

# NOTE ON A COUSIN-II DOMAIN OVER $C^2$

BY JOJI KAJIWARA

*Dedicated to Professor A. Kobori on his sixtieth birthday*

Serre [7] gave a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}^* \rightarrow 0$$

where  $Z$  is the additive group of all integers and  $\mathfrak{O}$  and  $\mathfrak{O}^*$  are, respectively, the sheaves of all germs of holomorphic mappings in a complex plane  $C$  and  $GL(1, C)$ . Therefore we have an exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$H^1(X, Z) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathfrak{O}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathfrak{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, Z) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathfrak{O}).$$

Hence  $H^1(X, \mathfrak{O}^*) = H^1(X, Z) = 0$  and  $H^1(X, \mathfrak{O}) = H^2(X, Z) = 0$  imply, respectively,  $H^1(X, \mathfrak{O}) = 0$  and  $H^1(X, \mathfrak{O}^*) = 0$ . Taking Cartan [3]-Behnke-Stein [1]'s theorem into account, we see that any domain  $(D, \varphi)$  over  $C^2$  with  $H^1(D, \mathfrak{O}^*) = H^1(D, Z) = 0$  is a domain of holomorphy over  $C^2$ . Therefore, as we remarked in the previous paper [4], Thullen [9]'s example  $E = C^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$  is a Cousin-II domain with  $H^1(E, \mathfrak{O}^*) \neq 0$ . In the present paper we shall prove that any domain  $(D, \varphi)$  over  $C^2$  satisfies  $H^1(D, \mathfrak{O}^*) = 0$  if and only if  $(D, \varphi)$  is a domain of holomorphy over  $C^2$  with  $H^2(D, Z) = 0$ . Therefore any Cousin-II domain  $(D, \varphi)$  over  $C^2$  which is not a domain of holomorphy over  $C^2$  is always an example of a Cousin-II domain with  $H^1(D, \mathfrak{O}^*) \neq 0$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic mapping of a complex manifold  $D$  in  $C^n$  such that  $\varphi$  is locally a biholomorphic mapping. Then  $(D, \varphi)$  is called a *domain over  $C^n$* . Let  $(D_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(D_2, \varphi_2)$  be domains over  $C^n$ . If there exists a holomorphic mapping  $\lambda$  of  $D_1$  in  $D_2$  such that  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ \lambda$ ,  $(D_1, \varphi_1)$  is called a *domain over  $(D_2, \varphi_2)$* . Moreover, if there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  for any  $x \in D_2$ , such that  $\lambda$  is a biholomorphic mapping of each connected component of  $\lambda^{-1}(U)$  onto  $U$ , then  $(D_1, \varphi_1)$  is called a *covering manifold of  $(D_2, \varphi_2)$* . For any domain  $(D, \varphi)$  over  $C^n$ , we can uniquely construct a covering manifold  $(D^*, \varphi^*)$  of  $(D, \varphi)$  such that the fundamental group  $\pi_1(D^*)$  of  $D^*$  vanishes. This  $(D^*, \varphi^*)$  is called a *universal covering manifold of  $(D, \varphi)$* . If  $(D, \varphi)$  coincides with its universal covering manifold,  $(D, \varphi)$  is called *simply connected*.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $(D, \varphi)$  be a domain over  $C^n$  and  $(D', \varphi')$  be its covering manifold. Then  $(D, \varphi)$  is a domain of holomorphy over  $C^n$  if and only if  $(D', \varphi')$  is a domain of holomorphy over  $C^n$ .*

---

Received September 1, 1964.