## On microhyperbolic mixed problems

By Kiyômi KATAOKA and Nobuyuki TOSE

(Received Oct. 5, 1989) (Revised May 28, 1990)

## Introduction.

Let us consider the following Dirichlet problem on  $\Omega = \{t \in \mathbb{R}; t>0\} \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ .

(0.1) 
$$\begin{cases} P u(t, x) = f(t, x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(+0, x) = g(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here  $\partial \Omega = \{t=0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n_x$ , and P is an analytic differential operator of order 2 defined on  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial \Omega$  of the form

$$(0.2) P = D_t^2 + A_1(t, x, D_x)D_t + A_2(t, x, D_x)$$

with  $D_t=\partial/\partial t$ ,  $D_x=(\partial/\partial x_1,\cdots,\partial/\partial x_n)$ . We study the problem (0.1) in the space of hyperfunctions, and thus f(t,x) and g(x) are hyperfunctions defined on  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$  respectively. Moreover we assume that f(t,x) is mild on t=+0. This means that f(t,x) belongs to a class of hyperfunctions for which the boundary values  $D_t^i f(+0,x)$   $(j=0,1,2,\cdots)$  to  $\partial\Omega$  are well-defined (see §1). Under this assumption, it follows from the non-charactericity of  $\partial\Omega$  that every solution on  $\Omega$  to the first equation of (0.1) becomes mild, and in particular that the second equation of (0.1) makes sense.

Let u(t, x) be a hyperfunction solution to (0.1) in  $\Omega$ . Then taking the canonical extensions  $\tilde{u}(t, x)$  and  $\tilde{f}(t, x)$  of u(t, x) and f(t, x) respectively, we get the identities

(0.3) 
$$P\tilde{u}(t, x) = \tilde{f}(t, x) + g(x)\delta'(t) + (D_t u(+0, x) + A_1(0, x, D_x)g(x)) \cdot \delta(t)$$
 and

(0.4) 
$$tP\tilde{u}(t, x) = t\tilde{f}(t, x) - g(x)\delta(t) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}_x^n.$$

Here the correspondence  $u \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  is a well-defined operation on mild hyperfunctions, which is similar to the cut-off operation by the Heaviside function Y(t).

Conversely, it is easy to see that every hyperfunction solution to (0.4) with condition supp  $\tilde{u} \subset \{t \geq 0\}$  gives a solution to (0.1). Thus we can reduce the Dirichlet problem (0.1) to studying the local or global cohomology groups of the complex of sheaves