# 44. On Closed Subvarieties of Parabolic Type in Certain Quasi-Projective Spaces of Hyperbolic Type 

By Seizō Furuno<br>Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University, Mejiro, Tokyo 171<br>(Communicated by Kunihiko Kodaira, m. J. a., June 15, 1978)

Introduction. Recently S. Iitaka has developed a theory of logarithmic forms for algebraic varieties from proper birational geometric viewpoint and as an application he classified varieties of the form $V=\left(P^{n}-\right.$ a union of hyperplanes) by means of logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}$ [1]. The present note is based on these results. We study closed subvarieties $\Gamma$ 's of $V$ with $\bar{\kappa}(\Gamma)=0$ for $V$ with $\bar{\kappa}(V)=n$. Recall that $\Gamma \simeq \boldsymbol{G}_{m}^{r}$, where $\boldsymbol{G}_{m}^{r}$ denotes the $r$-dimensional algebraic torus. For our purpose, the maximal ones among $V$ 's are useful.

1. Maximality. Let $V^{n}=\boldsymbol{P}^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})-L_{0} \cup \cdots \cup L_{q}$ where $L_{j}$ 's are distinct hyperplanes in $P^{n}(C)$. The conditions in terms of coordinates for $V^{n}$ with $\bar{\kappa}\left(V^{n}\right)=n$ can be described as follows. We may assume $L_{j}$ is defined by $X_{j}=0,0 \leqq j \leqq n$. For the other equations, putting $s=q-n$, define $I_{1}, \cdots, I_{s} \subset\{0,1, \cdots, n\}$ by $I_{j}=\left\{i \mid\right.$ coef. of $X_{i}$ of $L_{n+j}$ is not zero. $\}$ Then renumbering $j$ if necessary, the following conditions 0 ) and 1) are satisfied.
0) $I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{s}=\{0,1, \cdots, n\}$
1) $I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{j-1}$ is not disjoint to $I_{j}$ for $2 \leqq j \leqq n$.

Proposition 1. Let $C a_{j}$ be the one dimensional subspace of $\boldsymbol{A}^{n+1}$ corresponding dually to $L_{j}, 0 \leqq j \leqq q$. Let $\left(\boldsymbol{A}^{7}, A^{i}\right)$ denote a pair of proper subspaces of $A^{n+1}$ with $A^{r} \cap A^{\delta}=\{0\}$. Then $V^{n}$ satisfies the above conditions 0 ) and 1), if and only if the following (C) holds.
(C) $\boldsymbol{A}^{r} \cup \boldsymbol{A}^{8}$ dose not contain all of $\mathrm{Ca}_{j}$ 's for any $\left(\boldsymbol{A}^{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{A}^{8}\right)$.

Proposition 2. If $V^{n}$ with $\bar{\kappa}\left(V^{n}\right)=n$ is maximal, we can impose on $V^{n}$ the following additional conditions 2) and 3):
2) There are $s$ numbers, $2 \leqq i(1)<\cdots<i(s)=n$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\{i \mid 0 \leqq i \leqq i(1)\} \\
& I_{j}-I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{j-1}=\{i \mid i(j-1)<i \leqq i(j)\}, 2 \leqq j \leqq s
\end{aligned}
$$

3) Any two of $I_{j}$ 's never have only one common element.

Proof of Proposition 2. 2) is obvious. Assume that $I_{j 1} \cap I_{j 2}=\{k\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{C} e_{0}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{C} e_{n}, \boldsymbol{C} a_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{C} a_{s}$ be corresponding dually to $L_{0}, \cdots, L_{n}, L_{n+1}$, $\cdots, L_{n+s}$. Let $A_{0}$ be the subspace of $A^{n+1}$ spanned by $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in I_{j 1} \cup I_{j 2}\right\}$. Since we are assuming that $V^{n}$ is maximal, there is, by Proposition 1, ( $\boldsymbol{A}^{r}, A^{8}$ ) such that $\left\{e_{0}, \cdots, \check{e}_{k}, \cdots, e_{n}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{s}\right\} \subset A^{r} \cup A^{8}$. This also induces a splitting $\left(A_{0} \cap A^{r}, A_{0} \cap A^{i}\right)$ for $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in I_{j_{1}} \cup I_{j 2}, i \neq k\right\} \cup\left\{a_{j 1}, a_{j 2}\right\}$ in

