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George E. Forsythe

1. Introduction. Let the bounded, simply connected, open region $R$ of the $(x, y)$-plane have the boundary curve $C$. If a uniform ideal elastic membrane of unit density is uniformly stretched upon $C$ with unit tension across each unit length, then $\lambda$, the square of the fundamental frequency, satisfies the conditions (subscripts denote differentiation)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u \equiv u_{x x}+u_{y y}=-\lambda u \quad \text { in } \quad R,  \tag{1a}\\
\lambda=\text { minimum },
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad C \tag{1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Variational methods of the Rayleigh-Ritz type are frequently used to approximate $\lambda$. They always yield upper bounds for $\lambda$, and the upper bounds can be made arbitrarily close.

Another common practical method of approximating $\lambda$ is to calculate the least eigenvalue $\lambda_{h}$ of a suitably chosen finite-difference operator $\Delta_{h}$ over a network with small mesh width $h$. For one choice of $\Delta_{h}$ it was shown by Courant, Friedrichs, and Lewy [3, p. 57] without details that $\lambda_{h} \rightarrow \lambda$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. For convex regions $R$ of a special polygonal form the author has shown [4] that a special case of (11) below is valid for a common choice of $\Delta_{h}$, and hence that $\lambda_{h}$ is asymptotically a lower bound for $\lambda$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. For an unusual finite-difference approximation to problem (1) when $R$ is the union of squares of the network, Polya [12] has found that $\lambda_{h}>\lambda$ for all $h$, and also for the higher eigenvalues. The author knows of no other study of the sign or order of decrease of $\lambda-\lambda_{h}$ to 0 .

In the present paper the investigation of [4] is extended to a much wider class of regions: those with piecewise analytic boundary curves and convex corners. The new theorems are stated and proved in §§ 3 and 4. Theorem 2 contains the theorem of [4] as a special case. Lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 1 are given in §5. Identity (31) of Lemma 7 is interesting in itself.
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