \begin{cases}
W_{n} = V_{n}^{2} + \frac{1}{(1+\lambda')^{2}} + \frac{1}{(1+\lambda'')^{2}} \frac{2R(R+\rho)}{(1+\lambda'')(1+\lambda'')} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{(R+\rho)^{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha''}{1+\lambda''}\right)^{2} \\
V_{n} = -\frac{1}{(1+\lambda')} \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2} + \frac{RS_{y}}{Y}\right) + \left(\frac{R+\rho}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha''}{1+\lambda''} + \frac{1+\lambda''}{Y}\right).
\end{cases}$$
and

Taking the logarithm of  $\frac{P}{P_{max}}$  we shall have,

$$\frac{1}{N} \log \frac{f_{max}}{F_{n}} = A, \text{ where}$$

$$A = const. + log(1+\lambda_{1}^{\prime}) + log(1+\lambda_{1}^{\prime}) + log(1+\lambda_{1}^{\prime}) + \frac{1}{2} log \left[1 - (R+\rho)^{2}\right] + \frac{1}{2\left[1 - (R+\rho)^{2}\right]} W$$

Expanding A in terms of the small quantities  $\lambda', \lambda'', \alpha', \alpha'', \rho$  to second powers inclusive and letting  $K = \frac{5}{3} \lambda + \lambda' + \lambda''$  we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{P_{max}}{P_{n}} = A_{1} + A_{2} & \text{where} \\ A_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d'}{\partial a'} + \dots + \frac{dA}{\partial p} \right)^{(2)} & \text{or} \\ 2A_{2} = const. + \frac{4(A' + \frac{\lambda}{2})}{(I - R^{2})} \\ + \frac{1 \cdot R^{2} (J - K^{2})}{(I - R^{2})} \left[ \lambda R \frac{Kd'_{1} - RK(I - K^{2})d''_{1} + (I - K^{2})p}{(I - R^{2})(I - K^{2})} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{1 \cdot R^{2} (J - K^{2})}{(I - R^{2})(I - K^{2})} \left[ \lambda R \frac{Kd'_{1} - RK(I - K^{2})d''_{1} + (I - K^{2})p}{(I - R^{2})(I - K^{2})} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{1 \cdot R^{2} (I - K^{2})}{(I - R^{2})(I - K^{2})} \left[ \frac{p}{I - R^{2} + K^{2}(I + R^{2})} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{1 \cdot K^{2} [I - R^{2}(I - K^{2})]}{(I - R^{2})(I - R^{2})} \left[ \frac{m}{I + K^{2}} \frac{R(I - K^{2})d'_{1}}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I - R^{2}(I - K^{2})} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{2}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}} \right]^{2} \\ + \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{I + K^{2}} \left[ \frac{1 \cdot R^{2}(I - K^{2})}{I + K^{2}$$

Therefore the probability of getting a particular set of N pairs of variates can be expressed approximately by,

(9) 
$$P_n = a \text{ const. times } e^{-NA_n}$$

Then it follows from hypothesis (d) and (8') that the  $\hat{a}$  posteriori probability P that the sample came from the universethe weighted average  $\varkappa_{\nu}$  of which satisfies the inequality  $[\varkappa_{\nu} - X_{\nu}] \le \epsilon$ , whatever the parameters x, y, q, q and r may be—is expressed by,

(10) 
$$P = \frac{X_{y+\epsilon} - \omega}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-1}^{r} F(x_{y}, \dots, r) e^{-N(A_{i}+A_{2})} dx_{y} \cdots dr} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-1}^{r} F(x_{y}, \dots, r) e^{-N(A_{i}+A_{2})} dx_{y} \cdots dr$$

We may write,

$$(11) \begin{cases} F(x_{y}, y, \sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, r)e & -N(A_{1} + A_{2}) \\ & -N(A_{1} + A_{2}) - \frac{-N(A_{1}^{2} + A_{2}) - \frac{-N(A_{1}^{2} + A_{2})}{2} \\ & = F(x_{y}, y, \sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, r)e \end{cases}$$

$$= F(x_{y}, y, \sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, r)e$$

$$= F(x_{y}, y,$$

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{S_x}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 + K^2 \left[ 1 - \mathcal{P}^2 \left( 1 - K^2 \right) \right]} \qquad \text{since } \left( \frac{\left( x_y - X_y \right)}{S_x} \right) = d,$$
and

$$F_{r}(x_{y}, y, \sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, r) = F(x_{y}, \sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, r) e$$

(12) 
$$\begin{cases} \text{Let, } \mathcal{E} = \sqrt{N}(xy - X_y) \\ \text{and} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) = \int \dots \int_{\mathcal{F}_{r}} \mathcal{F}_{r}\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\sqrt{N}} + X_{y,y} \dots r\right) dy \dots dr$$

$$\text{then, } P = \int_{-\mathcal{E}/\sqrt{N}} \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) e^{-\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} d\mathcal{E} / \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) e^{-\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{2}} d\mathcal{E}.$$

It follows from (8'), (11), and (12) and hypothesis (d) that  $\varphi(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  can be developed in Taylor's series for all values of N, hence.

$$(13) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi\left(\frac{E}{|\mathcal{N}|}\right) = \varphi(o) + \frac{E}{|\mathcal{N}|} \varphi'(o) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{E}{|\mathcal{N}|}\right)^2 \varphi'(o) + \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{E}{|\mathcal{N}|}\right)^3 \varphi''(o) + \cdots \\ = \varphi(o) + \left(\frac{E}{|\mathcal{N}|}\right) \varphi'(o) + \frac{1}{N} \left[\frac{1}{2!} E^2 \varphi''(o) + \frac{E^3}{3!\sqrt{N}} \varphi''(o) + \frac{E^4}{4!(\sqrt{N})^2} \varphi''(o) + \cdots \right] = \varphi(o) + \left(\frac{E}{|\mathcal{N}|}\right) \varphi'(o) + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right). \end{array} \right.$$

Neglecting terms of order of  $(\frac{2}{N})$  we shall have,

(14) 
$$P = \int \left[ O(0) + \frac{E}{N} O(0) \right] e^{-\left(\frac{E}{\sigma}\right)^2} \left( O(0) + \left(\frac{E}{N}\right) O(0) \right) e^{-\left(\frac{E}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$
but,  $\int_{E} \frac{E(N)}{\sigma} \left( \frac{E}{\sigma} \right)^2 \int_{E} \frac{e^{-\left(\frac{E}{\sigma}\right)^2}}{\sigma} dE = O$  (odd function)
and  $\int_{e} \frac{e^{-\left(\frac{E}{\sigma}\right)^2}}{\sigma} dE = \sqrt{\pi} \sigma_o$ 
hence,  $P = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma_o} \int_{e} \frac{e^{\sqrt{N}} - \left(\frac{E}{\sigma}\right)^2}{e^{-\left(\frac{E}{\sigma}\right)^2}} dE$ 

$$Let \quad \sigma = \frac{\sigma_o}{\sqrt{2N}} \quad \text{and} \quad E = \sqrt{N}t$$
then  $P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_o} \int_{e} \frac{e^{-\left(\frac{E}{\sigma}\right)^2}}{e^{-\left(\frac{E}{\sigma}\right)^2}} dt$ 
This proves theorem (1.2)

## PART II

## CASE WHERE VIS CONSTANT

Theorem (2.1). If hypotheses (a) and (c) are satisfied and

(1.a) 
$$\sigma_{j} = S_{\chi} \sqrt{1 + \overline{R}K_{j}^{2}}$$
  
(1.b)  $\sigma_{z} = S_{y} \sqrt{1 + K_{j}^{2}}$   
(1.c)  $\sigma_{z} = \overline{N} \sqrt{1 + K_{j}^{2}}$   
(1.d)  $v_{o} = \overline{N} \sqrt{1 + K_{j}^{2}} / (1 + \overline{R}K_{j}^{2})$   
(1.d)  $v_{o} = X_{y} + \sigma_{j} r_{o} \left[ K + \frac{y}{Y} (K_{j} - K_{j}) \right]$ 

where  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$  and  $\sigma_3$  are the most probable values of  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$ ,  $\sigma_4$ and  $\varkappa_{\nu}$  respectively, and,

(2) 
$$K = \frac{Sy}{Y}, \quad K_{i} = \frac{y - Y}{Sy}$$
$$k = \frac{\sigma_{2}}{y}, \quad k_{i} = \frac{y - Y}{\sigma_{2}}$$

Proof. The probability of getting N particular pairs of variates is given by (6) of Part I. Taking the partial derivatives of  $P_n$  with respect to  $Q_n$ ,  $Q_n$ ,  $P_n$  and  $X_n$ , and setting them equal to zero, we obtain,

(3.a) 
$$\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \sigma_x} = 2(1-r^2) + \sigma_x W_{\sigma_x}' = 0$$

$$(3.b) \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \sigma_y} = 2(1-r^2) + \sigma_y W_{\sigma_y}' = 0$$

$$(3.c) \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial r} = 2(1-r^2) - 2rW - (1-r^2)W_r' = 0$$

$$(3.d) \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial x_y} = V = 0$$
Case where all the parameters but y are unknown.

here all the parameters but y are unknown.

where  $W_{\alpha_{x}}'$ ,  $W_{\alpha_{y}}'$  and  $W_{\alpha}'$  mean the partial derivatives of W

with respect to  $\sigma_{\chi}$ ,  $\sigma_{\gamma}$  and r respectively, But.

$$\sigma_{x} W_{\sigma_{x}}' = 2V\sigma_{x} V_{\sigma_{x}}' - 2\left(\frac{S_{x}}{\sigma_{x}}\right)^{2} + 2rR \frac{S_{x} S_{y}}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}$$

$$\sigma_{y} W_{\sigma_{y}}' = 2V\sigma_{y} V_{\sigma_{y}}' - 2\left(\frac{S_{x}}{\sigma_{x}}\right)^{2} + 2rR \frac{S_{x} S_{y}}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}} - 2(1-r^{2})\left(\frac{Y-Y}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2}$$

$$W_{r}' = 2VV_{r}' - 2R \frac{S_{x} S_{y}}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}} - 2r\left(\frac{Y-Y}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2}$$

since V=0, we obtain,

$$(3'.a) (1-r^2) - \left(\frac{S_x}{\sigma_x}\right)^2 + rR \frac{S_x S_y}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} = 0$$

$$(3') \left\{ (3'.b) (1-r^2) - \left(\frac{S_y}{\sigma_y}\right)^2 + rR \frac{S_x S_y}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} - (1-r^2) \left(\frac{y-Y}{\sigma_y}\right)^2 = 0 \right\}$$

$$(3'.c) r(1-r^2) - r\left[ \left(\frac{S_x}{\sigma_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{S_y}{\sigma_y}\right)^2 \right] + (1+r^2)R \left(\frac{S_x S_y}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}\right)^2 = 0$$

Solving for  $\sigma_{x}$ ,  $\sigma_{y}$  and r from (3) and making use of the substitutions from (2) we get the most probable value of  $\sigma_{x}$ ,  $\sigma_{y}$  and r,

$$\begin{cases} (4.a) & \sigma_{\chi} = \sigma_{r} = S_{\chi} \sqrt{1 + R_{r} K_{r}^{2}} \\ (4.b) & \sigma_{y} = \sigma_{z} = S_{y} \sqrt{1 + K_{r}^{2}} \\ (4.c) & r = r_{o} = R \sqrt{(1 + K_{r}^{2}) / (1 + R_{r}^{2})^{2}} \\ \text{and from (3.d) we obtain,} \\ (4.d) & x_{y} = x_{o} = X_{y} - \frac{RS_{\chi}S_{y}}{Y} + r_{o}\sigma_{r}(K + K_{r}) \\ \text{Since } K_{r} = \frac{K_{r}\sigma_{z}}{S_{y}} \text{ we get from (4.a), (4.b) and (4.c),} \\ (4'.a) & S_{\chi} = \sigma_{r} \sqrt{1 - r_{o} K_{r}^{2}} \end{cases}$$

$$(4'.b) S_{\gamma} = \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - k_i^2}$$

(4'.c) 
$$R = r_o \sqrt{(1-k_i^2)/(1-\overline{r_o}k^2)}$$

hence,

Substituting the above value of  $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\varkappa} \mathcal{S}_{\wp}$  in (4.d) we obtain,

(4'.d) 
$$x_y = x_o = X_y + \sigma, r_o \left[ k + \frac{y}{Y} (k, -k) \right]$$

This proves theorem (2.1)

If we denote the maximum probability by Pmax then,

$$(5) P_{max} = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi e \sigma_{l} \sigma_{z} \sqrt{1-c_{s}^{2}}}\right]^{N}$$

Theorem (2.2). If all four hypotheses are satisfied and if  $S_{\varkappa} S_{y}(1-P^{2})\neq 0$  then the à posteriori probability P that the sample came from the universe, the weighted average  $\varkappa_{y}$  of which satisfies the inequality  $|\varkappa_{y} - X_{y}| \leq \varepsilon$ , can be expressed by,

(6) 
$$\begin{cases} P = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{Y}}} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \frac{t^{2}}{2\sigma_{X}^{2}} dt & \text{where} \\ \sigma_{Y} = \frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}(1+r_{o}^{2})+(1-r_{o}^{2})} \frac{(1+\kappa\kappa_{i})^{2}}{(1-\kappa_{i})^{2}} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $n_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{k}$ ,  $n_2 = 1 - \frac{2}{k} > 0$  then by substituting the values of  $S_x$ ,  $S_y$  and R from (4'.a), (4'.b) and (4'.c) we get,

In this case the function  $F(x_y, y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, r)$  in (d) is  $F(x_y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, r)$ 

(7) 
$$\begin{cases} P_{n} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\chi} \sigma_{y} 2\pi \sqrt{(1-r^{2})}}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{N}{2(1-r^{2})} W} & \text{where} \\ W = V^{2} + n_{x} \left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sigma_{\chi}}\right)^{2} + n_{z} \left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2} - 2rr_{o} n_{z} \frac{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{z}}{\sigma_{\chi} \sigma_{y}} + \left(1-r^{2}\right) \left(\frac{K_{x} \sigma_{z}}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2} \\ V = -\frac{x_{y} - x_{o}}{\sigma_{\chi}} - \frac{r_{o} \sigma_{x}}{\sigma_{\chi}} \left(K + K_{x}\right) + r \left(\frac{y - Y}{\sigma_{y}} + \frac{\sigma_{y}}{y}\right) & \text{and} \end{cases}$$

hence,

(8) 
$$\frac{P_n}{P_{max}} = \left(e \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_x} \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_y} \sqrt{\frac{1 - r_o^2}{1 - r^2}}\right)^{N} e^{-\frac{N}{2(1 - r^2)}W}$$
Taking the logarithm of  $\frac{P}{P_{max}}$  and letting
$$\sigma_x = \sigma_i(1 + \lambda'), \qquad r = (r_o + p)$$

$$\sigma_y = \sigma_2(1 + \lambda''), \qquad x_y = x_o + \sigma_i d'$$
we shall have

$$\sigma_{\chi} = \sigma_{\rho}(1+\lambda'), \qquad r = (r_{0}+\rho)$$
  
 $\sigma_{\gamma} = \sigma_{\rho}(1+\lambda''), \qquad \chi_{\gamma} = \chi_{0} + \sigma_{\gamma}d$ 

we shall have.

(9) 
$$A = Const. + log(1+\lambda') + log(1+\lambda'') + \frac{1}{2}log\left[1 - (r_0 + \rho)^2\right] + \frac{1}{2\left[1 - (r_0 + \rho)^2\right]}W.$$

Expanding A in terms of the small quantities  $\lambda'$ ,  $\lambda''$ ,  $\alpha'$  and  $\rho$ we obtain.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{P_{max}}{P_{n}} = const. + A_{1} + A_{2} \text{ where} \\ A_{1} = \frac{1}{2(1-r_{0}^{2})} \left\{ d'^{2} + \left[ 2-r_{0}^{2} + r_{0}^{2} k(k+2k_{1}) \right] \lambda'^{2} + \left[ 2-r_{0}^{2} + r_{0}^{2} k(k-2k_{1}) \right] \lambda''^{2} + \left[ \frac{1+r_{0}^{2}}{1-r_{0}^{2}} + k(k+2k_{1}) \right] \beta^{2} - 2r_{0}(k+k_{1}) d' d'_{n} - 2r_{0}(k+k_{1}) d' \lambda''' - 2r_{0}(k+k_{1}) d' \rho - 2r_{0}^{2}(1-k_{1}^{2}) \lambda' \lambda''' - 2r_{0}^{2}[1-k(k+2k_{1})] \lambda' \rho - 2r_{0}(1-k_{1}^{2}) \lambda'' \rho \right\} \text{ and} \\ A_{2} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( \lambda' \frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda'} + \lambda'' \frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda''} + \frac{d'\partial A}{\partial d'} + \frac{\rho \partial A}{\partial \rho} \right)^{(n)} \end{cases}$$

The expression representing the value of A, is quadratic in form in terms of the variables  $\lambda'$ ,  $\lambda''$ ,  $\alpha'$  and  $\rho$  where all the coefficients are positive,

$$A_{1} = \frac{2 - c^{2} + c^{2} k (k+2k_{1})}{2(1-c^{2})} \left\{ \lambda' - c_{0} \frac{(k_{1}+k_{1})d' + c_{0}(1-k^{2})d'' + [1-k(k+2k_{1})]}{2-c^{2} + c^{2} k (k+2k_{1})} \right\}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{4[1-c^{2} + c^{2} k (k+2k_{1})]}{2(1-c^{2})[2-c^{2} + c^{2} k (k+2k_{1})]} \left\{ \lambda'' - \frac{[k(1-c^{2}k_{1}^{2}) - k_{1}(1-c^{2})]}{2[1-c^{2} + c^{2} k^{2}(1-c^{2}k_{1}^{2})]} \right\}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{(1-c^{2})[4+kk_{1})^{2} + (1+c^{2})k^{2}(1-k_{1}^{2})}{2[1-c^{2} + c^{2} k (k+2k_{1})]} \left\{ \sum_{l=c^{2}} \frac{[k+k_{1}-c^{2}k_{1}(1+kk_{1})]}{2[1-c^{2})(1+kk_{1})^{2} + (1+c^{2})k^{2}(1-k_{1}^{2})} \right\}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{d'^{2}(1-k_{1}^{2})}{2[(1-c^{2})(1+kk_{1})^{2} + (1+c^{2})k^{2}(1-k_{1}^{2})]}$$

For the rest of the proof of this theorem we proceed as in Part I and can obtain,

(12) 
$$\sigma_{Y} = \frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\kappa (1+r_{o}^{2}) + (1-r_{o}^{2}) \frac{(1+\kappa \kappa_{i})^{2}}{(1-\kappa_{i})^{2}}}.$$
Notice that if  $y = Y$  then,

(13) 
$$K_{1} = K_{1} = 0 , \quad \sigma_{1} = S_{\chi} , \quad \sigma_{2} = S_{\chi} , \quad r_{0} = R \quad and \quad x_{0} = X_{y}$$

$$\sigma_{Y} = \sigma_{Y}, \quad = \frac{S_{\chi}}{N} \sqrt{1 - R^{2} + \kappa^{2} (1 + R^{2})}$$

hence  $\sigma_{Y'} < \sigma$  if  $R \neq 0$  where  $\sigma$  is given by (5) Part I.

# PART III

Case Where y and oy are Constants

Theorem (3.1). If hypotheses (a) and (c) are satisfied and if  $S_x S_y$  (1-P)30 then,

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Case where all the parameters but y,  $\sigma_y$  are unknown.

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} (1.a) & \sigma_{i} = \frac{S_{x}}{S_{y}} \sqrt{R^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2} + (1-R^{2})S_{y}^{2}} \\ (1.b) & r_{o} = R\sigma_{y} \sqrt{R^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2} + (1-R^{2})S_{y}^{2}} \\ (1.c) & x_{o} = X_{y} - \frac{RS_{x}S_{y}}{Y} + \frac{RS_{x}S_{y}}{S_{y}} \left(\frac{y-Y}{\sigma_{y}} + \frac{\sigma_{y}}{y}\right) = x + \frac{RS_{x}C}{a} \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma_i$ ,  $r_0$  and  $x_0$  are the most probable values of  $\sigma_{x_0}$ , r and  $x_0$  respectively and

$$\frac{S_y}{Y} = K$$
;  $\frac{S_y}{\sigma_y} = \alpha$ ;  $\frac{y-Y}{\sigma_y} + \frac{\sigma_y}{y} = c$ 

Theorem (3.2). If all four hypotheses are satisfied and if  $S_x S_y (1-R^2) \neq 0$  then the à posteriori probability P that the sample came from the universe, the weighted average  $x_y$  of which satisfies the inequality  $|x_y - X_y| \leq E$ , can be expressed by.

satisfies the inequality 
$$|x_y - X_y| \le \mathcal{E}$$
, can be expressed by.  
(2) 
$$P = \frac{2}{2\pi\sigma_{Y_i}} \int_0^{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma_{Y_i}^2}} dt \quad \text{where}$$

$$\sigma_{Y_i} = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{(1-r_o^2) \left[1+\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)\right]}$$

Notice that if y-Y and oy = Sx then,

(3) 
$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{i} = S_{x}, & r_{o} = R; & x_{o} = X_{y} \\ \sigma_{Y_{i}} = \sigma_{Y_{i}}' = \frac{S_{x}}{N} \sqrt{(1-R^{2})(1+k^{2})} \end{cases}$$

hence  $\sigma_{Y_i} < \sigma_{Y'}$  if  $R \neq 0$  where  $\sigma_{Y'}$  is given by (12) Part II.

As the proofs of theorems (3.1) and (3.2) do not differ from the proofs of theorems (2.1) and (2.2.), we shall omit them.\*

<sup>\*</sup>In this case the function  $F(x_y, y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, r)$  in (d) is  $F(x_y, \sigma_x, r)$  \*Part I and II were presented in Wilno during the II Assembly of Polish Mathematicians.

### PART IV

In this Part we shall consider the generalized case of Part I where there are k sets of elements characterized by pairs of vari-

able quantities, 
$$x_i^{\ell}, y_i^{\ell} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \kappa \\ i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

Let, 
$$x = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} A_{\ell}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{\ell}} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} \frac{A_{\ell}}{A}$$

where  $x_y^{\ell}$  is the weighted average of the variates  $x_z^{\ell}$ , with  $y_z^{\ell}$  as weights, and  $A_{\ell}$  the sum of these weights. Our problem is to obtain an expression for the probable precision of the quantity x according to certain hypotheses.

We shall replace hypothesis (b) of the introduction by hypotheses (b $^{\prime}$ ) and (b $^{\prime\prime}$ ) where,

- (b') the number  $(N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + \dots + N_K)$  of pairs in each sample is so large that  $\frac{1}{N}$  may be neglected,
- (b") each of the numbers  $N_{\ell}(\ell=1,2,3,...,k)$  of pairs from separate sets is so large that  $\frac{N_{\ell}}{N}$  has a significant value, i.e.,

$$\frac{N_p}{N} \geq \omega_o > 0$$

Let us replace in hypothesis (c)  $P_i$  by  $P_i$  (f=1,2,3,...,k) and x, y,  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ , r by x, y,  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ ,  $r_y$  and refer to the corresponding general hypothesis by (c'). Likewise if in hypothesis (d) we replace  $F(x_y, y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, r)$  by  $F(x_y, y, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, r_y)$  we obtain the generalized hypothesis (d').

We shall denote the calculated characteristics of the sample by  $X_{i}^{\ell}, X_{i}^{\ell}, Y_{i}^{\ell}, S_{x_{i}}^{\ell}, S_{y_{i}}^{\ell}, R_{\rho} (i=1,2,3,\dots,N_{\rho})$ 

corresponding to the values  $X_y, X, Y, S_x, S_y$ , R as defined in the introduction page 197.

Theorem (4.1). If hypotheses (a) and (c') are satisfied and if  $(1-R)S_x^PS_y^P\neq 0$  then the most probable value of x is X where,

$$X = \sum_{i}^{k} X_{i}^{\ell} \frac{A_{\ell}}{A}$$

*Proof\**. Let  $P_n$  be the probability of getting a given set of N pairs of variates  $x_i^p$ ,  $y_i^p$ , then it follows from hypotheses (a) and (c') that,

$$P_n = \frac{\pi}{1} \frac{e^{-\frac{N\rho}{2(1-r_{\theta}^2)}W_{\theta}}}{(2\pi\sigma_x^2\sigma_y^2\sqrt{1-r_{\theta}^2)}^{N\rho}}, \text{ where}$$

$$W_{\rho} = V_{\rho}^{2} + \left(\frac{S_{\chi}^{\ell}}{\sigma_{\chi}^{\ell}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{S_{\gamma}^{\ell}}{\sigma_{\gamma}^{\ell}}\right)^{2} - 2r_{\rho}R_{\rho}\frac{S_{\chi}^{\ell}S_{\gamma}^{\ell}}{\sigma_{\chi}^{\ell}\sigma_{\gamma}^{\ell}} + (1-r_{\rho}^{2})\left(\frac{y-Y^{\ell}}{\sigma_{\gamma}^{2}}\right)^{2}$$

$$(1) \qquad , \text{ and}$$

$$V_{\rho} = -\frac{S_{x}^{\ell}}{\sigma_{x}^{\ell}} \left( \frac{x_{y}^{\ell} - x_{y}^{\ell}}{S_{x}^{\ell}} + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\rho} \, S_{y}^{\ell}}{Y^{\ell}} \right) + r_{\ell} \left( \frac{y^{\ell} - Y^{\ell}}{\sigma_{y}^{\ell}} + \frac{\sigma_{y}^{\ell}}{Y^{\ell}} \right)$$

<sup>\*</sup>The proofs of the theorems (4.1) and (4.2) shall be given in very abbreviated form as the method of proofs of these theorems does not differ from the proofs of theorem (2.1) and (2.2) of Part I.

212

Let,

$$x - X = D; \quad x_{y}^{\ell} - X_{y}^{\ell} = S_{x}^{\ell} \alpha_{\ell};$$

$$y^{\ell} - Y^{\ell} = S_{y}^{\ell} \delta_{\ell}; \quad \sigma_{x}^{\ell} = S_{x}^{\ell} (1 + \lambda_{\ell}^{j});$$

$$(2)$$

$$\sigma_{y}^{\ell} = S_{y}^{\ell} (1 + \lambda_{\ell}^{"}); \quad r_{\ell} = R_{\ell} (1 + \rho_{\ell})$$

$$S_{x}^{\ell} \frac{A_{\ell}}{A} = \alpha_{\ell}; \quad \frac{S_{y}}{Y_{\ell}} = K_{\ell}$$

then,

$$(3) D = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i}$$

and we can also express the unknown quantity  $cl_{\ell} = (\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ 

in terms of D and the independent variable  $\gamma_p(\ell=1,2,3,\dots,\kappa-1)$  as follows,

(4) 
$$d_{\ell} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\ell}} \left( \frac{D}{\kappa} - \gamma_{\ell} \right); \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa - 1;$$

$$d_{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\kappa}} \left( \frac{D}{\kappa} + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa - 1} \gamma_{\ell} \right)$$

Hence it follows from (I) that,

$$P_{n} = \frac{1}{1/2} \frac{e^{-\frac{N_{\ell}W_{\ell}}{2[1-R_{\ell}^{2}(1+\rho_{\ell})^{2}]}}}{\left[(2\pi S_{k}^{l}S_{k}^{l})(1+\lambda_{\ell}^{l})(1+\lambda_{\ell}^{l})\sqrt{1-R_{\ell}^{2}(1+\rho_{\ell})^{2}}\right]^{N_{\ell}}} \text{ where}$$

(5) 
$$W_{\ell} = V_{\ell}^{2} + \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_{\ell}^{\prime})^{2}} + \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_{\ell}^{\prime\prime})^{2}} - 2R_{\ell}^{2} \frac{1+\rho_{\ell}}{(1+\lambda_{\ell}^{\prime\prime})(1+\lambda_{\ell}^{\prime\prime\prime})} + \left[1-R_{\ell}^{2}(1+\rho_{\ell})^{2}\right] \frac{\delta_{\ell}}{1+\lambda_{\ell}^{\prime\prime}}^{2}$$

and

$$V_{\ell} = -\frac{1}{1+\lambda_{\ell}'} \left( d_{\ell} + \mathcal{R}_{\ell} K_{\ell} \right) + \mathcal{R}_{\ell} \left( 1 + \rho_{2} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_{\ell}}{1+\lambda_{\ell}''} + \frac{1+\lambda_{\ell}''}{\sigma_{\ell} + \kappa_{\ell}} \right)$$

where  $d_{\ell}$  are to be found from the equations (3) and  $(\ell = l, 2 \cdots k)$ .

Taking the partial derivitives of  $P_{\ell}$  with respect to D and  $P_{\ell}$ , we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial D} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{l}^{k} \frac{1}{4_k} \cdot \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial d_{\ell}}$$
(6)
$$\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \eta_{\ell}} = \frac{1}{4_k} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial d_k} - \frac{1}{4_{\ell}} \cdot \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial d_{\ell}} \cdot \ell = 1, 2, \dots k-1$$

It can be easily vertified that  $\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial D} = \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial D_{\ell}} = 0$  if and only if  $\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial Z_{\ell}} = 0$ 

The probability  $P_n$  treated as the function of variables

$$D, \gamma_1, \dots \gamma_{k-1}, \delta_2, \dots \delta_k, \lambda'_1, \dots \lambda'_k, \lambda''_2, \dots \lambda''_k, \rho_1, \dots \rho_k, \text{ is a maximum when,}$$

$$D = \gamma_1 = \dots \gamma_{k-1} = \delta_2 = \lambda'_2 = \lambda'_2 = \rho_3 = 0, (\ell = 1, 2, \dots k)$$

This proves theorem (4.1).

Theorem (4.2). If all hypotheses are satisfied and if then the  $\alpha'$  posteriori probability that the sample came from the universe, the quantity  $\alpha$  of which satisfies the inequality  $|\alpha - X| \le \epsilon$  may be expressed by,

$$P = \frac{2}{2\pi\sigma} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} dt, \qquad \text{where}$$

(7) 
$$\sigma = \int_{\Gamma_{i}}^{K} \left( S_{x}^{i} \frac{A_{i}}{A} \right)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{i}}{N_{i}} , \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Phi_{\ell} = 1 + \left(\frac{S_{\ell}^{\ell}}{Y^{\ell}}\right)^{2} \left\{1 - R_{\ell}^{2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{S_{\ell}^{\ell}}{Y^{\ell}}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}, (\ell = 1, 2, \dots K)^{2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $P_{max}$  denote the maximum probability, then it follows from (6) that,

(8) 
$$P_{max} = e^{-N} \frac{k}{\pi} \frac{1}{(2\pi s_x^{p} s_y^{p} \sqrt{1 - p_x^2})^{N_p}}$$
 and

$$\frac{P_{n}}{P_{max}} = e^{N \frac{K}{17}} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1 - R_{\ell}^{2}}}{(1 + \lambda_{\ell}^{\prime})(1 + \lambda_{\ell}^{\prime}) \sqrt{1 - R_{\ell}^{2}(1 + \rho_{\ell})^{2}}} \right]^{N_{\ell}} e^{-\frac{n_{\ell} W_{\ell}}{2[1 - R_{\ell}^{2}(1 + \rho_{\ell})^{2}]}}$$

$$= e^{N \frac{K}{17}} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1 - R_{\ell}^2}}{(1 + \lambda_{\ell}^{\prime})(1 + \lambda_{\ell}^{\prime\prime}) \sqrt{1 - R_{\ell}^2 (1 + \rho_{\ell}^{\prime})^2}} \right]^{N w_{\ell}} \frac{-N w_{\ell} W_{\ell}}{2 \left[ 1 - R_{\ell}^2 (1 + \rho_{\ell}^{\prime})^2 \right]}$$

where the value of  $W_{\ell}$  given by (5) and  $W_{\ell}^{-\frac{N_{\ell}}{n}}$ 

As in Part I or Part II if we expand the  $\log \frac{P_n}{P_{max}}$  in terms of  $D_n$ ,  $Q_n$ , the first term that does not vanish is quadratic in form in terms of the variables,

$$\mathcal{D}, \gamma, \cdots \gamma_{\kappa-1}; \delta, \cdots \delta_{\kappa}; \lambda'_{i}, \cdots \lambda''_{\kappa}; \lambda'_{2}, \cdots \lambda''_{2}; \rho_{i}, \cdots \rho_{\kappa};$$

and this in turn by linear transformation can be expressed as,

(9) 
$$\begin{cases} N(C_{1}D^{2}+C_{1}\bar{\eta}_{1}^{2}+\cdots+C_{5k}\bar{\rho}_{k}^{2}); & \rho_{p}>0 \ (\ell=1,2,3,4,5k) \end{cases}$$

$$C_{1}=\frac{1}{\sum_{i}^{K}\frac{4i^{2}\rho_{i}}{\omega_{p}}} \quad \text{when}$$

$$Q_{p}=1+K_{p}^{2}\left[1-R_{p}^{2}\left(1-K_{p}^{2}\right)\right] \quad \text{and}$$

To complete the proof we proceed as in Part I.

H. Milicer-Grunewska