SPLINE SPACES ARE OPTIMAL FOR L^2 n-WIDTH

BY

AVRAHAM A. MELKMAN¹ AND CHARLES A. MICCHELLI

1. Introduction

Let $X = (X, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed linear space, \mathcal{K} a subset of X and X_n an n-dimensional linear subspace of X. The Kolmogorov n-width of \mathcal{K} relative to X is defined by

$$d_n(\mathcal{X}; X) = d_n(\mathcal{X}) = \inf_{X_n} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \inf_{y \in X_n} ||x - y||.$$

 X_n is called an optimal subspace for \mathcal{K} provided that

$$d_n(\mathscr{K}) = \sup_{x \in \mathscr{K}} \inf_{y \in X_n} ||x - y||.$$

This concept of n-width was introduced by Kolmogorov in [8] and in his paper he finds the exact value of the n-width for

$$W^{2,r}[0, 1] = \{f: f^{(r-1)} \text{ abs. cont. on } (0, 1), ||f^{(r)}|| \le 1\}$$

 $(||\cdot|| = L^2 \text{ norm on } [0, 1]).$

Roughly speaking Kolmogorov showed that the n-width corresponds to the nth eigenvalue of a boundary value problem and an optimal subspace is spanned by the first n eigenfunctions. Kolmogorov claimed that $W^{2,r}[0, 1]$ has a unique optimal subspace and as late as Tihomirov [13] this error was overlooked. It was first observed to be false by Karlovitz in [4] while in Ioffe and Tihomirov [2] it is conjectured that $W^{2,r}[0, 1]$ has an optimal spline subspace.

Subsequently, Karlovitz [5] explored the question of nonuniqueness of optimal subspaces in a general setting. The related question for min max and max min characterization of eigenvalues has been treated in Weinstein and Stenger's book [17].

A main goal of this paper is to prove that $W^{2,r}$ admits, for all r, optimal spline subspaces. There are in fact two; one of degree r-1 and another of degree 2r-1.

Before stating exactly our result for $W^{2,r}$ we wish to point out that an effort

Received August 18, 1976.

¹ Part of the work was conducted while the first author was at IBM. T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York.