## ON CONSTRAINED EXTREMA

## THOMAS I. VOGEL

Assume that I and J are smooth functionals defined on a Hilbert space H. We derive sufficient conditions for I to have a local minimum at y subject to the constraint that J is constantly J(y).

The first order necessary condition for I to have a constrained minimum at y is that for some constant  $\lambda$ ,  $I'_y + \lambda J'_y$  is identically zero. Here  $I'_y$  and  $J'_y$  are the Fréchet derivatives of I and J at y. For the rest of the paper, we assume that y in H satisfies this necessary condition.

A common misapprehension (upon which much of the stability results for capillary surfaces has been based) is to assume that if the quadratic form  $I''_y + \lambda J''_y$  is positive definite on the kernel of  $J'_y$  then I has a local constrained minimum at y. This is not correct in a Hilbert space of infinite dimension; Finn [1] has supplied a counterexample in the unconstrained case, and the same difficulty will occur in the constrained case. In the unconstrained case, if (as often occurs in practice) the spectrum of  $I''_y$  is discrete and 0 is not a cluster point of the spectrum, then  $I''_y$  positive definite at a critical point y implies that  $I''_y$  is strongly positive, (i.e., there exists k > 0 such that  $I''_y(x) \ge k ||x||^2$  holds for all x), and this in turn does imply that y is a local minimum (see [2]). However, in the constrained case, things are not so easy. Even if  $I''_y + \lambda J''_y$  has a nice spectrum (in some sense), it is not clear that  $I''_y + \lambda J''_y$  being positive definite on the kernel of  $J'_y$  implies that this quadratic form is strongly positive on the kernel of  $J'_y$  implies that this quadratic form is strongly positive on the kernel, nor that strong positivity implies that y is a local minimum.

In [3], Maddocks obtained sufficient conditions for  $I''_y + \lambda J''_y$  to be positive definite on the kernel of  $J'_y$ . As Maddocks points out, this is not quite enough to say that I has a constrained minimum at y. Remarkably, essentially the same conditions as Maddocks obtained for positive definiteness do in fact imply that I has a strict local minimum at y subject to the constraint J = J(y), as we shall see.

For any  $h \in H$  we may say  $J(y+h) - J(y) = J'_y(h) + \frac{1}{2}J''_y(h) + \epsilon_1(h)||h||^2$ , where  $\epsilon_1$  goes to zero as ||h|| goes to zero. If we consider an h for which J(y+h) = J(y), then of course  $0 = J'_y(h) + \frac{1}{2}J''_y(h) + \epsilon_1(h)||h||^2$ . Now, for