## ERRATA CORRECTION TO ON THE HOLOMORPHY OF MAPS FROM A COMPLEX TO A REAL MANIFOLD

## SUBHASHIS NAG

## Volume 110, No. 1, (1984), 191-201

On p. 198 (fourth line from the bottom) of the quoted paper I erred in saying that  $d_0 \omega_{\theta}^*$  varies continuously with  $\theta$  near  $\theta = 0$ . Nevertheless, as pointed out to me by C. J. Earle, continuous dependence of ker  $d_{\theta} \Phi$  on  $\theta$ is true because the implicit function theorem guarantees that the fibers of  $\Phi$  are  $C^1$  submanifolds in  $M(\Gamma)$ . So the rest of the argument holds unchanged.

Interestingly, no continuous dependence of any kind is needed to verify that  $\Phi$  induces a well-defined almost complex structure on  $T(\Gamma)$ . Indeed let  $G_{\theta} = d_0 \omega_{\theta}^*(G_0)$ . Then

$$\ker d_{\theta} \Phi \oplus G_{\theta} = L^{\infty}(\Gamma) = K_0 \oplus G_0.$$

But note  $d_{\theta}\Phi(g_{\theta}) = d_{0}\Phi(g_{0})$ , (for any  $g_{0} \in G_{0}$  and  $g_{\theta} \in G_{\theta}$ ), if and only if  $g_{\theta} = d_{0}\omega_{\theta}^{*}(g_{0})$ . Since  $d_{0}\omega_{\theta}^{*}$  restricted to  $G_{0}$  is a *complex* linear isomorphism onto  $G_{\theta}$  we are completely done.