Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XIX, Number 3, July 1978 NDJFAM

## **RELATIVE STRENGTH OF MALITZ QUANTIFIERS**

## STEVEN GARAVAGLIA

In this paper I will solve a problem concerning Malitz quantifiers which was posed in [1]. Before stating this problem I will introduce some notation which will be used in the proof. If X is a set then c(X) is the cardinality of X and  $[X]^n$  is the set of *n*-element subsets of X.  $S_n$  is the set of permutations of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a structure  $|\mathfrak{A}|$  denotes the domain of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\mathfrak{L}$  is a first-order language,  $\mathfrak{L}(|\mathfrak{A}|)$  is the result of adjoining to  $\mathfrak{L}$  one constant symbol for each element of  $|\mathfrak{A}|$ . No distinction will be made between elements of  $|\mathfrak{A}|$  and the constant symbols denoting them. Variables will be denoted  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{L}$  be any first-order language. For each n and each infinite cardinal  $\alpha$  a language  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{n}$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{L}$  by adjoining the quantifier  $\mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}^{n}$  with the following interpretation:  $\mathfrak{A} \models \mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}^{n} x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \varphi(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n})$  if and only if there is a set  $X \subset |\mathfrak{A}|$  such that  $c(X) \ge \alpha$  and for all distinct  $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$  in  $X, \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n})$ . Malitz and Magidor [2] and Badger [1] have established many deep and interesting results concerning these languages. In [1], page 91, Badger gave a list of unsolved problems about the languages  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{n}$ . There he raised the question whether  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{n+1}$  is a proper extension of  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{n}$ . In this paper I answer this question affirmatively for all  $n \ge 1$  and all  $\alpha > \omega$  by exhibiting two structures  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  of the same similarity type such that  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  satisfy the same sentences in  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{n}$  but do not satisfy the same sentences in  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{n+1}$ .

Let *n* be any fixed positive integer and let  $\alpha$  be any fixed uncountable cardinal.  $\mathcal{L}$  will be a first-order language with equality whose only nonlogical symbol is an (n + 1)-ary predicate symbol *R*.

Definition 1: If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure,  $\gamma$  is a finite subset of  $|\mathfrak{A}|$ ,  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ , and  $t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1} \in \gamma \cup \{x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$  then  $\sigma(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1})$  is the (n + 1)-tuple  $(t_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, t_{\sigma(n+1)})$  and  $\sigma R(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1})$  is the  $\mathcal{L}(|\mathfrak{A}|)$ -formula  $R(t_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, t_{\sigma(n+1)})$ .

<sup>1.</sup> For  $a = \omega_1$ , this result was obtained independently by Andreas Baudisch under the assumption  $\Diamond_{\omega_1}$ .