

NOTE ON LAPLACE-TRANSFORMS, (III)

ON SOME CLASS OF LAPLACE-TRANSFORMS, (II)

By Chuji TANAKA

(Communicated by Y. Komatu)

(1) THEOREM. Let $f(x)$ be R -integrable in any finite interval $0 \leq x \leq X$, X being an arbitrary positive constant. Let the Laplace-transform of $f(x)$ be

$$(1.1) \quad F(s) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-sx) f(x) dx \\ (s = \sigma + it).$$

$F(s)$ has generally four special abscissas, i.e. regularity-abscissa σ_r , simple convergence-abscissa σ_s , uniform convergence-abscissa σ_u , and absolute convergence-abscissa σ_a ($\sigma_r \leq \sigma_s \leq \sigma_u \leq \sigma_a$). In the previous Note ([1] - See references placed at the end -), we have discussed the sufficient conditions for $\sigma_s = \sigma_u = \sigma_a$. In the present Note, we shall study the sufficient conditions for $\sigma_r = \sigma_s = \sigma_u = \sigma_a$. The theorem states as follows.

THEOREM. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |f(t)| = \sigma_r = \sigma_s = \sigma_u = \sigma_a$, provided that

(a) $f(z)$ ($z = r \exp(i\theta)$) is regular in $\mathcal{P}$: $|z| \leq r < \frac{1}{\alpha}$, except $z = 0$, and $z = \infty$;

(b) for sufficiently large r , $f(z)$ is of exponential type in $\mathcal{P}$;

(c) $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} r |f(re^{i\theta})| = 0$ uniformly in $\mathcal{P}$;

(d) $\lim_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow +0} \int_{\varepsilon_1}^{\varepsilon_2} |f(re^{i\theta})| dr$ ($\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$) exists in $\mathcal{P}$.

Furthermore, there exists at least one singular point $s_0 = \sigma_r + it$ ($-\infty < t < +\infty$) on $\sigma = \sigma_r$.

(2) Proof. On account of (a), (b), and (d), $f(t)$ belongs to $C\{I_r\}$ ([1]), so that

$$\sigma_s = \sigma_u = \sigma_a = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |f(t)|$$

By Cauchy's theorem, in $\mathcal{P}$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \exp(-sx) f(x) dx \\ = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} R_1 e^{i\theta} + \int_{R_1 e^{i\theta}}^{R_2 e^{i\theta}} + \int_{R_2 e^{i\theta}}^{R_2} \\ |x| = R_1 \quad \arg x = \theta \quad |x| = R_2$$

$$= I_r + I_2 + I_3, \text{ say.}$$

By (b), there exists a constant C such that

$$(2.2) \quad |f(re^{i\theta})| < \exp(er)$$

for sufficiently large r .

Suppose that

$$(2.3) \quad 0 < t, \text{ and } \max(C, \sigma_s) < t \cos \theta$$

Then, putting $s = t \exp(-i\theta)$, by (2.2) and (2.3),

$$|I_3| \leq \int_0^\theta \exp\{-t R_2 \cos(\alpha - \theta) + R_2 C\} R_2 d\alpha \\ < \theta R_2 \exp\{R_2 (C - t \cos \theta)\} \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R_2 \rightarrow +\infty$$

By (c),

$$|I_1| \leq \int_0^\theta \exp\{-t R_1 \cos(\alpha - \theta)\} |f(R_1 e^{i\alpha})| R_1 d\alpha \\ < \theta \exp(-t R_1 \cos \theta) R_1 \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \theta} |f(R_1 e^{i\alpha})| \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R_1 \rightarrow +\infty$$

Hence, by (2.1)

$$(2.4) \quad F(s) = F(t e^{-i\theta}) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-sx) f(x) dx \\ = e^{i\theta} \int_0^\infty \exp(-t|x|) f(|x| e^{i\theta}) d|x| \\ (\max(C, \sigma_s) < t \cos \theta).$$

On the other hand,

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-sx) f(x) dx$$

is regular for $\Re(s) > \sigma_r(0) =$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |f(t)|, \text{ and}$$

$$G(t) = e^{i\theta} \int_0^\infty \exp(-t|x|) f(|x| e^{i\theta}) d|x|$$

is regular for $\Re(t) > \sigma_r(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |f(re^{i\theta})|$. For, by (a) and (b), $f(x)$ and $f(|x| e^{i\theta})$ belong to $C\{I_r\}$, so that three convergence-abscissas coincide with $\sigma_r(0)$ ($\sigma = 0$ a. o.) respectively. By (2.4), for $\max(C, \sigma_s) < t \cos \theta$, $F(t e^{-i\theta})$ is equal to $G(t)$. Hence, $F(s)$ is regular in $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}_2$, where $\mathcal{P}_2$ is $\Re(s) > \sigma_r(0)$, $\mathcal{P}_2$ is $\Re(s e^{i\theta}) > \sigma_r(0)$, and