

Coherentlike Conditions in Pullbacks

STEFANIA GABELLI & EVAN HOUSTON

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let M be a (nonzero) maximal ideal of a domain T , let $k = T/M$ be the residue field, let $\phi: T \rightarrow k$ be the natural projection, and let D be a proper subring of k . Let $R = \phi^{-1}(D)$ be the domain arising from the following pullback of canonical homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{\phi} & k = T/M. \end{array} \quad \square$$

We use K and F to denote the quotient fields of R and D , respectively. The case $k = F$ is of particular interest; in this case, we say that the diagram \square is of type \square^* .

The goal of this paper is to characterize certain coherentlike properties of integral domains in pullback constructions of type \square . In one sense, this work is a sequel to that of Brewer and Rutter [BR], in which coherence and several other properties are studied in so-called generalized $D + M$ constructions—that is, pullbacks of type \square in which it is assumed that $T = k + M$. ([BR] was in turn at least partly inspired by the work of Dobbs and Papick [DP] on coherence in the classical $D + M$ construction, in which $T = k + M$ is assumed to be a valuation domain.) Our work in this more general context is partly motivated by the fact that results which hold for the $D + M$ construction do not always extend to pullbacks of type \square . For example, [FG, Thm. 4.2(b)] shows that the characterization of the GCD-property given in [BR, Thm. 11] requires modification, and [FG, Example 4.3] exploits pullbacks to give a counterexample to a conjecture of Anderson and Ryckaert [AR, Question 3.10].

The notion of v -finiteness figures prominently in [FG]. An ideal I of a domain R is said to be v -finite if $I^{-1} = J^{-1}$ for some finitely generated ideal J of R . We devote Section 2 to a study of divisoriality and v -finiteness in pullbacks of type \square . We show, for example, that if T is quasilocal with maximal ideal M , then each

Received December 22, 1995. Revision received July 12, 1996.

The first author was partially supported by research funds of Ministero dell'Università e della

Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica. The second author was supported in part by funds provided by the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche and by the University of North Carolina at Charlotte. Michigan Math. J. 44 (1997).