## ASYMPTOTIC LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY OF CONVEX MEMBRANES

## GEORGE E. FORSYTHE

1. Introduction. Let the bounded, simply connected, open region R of the (x, y)-plane have the boundary curve C. If a uniform ideal elastic membrane of unit density is uniformly stretched upon C with unit tension across each unit length, then  $\lambda$ , the square of the fundamental frequency, satisfies the conditions (subscripts denote differentiation)

(1a) 
$$\begin{cases} \Delta u \equiv u_{xx} + u_{yy} = -\lambda u \quad \text{in} \quad R, \\ \lambda = \text{minimum}, \end{cases}$$

with the boundary condition

(1b) 
$$u(x, y) = 0$$
 on  $C$ .

Variational methods of the Rayleigh-Ritz type are frequently used to approximate  $\lambda$ . They always yield upper bounds for  $\lambda$ , and the upper bounds can be made arbitrarily close.

Another common practical method of approximating  $\lambda$  is to calculate the least eigenvalue  $\lambda_h$  of a suitably chosen finite-difference operator  $\Delta_h$  over a network with small mesh width h. For one choice of  $\Delta_h$  it was shown by Courant, Friedrichs, and Lewy [3, p. 57] without details that  $\lambda_h \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . For convex regions R of a special polygonal form the author has shown [4] that a special case of (11) below is valid for a common choice of  $\Delta_h$ , and hence that  $\lambda_h$  is asymptotically a lower bound for  $\lambda$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . For an unusual finite-difference approximation to problem (1) when R is the union of squares of the network, Polya [12] has found that  $\lambda_h > \lambda$  for all h, and also for the higher eigenvalues. The author knows of no other study of the sign or order of decrease of  $\lambda - \lambda_h$  to 0.

In the present paper the investigation of [4] is extended to a much wider class of regions: those with piecewise analytic boundary curves and convex corners. The new theorems are stated and proved in §§ 3 and 4. Theorem 2 contains the theorem of [4] as a special case. Lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 1 are given in § 5. Identity (31) of Lemma 7 is interesting in itself.

Received December 2, 1953. Presented to the American Mathematical Society September 4, 1953. The preparation of this paper was sponsored in part by the Office of Naval Research, U.S.N.