NIL-RINGS WITH MINIMAL CONDITION FOR ADMISSIBLE LEFT IDEALS

By Charles Hopkins

The main theorem of this article is stated in §1 and proved in §2. Possibly the corollaries of this theorem are of more interest than the theorem itself. Let $\mathfrak D$ be any ring with minimal conditions for left ideals. From our main theorem it follows that (1) the radical of $\mathfrak D$ is nilpotent; (2) the ring $\mathfrak D$ is semi-primary (or semi-simple); (3) any subring of $\mathfrak D$ containing only nilpotent elements is itself nilpotent. This third corollary is a conjecture of Köthe, which Levitzki¹ proved by assuming both the minimal and maximal condition for right ideals of $\mathfrak D$.

- 1. **Definitions and assumptions**. Let \Re be a nil-ring—i.e., a ring in which every element is nilpotent—and let Ω denote a set of operators for \Re , each element of Ω being a left-hand operator for \Re . We shall assume that (1) \Re is not the null-ring and that (2) the set Ω contains all the elements of \Re . Thus Ω will contain as right-hand operators the elements of \Re (and possibly elements not belonging to \Re). We assume the following postulates:
 - (α_0) $\xi(u+v)=\xi u+\xi v$ for all $\xi\in\Omega$ and u,v in \Re ;
 - (α_1) $(\xi \eta)u = \xi(\eta u)$, provided that $\xi \eta$ exists in Ω ;
 - (α_2) $(\xi + \eta)u = \xi u + \eta u$, if $\xi + \eta$ is defined in Ω .

For those elements of Ω which are right-hand operators for \Re we assume the analogues of (α_0) - (α_2) above; e.g., (α'_1) asserts that $u(\xi\eta) = (u\xi)\eta$, provided that the product $\xi\eta$ exists in Ω and is a right-hand operator.

If an element θ of Ω is *not* a right-hand operator for \Re , we shall need the additional postulate:

 (α_3) $\theta(uv) = u(\theta v).$

At this point we mention three useful relations which are consequences of (2), (α_1) , and (α'_1) above:

- $(\beta) \quad \xi(uv) = (\xi u)v;$
- (γ) $(v\xi)u = v(\xi u);$
- $(\delta) \quad (vu)\xi = v(u\xi).$

We derive (β) from (α_1) , and (γ) and (δ) from (α'_1) , by regarding the element u of \Re as an operator (see (2) above). Obviously (β) holds for all ξ in Ω , while (γ) and (δ) are valid only when ξ is a right-hand operator. In connection with (α_3) we point out that if ξ is a right-hand operator we do not deny (α_3) —we merely do not assume it.

Received February 28, 1938. I am indebted to members of the Mathematics Seminar of Tulane University for useful suggestions regarding the phraseology of the proofs.

¹ Math. Ann., vol. 105(1931), pp. 620-627.