## ON THE INFLUENCE OF A CONFORMAL KILLING TENSOR ON THE REDUCIBILITY OF COMPACT RIEMANNIAN SPACES

## By Shizuko Sato

§0. Let M be an *n*-dimensional Riemannian space whose metric tensor is given by  $g_{ab}$ .<sup>1)</sup> A contravariant vector field  $v^a$  is called an infinitesimal conformal transformation or a conformal Killing vector if there exists a scalar function  $\rho$  such that

## $V_a v_b + V_b v_a = 2\rho g_{ab}$ ,

where  $v_a = g_{ab}v^b$  and  $V_a$  means the covariant derivation with respect to the Riemannian connection. Especially, a conformal Killing vector  $v^a$  is called an infinitesimal isometry or a Killing vector if  $\rho = 0$ . In a compact reducible Riemannian space, the following theorem is well known.

THEOREM (Tachibana  $[1]^{2}$ ). In a compact reducible Riemannian space, an infinitesimal conformal transformation is an infinitesimal isometry.

On the other hand, as a generalization of a conformal Killing vector, Kashiwada [3] has defined a conformal Killing tensor, that is, a skew-symmetric tensor  $u_{a_1\cdots a_r}$  is called a conformal Killing tensor of degree r if there exists a skew-symmetric tensor  $\rho_{a_1\cdots a_{r-1}}$  such that

$$(0.1) \qquad \nabla_{c} u_{a_{1}\cdots a_{r}} + \nabla_{a_{1}} u_{ca_{2}\cdots a_{r}} = 2\rho_{a_{2}\cdots a_{r}} g_{ca_{1}} - \sum_{i=2}^{r} (-1)^{i} (\rho_{a_{1}\cdots \hat{a}_{i}\cdots a_{r}} g_{ca_{i}} + \rho_{ca_{2}\cdots \hat{a}_{i}\cdots a_{r}} g_{a_{1}a_{i}}),$$

where  $\hat{a}_i$  means that  $a_i$  is omitted. This  $\rho_{a_1 \cdots a_{r-1}}$  is called the associated tensor of  $u_{a_1 \cdots a_r}$ . Especially,  $u_{a_1 \cdots a_r}$  is called a Killing tensor if  $\rho_{a_1 \cdots a_{r-1}} = 0$ .

The purpose of the paper is to discuss the relation between the existence of a conformal Killing tensor and the reducibility of compact Riemannian spaces as a generalization of the above theorem.

The author expresses her hearty thanks to Prof. S. Tachibana for his kind suggestions.

Received April 9, 1970.

<sup>1)</sup> Indices  $a, b, c, \cdots$  run over  $1, \cdots, n$ .

<sup>2)</sup> See the bibliography at the end of the paper.