## A NOTE ON A CONJECTURE OF BRAUER

## PAUL FONG

To RICHARD BRAUER on the occasion of his 60th Birthday

## §1. Introduction

In [1] R. Brauer asked the following question: Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a finite group, p a rational prime number, and B a p-block of  $\mathfrak{G}$  with defect d and defect group  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Is it true that  $\mathfrak{D}$  is abelian if and only if every irreducible character in B has height 0? The present results on this problem are quite incomplete. If d = 0, 1, 2 the conjecture was proved by Brauer and Feit, [4] Theorem 2. They also showed that if  $\mathfrak{D}$  is cyclic, then no characters of positive height appear in B. If  $\mathfrak{D}$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , the conjecture was proved by W. Reynolds and M. Suzuki, [12]. In this paper we shall show that for a solvable group  $\mathfrak{G}$ , the conjecture is true for the largest prime divisor p of the order of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Actually, one half of this has already been proved in [7]. There it was shown that if  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a p-solvable group, where p is any prime, and if  $\mathfrak{D}$  is abelian, then the condition on the irreducible characters in B is satisfied.

The proof of the converse presented here is somewhat difficult. A series of reductions gives rise to the following situation:  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a finite solvable group of order pg', where (p, g') = 1, such that  $\mathfrak{G}$  has no proper normal subgroups of p'-index. Moreover  $\mathfrak{G}$  acts faithfully and irreducibly on a vector space  $\mathscr{V}$  over a finite field, such that each vector v in  $\mathscr{V}$  is fixed by some Sylow p-subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Using methods similar to those used by Huppert in [10], [11], we shall see that g' = 1 if p is the largest prime divisor of the order of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

The author was a participant in the Special Year Program in the Theory of Groups at the University of Chicago 1960-1961. Many of the ideas in this paper had their origin in the discussions I had with my colleagues there. In particular, I should like to thank G. Higman and J. G. Thompson for their helpful advice.

Received November 12, 1961.

Revised June 6, 1962.