

# On the convergence of the product of independent random variables

By

Hiroshi SATO

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\{X_k\}$  be a sequence of integrable random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{X_k; 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ , denote the mathematical expectation by  $E[\ ]$  and the mathematical expectation on a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  by  $E[\ ] ; A$ .

$\{X_k\}$  is upper semi-bounded iff there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that

$$\sum_k E[X_k; X_k \geq K] < +\infty.$$

If there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that  $X_k < K$ , a.s.,  $k \in N$ , then  $\{X_k\}$  is upper semi-bounded.

Assume that  $\{X_k; k \in N\}$  are independent and upper semi-bounded with non-negative means. Then in Paragraph 2 we shall show the equivalence of the  $L^1$ -convergence and the almost sure convergence of  $\sum_k X_k$  (Theorem 1). Furthermore, assume that  $X_k > -1$ , a.s., and  $E[X_k] = 0$ ,  $k \in N$ . Then in Paragraph 3 we shall show the equivalence of the almost sure convergence of  $\sum_k X_k$  and the  $L^1$ -convergence of  $\prod_k (1 + X_k)$  (Theorem 2). Note that if  $\{x_k\}$  is a real sequence, then the convergence of  $\sum_k x_k$  does not imply the convergence of  $\prod_k (1 + x_k)$  (for example  $x_k = (-1)^k k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ). Conversely the convergence of  $\prod_k (1 + x_k)$  does not imply the convergence of  $\sum_k x_k$  (for example  $x_k = (-1)^k k^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (2k)^{-1}$ ). As an application in Paragraph 4 we shall give necessary and sufficient conditions for the equivalence (mutual absolute continuity) of two infinite product measures based on the convergence of marginal densities (Theorem 3).

## 2. Sum of semi-bounded independent random variables

In this paragraph we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{X_k\}$  be a sequence of upper semi-bounded independent random variables such that  $E[X_k] \geq 0$ ,  $k \in N$ . Then all of the following statements are equivalent.*

- (A)  $\sum_k X_k$  converges in  $L^1$ .
- (B)  $\sup_n E[|\sum_{k=1}^n X_k|] < +\infty$ .
- (C)  $\sum_k X_k$  converges almost surely.
- (D)  $\sum_k X_k$  and  $\sum_k X_k^2$  converge almost surely.

*Proof.* (A) $\Rightarrow$ (B) and (D) $\Rightarrow$ (C) are trivial. (B) $\Rightarrow$ (C) is proved by the Doob's theorem since  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$  is a  $\mathcal{B}_n$ -martingale (W. Stout [3], Theorem 2-7-2).

(C) $\Rightarrow$ (D). Since  $\{X_k\}$  is upper semi-bounded, there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_k E[X_k; X_k \geq K] < +\infty.$$

Define

$$Y_k = \begin{cases} X_k, & \text{if } |X_k| < K, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $Z_k = X_k - Y_k$ ,  $k \in N$ . Then, since  $\sum_k X_k$  converges almost surely, by Kolmogorov's three series theorem the following three series are convergent.

- (2)  $\sum_k P(|X_k| \geq K) < +\infty$ ,
- (3)  $\sum_k E[Y_k]$  converges,
- (4)  $\sum_k \{E[Y_k^2] - E[Y_k]^2\} < +\infty$ .

For every  $k$  in  $N$  define  $m_k^+ = E[X_k; X_k \geq K] \geq 0$ ,  $m_k^0 = E[Y_k] = E[X_k; |X_k| < K]$ , and  $m_k^- = -E[X_k; X_k \leq -K] \geq 0$ . Then by the assumption we have

$$m_k^+ + m_k^0 - m_k^- = E[X_k] \geq 0, \quad k \in N,$$

and by (1) and (3)

$$\sum_k m_k^- \leq \sum_k m_k^+ + \sum_k m_k^0$$

converges. Furthermore we have for every  $k$  in  $N$

$$m_k^+ + m_k^0 \geq m_k^0 \geq m_k^- - m_k^+ \geq -(m_k^+ + m_k^-)$$

so that

$$\sum_k |m_k^0| \leq \sum_k (m_k^+ + m_k^-) + \sum_k (m_k^+ + m_k^0) < +\infty.$$

Consequently  $\sum_k m_k^0$  converges absolutely. This implies the convergence of  $\sum_k E[Y_k]^2$  and by (4) we have

$$(5) \quad \sum_k E[Y_k^2] < +\infty.$$

By Kolmogorov's three series theorem (2) and (5) imply (D).

(C) $\Rightarrow$ (A). For every  $m, n(n < m) \in \mathbf{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[|\sum_{n < k \leq m} X_k|] &\leq E[|\sum_{n < k \leq m} Y_k|] + E[|\sum_{n < k \leq m} Z_k|] \\ &\leq E[|\sum_{n < k \leq m} Y_k|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n < k \leq m} E[|Z_k|] \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n < k \leq m} E[Y_k^2] + \left[ \sum_{n < k \leq m} (m_k^- + m_k^+) \right]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n < k \leq m} (m_k^+ + m_k^-) \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore  $\sum_k X_k$  converges in  $L^1$ .

### 3. Infinite product of independent random variables

In this paragraph we extend Theorem 1 to the convergence of infinite product of independent random variables.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{X_k\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $E[X_k]=0$  and  $X_k > -1$ , a.s.,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then all of the following statements are equivalent.

- (A)  $\sum_k X_k$  converges in  $L^1$ .
- (B)  $\sup_n E[|\sum_{k=1}^n X_k|] < +\infty$ .
- (C)  $\sum_k X_k$  converges almost surely.
- (D)  $\sum_k X_k$  and  $\sum_k X_k^2$  converge almost surely.
- (E)  $\prod_k (1 + X_k)$  converges and is positive almost surely.
- (F)  $\prod_k (1 + X_k)$  converges in  $L^1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{-X_k\}$  is upper semi-bounded with zero mean, the equivalences from (A) to (D) are already proved in Theorem 1. (D) $\Rightarrow$ (E) is proved by Lemma 8 of H. Sato [2].

(E) $\Rightarrow$ (F). Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} E[\sqrt{1 + X_k}] &= \liminf_n E[\sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + X_k)}] \\ &\geq E[\liminf_n \sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + X_k)}] = E[\sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + X_k)}] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

the arguments of J. Neveu [1], Proposition III-1-2 imply (F).

(F) $\Rightarrow$ (C). Assume that  $V_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + X_k)$  converges in  $L^1$ . Then, since  $\{V_n\}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}_n$ -martingale,  $V_n$  converges almost surely to  $V = \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} (1 + X_k)$  and we have

$$E[V] = \lim_n E[V_n] = 1,$$

so that  $P(V > 0) > 0$ . Since  $\{\log(1 + X_k)\}$  is an independent random sequence, by the 0-1 law we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(V > 0) &= P\left(\sum_k \log(1 + X_k) \text{ converges}\right) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $V > 0$ , a.s..

On the other hand define

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= 1, \\ U_k &= X_k V_{k-1}, \quad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\{U_k\}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}_k$ -martingale difference sequence such that

$$\sup_n E\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^n U_k\right|\right] = \sup_n E[V_n] = 1 < +\infty.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= 1, \\ v_k &= V_{k-1}^{-1}, \quad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then for every  $k$  in  $N$ ,  $v_k$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{k-1}$ -measurable and we have

$$\sup |v_n| \leq \sup_n \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + X_k)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{\inf_n \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + X_k)} < +\infty, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Therefore by Burkholder's theorem (W. Stout [3], Theorem 2-9-4)  $\sum_k X_k = \sum_k v_k U_k$  converges almost surely.

#### 4. Absolute continuity of the infinite product measures

In this paragraph we apply Theorem 2 to the equivalence of two infinite product measures on the sequence space.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mu = \prod_k \mu_k$  and  $\nu = \prod_k \nu_k$  be infinite product measures on the sequence space  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , where  $\{\mu_k; k \in N\}$  and  $\{\nu_k; k \in N\}$  are probabilities on  $\mathbf{R}^1$  such that  $\nu_k \sim \mu_k$  (equivalent) for every  $k$  in  $N$ . Then all of the following statements are equivalent.*

- (A)  $\sum_k \left(\frac{d\nu_k}{d\mu_k}(x_k) - 1\right)$  converges in  $L^1(\mu)$ .
- (B)  $\sup_n \int \left|\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{d\nu_k}{d\mu_k}(x_k) - 1\right)\right| d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2 \times \dots \times \mu_n) < +\infty$ .
- (C)  $\sum_k \left(\frac{d\nu_k}{d\mu_k}(x_k) - 1\right)$  converges almost surely ( $\mu$ ).
- (D)  $\sum_k \left(\frac{d\nu_k}{d\mu_k}(x_k) - 1\right)$  and  $\sum_k \left(\frac{d\nu_k}{d\mu_k}(x_k) - 1\right)^2$  converges almost surely ( $\mu$ ).
- (E)  $\prod_k \frac{d\nu_k}{d\mu_k}(x_k)$  converges and is positive almost surely ( $\mu$ ).

(F)  $\nu \sim \mu$ .

In the above statements  $x_k = x_k(x)$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , denotes the  $k$ -th coordinate of  $x = \{x_k\} \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$X_k(x) = \frac{d\nu_k}{d\mu_k}(x_k) - 1, \quad x = \{x_k\} \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then obviously the random sequence  $\{X_k\}$  on the probability space  $(\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}, \mu)$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2. Since the  $L^1$ -convergence of  $\prod_k \frac{d\nu_k}{d\mu_k}(x_k) = \prod_k (1 + X_k)$  is equivalent to  $\nu \sim \mu$  (J. Neveu [1], Proposition III-1-2), Theorem 3 is a special case of Theorem 2.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KYUSHU UNIVERSITY

#### References

- [1] J. Neveu, *Martingales à temps discret*, Paris, Masson & Cie, 1972.
- [2] H. Sato, Characteristic functional of a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to a Gaussian Radon measure, *J. Func. Anal.*, **61** (1985), 222-245.
- [3] W. Stout, *Almost sure convergence*, New York, San Fransisco and London, Academic Press, 1974.