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#### Abstract

Assume that $I$ and $J$ are smooth functionals defined on a Hilbert space $H$. We derive sufficient conditions for $I$ to have a local minimum at $y$ subject to the constraint that $J$ is constantly $J(y)$.


The first order necessary condition for $I$ to have a constrained minimum at $y$ is that for some constant $\lambda, I_{y}^{\prime}+\lambda J_{y}^{\prime}$ is identically zero. Here $I_{y}^{\prime}$ and $J_{y}^{\prime}$ are the Fréchet derivatives of $I$ and $J$ at $y$. For the rest of the paper, we assume that $y$ in $H$ satisfies this necessary condition.

A common misapprehension (upon which much of the stability results for capillary surfaces has been based) is to assume that if the quadratic form $I_{y}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda J_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ is positive definite on the kernel of $J_{y}^{\prime}$ then $I$ has a local constrained minimum at $y$. This is not correct in a Hilbert space of infinite dimension; Finn [1] has supplied a counterexample in the unconstrained case, and the same difficulty will occur in the constrained case. In the unconstrained case, if (as often occurs in practice) the spectrum of $I_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ is discrete and 0 is not a cluster point of the spectrum, then $I_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ positive definite at a critical point $y$ implies that $I_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ is strongly positive, (i.e., there exists $k>0$ such that $I_{y}^{\prime \prime}(x) \geq k\|x\|^{2}$ holds for all $x$, and this in turn does imply that $y$ is a local minimum (see [2]). However, in the constrained case, things are not so easy. Even if $I_{y}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda J_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ has a nice spectrum (in some sense), it is not clear that $I_{y}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda J_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ being positive definite on the kernel of $J_{y}^{\prime}$ implies that this quadratic form is strongly positive on the kernel, nor that strong positivity implies that $y$ is a local minimum.

In [3], Maddocks obtained sufficient conditions for $I_{y}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda J_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ to be positive definite on the kernel of $J_{y}^{\prime}$. As Maddocks points out, this is not quite enough to say that $I$ has a constrained minimum at $y$. Remarkably, essentially the same conditions as Maddocks obtained for positive definiteness do in fact imply that $I$ has a strict local minimum at $y$ subject to the constraint $J=J(y)$, as we shall see.

For any $h \in H$ we may say $J(y+h)-J(y)=J_{y}^{\prime}(h)+\frac{1}{2} J_{y}^{\prime \prime}(h)+\epsilon_{1}(h)\|h\|^{2}$, where $\epsilon_{1}$ goes to zero as $\|h\|$ goes to zero. If we consider an $h$ for which $J(y+h)=J(y)$, then of course $0=J_{y}^{\prime}(h)+\frac{1}{2} J_{y}^{\prime \prime}(h)+\epsilon_{1}(h)\|h\|^{2}$. Now, for

