## Stationary Logic and Its Friends – II

## ALAN H. MEKLER\* and SAHARON SHELAH\*\*

**Introduction** This paper is the successor to "Stationary Logic and Its Friends – I" [10]. The three sections of the paper can be read independently. The first two sections assume some familiarity with stationary logic, denoted L(aa) (see [2]). The third section concerns a closure operation for abstract logic. There a familiarity with [9] would be helpful.

In the first section we define, for regular  $\lambda$ , the  $\lambda$ -interpretation of L(aa), denoted  $L(aa^{\lambda})$ . In this notation, the standard interpretation is  $L(aa^{\omega})$ . The most easily understandable case occurs when  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda$ . Then for models with universe  $\lambda^+$ ,  $aa^{\lambda}$  expresses "for all but a nonstationary set of ordinals of co-finality  $\lambda$ ". We show if  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda$ , then  $L(aa^{\lambda})$  has the same validities as  $L(aa^{\omega})$  and  $L(aa^{\lambda})$  is  $(\lambda, \omega)$ -compact.

The second section is devoted to the proof of the consistency of the following approximation to the  $\Delta$ -closure of L(Q) being contained in L(aa).

Suppose  $L_1 \cap L_2 = L_0$ ,  $\psi_1 \in L_1(Q)$  and  $\psi_2 \in L_2(Q)$ .

Further suppose every finitely determinate  $L_0$ -structure *either* can be expanded to a model of exactly one of  $\psi_1$  of  $\psi_2$  or can be expanded to a finitely determinate model of exactly one of  $\psi_1$  or  $\psi_2$ .

Then there is a sentence  $\theta \in L_0(aa)$  such that every finitely determinate model of  $\psi_1$  satisfies  $\theta$  and no finitely determinate model of  $\psi_2$  satisfies  $\theta$ . (So  $\theta$  separates the reducts of finitely determinate models of  $\psi_1$  from those of  $\psi_2$ .)

(See Section 2 for the definition of finitely determinate. Of course Q is the quantifier expressing "there exist uncountably many".) In [10] we showed that every consistent L(Q)-sentence has a finitely determinate model. So this result establishes the consistency of the  $\Delta$ -closure of L(Q) being contained in L(aa)

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada Grant #U0075.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Research supported by the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation.