CORRECTION

RANK REGRESSION

By Jack Cuzick

The Annals of Statistics (1988) 16 1369-1389

Peter Bickel has pointed out an error in the proof of the claim in Lemma 5 that the estimating equation (7) has a unique solution when $\varphi(t)=t$. The incorrect assertion is that $\Sigma \bar{z}_i(z_i-\bar{z}_i)=0$. The same error occurs when considering the multivariate case in Remark (v) on page 1388. I am unable to substantiate the claim of uniqueness. However, dominated convergence can be used to show $n^{-1}l(b) \to E[Z(F_b^{-1}(F_{b_0}(b_0Z+\epsilon))-bZ)]$ in probability and this has a negative derivative with respect to b. Thus under conditions which guarantee that $n^{-1}l(b)$ has two uniformly bounded derivatives [equivalently $\bar{Z}(b)$ has one uniformly bounded derivative], a unique solution will exist asymptotically for b on any compact interval containing b_0 . The proof of the existence of a solution for any $n \geq 2$ (in the scalar case) is still valid. If multiple solutions do occur for finite n, then the one which minimizes $\Sigma(\bar{t}_i^b-bz_i)^2$ should be taken as the estimate and it will have the asymptotic properties stated in Theorem 1.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND EPIDEMIOLOGY IMPERIAL CANCER RESEARCH FUND P.O. Box 123 LINCOLN'S INN FIELDS LONDON WC2A 3PX UNITED KINGDOM

Received July 1989.