

EXCEPTIONAL SETS FOR HOLOMORPHIC SOBOLEV FUNCTIONS

Patrick Ahern

We let B^n denote the unit ball in \mathbf{C}^n and let S denote its boundary. For the most part we will follow the notation and terminology of Rudin [11]. For f holomorphic in B^n the radial derivative of f is defined to be

$$Rf(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k f_k(z),$$

if $f = \sum f_k$ is the homogeneous polynomial development of f . For $\beta > 0$ one is led to the definition $R^\beta f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^\beta f_k(z)$ (see [6]). For $p, \beta > 0$ we define

$$H_\beta^p(B^n) = \{f \in H(B^n) : R^\beta f \in H^p(B^n)\},$$

where $H^p(B^n)$ is the usual Hardy space [11]. $H_\beta^p(B^n)$ may be considered as a holomorphic version of a Sobolev space [6]. For $\zeta \in S$ and $\delta > 0$ there is the Koranyi ball $B(\zeta, \delta) = \{\eta \in S : |1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle| < \delta\}$. There are also the admissible approach regions for $\zeta \in S$, $\alpha > 1$,

$$D_\alpha(\zeta) = \{z \in B^n : |1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle| < (\alpha/2)(1 - |z|^2)\}.$$

For each function $f: B^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ we have the admissible maximal function

$$M_\alpha f(\zeta) = \sup_{z \in D_\alpha(\zeta)} |f(z)|.$$

The main result of this paper is the following “trace” theorem for Sobolev functions, which will be proved in Section 1.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that $0 < p \leq 1$ and $f \in H_\beta^p(B^n)$, where $m = n - \beta p > 0$, and that ν is a positive Borel measure on S that satisfies*

$$(*) \quad \nu(B(\zeta, \delta)) \leq C\delta^m \quad \text{for some constant } C.$$

Then for each $\alpha > 1$ there is a constant $C = C(\alpha)$ such that

$$\int M_\alpha f(\zeta)^p d\nu(\zeta) \leq C \|R^\beta f\|_p^p.$$

(Here $\|g\|_p$ denotes the norm of g in $H^p(B^n)$.)

Of course, the strong inequality of the theorem gives rise to a corresponding weak estimate which in turn yields the following.

COROLLARY 1. *Every $f \in H_\beta^p(B^n)$ has an admissible limit almost everywhere, $d\nu$.*

Received February 2, 1987. Revision received May 27, 1987.
The author was partially supported by a grant from NSF.
Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988).