A NOTE ON FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS¹

L. J. PAIGE

1. Introduction. R. H. Bruck² has pointed out that every finite group of odd order is isotopic to an idempotent quasigroup. It can be shown that a necessary and sufficient condition that a group G be isotopic to an idempotent quasigroup is that there exist one-to-one mappings θ and η of G upon G satisfying the relationship $\eta(x) = x \cdot \theta(x)$, for all x of G. The same condition is sufficient to prove the existence of a loop M whose automorphism group contains G as a subgroup. We shall not attempt to show either of these applications; but, since there may be others, the present paper is concerned with the existence of suitable θ and η for any finite abelian group G. For this we have a complete answer. Our methods are constructive, but (unfortunately from the standpoint of generalization) they make considerable use of the commutative law.

2. Notation. We shall consider a finite abelian group G of order n = n(G).

The product of the *n* distinct elements of *G* will be designated by p = p(G).

Let $x \rightarrow \theta(x)$ be any one-to-one mapping (*not* necessarily an automorphism) of G upon G. Consider the derived mapping $x \rightarrow \eta(x) = x\theta(x)$. The order of η , denoted by $O(\eta)$, is the number of distinct elements $\eta(x)$, for x in G.

It is our purpose to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. There exists a θ for which $O(\eta) = n(G)$ unless G possesses exactly one element of order 2. In the latter case there exists a θ for which $O(\eta) = n(G) - 1$.

3. Evaluation of p.

LEMMA 1. p(G) = 1 unless G possesses exactly one element of order 2. In the latter case, p(G) is the unique element of order 2.

PROOF. The set H consisting of the identity and all elements of G of order 2 is a uniquely defined subgroup of G. If $a \in G$ is of order

Presented to the Society, November 30, 1946; received by the editors October 17, 1946, and, in revised form, December 5, 1946.

 $^{^{1}}$ The author wishes to thank the referee for his suggestions which added considerably to the clarity of the paper.

² R. H. Bruck, Some results in the theory of quasigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 55 (1944) pp. 19–52, especially pp. 35, 36.