

REMARKS ON STRONGLY M -PROJECTIVE MODULES

BY
PAUL E. BLAND

In [11], Varadarajan introduced the notion of strongly M -projective modules. He showed that every $B \in \text{Mod } R$ satisfying $B\mathcal{A}(M) = 0$ possesses a strong M -projective cover if and only if $R/\mathcal{A}(M)$ is a right perfect ring where $\mathcal{A}(M)$ denotes the right annihilator of M in R . We show that if a certain class of modules in $\text{Mod } R$ is closed under factors, then every $B \in \text{Mod } R$ possesses a strong M -projective cover if and only if $R/\mathcal{A}(M)$ is right perfect, thereby conditionally extending Varadarajan's result to $\text{Mod } R$. We also show via a pullback diagram that $B \in \text{Mod } R$ is strongly M -projective if and only if $B/B\mathcal{A}(M)$ is a projective $R/\mathcal{A}(M)$ -module. Varadarajan has shown this for the special case when $\mathcal{A}(M) = 0$.

If M is injective and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is the hereditary torsion theory on $\text{Mod } R$ cogenerated by M , then it is shown that $B \in \text{Mod } R$ is codivisible with respect to $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ if and only if B is strongly M -projective. From this it follows that if B has a projective cover, then B is codivisible with respect to $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ if and only if B is M -projective in the sense of G. Azumaya [1].

Throughout this paper R will denote an associative ring with identity and our attention will be confined to the category $\text{Mod } R$ of unital right R -modules. We will often abuse notation and write $B \in \text{Mod } R$ for an object of $\text{Mod } R$. Furthermore all maps will be morphisms in $\text{Mod } R$ while $\mathcal{A}(M)$ and M^J will denote the right annihilator of M in R and the direct product of the family $\{M_a = M\} (a \in J)$ respectively. In addition, M will denote a fixed right R -module which is not necessarily injective.

Following Varadarajan [11], we call $B \in \text{Mod } R$ strongly M -projective if every row exact diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ M^J & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where J is any indexing set can be completed commutatively. This is a natural generalization of M -projective modules first studied by G. Azumaya [1]. Azumaya called B M -projective if the diagram above can be completed commutatively when J is a singleton.

If K is a submodule of $B \in \text{Mod } R$, then K is said to be M -independent in B if for each $0 \neq x \in K$ there is an $f \in \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$.

Received September 12, 1977.

© 1979 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Manufactured in the United States of America